

<u>United States General Accounting Office</u> Fact Sheet for the Honorable Brock Adams, U.S. Senate

December 1990

U.S. EMBASSY, MOSCOW

Alternatives for Reconstruction and Their Costs





RELEASED RESTRICTED——Not to be released outside the General Accounting Office unless specifically approved by the Office of Congressional Relations.

Li

9 - UNI-1979 UN DE MERNERALISE OF BOMBER - PERSON AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AN		 	

j

1

-

.

.

1

GAO	United States General Accounting Office Washington, D.C. 20548			
	National Security and International Affairs Division			
	B-241619			
	December 28, 1990			
	The Honorable Brock Adams United States Senate			
	Dear Senator Adams:			
	As you requested, we reviewed the basis for the State Department's pro- posal to demolish the unfinished U.S. embassy office building in Moscow and build a new one. Specifically, we examined (1) the basis for the cur- rent cost estimate of \$270 million for demolition and reconstruction and (2) the alternatives considered by the State Department for a new embassy in Moscow and their costs. This fact sheet is the unclassified version of a classified fact sheet on the same subject.			
Background	Based on several agreements with the Soviet Union, the United States began constructing a new eight-story embassy building in 1979. In August 1985, the United States locked the Soviet construction workers out of the site because of security concerns. Subsequent inspection of the building indicated that it was permeated with Soviet eavesdropping devices. The building is approximately 65 percent complete as it stands today.			
	Since 1987, the State Department has considered several options for completing the embassy project. In late 1989, the administration pro- posed to demolish the current structure, with the exception of the foun- dation and basement, and build a new eight-story building on the current site. The State Department requested \$270 million in its fiscal year 1991 budget submission for this purpose. ¹			
Results in Brief	The State Department's \$270 million cost estimate is based on the esti- mated cost of reconstruction from a security engineering study prepared by the BDM/M.K. Ferguson companies and on the State Department's estimates of special security requirements and administrative costs. Security-related items account for the majority of the \$270 million. The State Department considers Moscow to be one of the most technologi- cally hostile intelligence-gathering locations, requiring the highest level of security.			

.

ñ,

¹The Congress did not fund the project for fiscal year 1991. The State Department plans to request funding again for fiscal year 1992.

Ä

The current \$270 million cost estimate to demolish and rebuild the office building may be understated because (1) an actual building design has not been completed, (2) the milestones for completing design work and reaching a construction agreement with the Soviet government have been exceeded, and (3) the projected inflation rates used for the \$270 million estimate are lower than current projected rates. We estimate that the revised inflation forecasts and schedule delays have increased reconstruction costs by about \$13 million.²

Three other options, based on engineering concepts and ranging in estimated costs from \$222 million to \$336 million, were also considered by the State Department. These included (1) tearing the building down to the fourth floor and reconstructing on the present site, (2) constructing a new office building on a different site and converting the current structure into apartments, and (3) constructing a secure eight-story annex on a different site and completing the current structure for nonsecure purposes. These options were not favored by the State Department because they did not fully meet security needs, were too costly, or would take too long to complete. The cost estimates for these options may also have been understated for the same reasons as stated for the selected option. Although the State Department would prefer to proceed with its proposal to demolish the unfinished building with the exception of the foundation and the basement and construct on the same location, State has been directed by Congress to conduct further design work on two of the four options.

Regardless of which option is eventually funded, the construction of a new embassy office building is linked to U.S. efforts to negotiate new agreements with the Soviet Union for facility and land use in Moscow. Current negotiations involve construction agreements for the new office building and other land and buildings in adjacent areas. State officials noted that they believe an agreement covering construction of the new building on its current site could be negotiated separately from the other property issues. However, another agreement covering a number of properties and issues would be necessary before work on the two offsite options could proceed. Further delays in concluding either agreement might affect the cost and schedule in completing a new building. In addition, State has not prepared cost estimates on the additional property and facility needs for the remaining Moscow complex.

²In current dollars.

Scope and Methodology	We interviewed officials and reviewed records at the Department of State and BDM Corporation. We also reviewed (1) cost data and assess- ments of construction alternatives prepared by BDM/M.K. Ferguson companies and other independent consultants and (2) studies on the structural integrity of the current structure by the National Bureau of Standards and engineering firms. We did not visit the unfinished U.S. embassy building or the new embassy compound in Moscow. Also, we did not independently evaluate security requirements for the embassy site. Our review was conducted from April through September 1990 in accordance with generally accepted government auditing standards. As requested, we did not obtain written agency comments. However, we discussed the information in this fact sheet with responsible State Department officials and have included their comments as appropriate.			
	Unless you publicly announce the contents of this fact sheet earlier, we plan no further distribution until 30 days from the date of this fact sheet. At that time, we will send copies to the Secretary of State, appro- priate congressional committees and members of the Congress, and other interested parties. Copies will also be made available to others on request.			
	The information for this fact sheet was developed by Jess T. Ford, Assistant Director; Elena L. Boshier, Evaluator-in-Charge; and Beth A. Hoffman, Evaluator, Security and International Relations Issues. Please call me on (202) 275-4128 if you or your staff have any questions.			
	Sincerely yours, Jacob E. Kelley Joseph E. Kelley Director, Security and International Relations Issues			

÷

•

1

· •

v

Ordering Information

The first five copies of each GAO report are free. Additional copies are \$2 each. Orders should be sent to the following address, accompanied by a check or money order made out to the Superintendent of Documents, when necessary. Orders for 100 or more copies to be mailed to a single address are discounted 25 percent.

U.S. General Accounting Office P. O. Box 6015 Gaithersburg, MD 20877

Orders may also be placed by calling (202) 275-6241.

United States General Accounting Office Washington, D.C. 20548

Official Business Penalty for Private Use \$300 First-Class Mail Postage & Fees Paid GAO Permit No. G100