

United States Government Accountability Office Washington, DC 20548

June 29, 2007

Congressional Committees

Subject: Information on Port Security in the Caribbean Basin

Referred to as our "third border," the Caribbean Basin has significant maritime links with the United States.¹ Given these links and the region's proximity, the United States is particularly interested in ensuring that the ports in the Caribbean Basin—through which goods bound for this country's ports and cruise ships carrying its citizens must travel—are secure.

Section 233 (c) of the Security and Accountability for Every Port Act of 2006 (SAFE Port Act)² requires GAO to report on various security-related aspects of Caribbean Basin ports. Our specific objectives were to identify and describe the

- threats and security concerns in the Caribbean Basin related to port security,
- actions that foreign governments and local stakeholders have taken in the Caribbean Basin to implement international port security requirements and the challenges that remain,
- activities reported to be under way by U.S. government agencies to enhance port security in the Caribbean Basin, and

¹We defined the Caribbean Basin using the boundaries established in the U.S. trade programs known collectively as the Caribbean Basin Initiative (CBI). In 1983 the Caribbean Basin Economic Recovery Act (Pub. L. No. 98-67, 97 Stat. 369 (1983)), enacted the CBI into law. The CBI was substantially expanded in 2000 through the U.S.-Caribbean Basin Trade Partnership Act (Pub. L. No. 106-200, 114 Stat. 251 (2000)), and currently provides 24 beneficiary countries with duty-free access to U.S. markets. These countries are Antigua and Barbuda, Aruba, Bahamas, Belize, British Virgin Islands, Costa Rica, Dominica, Dominican Republic, El Salvador, Grenada, Guatemala, Guyana, Haiti, Honduras, Jamaica, Montserrat, Netherlands Antilles, Nicaragua, Panama, St. Kitts and Nevis, St. Lucia, St. Vincent and the Grenadines, and Trinidad and Tobago. For the purposes of this letter, however, five additional countries were included: Colombia, Cuba, Mexico, Suriname, and Venezuela.

²Pub. L. No. 109-347, 120 Stat. 1884 (2006).

• potential economic impacts of port security and terrorist attacks in the Caribbean Basin.

To determine the threats and security concerns in the Caribbean Basin related to port security, we interviewed officials from federal agencies, international organizations and associations, and various stakeholders (such as facility operators and government officials of Caribbean countries) involved in port security in the region. To determine the actions taken by Caribbean Basin countries to implement international port security requirements and the challenges they face, we visited several Caribbean nations and reviewed information provided by agencies and organizations working in the region. To determine the activities under way by U.S. government agencies to enhance port security in the Caribbean Basin, we met with agency officials and reviewed pertinent documents. Finally, to identify the potential economic impacts of port security in the Caribbean Basin, we met with officials from the countries we visited, as well as from U.S. agencies and international organizations, and reviewed various analyses by a government agency and nongovernmental researchers. Because the mandate gave us a limited time frame within which to complete our work, this report is descriptive in nature and does not provide a detailed analysis of the actions taken or efforts made regarding port security in the Caribbean Basin. Enclosure II describes our scope and methodology in more detail.

Between March 29 and April 13, 2007, we briefed your offices on the results of our work to address these objectives. This report summarizes the information provided during those discussions and provides the detailed handouts in enclosure I. Additional information received from the agencies since the briefings were presented is contained in the body and agency comments section of this document.

We conducted our work from October 2006 through June 2007 in accordance with generally accepted government auditing standards.

Summary

While intelligence sources report that no specific, credible terrorist threats to maritime security exist in the Caribbean Basin, the officials we spoke to indicated that there are a number of security concerns that could affect port security in the region. Caribbean ports contain a variety of facilities such as cargo facilities, cruise ship terminals, and facilities that handle petroleum products and liquefied natural gas. Additionally, several Caribbean ports are among the top cruise ship destinations in the world. Given the volume and value of this maritime trade, the facilities and infrastructure of the maritime transportation system may be attractive targets for a terrorist attack. Our prior work on maritime security issues has revealed that the three most likely modes of attack in the port environment are a suicide attack using an explosive-laden vehicle or vessel, a standoff attack using small arms or rockets, and the traditional armed assault. Beyond the types of facilities and modes of attack

to be considered, officials we spoke to identified a number of overarching security concerns that relate to the Caribbean Basin as a whole. Among these concerns are (1) the level of corruption that exists in some Caribbean nations to undermine the rule of law in these countries, (2) organized gang activity occurring in proximity to or within port facilities, and (3) the geographic proximity of many Caribbean countries, which has made them transit countries for cocaine and heroin destined for U.S. markets. Other security concerns in the Caribbean Basin mentioned by U.S. agency officials include stowaways, illegal migration, and the growing influence of Islamic radical groups and other foreign terrorist organizations.

