General Accounting Office

DOD Functions Contracted Out Under OMB Circular A-76: Contract Cost Increases And The Effects On Federal Employees

In accordance with OMB Circular A-76, DOD performs cost comparisons and contracts out commercial functions when the comparisons show that contracting is more economical than in-house performance.

GAO reviewed a judgmental sample of 20 functions that were contracted out between October 1, 1978, and February 28, 1981. All but one of the functions had subsequent contract cost increases but savings were still realized on 17 of the functions. Savings were not realized on two functions and GAO could not determine whether savings were realized on one function.

GAO also reviewed the effects of contracting on government employees in a random sample of 31 functions converted to contract during fiscal year 1983. GAO found that of 2,535 employees affected, 1,881 (74 percent) obtained other government positions. Most of the remaining employees resigned, retired, or obtained employment with contractors. Only 129 (5 percent) were involuntarily separated.



GAO/NSIAD-85-49 APRIL 15, 1985

531794 /126762

Request for copies of GAO reports should be sent to:

U.S. General Accounting Office Document Handling and Information Services Facility P.O. Box 6015 Gaithersburg, Md. 20877

Telephone (202) 275-6241

The first five copies of individual reports are free of charge. Additional copies of bound audit reports are \$3.25 each. Additional copies of unbound report (i.e., letter reports) and most other publications are \$1.00 each. There will be a 25% discount on all orders for 100 or more copies mailed to a single address. Sales orders must be prepaid on a cash, check, or money order basis. Check should be made out to the "Superintendent of Documents".



UNITED STATES GENERAL ACCOUNTING OFFICE WASHINGTON, D.C. 20548

NATIONAL SECURITY AND INTERNATIONAL AFFAIRS DIVISION

B-217331

The Honorable Robert A. Borski House of Representatives

The Honorable Lawrence Coughlin House of Representatives

The Honorable Robert W. Edgar House of Representatives

The Honorable Thomas Foglietta House of Representatives

The Honorable William H. Gray III House of Representatives

The Honorable Peter H. Kostmayer House of Representatives

In your letter of August 1, 1983, you expressed concern regarding the long-range cost to the government and the effects on government employees by contracting out commercial functions under Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular A-76. You were concerned that contractors tend to increase contract costs in the years following conversion to contracting, resulting in higher costs to the government. You were also concerned that substantial hidden costs, such as unemployment or welfare payments to displaced workers, might be involved in contracting out. You requested that we review these matters for functions contracted out by the Department of Defense (DOD).

To review contract cost increases, we selected a judgmental sample of 20 DOD functions that were converted to contractor performance between October 1, 1978, and February 28, 1981. To review the effects of contracting on employees, we selected a random sample of 31 functions that were converted to contract during fiscal year 1983. (See appendix I for a more detailed discussion of our objectives, scope, and methodology.)

FINDINGS AND CONCLUSIONS

Our review of costs for the 20 functions showed that:

--All but one of the functions had contract cost increases, but savings were still realized on 17 of the functions. Savings were not realized on two functions and we could not determine whether savings were realized on one function. --Contracts were recompeted on seven of the functions after the initial contractor failed to perform satisfactorily. However, on four of the seven functions, some savings were still realized.

Our review of what happened to 2,535 government employees affected by contracting out the 31 functions showed that:

- --1,881 employees, or 74 percent, obtained other government positions. Only 129, or 5 percent, were involuntarily separated. Of the remaining employees, 298 retired, 171 went to work for the contractors, 53 resigned, 1 was placed in a non-federal position, 1 was discharged during the probation period, and 1 died.
- --1,910 employees, or 75 percent, were male, 811, or 32 percent, were minorities, and 1,503, or 59 percent, were veterans. We were unable to determine the race/national origin of 89 employees and the veteran status of 7 employees.
- --Information was not readily available to determine whether unemployment or welfare costs were being incurred for involuntarily separated employees. However, we are sending a questionnaire to these employees to obtain this information and the results will be issued in a separate report.

Our findings are discussed in detail below. Appendix II summarizes our findings for each of the 20 functions reviewed for contract cost increases, appendix III shows a composite of affected permanent employees, and appendix IV summarizes our findings for each of the 31 functions we reviewed to determine the effects contracting out had on employees.

CONTRACT COST INCREASES

OMB Circular A-76 directs government agencies to rely on the private sector for its commercial products and services as long as it is more economical than performing the services in-house. With some exceptions, the circular requires a comparison of the cost of in-house versus contractor performance to determine who will do the work.

The cost comparisons for each of the 20 functions projected savings by contracting. Although contract costs increased after the functions were contracted out, savings were realized on 17 functions, were not realized on 2 functions, and we were unable to reach a conclusion on 1 function. It should be emphasized that these conclusions are based on the results of the cost comparisons and subsequent modifications to the contracts. We did not evaluate the cost comparisons or their underlying support.

Projected savings realized

Most, if not all, of the projected savings were realized on 5 of the 20 functions. Cost increases resulted primarily from additional work and authorized wage increases. Additional work was required of contractors for four of these five functions. For example, at the U.S. Naval Station, Mayport, Florida, the motor vehicle contract was increased to cover additional expenses for parts, material, and vehicle operations. The additional expenses were attributed to the naval station's growth after the contract was awarded. If the functions had been left in-house, workload and costs would likely have increased as well.

The Service Contract Act of 1965, as amended (41 U.S.C. 351 et seq.), requires federal contractors to pay their employees not less than the prevailing minimum wage, as determined by the Department of Labor, based on the type of work and the locale. Contractor bids and in-house cost estimates do not include costs for future wage increases. Consequently, when the prevailing minimum wage increases, contracts are modified to reimburse contractors for the increased wages. Pay increases to government employees would have occurred had the functions remained in-house; therefore, government costs would also have increased.

The calculation of projected savings would not necessarily be invalidated because the costs for additional work and wage increases would have been incurred whether the work was done in-house or by contracting. Additional work would have been required irrespective of whether the work remained in-house or was contracted out. Also, government employees would have received pay increases. Accordingly, our calculations assume that costs for additional work and wage increases would be approximately equal whether performed in-house or by contracting.

Reduced savings

For 12 of the 20 functions, savings were reduced because of contract errors or ambiguities and additional costs resulting from recompeting contracts. However, some savings were still realized.

