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Why GAO Did This Study
Medicare Part D coverage is provided through plan sponsors that contract with the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS). As of April 2008, about 26 million beneficiaries were enrolled in Part D. When beneficiaries encounter problems with Part D, they can either file a complaint with CMS or a grievance with their plan sponsors. CMS centrally tracks complaints data and plan sponsors must report summary data on grievances for each of their contracts. GAO provided information on (1) complaints and what they indicate about beneficiaries’ experiences with Part D, (2) whether grievances data provide additional insight about beneficiaries’ experiences, and (3) CMS’s oversight of the complaints and grievances processes. To conduct its work, GAO reviewed CMS’s complaints and grievances data and interviewed the plan sponsors of eight, judgmentally selected contracts, which accounted for 40 percent of 2006 enrollment.

What GAO Found
While the number of complaints filed with CMS and the time needed to resolve them has diminished as the Part D program has matured, complaints data indicate that ongoing challenges pose problems for some beneficiaries. From May 1, 2006, through October 31, 2007, about 630,000 complaints were filed; most complaints were related to problems in processing beneficiaries’ enrollment and disenrollment requests. The monthly complaint rate declined by 74 percent over the period, and the average time needed to resolve complaints decreased from a peak of 33 days to 9 days. However, trends in the complaints data also indicate ongoing implementation issues, such as information-processing issues related to beneficiaries’ requests for enrollment changes and automatic premium withholds from Social Security payments. In addition, CMS and plan sponsors did not resolve a significant proportion of complaints related to beneficiaries at risk of depleting their medications in accordance with applicable time frames.

Due to limitations and anomalies, the grievances data that plan sponsors reported for their contracts did not provide sufficient insight into beneficiaries’ experiences with Part D. Specifically, these data did not include information about whether beneficiaries who filed grievances were at risk of depleting their medications or whether plan sponsors were resolving grievances in a timely manner. In addition, GAO identified a number of anomalies in the grievances data, raising questions about whether plan sponsors were reporting these data consistently and accurately. For example, reported grievances were concentrated in a small number of plan sponsors’ contracts and at a rate that was significantly disproportionate to their respective enrollment levels; varied considerably among contracts with similar levels of enrollment; and increased from 2006 to 2007, in contrast to patterns in complaints data.

CMS’s oversight efforts thus far have focused almost exclusively on resolving complaints with little attention devoted to plan sponsors’ grievances processes. CMS routinely monitors the status of complaints and has taken actions against plan sponsors who failed to comply with requirements for the complaints process. In contrast, CMS oversight of plan sponsor grievances processes has been more limited. CMS provided plan sponsors with general guidance for classifying grievances and periodically reviewed these data. However, several plan sponsors indicated that the guidance was insufficient, increasing the likelihood that plan sponsors report erroneous and inconsistent information to CMS and that they rely on the wrong processes to address beneficiaries’ concerns. Further, CMS could not explain many of the anomalies in the grievances data that GAO identified.

What GAO Recommends
GAO recommends that CMS undertake efforts to improve the consistency, reliability, and usefulness of grievances data. Such efforts include enhancing existing guidance, requiring plan sponsors to report additional information, and conducting systematic oversight of these data. The agency concurred with the recommendation and highlighted steps it has implemented or will consider to improve the quality of grievances data.

To view the full product, including the scope and methodology, click on GAO-08-719. For more information, contact Kathleen M. King at (202) 512-7114 or kingk@gao.gov.