Foreign governments and local stakeholders in the Caribbean Basin have taken a number of steps to implement the International Ship and Port Facility Security (ISPS) Code, although challenges for further progress remain.³ The U.S. Coast Guard has visited a number of Caribbean Basin countries to observe the security and antiterrorism measures put in place at their ports to determine the degree to which the ISPS Code has been implemented. Final reports from these visits have been issued for 14 of the 29 Caribbean Nations included in this report. Most of the countries were found to have "substantially implemented the ISPS Code." Even so, the Coast Guard also found that facilities within some countries needed to make improvements or take additional measures. According to a Coast Guard official, while the Coast Guard cannot require the facilities to make improvements to respond to Coast Guard's findings, improvements have been made in order to avoid potential difficulties in conducting trade with the United States. We also visited five countries in the region and found that security measures were generally in place, although there were areas of concern, such as maintaining access controls, conducting security plan exercises, and maintaining security records.⁴ Our discussions with facility operators as well as government officials and a regional association during these visits revealed that challenges exist, such as obtaining additional training for their security personnel and funding for the further enhancement of port security measures in the region.

Several U.S. agencies reported being involved in activities in the Caribbean Basin that could help enhance port security in the region. Through its embassies, the Department of State serves as the lead coordinator of the activities of other U.S. federal agencies in the Caribbean nations. The State Department has also been involved through the Organization of American States to coordinate and fund projects to improve maritime security. The Coast Guard is involved in the region through its International Port Security Program to assess the effectiveness of anti-terrorism measures in place in other countries. The Coast Guard monitors the implementation of ISPS Code requirements in these countries and provides them with best practices to help them improve port security. The Coast Guard also has a Port

³The International Ship and Port Facility Security Code was adopted under the auspices of the International Maritime Organization (IMO) by the Conference of Contracting Governments to the International Convention for the Safety of Life at Sea (SOLAS). In accordance with the SOLAS Convention as amended in 2002, the code establishes requirements for contracting governments of countries where ports are located, contracting governments of countries where ships are registered, operators of port facilities, and operators of vessels traveling on the high seas. Individual nations can set higher standards for facilities on their soil and for vessels registered in that country. As of November 30, 2006, there were 156 contracting governments to the SOLAS Convention.

⁴Of the five countries we visited, the Coast Guard had issued final reports of its country visits to four of them, while one had just been visited.

State Control (PSC) program in which officials board and inspect foreign vessels arriving at U.S. ports to ensure that the vessels are complying with security standards. To address a potential mass migration event from a Caribbean nation such as Haiti or Cuba, the Department of Homeland Security (DHS) has developed a plan that involves dozens of federal, state, and local agencies. Customs and Border Protection, another DHS component, has provided training assistance to a number of Caribbean nations and is also operating its Container Security Initiative in the Bahamas, the Dominican Republic, Honduras, and Jamaica. Under this initiative, Customs and Border Protection staff are placed at foreign seaports to screen containers for weapons of mass destruction. Related to the security of containers in the ports, the Department of Energy (DOE) also has efforts under way in the Caribbean Basin related to its Megaports Initiative, which provides equipment to scan containers for nuclear and radiological materials. This initiative is currently operational in the Bahamas and is expected to eventually operate in other countries as well. The U.S. Agency for International Development (USAID), already active throughout the Caribbean because of its role in administering assistance programs, also has directly contributed funds toward a project to help Haiti comply with the requirements of the ISPS Code. The Department of Defense (DOD), through its Southern Command (SOUTHCOM), is active in the Caribbean through its Enduring Friendship program, which seeks to achieve regional security cooperation and build maritime security capabilities. Finally, there are several interagency efforts under way in the region to help secure cargo and counter illicit trafficking, migration, and narcoterrorism operations. For example, one effort involves coordinating the efforts of Coast Guard, Customs and Border Protection, Immigration and Customs Enforcement, and the U.S. Attorney's Office to target illegal migration and narcotrafficking near Puerto Rico and the U.S. Virgin Islands.

Although the potential economic impact of port security (or lack thereof) in the Caribbean Basin is difficult to determine, our review of analyses performed by a government agency and nongovernmental researchers identified a number of factors that could influence the economic impact of port security and a terrorist attack in the maritime domain. These factors include (1) the target of the attack, (2) the tactics employed and objectives of the attack, (3) the amount of destruction caused by the attack, and (4) the ability to recover from the disruption or loss of facilities resulting from the attack. As part of an effective risk management approach—a framework that can aid in determining which vulnerabilities should be addressed in ways within available resources-government officials and port stakeholders must determine which security measures to implement by considering their benefits and costs with these factors in mind. To address the risk posed by vessels seeking entry into the United States from foreign ports that do not maintain effective antiterrorism measures as determined through the country visits completed under the International Port Security Program, the U.S. Coast Guard is authorized to take certain actions such as boarding vessels or conducting inspections of vessels. These actions can result, for example, in costs to shippers and others because of time lost while the vessel is boarded or being inspected. From July 10, 2006, when the Coast Guard began data measurements of its activities with these vessels, to April 2007, only two vessels have been subjected to a boarding offshore by Coast Guard officials prior to gaining entry to a U.S. port solely because they arrived from a Caribbean Basin port facility deemed by the Coast Guard as not maintaining effective antiterrorism measures. To determine the potential costs of such boardings, we talked with a

variety of maritime stakeholders in the region, such as facility operators and a shipping association, who indicated that such boardings have not been a significant source of delay or financial loss for their businesses. Thus the economic impact on the maritime industry—of actions taken to date by the Coast Guard in response to security problems at Caribbean Ports—appears to be insignificant.