Contract cost increases for 6 of these 12 functions were caused by contract errors or ambiguities. This resulted in projected savings being reduced, but some savings were still realized by contracting the functions. Contract errors or ambiguities generally resulted from inadequate statements of work which contractors used as a basis to develop their bids. In-house cost estimates were based on the work actually being performed. We found, for example, that the motor pool maintenance contract at Fort Monmouth, New Jersey, erroneously understated the number of vehicles that were being serviced in-house by government employees. Because the contract had to be modified to correct the number of vehicles involved, the cost increased. In cases where errors or ambiguities in contracts increased costs, costs would not have increased had the functions remained in-house because employees were already performing this work.

Contracts were recompeted in 6 of the 12 functions. Four of the six functions were recompeted because of poor performance by the initial contractors. Another of the functions was recompeted because of significant changes in the scope of the work to be performed and the sixth function was recompeted on an annual basis. Cost increases were experienced in each of the six functions by changing contractors, but savings were still realized.

Savings not realized

Savings were not realized on 2 of the 20 functions. The cost comparison for the Precision Measurement Equipment Laboratory at the Warner Robins Air Logistics Center, Georgia, showed estimated savings by contracting out to be \$656,878 over a 3-year period. The initial contractor operated the function for 2 years, but after experiencing performance problems, the Air Force awarded a contract to another company. Cost increases were \$1,778,258 more than the initial contractor's bid price for the 3-year period. The cost increases included a 2-month extension of the initial contract costing \$328,680 and a higher cost of \$661,584 for the second contract. The Air Force also paid the first contractor \$332,055 to settle a claim submitted by the contractor which charged that (1) the Air Force did not allow for an effective phase-in period, (2) facilities were not furnished in accordance with the contract, and (3) the contractor was required to accept an initial work backlog not specified in the contract. Tn addition to the increased contract cost, poor contractor performance and the transition to contracting, according to an Air Force official, increased the Air Force's in-house costs by about \$798,000 during the first 18 months of the initial contract. These increased in-house costs alone more than offset the anticipated savings of \$656,878 by contracting out.

At Eglin Air Force Base, Florida, the cost comparison for the photographic laboratory and audiovisual services library operation and maintenance function showed an estimated savings of \$68,559 over a 3-year period. However, the Air Force became aware of deficiencies in the contract's statement of work and decided not to extend the initial contract for the second and third year. Instead, the Air Force negotiated modifications for the contractor to continue work for the first 7 months of the second year and, after competitive bidding, awarded a new contract. Cost increases of \$301,321 were experienced over the 3-year contract period, most of which resulted from higher prices under the new contract. While some of the cost increases resulted from additional work and wage increases, savings were not realized by contracting this function.

Savings undeterminable

We were not able to determine whether or not savings were realized in the Fort Dix, New Jersey, laundry and dry cleaning function. The first contractor failed to perform satisfactorily and the function was temporarily returned in-house and then recompeted. The new contract was awarded at a higher cost. In addition, contractor claims against the government further increased costs. Although increased costs exceeded the \$947,011 savings anticipated by contracting out, we could not objectively determine how much of the increase was due to additional workload. When the new contractor assumed the work, linen service from two Veterans Administration hospitals were added to the Fort Dix contract. This workload was not included in the cost comparison.

Other studies dealing with contract cost increases

Other studies have shown that cost increases on contracts under OMB Circular A-76 do not result in greater costs to the government than would have been incurred had the functions remained in-house. In a previous study¹ we made of 18 conversions to contracting, we reported that where contract price increases occurred, they generally seemed to be justified. Price increases resulted from factors such as wage increases required by the Department of Labor and new work requirements. With the exception of one conversion to contract involving contractor performance problems, contract price increases did not exceed the estimated savings by contracting out.

DOD reviewed all 235 contracts it awarded under OMB Circular A-76 between October 1, 1980, and October 1, 1982.² DOD reported that although some contract costs increased, the cost of functions if they had remained in-house would have increased due to wage rate increases and mission changes. The March 1984 report pointed out that although the originally estimated savings had decreased

²Report to Congress on the Commercial Activities Program, Assistant Secretary of Defense (Manpower, Installations, and Logistics), dated March 12, 1984.

¹<u>Review of DOD Contracts Awarded Under OMB Circular A-76</u> (GAO/PLRD-81-58, dated August 26, 1981).

slightly, contractor performance of these 235 contracts still saved an estimated \$250 million through September 30, 1983.

EFFECTS OF CONTRACTING ON FEDERAL EMPLOYEES

OMB Circular A-76 requires agencies to exert maximum effort to find available positions for those employees displaced by contracting. Agencies are directed to give these employees priority consideration for available positions within the agency, to pay training and relocation costs when they will contribute to placement, and to coordinate with other agencies to assist employees in finding positions. Agencies also are required to assure that contractors give priority consideration to displaced employees for employment.

Our review of the 31 functions contracted out during fiscal year 1983 showed that most displaced employees obtained other federal positions. Of 2,535 government employees affected by contracting out, 1,881, or 74 percent, obtained other government jobs. Only 129, or 5 percent, were involuntarily separated.³ The following schedule shows what happened to employees affected by contracting out.

³The percentages of employees used in this section can be projected to the universe of functions converted to contracting in fiscal year 1983 with a confidence level of 95 percent and an error rate of plus or minus 12 percent. The numbers of employees, however, cannot be projected because of discrepancies between the number of employees on the listing used for our sample and the actual number of employees that were found at the activities reviewed. Based on our review, the percentages calculated from the actual number of employees found should remain stable.

B-217331

	Numbe		Total
Obtained government positions:			
At the same installation: In the same grade In a lower grade In a higher grade	618 896 19	1,533	
At another installation (no relocation): In the same grade In a lower grade In a higher grade	109 122 5	236	
At another installation (relocation): In the same grade In a lower grade In a higher grade	79 31 2	112	1,881
Retirement: Regular Early		182 116	298
Resigned Employed by contractor Involuntarily separated Placed in non-federal position Discharged during probation period Deceased			53 171 129 1 1 1
			2,535

DOD's report to the Congress on the commercial activities program dated March 12, 1984, showed results similar to these. That report showed that of 9,650 employees affected, 9,035, or 94 percent, were either placed in other government jobs or retired. Of the remaining 615, about half obtained employment with the contractors. Our results showed that 86 percent obtained other government jobs or retired and about half of the remaining employees went to work for the contractors.

Profile data on affected employees

Our review of the makeup of employees affected by contracting out showed that 75 percent of the employees were male, 32 percent were minorities, and 59 percent were veterans. The following schedule provides profile data on employees affected, those obtaining other government jobs, employees who went to work for the contractor, and employees who were involuntarily separated.

.

	aff	loyees ected	govern	ed other ment jobs	con	loyed by tractor	sep	untarily parated
	<u>No</u> .	Percent	No.	Percent	<u>No</u> .	Percent	<u>No</u> .	Percent
Gender: Male Female	1,910 625	75 25	1,437 444	76 _24	111 <u>60</u>	65 35	71 _58	55 _ 4 5
	2,535	100	1,881	100	171	100	129	100
Race/National Origin: White Minority Unknown	1,635 811 89	64 32 4	1,260 566 55	67 30 <u>3</u>	110 52 9	64 31 _5	53 71 5	41 55 4
	2,535	100	1,881	100	171	100	129	100
Veteran status: Veteran ^a Non-veteran Unknown	1,503 1,025 7	59 41 	1,124 752 5	60 40	84 87 	49 51	61 68	47 53
Total	2,535	100	1,881	100	17 1	100	129	100

^aThe veteran classification may also include unmarried widows or widowers of veterans, spouses of unemployable service-connected disabled veterans, and certain mothers of disabled or deceased veterans.

Appendix IV contains the data for each of the 31 functions.

Employees affected in functions other than those contracted

We found that employees affected were not limited to only those working in a function that was contracted. Employees working elsewhere can also be affected by conversions to contracting. For example, depending on seniority, veteran status, and qualification, an employee in a function to be contracted out can displace an employee in another function. Therefore, the total number of employees affected can exceed the number of employees in a function that is contracted out. Of the 2,535 permanent employees who were affected by contracting out the 31 functions, 2,114 had worked in the functions that were contracted out and 421 had worked in other functions.

Costs associated with displaced employees

The government incurs costs for severance pay, relocation, retraining, and retention of grade or pay when converting a function to contract. These costs, however, were to be considered in the cost comparisons conducted under OMB Circular A-76 and used in determining whether it was more economical to perform the work inhouse or to contract it out.

Employees may be entitled to severance pay if they have been employed continuously by the government for at least 12 months before separation and they are involuntarily separated from employment. The amount of severance pay is based on an employee's basic pay rate immediately before separation, age, and number of years of service.

Retention of grade or pay allows employees, with certain exceptions, who are placed in lower graded positions as a result of contracting out to retain their prior grade for 2 years and their prior pay indefinitely. The cost to the government is the difference between the employee's pay and the amount the government would normally pay an employee to fill the position. For example, a WG-5 could be placed in a WG-4 position and still retain the pay of a WG-5. Retention of grade or pay costs are difficult to determine. The costs depend on how long an employee remains in the lower graded position and also on the grade step of the employee that would normally fill the position.

Hidden costs of contracting out

Information on hidden costs, i.e, costs not considered in cost comparisons, such as unemployment or welfare payments to employees, was not readily available during our review. Therefore, we are sending questionnaires to all of the involuntarily separated employees to obtain this information. We are also B-217331

sending questionnaires to employees who went to work for the contractor to ascertain such information as comparability of contractor work, wages, and fringe benefits with their previous government employment. Because of the time necessary to obtain responses and analyze the data, we plan to issue a separate report to you on the results of these questionnaires.

- - - - -

As requested by your office, we did not obtain official agency comments on this report. However, our findings were discussed with officials at the installations who were responsible for the contracted functions and their comments were considered in preparing the report.

Unless you publicly announce its contents earlier, we plan no further distribution of this report until 5 days from the date of the report. At that time, we will send copies to the Secretaries of Defense, the Army, the Air Force, and the Navy; the Director, Office of Management and Budget; and the Administrator, Office of Federal Procurement Policy. We will also send copies to interested parties and make copies available to others upon request.

Frank C Conabou

Frank C. Conahan Director

<u>CONTENTS</u>

APPENDIX

I	Objectives, scope, and methodology	1
II	Functions reviewed for cost increases	4
III	Composite of affected permanent employees	40
IV	Functions reviewed for effects on permanent employees	41

Page

·

OBJECTIVES, SCOPE, AND METHODOLOGY

The objectives of our review were (1) to review contract costs to determine if cost increases had occurred which would result in greater costs to the government than if the function had continued to be performed in-house, (2) to determine the effects on government employees by contracting out, and (3) to identify hidden costs of contracting out, such as unemployment or welfare payments to displaced employees.

We obtained computerized listings of DOD conversions to contractor performance from officials in the Office of the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Manpower, Reserve Affairs, and Logistics). The listings covered 532 conversions from fiscal years 1979 through 1983. To review contract cost increases, we selected a judgmental sample of 20 functions that were converted to contractor performance between October 1, 1978, and February 28, 1981. To review the effects of contracting out on employees, we selected a random sample of 31 functions that had been converted to contracting during fiscal year 1983.

To identify and evaluate contract cost increases, we reviewed contract modifications for the conversions in our sample and discussed contract costs with DOD contracting and functional officials. We obtained summary statistical data from the cost comparisons that were used to justify conversions to contracting, but we did not evaluate the comparisons or their underlying support.

The question of whether or not projected savings were actually realized is difficult to answer with precision. While contract costs, including modifications, can be compared with the original contractors' bids, no in-house costs were incurred which could be compared with estimates for performing the function in-house. Our approach was to compare the contract costs, plus or minus costs for contract modifications, with the original contractors' bids used in the cost comparisons and to identify the reasons for any increases. If the increase was caused by a factor unique to contracting out or would not have had a similar effect on the cost of performance in-house, we examined the increase to determine if it exceeded the original estimate of savings. This provided a basis for our conclusions as to the realization of savings.

1

To determine the effects of contracting out on federal employees, we reviewed personnel records and interviewed representatives of civilian personnel offices. We also obtained data on the gender, race/national origin, and veteran status of the employees.

Our review, which was conducted from March through November 1984, was made in accordance with generally accepted government auditing standards.

Our samples of functions we reviewed for both contract cost increases and the effects on employees were drawn from the computerized listing of conversions in DOD. Because of the considerable time and effort involved, we did not verify the accuracy of the data in the listing prior to our sample selections.

SAMPLING RATIONALE FOR CONTRACT COST INCREASES

To review cost increases, we selected a judgmental sample of 20 functions that were converted to contracting between October 1, 1978, and February 28, 1981. During our review, we found that one function was actually converted to contracting in August 1981. A judgmental sample was used rather than a costlier random sample because we concluded that a review of 20 functions would be adequate to compare with the results of previous reports addressing this issue. We had previously reported on the issue of contract cost increases in August 1981. Also, DOD addressed cost increases in a March 1984 report following a review of all 235 functions it contracted out between October 1, 1980, and October 1, 1982.