Agency Comments

We provided a draft of this report to the Department of Defense, the Department of Energy, the Department of Homeland Security and its component agencies (the Coast Guard, Customs and Border Protection, and Immigration and Customs Enforcement), the Department of State, and the U.S. Agency for International Development for their review and comment. We received technical comments from the Department of Defense and the Coast Guard, which we incorporated where appropriate. The Department of Homeland Security and the U.S. Agency for International Development formal written comments, which are presented in enclosures III and IV. These comments and the supplemental comments provided by the Coast Guard, Immigration and Customs Enforcement, and the Department of State are discussed below. The Department of Energy did not provide comments on the report.

In its comments, the Department of Homeland Security suggested that additional information be included on other Customs and Border Protection programs and initiatives under way in the region. CBP officials subsequently provided the following information on two of these programs:

- The Caribbean Corridor Initiative: Established in April 2005, this is a multi-agency initiative that targets drug trafficking in the Eastern and Central Caribbean areas. The mission of this operation is to identify, disrupt, and dismantle South American drug trafficking organizations that utilize the maritime domain to smuggle narcotics into and throughout the Caribbean. The agencies that are part of this initiative include Customs and Border Protection (CBP), Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE), the Drug Enforcement Administration, the Federal Bureau of Investigation, the U.S. Coast Guard Investigative Services (USCIS), and the U.S. Attorney for the District of Puerto Rico. Under this initiative, CBP has provided training to countries in the region on the use of cargo scanning technologies and identifying fraudulent documents.
- The Secure Freight Initiative: Formally initiated in December 2006, this initiative will fuse commercial data from the supply chain, information on the vessel and crew, information about cargo movement and integrity, and an integrated foreign and domestic radiography and radiation detection scan to create an enhanced risk assessment for the maritime domain.

Coast Guard officials commented that the individuals involved in the recent JFK International Airport terrorist plot were from Trinidad and Guyana and are believed to have been in contact with that the radical Islamic group Jamaat al Muslimeen, which has been active in Trinidad. Related to the security of domestic U.S. ports, waterways and coastal areas, Coast Guard officials also noted that operational activities conducted by the Coast Guard and its federal, state, and local partners are guided by a Coast Guard operations order called Operation Neptune Shield, which contains a classified set of requirements establishing the Coast Guard's homeland security activity levels with performance minimums that escalate as the maritime security (MARSEC) level increases. Operational activities covered by this order include patrols to provide deterrence and response, boardings of vessels, escorts of selected vessels during transits, deployment of antiterrorism and counterterrorism assets, and enforcement of security zones among other things.

ICE officials commented that the Caribbean Basin region continues to remain as a major center for drug trafficking, money laundering, and potential major staging area for terrorist operations. They stated that commercial vessels departing from Colombia, Venezuela, Panama, Haiti, and the Dominican Republic pose a direct threat to U.S. law enforcement assets assigned to the detection and monitoring of narcotics traffic and national security as well. ICE officials also stated that steps have been taken to monitor and detect high-risk shipments and containers coming from the region. In addition to these security concerns, ICE officials noted that the United States depends greatly on critical energy infrastructure located in the region and that any destabilization of this infrastructure could negatively affect the U.S. economy.

The Department of State stated in its comments that the threat by an explosive-laden vehicle to a cruise ship port facility or directly to a cruise ship should be a matter of great concern to U.S. government authorities and that U.S. citizens can be particularly vulnerable in this area. According to the State Department, such an attack can cause loss of life to American cruise line passengers and considerable economic impact on American cruise line and tourist industries in the United States. In addition, the State Department stated that compliance with the International Ship and Port Facility Security Code does not necessarily mean that a port is secure from a terrorist attack. The State Department noted that it and its contractors have witnessed open gates, poor screening of vehicles, and inadequate physical protections at ports with cruise line activity. The State Department stated that these deficiencies, coupled with poorly trained security personnel, can make cruise line terminals and cruise ships vulnerable to attack. According to the State Department, poor training of port security personnel is also an overarching security concern.

The U.S. Agency for International Development expressed agreement with the contents of this report.

We are sending copies of this report to the Secretaries of the Departments of Defense, Energy, Homeland Security, and State, as well as the Administrator of the U.S. Agency for International Development, and other interested congressional committees. We will also make copies available to others upon request. Additionally, this report will be available at no charge on GAO's Web site at http://www.gao.gov.

If you or your staff have any further questions about this report, please contact me at (202) 512-9610 or CaldwellS@gao.gov. Contact points for our Offices of Congressional Relations and Public Affairs may be found on the last page of this letter. Individuals making key contributions to this report include Richard Ascarate, Jonathan Bachman, Charles Bausell, Steven Calvo, Wayne Ekblad, Geoffrey Hamilton, Christopher Hatscher, Ryan Lambert, and Nicholas Larson.

Or Shlerd

Stephen L. Caldwell Director, Homeland Security and Justice Issues

List of Committees

The Honorable Robert C. Byrd Chairman The Honorable Thad Cochran Ranking Member Committee on Appropriations United States Senate

The Honorable Max Baucus Chairman The Honorable Charles Grassley Ranking Member Committee on Finance United States Senate

The Honorable Joseph I. Lieberman Chairman The Honorable Susan M. Collins Ranking Member Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs United States Senate

The Honorable Daniel K. Inouye Chairman The Honorable Ted Stevens Vice Chairman Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation United States Senate

The Honorable David R. Obey Chairman The Honorable Jerry Lewis Ranking Member Committee on Appropriations House of Representatives The Honorable Charles B. Rangel Chairman The Honorable Jim McCrery Ranking Member Committee on Ways and Means House of Representatives

The Honorable James L. Oberstar Chairman The Honorable John L. Mica Ranking Republican Member Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure House of Representatives

The Honorable Bennie G. Thompson Chairman The Honorable Peter T. King Ranking Member Committee on Homeland Security House of Representatives **Enclosure I: Briefing Slides**















SECURITY CONCERNS IN THE CARIBBEAN BASIN: Potential Threats and Types of Attacks

According to intelligence officials, there are currently no specific credible threats to port security for these facilities.

Our previously issued work in port security has revealed three types of general attack scenarios that are considered most likely in the port environment:

Suicide Attacks

• Attacks carried out using an explosive-laden boat or vehicle that is rammed into a vessel or facility.

Standoff Attacks

• A rocket, mortar, or rocket-propelled grenade is launched against a target from a sufficient distance to evade defensive fire.

Armed Assaults

• Attacks on vessels and infrastructure.

13

Objective 1



SECURITY CONCERNS IN THE CARIBBEAN BASIN: Additional Concerns for Security

In addition to the attack scenarios above, agency officials we interviewed identified other security concerns in the region:

Corruption and Organized Gang Activities

- Corruption and its threat to the rule of law is a concern in the region.
- Some nations have identified organized gang activity within their port infrastructure.
- Creation and sale of fraudulent documentation may be used to finance illicit activities.

Geography

- There are many isolated islands in the region in proximity to the United States, a fact that makes enforcement against illicit trafficking difficult.
- The many island nations in the Caribbean also present unique challenges for controlling access and smuggling from the waterside.

Vessel Cargo

• Soft-top containers and break-bulk cargo make it difficult to safeguard cargo.



SECURITY CONCERNS IN THE CARIBBEAN BASIN: Additional Concerns for Security (cont.)

Stowaways

• Principal sources of stowaways aboard vessels include the Dominican Republic and Haiti.

Drug Trafficking

- The Caribbean accounts for about 35 percent of illegal narcotics transported into the United States annually
- The region is often used as a transshipment point from South America. • Shifting trafficking patterns make enforcement a constant challenge.

Illegal Migration

- Traditional patterns are through the Florida Straits and the Bahamas as well as toward Puerto Rico and through Central America and Mexico.
- There are reports of increased use of third countries to carry out smuggling. For example, smuggling of Chinese and Middle-Easterners to the U.S. Virgin Islands and St. Martin has increased via countries neighboring the Caribbean Basin such as Suriname.

Islamic Radical Groups and Foreign Terrorist Organizations

- Islamic groups have a presence in some Caribbean Basin countries. For example, Trinidad and Tobago is home to the terrorist Muslim extremist group Jamaat-al Musilmeen.
- Other groups such as Hezbollah, have a presence in countries neighboring the Caribbean Basin, such as Venezuela and Colombia.

15

Objective 2



IMPLEMENTATION OF PORT SECURITY STANDARDS: International Port Security Standard

The international standards for security in the port and maritime environments are contained in the International Maritime Organization's (IMO) International Ship and Port Facility Security (ISPS) Code:

- Developed after the September 11, 2001, attacks
- Amended the 1974 Safety of Life at Sea (SOLAS) Convention
- Established measures to enhance the security of ships and port facilities and provide a standardized, consistent security framework
- Implemented by individual countries, which self-report to the IMO the facilities within the country that have an approved security plan
- Requirements are performance-based, so compliance can be achieved with a variety of ways or approaches



IMPLEMENTATION OF PORT SECURITY STANDARDS: International Ship and Port Facility Security Code

Using a risk management approach, the ISPS Code requires facilities to conduct an assessment to identify threats and vulnerabilities. The ISPS Code also suggests that an assessment be done of physical security, structural integrity, communication systems, and other areas within a port facility that might be a likely target.

On the basis of the assessment, the ISPS Code requires port facilities to develop security plans. For example, the ISPS Code requires port facility security plans to address, among other things:

- · measures designed to prevent unauthorized access to the port facility,
- · procedures for responding to security threats or breaches of security, and
- measures designed to ensure effective security of cargo and the cargohandling equipment at the port facility.

The ISPS Code also suggests that port facility security plans include, among other things:

- the capability to monitor the security of the facility, and
- details on the necessary communications systems to allow the effective continuous operation of the organization and its links with others.