DOD reported 213 conversions in the contiguous 48 United States during the sample period. Since cost comparisons conducted under OMB Circular A-76 normally cover a 3-year period, we selected functions that had been contracted out for at least 3 years to compare contract costs, including modifications, with the contract costs used in the cost comparisons. We chose a wide variety of types of functions, functions of varying sizes based on dollar amounts of contracts, included all military services, and obtained a wide geographic distribution of installations.

SAMPLING RATIONALE FOR EFFECTS ON EMPLOYEES

To review the effects of contracting out on employees, we selected a random sample of 31 functions which were converted during fiscal year 1983. DOD reported 140 conversions in the contiguous 48 United States during that time frame. We did not select functions converted prior to fiscal year 1983 because statistics on employees would not always be available for older conversions. In selecting functions, we eliminated 42 conversions with the fewest civilian positions reported. These were eliminated because they contained only about 4 percent of the reported civilian positions in the fiscal year 1983 universe. We selected for review the 15 conversions with the largest number of reported civilian positions (each with 80 or more positions). These conversions contained about 57 percent of reported civilian positions in the fiscal year 1983 universe. By doing this, we were able to maximize our sample of civilian positions affected without oversampling installations. To round out the sample size of 31 installations required for 95 percent confidence, we used simple random sampling to select 16 conversions from the remaining 83 conversions in the universe. These 16 functions contained about 7 percent of the total reported civilian positions. In total, we selected 31 conversions containing about 64 percent of reported civilian positions.

The percentages of employees used in the report (see p. 6) can be projected to the universe of functions converted to contracting in fiscal year 1983 with a confidence level of 95 percent and an error rate of plus or minus 12 percent. The numbers of employees, however, cannot be projected because of discrepancies between the number of employees on the listings used for our sample and the actual number of employees that we found at the activities reviewed. Based on our review, the percentages for gender, minorities, and veterans calculated from the actual number of employees found should remain stable. Since our sample was selected from fiscal year 1983 conversions, the results of our review cannot be projected outside the fiscal year 1983 time frame.

FUNCTIONS REVIEWED FOR COST INCREASES

Installation	Function	Page
Army		
Fort Dix, NJ	Laundry and dry cleaning	5
Fort Leavenworth, KS	Packing and crating	8
Fort Monmouth, NJ Fort Riley, KS	Motor vehicle maintenance Test examiner and test	10
Fore Rifey, RB	proctor services	12
St. Louis Area Support	-	
Center, IL	Installation support	13
Sharpe Army Depot, CA	Receipt, consolidation, and	
Tobyhanna Army Depot, PA	reshipment of supplies Custodial services	15 16
Tobynamia Army Depot, FR	custodiai services	10
Navy		
Naval Air Propulsion		
Center, Trenton, NJ	Custodial services	18
Naval Air Station, Lemoore, CA	Mess attendant services	20
U.S. Naval Station,	Motor vehicle operations	20
Mayport, FL	and maintenance	22
Marine Corps		
The Marine Corps Air		
Station, Beaufort, SC	Family housing maintenance	23
Air Force		
Eglin Air Force Base, FL	Photographic laboratory and	
	audiovisual services	
	library operation and	
Hill Air Force Base, UT	maintenance Medical facility	25
min An Porce Basey of	housekeeping services	27
Lowry Air Force Base, CO	Commissary shelf stocking	2,
-	and custodial services	28
Lowry Air Force Base, CO	Refuse collection	30
Mather Air Force Base, CA	Grounds maintenance	32
McClellan Air Force Base, CA	Precision measurement equipment laboratory	22
U.S. Air Force Academy, CO	Commissary shelf stocking	33
orbe hill force heademy, co	and custodial services	35
	Instrument flight	••
Vance Air Force Base, OK	-	37
	simulator operations	57
Vance Air Force Base, OK Warner Robins Air Logistics Center, GA	simulator operations Precision measurement equipment laboratory	38

Fort Dix, New Jersey, Laundry and Dry Cleaning Services

The comparison of in-house with contracting-out costs covered the 3-year period from September 29, 1980, to September 30, 1983. The initial contractor operated the function through December 31, 1981. Due to the contractor's poor performance, the function was returned in-house from January 1, 1982, to February 28, 1982. A second contractor assumed operations on March 1, 1982.

	9-29-80 to 9-28-81	9-29-81 to 9-30-82	10-1-82 to 9-30-83	Total
Estimated savings by contracting out	\$319,848	\$ 315,739	\$ 311,424	\$ 947,011
Contractor bid used in the cost comparison	\$ <u>734,707</u>	\$ 734,707	\$_734,707	\$ <u>2,204,121</u>
Contract costs	\$814 , 405	\$1,317,856	\$1,378,819	\$3,511,080
Cost of in-house operation	\$	\$	\$	\$_149,000
Total costs of operating the function	\$ <u>814,405</u>	\$ <u>1,466,856</u>	\$ <u>1,378,819</u>	\$ <u>3,660,080</u>
Cost increases	\$ 79 , 698	\$ 732 , 149	\$ 644,112	\$1,455,959

Cost increases resulted from the following:

Year 1	Contractor claim resulting from loss of business, quantity variations, and the Army's refusal to	
	allow a pre-bid inspection Reimbursement for work back- log caused by a slowdown of	\$75 , 000
	in-house personnel	4,000
	Additional work	698
	Total	\$79,698

Year 2	Contract extension from 9/29/81 to 12/31/81 was negotiated at a higher price than anticipated Cost of in-house operation from 1/1/82 to 2/28/82 was	\$153,344
	higher than anticipated Subsequent contractor's bid for 3/1/82 to 9/30/82 was	26,549
	higher than anticipated Settlement of contractor claim resulting from con- tract errors and workload	509 , 758
	discrepancies Government claim for loss of materials, equipment re-	63,485
	pairs, and deficiencies	(36,842)
	Additional work	14,158
	Parts and supplies	1,697
	Total	\$732 , 149
Year 3	Subsequent contractor's bid was higher than anticipated Settlement of contractor's claim resulting from	\$ 88,786
	contract errors and work- load discrepancies	64,594
	Loss of production due to power outage	126
	Additional work	490,606
	Total	\$644,112

As shown above, most cost increases resulted from contractor claims against the government, additional work, and a subsequent contractor assuming the function at a higher cost. Most cost increases resulting from claims against the government reduced the anticipated savings by contracting out. Additional work would have also been required if the function had remained in-house but we were informed that an in-house work force could have performed the additional work at a lower cost. At least a portion of the cost increases resulting from the subsequent contractor's higher bid could be attributable to additional work. For instance, when the subsequent contractor assumed work on March 1, 1982, linen service from two Veterans Administration hospitals was added to the Fort Dix contract. The Veterans Administration workload did not exist at the time of the cost comparison.