17

(† A (

Objective 2





IMPLEMENTATION OF PORT SECURITY STANDARDS: Coast Guard Findings of Implementation

Findings of the International Port Security Program in the Caribbean Basin:

- Of the 14 countries for which final reports have been issued, 12 were found to have "substantially implemented the security code."
- The Coast Guard recently visited another Caribbean Basin country for which it had found that the country had not yet fully implemented the ISPS Code, and that country will be subject to a reassessment.
- At the facility level, the Coast Guard found several facilities needed to make improvements or take additional measures. To date, one facility in the Caribbean Basin has been designated as "not maintaining effective antiterrorism measures." According to Coast Guard officials, the deficiencies noted at this facility have been corrected.
- According to a International Port Security Program official, the level of ISPS Code compliance in the Caribbean Basin varies widely among countries. Some countries have substantially implemented or even exceeded Code requirements, while other countries have not substantially implemented the ISPS Code. Generally, the region as a whole has not implemented the ISPS Code as much as in Europe, but is further along than Sub-Saharan Africa, according to a Coast Guard official.

19

Objective 2



IMPLEMENTATION OF PORT SECURITY STANDARDS: GAO Observations of Implementation

In general, the facilities we visited in five countries had security measures in place designed to carry out provisions of the ISPS Code such as:

- Perimeter fencing and access controls
- Closed circuit television to monitor areas and discourage corruption
- Radios and cell phones for security personnel
- Land and waterside patrols to monitor restricted areas









IMPLEMENTATION OF PORT SECURITY STANDARDS: GAO Observations of Areas of Concern

While we observed that actions were taken to implement the ISPS Code, we also observed areas of concern at some facilities:

- Access controls—at one facility, a guard came to the guard station entrance only when signaled by our escort, and fences were collapsed in some places and had holes in others.
- Security plan exercises—although ISPS Code guidance suggests conducting an exercise at least once each calendar year, one facility had just begun planning its first exercise since the implementation of the ISPS Code in July 2004.
- Security records—some facilities had not always kept updated records as required to show security incidents, exercises and drills, or training.

21

Objective 2



• Varying implementation of security measures—Caribbean stakeholders expressed concerns about wide variation in how security measures are implemented between ports in the region.



U.S. ACTIVITIES TO ENHANCE PORT SECURITY: Several Federal Agencies Are Involved

Department of Homeland Security (DHS):

 Coast Guard, Customs and Border Protection (CBP), and Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE)

Department of Defense (DOD):

Southern Command (SOUTHCOM)

Department of State:

• Bureau for International Narcotics and Law Enforcement (INL)

U.S. Agency for International Development (USAID):

The Maritime Security Alliance for Haiti

Department of Energy (DOE):

National Nuclear Security Administration (NNSA)

23

GAO

Objective 3

U.S. ACTIVITIES TO ENHANCE PORT SECURITY: Coordination and Cooperative Security Efforts

There are many activities and programs U.S. federal agencies are carrying out to address security concerns within the Caribbean Basin. The Department of State, through its embassies, is the lead coordinator of the activities U.S. federal agencies are involved in within the respective countries. GAO did not review as part of this work the effectiveness of the coordination between these agencies.

The embassies also coordinate among U.S. agencies and countries for cooperative efforts. Examples of some of the cooperative activities U.S. agencies are carrying out with countries in the region include:

Conferences and Training

 In the Dominican Republic, the Coast Guard and SOUTHCOM have worked with the national government to provide conferences on port security.







U.S. ACTIVITIES TO ENHANCE PORT SECURITY: Operation Plan Vigilant Sentry

Background:

- A multi-agency contingency plan, developed in 2003, to address a mass migration event from Cuba, Haiti, or other Caribbean nation.
- Dozens of federal, state, and local agencies would be involved, including USCG, DOD, CBP, ICE, and regional partners, among others.
- Migrants from Haiti, the Dominican Republic, and Cuba made up 89 percent of the total migrant interdictions in 2006.



29

Objective 3



U.S. ACTIVITIES TO ENHANCE PORT SECURITY: Operation Plan Vigilant Sentry (cont.)

Plan Components

- At-sea rescue and interdiction operations in response to a migration event
- Deterrence and dissuasion of potential migrants •
- Land-based law enforcement operations
- Migrant processing, protection, and detention procedures

Roles and Responsibilities

- The Homeland Security Task Force-Southeast (HSTF-SE) is a standing group of multiple DHS representatives that, when fully activated, is responsible for providing the framework for coordinating a unified response to a mass migration event.
 The Director of the HSTF-SE has the authority to direct and reallocate all DHS personnel and assets within the HSTF-SE area of responsibility as necessary to address the key components of the plan.
 - The DHS Secretary is responsible for activating the plan, authorizing vessel movement restrictions, and changing parole and detention policies for migrants landing on U.S. soil.
 - The President may issue a determination that a mass migration event constitutes a threat to national security, thereby authorizing assistance and assets from DOD. Upon a governor's request, the President may also issue a declaration for emergency assistance under the Stafford Act.



U.S. ACTIVITIES TO ENHANCE PORT SECURITY: Operation Plan Vigilant Sentry (cont.)