Although cost increases were higher than the anticipated savings by contracting out, we could not conclude that all anticipated savings were actually eliminated. As stated above, some of the cost increases would have taken place even if the function had remained in-house. We could not quantify this amount, however.

7

Fort Leavenworth, Kansas, Packing and Crating

The comparison of in-house with contracting-out costs for packing and crating covered the 33-month period from April 1, 1980 through December 31, 1982. In comparing in-house with contractor costs for the function, the Army used a 1-year contractor bid for packing, crating, and moving. The Army subtracted estimated moving costs from the bid and used the balance as a basis for estimating contract costs for only packing and crating for 33 months. A contract for packing, crating, and moving was awarded on April 14, 1980; subsequent contracts for packing, crating, and moving were competed and awarded for each calendar year since 1980.

We could not identify contract costs specifically attributed to the packing and crating function because contracts included moving as well. Moving costs were not separately identified in the contracts. Therefore, the following analysis includes moving even though moving was not a part of the initial cost comparison.

	4-1-80 to 12-31-80	1-1-81 to 12-31-81	1-1-82 to 12-31-82	Total
Estimated savings by contracting out				
(packing and crating only)	\$ 52 , 382	\$ 87 , 347	\$ 87,347	\$227 , 076
Estimate of contract costs in the cost comparison				
(includes moving)	\$240,904	\$321,206	\$321,206	\$883,316
Contract costs	\$ <u>227,521</u>	\$345,506	\$ <u>284,911</u>	\$ <u>857,938</u>
Cost increases (decreases)	(\$ 13,383)	\$ 24,300	(\$ 36,295)	(\$ 25,378)

Cost	inc	creases or decreases resulted fro	om the following:
Year	1	Contract started 1/2 month later than anticipated	(\$13,383)
Year	2	New contractor's prices were higher than estimated in the cost comparison	\$24,300
Year	3	New contractor's prices were higher than estimated in the cost comparison Requirements decreased	\$11,729 (<u>48,024</u>)
		Total	(\$36,295)

Although contractor prices were higher in the second and third years as compared to the estimated prices in the cost comparison, at least a portion of the increases was due to higher wages. Costs decreased in the third year due, in part, to decreased requirements; if the functions were performed in-house, costs would have also likely decreased. Savings were realized by contracting this function.

Fort Monmouth, New Jersey, Motor Vehicle Maintenance

The comparison of in-house and contracting-out costs covered the 3-year period from October 1, 1980, through September 30, 1983. The initial contractor operated the function for 2 years, but for fiscal year 1983 Fort Monmouth decided to combine 30 functions of installation support, including motor vehicle maintenance, under one contract.

	10-1-80 to 9-30-81	10-1-81 to 9-30-82	10-1-82 to 9-30-83	Total
Estimated savings by contracting out	\$158,551	\$173,043	\$195 , 806	\$ 527,400
Contractor bid including estimated material cos used in the cost	ts			
comparison	\$556 ,4 57	\$567 , 768	\$576 , 607	\$1,700,832
Contract costs	\$ <u>784,972</u>	\$858,003	unknown	unknown
Cost increases	\$228,515	\$290 , 235	unknown	unknown

We could not determine contract costs for fiscal year 1983 because the combined installation support contract did not allocate contract modification costs to specific functions, such as motor vehicle maintenance. However, motor vehicle maintenance costs included in the subsequent contractor's fiscal year 1983 bid, including estimated material costs, totaled \$488,182.

Cost increases for fiscal years 1981 and 1982 resulted from the following:

Year 1	Higher than anticipated materials and services Additional services to bring vehicle fleet up to an	\$156,968
	acceptable readiness level Additional work	40,000 1,000
	Contract errors	\$ <u>30,547</u>
	Total	\$228,515
Year 2	Higher than anticipated materials	
	and services	\$157,300
	Additional work	10,398
	Increased wages	53,477
	Contract errors	69,060
	Total	\$290,235

Cost increases resulting from increased materials and services and additional work would have been incurred even if the function had remained in-house. A contract error occurred when the Army understated its vehicle fleet. Cost increases resulting from this error had the effect of reducing anticipated savings of contracting out. However, some savings were realized by contracting this function.

Fort Riley, Kansas, Test Examiner and Test Proctor Services

The comparison of in-house with contracting-out costs covered the 3-year period from October 1, 1980, through September 30, 1983.

	10-1-80 to 9-30-81	10-1-81 to <u>9-30-82</u>	10-1-82 to 9-30-83	Total
Estimated savings by contracting out	(\$ 4,528)	\$ 3,354	\$ 2,355	\$ 1,181
Contractor bid used in the cost comparison	\$25,044	\$27,426	\$30,022	\$ 82,492
Contract costs	\$ <u>41,583</u>	\$47,057	\$ <u>56,252</u>	\$144,892
Cost increases	\$16,539	\$19 , 631	\$26,230	\$ 62,400

All cost increases were the result of additional work being required of the contractor. Workload increases would have likely occurred even if the function had remained in-house. Savings were realized by contracting this function.

.

St. Louis Area Support Center, Illinois, Installation Support

The comparison of in-house with contracting-out costs covered the 2-year period from October 1, 1980, through September 30, 1982. The government awarded a cost-plus-awardfee contract.

	10-1-80 to <u>9-30-81</u>	10-1-81 to <u>9-30-82</u>	Total
Estimated savings by contracting out	\$1,554,203	\$1,828,787	\$3,382,990
Estimate of contract costs in the cost comparison	\$ <u>3,335,444</u>	\$ <u>3,321,334</u>	\$ <u>6,656,778</u>
Contract costs	\$4,199,313	\$4,532,165	\$8,731,478
Supplemental government resources	\$6,300	\$	\$6,300
Cost of operating the function	\$ <u>4,205,613</u>	\$ <u>4,532,165</u>	\$ <u>8,737,778</u>
Cost increases	\$ 870,169	\$1,210,831	\$2,081,000

Cost increases resulted from the following:

Year	1	Award fees in excess of estimate Ambiguities or errors in the contract Cost overruns Supplemental government resources Additional work	\$ 12,773 656,944 35,293 6,300 <u>158,859</u>
		Total	\$870,169
Year	2	Award fees in excess of estimate Ambiguities or errors in the contract Cost overruns Unanticipated phase-out costs Additional work Wage increases	10,781 385,672 327,782 11,883 130,133 344,580

Total

\$1,210,831

Cost increases resulting from additional work and wage increases represent conditions that would have likely increased costs even if the function had remained in-house. The balance of the cost increases, \$711,310 in year 1 and \$736,118 in year 2, represents decreases in the savings the government initially anticipated when the function was transferred to contractor performance. However, savings were still realized by contracting out. .

Sharpe Army Depot, California, Receipt, Consolidation, and Reshipment of Supplies

The comparison of in-house with contracting-out costs covered a 3-year period with the contractor assuming the function on October 1, 1980. The first contractor operated the function for 2 years. The Army decided to recompete the function starting in year 3 because of substantial changes in the scope of work to be accomplished. A second contractor took over the function on October 1, 1982.

	10-1-80 to 9-30-81	10-1-81 to 9-30-82	10-1-82 to 9-30-83	Total
Estimated savings by contracting out	\$ 126,475	\$ 153,304	\$ 181,788	\$ 461,567
Contractor bid used in the cost comparison	\$1,411,470	\$1,411,470	\$1,411,470	\$4,234,410
Contract costs	\$ <u>1,411,470</u>	\$ <u>2,242,796</u>	\$3,336,724	\$6,990,990
Cost increases	\$ -	\$ 831,326	\$1,925,254	\$2,756,580

Cost increases resulted from the following:

Year 2	Wage increases Additional work	\$286,840 544,486
	Total	\$831,326
Year 3	Second contractor costs were higher than anticipated in the cost comparison	\$1,925,254

Wage increases and additional work increased costs in year 2. If the function had remained in-house, wage increases and a higher workload could have increased costs as well.

Army officials estimated that wage increases were responsible for about \$481,000 of the year 3 costs increase. It also appears that an increased scope of work was responsible for most of the balance of the increase in year 3. Accordingly, savings were realized by contracting this function.

Tobyhanna Army Depot, Pennsylvania, Custodial Services

The comparison of in-house and contracting-out costs covered a 3-year period from October 1, 1980, through September 30, 1983. The first contractor operated the function for 1 year but was replaced after failing to satisfactorily perform. The second contractor started work in October 1981 and defaulted in February 1982. The Army operated the function between February and June 1982 while the function was recompeted. A third contractor took over the function in July 1982.

	10-1-80 to 9-30-81	10-1-81 to 9-30-82	10-1-82 to 	Total
Estimated savings by contracting out	\$ <u>423,240</u>	\$ <u>453,862</u>	\$ <u>471,635</u>	\$ <u>1,348,737</u>
Contractor bid used in the cost comparison	\$ <u>256,212</u>	\$ <u>256,212</u>	\$ <u>270,175</u>	\$
Contract costs	\$283 , 780	\$214,219	\$519 , 169	\$1,017,168
Cost of in-house operation	n \$	\$ <u>213,745</u>	\$	\$ <u>213</u> ,745
Total costs of operating the function	\$ <u>283,780</u>	\$427,964	\$ <u>519,169</u>	\$ <u>1,230,913</u>
Cost increases	\$ 27,568	\$171 , 752	\$248,994	\$ 448,314

Cost increases resulted from the following:

Year 1	Additional work Contract errors	\$ 36,916 (<u>9,348</u>)
	Total	\$ 27,568

Year 2	Second contractor costs for 10-1-81 to 2-12-82 were lower than anticipated In-house operation for 2-13-82 to 6-30-82 were higher than anticipated Third contractor costs for 7-1-82 to	(\$ 4,928) 116,140
	9-30-82 were higher than anticipated Net workload decrease	60,822 (
	Total	\$171,752
Year 3	Third contractor costs were higher	
	than anticipated Additional work	\$248,875 119
		\$248,994

Nearly all of the cost increases resulted from subsequent contract awards and in-house supplemental performance at higher prices than the initial contractor bid used in the cost comparison. However, subsequent contracts contained additional work. We were unable to determine how much of the cost increase was solely attributable to higher prices. Despite the changes in contractors with resulting cost increases, savings were realized by contracting this function.

Naval Air Propulsion Center, Trenton, New Jersey, Custodial Services

The cost comparison covered a 3-year period from October 29, 1979, through October 28, 1982. The initial contractor was replaced on October 29, 1981, after failing to perform satisfactorily.

	10-29-79 to 10-28-80	10-29-80 to 10-28-81	10-29-81 to 10-28-82	Total
Estimated savings by contracting out	\$ <u>51,180</u>	\$ <u>84,452</u>	\$ <u>89,691</u>	\$ <u>225,323</u>
Contractor bid used in the cost comparison	\$105 , 187	\$105 , 187	\$105 , 187	\$315,561
Contract costs	\$122,521	\$ <u>135,405</u>	\$ <u>190,110</u>	\$ <u>448,036</u>
Cost increases	\$ 17,334	\$ 30,218	\$ 84,923	\$132,475

Cost increases resulted from the following:

Year 1	Additional work Contract errors	\$14,006 3,328
	Total	\$17,334
Year 2	Wage increases Additional work Deductions for poor performance	\$23,475 10,482 (<u>3,739</u>)
	Total	\$30,218
Year 3	Subsequent contract price was higher than anticipated Additional work	\$84,813 <u>110</u>
	Total	\$84,923

.

Most of the cost increases resulted from the subsequent contract being higher than that anticipated when the cost comparison was made. However, at least a portion of the increased cost was attributed to an increased scope of work in the subsequent contract. Savings were realized by contracting this function.

Naval Air Station, Lemoore, California, Mess Attendant Services

The comparison of in-house with contracting-out costs covered a 3-year period with a conversion to contractor performance on August 16, 1981.

	8-16-81 to 9-30-82	10-1-82 to 9-30-83	10-1-83 to 9-30-84	Total
Estimated savings by contracting out	\$_35,402	\$ <u>142,304</u>	\$ <u>184,929</u>	\$ <u>362,635</u>
Contractor bid used in the cost comparison	\$617,189	\$617 , 189	\$617 , 189	\$1,851,567
Contract costs	\$ <u>765,128</u>	\$ <u>768,933</u>	\$ <u>788,613</u>	\$2,322,674
Cost increases	\$147,939	\$151,744	\$171,424	\$ 471,107

Cost increases resulted from the following:

Year 1	Additional cost for 1-1/2 months. Contract costs for the first period covered 13-1/2 months while the bid in the cost	
	comparison was for 12 months Wage increases	\$ 67,266 75,886
	Additional work	4,787
	Total	\$147,939
Year 2	Additional work Wage increases	\$ 466 <u>151,278</u>
	Total	\$151 , 744
Year 3	Wage increases	\$171,424

.