Unified Support '07 Exercise Program

- To test the elements of the mass-migration plan, a series of exercises was conducted in 2006 and 2007, culminating in a full-scale exercise completed in March 2007.
 - Hundreds of response personnel from nearly 40 federal, state, county, and local agencies participated in the full-scale exercise.
- According to USCG officials, the exercise effectively served to familiarize the various agencies with their potential roles and clarified communication protocols.
- An updated plan, incorporating a number of revisions, is currently being reviewed and is scheduled for final approval by the DHS Secretary.

31

Objective 3



U.S. ACTIVITIES TO ENHANCE PORT SECURITY: Department of Defense– Enduring Friendship Program

Background:

- An initiative to achieve regional security cooperation and build maritime security capabilities for partnering with other nations to combat illicit trafficking and other activities.
- Contingent upon funding, assistance to partner nations will be targeted based upon illicit trafficking volume and level of political support.

Program Results:

- As of January 2007, capability assessments for all nations were completed according to DOD officials.
- Panama and the Dominican Republic are conducting training and are scheduled to receive communication equipment and interceptor boats, according to DOD officials.
- Intelligence seminars and training activities have also been conducted in Jamaica, Trinidad and Tobago, and Guyana.
- To fully fund the security requirements identified, DOD officials estimated a total program cost of approximately \$155 million until completed.



U.S. ACTIVITIES TO ENHANCE PORT SECURITY: Department of State

The U.S. Department of State works with Organization of American States (OAS) to coordinate and fund projects to improve maritime security in the Caribbean Basin:

- Within OAS, the Inter-American Committee Against Terrorism spent \$320,000 from INL (State Department) during fiscal year 2006. According to officials, this money, along with funding from other countries, is used to:
 - conduct crisis management tabletop exercises at port facilities,
 - · conduct port security assessments,
 - provide training and follow-up evaluations, and
 - make recommendations to host countries concerning the implementation of security measures.

Objective 3

U.S. ACTIVITIES TO ENHANCE PORT SECURITY: U.S. Agency for International Development

USAID administers assistance programs in the following Caribbean Basin countries: Colombia, the Dominican Republic, El Salvador, Guyana, Guatemala, Haiti, Honduras, Jamaica, Mexico, Nicaragua, and Panama. USAID also provides assistance to other small island nations.

- According to USAID officials, USAID provides assistance to strengthen competitiveness and expand investment, promote democracy and effective political institutions, and integrate disaster risk reduction into national policies and budgets. In addition to these efforts, USAID has a number of health, education, and environmental related assistance programs.
- To enhance port security in Haiti, USAID has contributed a total of \$2.35 million across fiscal years 2004-2006 toward the Maritime Security Alliance for Haitian Ports (MSAH). Caribbean Central American Action, the coordinating entity for MSAH, created a public-private sector coalition, to help ensure that Haiti become fully compliant with all of the ISPS Code requirements.

34

33

GAO



U.S. ACTIVITIES TO ENHANCE PORT SECURITY: Customs and Border Protection

Training to Country Officials

According to CBP officials, CBP has provided training assistance to countries such as Grenada, Jamaica, the Dominican Republic, and St. Lucia in areas such as seaport border enforcement, border control best practices, and examination of fraudulent documents.

Security of Container Cargo

Through its Container Security Initiative (CSI), CBP places staff at foreign seaports to screen containers for weapons of mass destruction.

- Nonintrusive inspection and radiation detection technology are used to screen high-risk containers before they are shipped to U.S. ports.
- CSI is operational in seaports located in Honduras, Jamaica, the Dominican Republic, and the Bahamas.

35

GAO

Objective 3

U.S. ACTIVITIES TO ENHANCE PORT SECURITY: Department of Energy

Megaports Initiative -- National Nuclear Security Administration

- A DOE program to install radiation detection equipment for containers at foreign seaports.
- The equipment is used by foreign personnel at seaports to screen containers for nuclear and radiological material.
- Operational in the Bahamas with agreements reached to eventually include ports in Colombia, the Dominican Republic, Honduras, Jamaica, and Panama.









Enclosure II: Objectives, Scope, and Methodology

The objectives of this review were to identify and describe (1) the threats and security concerns in the Caribbean Basin related to port security, (2) the actions that foreign governments and local stakeholders have taken in the Caribbean Basin to implement international port security code requirements and the challenges that remain, (3) the activities under way by U.S. government agencies to enhance port security in the Caribbean Basin, and (4) the potential economic impacts of port security and terrorist attacks in the Caribbean Basin.

To determine the threats and security concerns in the Caribbean Basin related to port security, we interviewed officials from federal agencies, international organizations and associations, and various stakeholders (such as facility operators and government officials of Caribbean countries) involved in port security in the region. The federal agencies we met with included the Coast Guard, Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE), the Department of Defense's Southern Command (SOUTHCOM), the Department of State (State), and the U.S. Agency for International Development (USAID). We also received agency briefings on regional maritime security issues and reviewed Coast Guard reports on the implementation of the International Ship and Port Facility Security (ISPS) Code⁵ by various Caribbean nations. Finally, we met with officials from international organizations and associations that are active in the region—such as the Organization of American States (OAS), the Caribbean Shipping Association (CSA), and Caribbean-Central American Action (C-CAA)—to obtain information and viewpoints on the state of port security in the region and the actions taken to implement international requirements for maritime security.