The cost comparison was based on a contractor proposal submitted 18 months prior to the actual start date of the contract. The actual contract cost at the start of the first contract period consisted of the original proposed contract price plus increased costs for wages. Cost increases consisted only of wage increases and additional work. Savings were realized by contracting this function.

U.S. Naval Station, Mayport, Florida, Motor Vehicle Operations and Maintenance

The comparison of in-house with contracting-out costs covered a 3-year period with the conversion to contracting on June 29, 1980.

	6-29-80 to 7-1-81 to 6-30-81 6-30-82	7-1-82 to 6-30-83 Total
Estimated savings by contracting out	(\$ <u>121,867</u>) \$ <u>149,930</u>	\$ <u>149,930</u> \$ <u>177,993</u>
Contractor bid used in the cost comparison	\$1,058,245 \$1,058,245	\$1,058,245 \$3,174,735
Contract costs	\$ <u>1,277,278</u> \$ <u>1,065,295</u>	\$ <u>1,173,279</u> \$ <u>3,515,852</u>
Cost increases	\$ 219,033 \$ 7,050	\$ 115,034 \$ 341,117

Cost increases resulted from the following:

Year 1	Wage increases Rental of government tools	\$ 55,008
	and equipment to the contractor Additional work	(2,583) <u>166,608</u>
	Total	\$219,033
Year 2	Additional work	\$ 7,050
Year 3	Wage increases	\$115,034

Cost increases resulting from additional work were attributed to the Naval Station's growth after the contract was awarded. Similar growth and resulting cost increases in operating the function would have occurred even if the function had remained in-house. Therefore, savings were realized by contracting this function.

22

The Marine Corps Air Station, Beaufort, South Carolina, Family Housing Maintenance

The comparison of in-house with contracting-out costs covered a 3-year period with a conversion to contractor performance on July 31, 1980. Due to the first contractor's marginal performance, the Marine Corps selected a second contractor to provide family housing maintenance services starting September 1, 1981. However, on September 15, 1982, the second contractor defaulted and the Marine Corps returned the function to in-house performance.

	7-31-80 to 7-30-81	7-31-81 to 7-30-82	7-31-82 to 7-30-83	
Estimated savings by contracting out	\$ <u>255,478</u>	\$290,046	\$ <u>326,339</u>	\$ <u>871,863</u>
Contractor bid used in the cost comparison	\$ <u>286,345</u>	\$ <u>286,345</u>	\$ <u>286,345</u>	\$ <u>859,035</u>
Contract costs	\$288 , 345	\$466,181	\$ 39 , 951	\$ 794,477
Cost of in-house operation	\$	\$	\$ <u>736,382</u>	\$_736,382
Cost of operating the function	\$ <u>288,345</u>	\$ <u>466,181</u>	\$ <u>776,333</u>	\$ <u>1,530,859</u>
Cost increases	\$ 2,000	\$179 , 836	\$489 , 988	\$ 671,824
Cost increases	resulted	from the f	ollowing:	
Year 1 Contra	ct errors			\$ 2,000
Year 2 Contra Contra	\$ 3,856			
		an anticip		175,980
Tota	1			\$179 , 836

Year 3	Contract errors Second contract and in-house performance were higher than	\$	351
	anticipated	\$ <u>489</u>	,637
	Total	\$489	,988

Cost increases resulted from contract errors, awarding a new contract at a cost higher than initially anticipated, and eventually returning the function to in-house performance. Much of the cost increase considerably reduced anticipated savings of contracting out but savings were still realized.

At the time of our review in July 1984, the Marine Corps was studying the feasibility of returning the function to contractor performance.

24

Eglin Air Force Base, Florida, Photographic Laboratory and Audiovisual Services Library Operation and Maintenance

The comparison of in-house with contracting-out costs covered the 3-year period from October 1, 1979, through September 30, 1982. In responding to a bid protest, the Air Force became aware of deficiencies in the contract's statement of work and decided not to extend the initial contract through years 2 and 3. Instead, the Air Force negotiated modifications for the contractor to continue work for the first 7 months of year 2 and after competitive bidding, awarded a new contract for work starting May 1, 1981.

	10-1-79 to <u>9-30-80</u>	10-1-80 to 9-30-81	10-1-81 to 9-30-82	Total	
Estimated savings by contracting out	(\$ 35,813)	\$ 50,228	\$ 54,144	\$ 68,559	
Contractor bid used in the cost comparison	\$1,181,408	\$1,167,170	1,179,094	\$3,527,672	
Contract costs	\$ <u>1,201,519</u>	\$ <u>1,325,031</u>	\$1,302,443	\$ <u>3,828,993</u>	
Cost increases	\$ 20,111	\$ 157,861	\$ 123,349	\$ 301,321	

Cost increases resulted from the following:

Year 1	Additional work	\$ 20,111
Year 2	Additional work Negotiated contract extensions and new contract were higher than	\$ 5,827
	anticipated	152,034
	Total	\$157,861
Year 3	Additional work Wage increases New contract costs were higher	\$ 25,992 56,861
	than anticipated	40,496
	Total	\$123,349

Most of the cost increases, \$192,530 in years 2 and 3, resulted from the negotiation of contract extensions and award of a new contract. Although increased work and increased wages were responsible for at least a portion of this \$192,530 increase, savings were not realized by contracting this function.

Hill Air Force Base, Utah, Medical Facility Housekeeping Services

The comparison of in-house with contracting-out costs covered the period October 1, 1980, through September 30, 1983.

	10-1-80 to 9-30-81	10-1-81 to 9-30-82	10-1-82 to 9-30-83	Total
Estimated savings by contracting out	\$ 57 , 983	\$ 58,864	\$ 59 , 791	\$176,638
Contractor bid used in the cost comparison	\$127,056	\$127,056	\$127 , 056	\$381,168
Contract costs	\$ <u>127,056</u>	\$ <u>128,803</u>	\$ <u>137,599</u>	\$ <u>393,458</u>
Cost increases	\$ 	\$ 1,747	\$ 10,543	\$ 12,290

All cost increases, \$1,747 in the second year and \$10,543 in the third year, were attributed to wage increases. Savings were realized by contracting this function.

Lowry Air Force Base, Colorado, Commissary Shelf Stocking and Custodial Services

The comparison of in-house and contracting-out costs covered the period September 16, 1980, to September 30, 1983. The first contractor operated the function from September 16, 1980, through October 31, 1981. Due to the first contractor's poor performance, Lowry AFB obtained another contractor starting November 1, 1981.