To determine the actions taken by Caribbean Basin countries to implement international port security requirements and the challenges they face, we used the relevant data and information provided by the agencies and organizations detailed above. We supplemented this information by conducting site visits to facilities in five Caribbean Basin countries. The selection of the countries and facilities for these visits was designed to provide diversity with respect to location, type of facility, cargo handled, and proficiency of implementation of the ISPS Code based on Coast Guard observations. The specific facilities visited included a cross section of port facility types, including bulk cargo, container, petroleum and natural gas, and cruise ship-ferry terminals. While in these countries we met with facility, private sector, and governmental officials to discuss and observe the security measures implemented. During these visits, we also discussed the challenges faced in attempting to further enhance maritime security in their countries and the assistance that they felt was needed to do so. In addition to these visits, we accompanied the Coast Guard on its official country visit to one of the countries in the Caribbean Basin to observe the maritime security measures implemented at that country's port facilities.

⁵Developed after the attacks of September 11, 2001, the ISPS Code is the international standard for security in port and maritime environments. The ISPS Code amended the 1974 Safety of Life at Sea (SOLAS) Convention and established measures to enhance the security of ships and port facilities and provided a standardized, consistent security framework.

To determine the activities under way by U.S. government agencies to enhance port security in the Caribbean Basin, we met with officials and reviewed documents from the Coast Guard, CBP, the Department of Energy (DOE), SOUTHCOM, State, and USAID. During interviews with some of these officials, we also discussed and obtained updated information on the programs and plans in place to respond to issues such as mass illegal migration.

To determine the potential economic impacts of port security and terrorist attacks in the Caribbean Basin, during our visits to countries in the Caribbean we met with officials and reviewed documents from the Coast Guard, State, and USAID, the C-CAA, CSA, and OAS, and government officials and facility operators. We also reviewed analyses by a government agency and other nongovernmental researchers.

Because the mandate gave us a limited time frame within which to complete our work, this report is descriptive in nature and does not provide a detailed analysis of the actions taken or efforts made regarding port security in the Caribbean Basin. For example, while these briefing slides describe the activities of U.S. agencies in the Caribbean Basin, we did not perform a detailed assessment the effectiveness of these efforts or the extent to which interagency coordination was being accomplished. Additionally, we were able to visit the port facilities in only a few countries. Thus, the results of these visits may not necessarily represent the condition of the ports within the entire Caribbean Basin. Finally, with a few exceptions, we have not had the opportunity to observe the implementation of the ISPS Code at ports in other parts of the world and are therefore unable to compare how it is being implemented in the Caribbean Basin to how it is being implemented in other regions of the world.

We conducted our work from October 2006 to June 2007 in accordance with generally accepted government auditing standards.

U.S. Department of Homeland Security Washington, DC 20528 US GAO Homeland 2007 JUN 12 PM 1:16 Security June 11, 2007 Mr. Stephen L. Caldwell Director Homeland Security and Justice Issues U.S. Government Accountability Office 441 G Street, NW Washington, DC 20548 Dear Mr. Caldwell: Thank you for the opportunity to review and comment on the Government Accountability Office's (GAO's) draft report GAO-07-804R entitled Information on Port Security in the Caribbean Basin. Technical comments have been provided under separate cover. GAO found that intelligence sources report that no specific, credible terrorist threats to maritime security exist in the Caribbean Basin. However, the officials GAO spoke to identified several concerns in the region related to port security, such as corruption, the rise of radical Islamic groups, drug trafficking, and illegal migration, among others. GAO states in its report that because the mandate gave GAO a limited time frame within which to complete its work, this report is descriptive in nature and does not provide a detailed analysis of the actions taken or efforts made regarding port security in the Caribbean Basin. However, we recommend that GAO consider including in its report some additional information regarding Customs and Border Protection (CBP) programs that describe CBP's traditional and current role in this area. The Department recommends that GAO explain in greater detail and update information for some of CBP's programs that will have a dramatic impact on port security in the Caribbean Basin, including the Container Security Initiative, the Secure Freight Initiative, the Regional Carrier Liaison Group, and the Business Anti Smuggling Coalition. We also recommend that the report discuss the Caribbean Corridor Initiative, a multi-agency initiative established to target drug trafficking in the Eastern and Central Caribbean areas. If the intent of the report is to capture activities sponsored by the U.S. Government that have contributed, directly or indirectly, to enhance port security and have a secure and safe supply chain, we recommend that these programs be included in the report. www.dhs.gov

Enclosure III: Comments from the Department of Homeland Security

Thank you again for the opportunity to comment on this draft report and we look forward to working with you on future homeland security issues. Sincerely, Steven J. Pecinovsky Director Departmental GAO/OIG Liaison Office 2

Enclosure IV: Comments from the U.S. Agency for International Development



Related GAO Products:

Maritime Security: Observations on Selected Aspects of the SAFE Port Act. GAO-07-754T. Washington, D.C.: April 26, 2007.

Transportation Security: TSA Has Made Progress in Implementing the Transportation Worker Identification Credentialing Program, but Challenges Remain. GAO-07-681T. Washington, D.C.: April 12, 2007.

Customs Revenue: Customs and Border Protection Needs to Improve Workforce Planning and Accountability. GAO-07-529. Washington, D.C.: April 12, 2007.

Port Risk Management: Additional Federal Guidance Would Aid Ports in Disaster Planning and Recovery. GAO-07-412. Washington, D.C.: March 28, 2007.

Transportation Security: DHS Should Address Key Challenges before Implementing the Transportation Worker Identification Credential Program. GAO-06-982. Washington, D.C.: September 29, 2006.

Maritime Security: Information-Sharing Efforts Are Improving. GAO-06-933T. Washington, D.C.: July 10, 2006.

Cargo Container Inspections: Preliminary Observations on the Status of Efforts to Improve the Automated Targeting System. GAO-06-591T. Washington, D.C.: March 30, 2006.

Combating Nuclear Smuggling: Efforts to Deploy Radiation Detection Equipment in the United States and in Other Countries. GAO-05-840T. Washington, D.C.: June 21, 2005.

Container Security: A Flexible Staffing Model and Minimum Equipment Requirements Would Improve Overseas Targeting and Inspection Efforts. GAO-05-557. Washington, D.C.: April 26, 2005.

Homeland Security: Key Cargo Security Programs Can Be Improved. GAO-05-466T. Washington, D.C.: May 26, 2005.

Maritime Security: Enhancements Made, but Implementation and Sustainability Remain Key Challenges. GAO-05-448T. Washington, D.C.: May 17, 2005.

Cargo Security: Partnership Program Grants Importers Reduced Scrutiny with Limited Assurance of Improved Security. GAO-05-404. Washington, D.C.: March 11, 2005.

Maritime Security: New Structures Have Improved Information Sharing, but Security Clearance Processing Requires Further Attention. GAO-05-394. Washington, D.C.: April 15, 2005.

Preventing Nuclear Smuggling: DOE Has Made Limited Progress in Installing Radiation Detection Equipment at Highest Priority Foreign Seaports. GAO-05-375. Washington, D.C.: March 30, 2005. Protection of Chemical and Water Infrastructure: Federal Requirements, Actions of Selected Facilities, and Remaining Challenges. GAO-05-327. Washington, D.C.: March 2005

Homeland Security: Process for Reporting Lessons Learned from Seaport Exercises Needs Further Attention. GAO-05-170. Washington, D.C.: January 14, 2005.

Port Security: Better Planning Needed to Develop and Operate Maritime Worker Identification Program. GAO-05-106. Washington, D.C.: December 2004.

Maritime Security: Substantial Work Remains to Translate New Planning Requirements into *Effective Port Security*. GAO-04-838. Washington, D.C.: June 2004.

Container Security: Expansion of Key Customs Programs Will Require Greater Attention to Critical Success Factors. GAO-03-770. Washington, D.C.: July 25, 2003.

(440578)

This is a work of the U.S. government and is not subject to copyright protection in the United States. It may be reproduced and distributed in its entirety without further permission from GAO. However, because this work may contain copyrighted images or other material, permission from the copyright holder may be necessary if you wish to reproduce this material separately.

GAO's Mission	The Government Accountability Office, the audit, evaluation and investigative arm of Congress, exists to support Congress in meeting its constitutional responsibilities and to help improve the performance and accountability of the federal government for the American people. GAO examines the use of public funds; evaluates federal programs and policies; and provides analyses, recommendations, and other assistance to help Congress make informed oversight, policy, and funding decisions. GAO's commitment to good government is reflected in its core values of accountability, integrity, and reliability.
Obtaining Copies of GAO Reports and Testimony	The fastest and easiest way to obtain copies of GAO documents at no cost is through GAO's Web site (www.gao.gov). Each weekday, GAO posts newly released reports, testimony, and correspondence on its Web site. To have GAO e-mail you a list of newly posted products every afternoon, go to www.gao.gov and select "Subscribe to Updates."
Order by Mail or Phone	The first copy of each printed report is free. Additional copies are \$2 each. A check or money order should be made out to the Superintendent of Documents. GAO also accepts VISA and Mastercard. Orders for 100 or more copies mailed to a single address are discounted 25 percent. Orders should be sent to:
	U.S. Government Accountability Office 441 G Street NW, Room LM Washington, D.C. 20548
	To order by Phone: Voice: (202) 512-6000 TDD: (202) 512-2537 Fax: (202) 512-6061
To Report Fraud,	Contact:
Waste, and Abuse in Federal Programs	Web site: www.gao.gov/fraudnet/fraudnet.htm E-mail: fraudnet@gao.gov Automated answering system: (800) 424-5454 or (202) 512-7470
Congressional Relations	Gloria Jarmon, Managing Director, JarmonG@gao.gov (202) 512-4400 U.S. Government Accountability Office, 441 G Street NW, Room 7125 Washington, D.C. 20548
Public Affairs	Paul Anderson, Managing Director, AndersonP1@gao.gov (202) 512-4800 U.S. Government Accountability Office, 441 G Street NW, Room 7149 Washington, D.C. 20548