	9-16-80 to 9-30-81	10-1-81 to 9-30-82	10-1-82 to 9-30-83	Total
Estimated savings by contracting out	\$304,801	\$315 , 578	\$320,568	\$940,947
Contractor bid used in the cost comparison	\$229 , 569	\$225,516	\$227 , 556	\$682,641
Contract costs	\$ <u>233,154</u>	\$ <u>260,472</u>	\$ <u>280,816</u>	\$ <u>774,442</u>
Cost increases	\$ 3,585	\$ 34,956	\$ 53,260	\$ 91,801

Cost increases for the period covered by the cost comparison resulted from the following:

Year	1	Additional work Equipment reimbursement Government claim for unperformed work	\$3,341 802 (558)
		Total	\$3,585
Year	2	Additional work Work for October 1981 performed for less than bid price Second contractor's bid for last 11 months was higher	\$10,922 (81)
		than anticipated	24,115
		Total	\$34,956

Year 3	Additional work Second contractor's bid was	\$12,299
	higher than anticipated	24,267
	Wage increases	16,694
	Total	\$53,260

Most cost increases resulted from Lowry AFB's decision to award the contract to a second contractor whose bid was higher than the first contractor's, but some of the increase was due to higher wages. Savings were realized by contracting this function.

Lowry Air Force Base, Colorado, Refuse Collection

The Air Force's comparison of in-house with contracting-out costs covered the 3-year period from October 1, 1980, to September 30, 1983.

	10-1-80 to 9-30-81	10-1-81 to 9-30-82	10-1-82 to 9-30-83	Total
Estimated savings by contracting out	\$269 , 405	\$270,912	\$272 , 419	\$812,736
Contractor bid used in the cost comparison	\$225,000	\$236,292	\$248,220	\$709 , 512
Contract costs	\$ <u>230,911</u>	\$ <u>308,585</u>	\$ <u>385,558</u>	\$925,054
Cost increases	\$ 5,911	\$ 72 , 293	\$137,338	\$215,542

Cost incr	eases resu	lted from	the	following:
-----------	------------	-----------	-----	------------

Year 1	Additional work Fuel price adjustments	\$ 5,260 <u>651</u>
	Total	\$ 5,911
Year 2	Additional work Fuel price adjustments Wage increases Reimbursement to contractor for equipment damaged by the government	\$ 67,503 446 4,272 72
	Total	\$ 72,293
Year 3	Additional work Fuel price adjustments Wage increases	\$115,132 (7) _22,213
	Total	\$137,338

Although the costs of operating the function increased in the years following the award of the contract, if the function had remained in-house, cost growth similar to that experienced by the contractor would have occurred. Savings were realized by contracting this function.

Mather Air Force Base, California, Grounds Maintenance

The comparison of in-house with contracting-out costs covered the 3-year period from October 1, 1980, to September 30, 1983.

	10-1-80 to 9-30-81	10-1-81 to 9-30-82	10-1-82 to 9-30-83	Total
Estimated savings by contracting out	\$108 , 540	\$110 , 671	\$116,747	\$ 335,958
Contractor bid used in the cost comparison	\$287,400	\$287,400	\$287,400	\$ 862,200
Contract costs	\$ <u>295,042</u>	\$346,485	\$370,436	\$ <u>1,011,963</u>
Cost increases	\$ 7,642	\$ 59,08 5	\$ 83,036	\$ 149,763

Cost increases resulted from the following:

Year 1	Additional work	\$ 7,642
Year 2	Additional work Wage increases	\$42,976 <u>16,109</u>
	Total	\$59,085
Year 3	Additional work Wage increases Contract ambiguity	\$59,381 22,180 <u>1,475</u>
	Total	\$83,036

Although the costs of operating the function increased in the years following the award of the contract, only the contract ambiguity reduced the anticipated savings. Savings were realized by contracting this function.

McClellan Air Force Base, California, Precision Measurement Equipment Laboratory

The comparison of in-house with contracting-out costs covered a 3-year period with the contractor starting work on November 1, 1979.

	11-1-79 to 10-31-80	11-1-80 to 10-31-81	11-1-81 to _10-31-82_	Total
Estimated savings by contracting out	\$ 718,802	\$714,205	\$ 714,250	\$2,147,257
Contractor bid, including reimbursable material estimates for year 1	\$1,133,547	\$712 , 530	\$ 712,530	\$2,558,607
Contract costs	\$ <u>1,058,547</u>	\$ <u>978,000</u>	\$1,022,864	\$ <u>3,059,411</u>
Cost increases (decreases)	(\$ 75,000)	\$265 , 470	\$ 310,334	\$ 500,804

Cost increases or decreases resulted from the following:

Year	1	Decrease in materials	(\$ 75,000)
Year	2	Wage increases Additional work and reimbursable expenses	\$112,177 <u>153,293</u>
		Total	\$265,470
Year	3	Wage increases Additional work and reimbursable	\$175 , 178
		expenses	135,156
		Total	\$310,334

In addition to the cost increases shown above, the Air Force agreed to an adjustment on July 13, 1981, of \$69,850 resulting from an insufficient phase-in period, failure to furnish acceptable facilities and equipment, and an

33

unanticipated work backlog at the time of conversion. The Air Force did not increase the cost of the contract by \$69,850, however, since this amount was offset by reduced payments for materials.

Additional work, reimbursable expenses, and wages would have likely resulted in increased costs even if the function had remained in-house. Savings were realized by contracting this function.

U.S. Air Force Academy, Colorado, Commissary Shelf Stocking and Custodial Service

The comparison of in-house with contracting-out costs covered the period August 16, 1980, through August 31, 1983.

	8-16-80 to 8-30-81	9-1-81 to 8-31-82	9-1-82 to 8-31-83	Total
Estimated savings by contracting out	\$129 , 866	\$147,775	\$159,314	\$436 , 955
Contractor bid used in the cost comparison	\$117 , 181	\$110,650	\$110,825	\$338,656
Contract costs	\$124,236	\$150,822	\$ <u>165,173</u>	\$ <u>440,231</u>
Cost increases	\$ 7 , 055	\$ 40,172	\$ 54,348	\$101,575

Cost increases resulted from the following:

Year 1	Wage increases Payment deductions due to	\$12,953
	decreased workload	(<u>5,898</u>)
	Total	\$ 7,055
Year 2	Wage increases Deleting government-furnished supplies and equipment from	\$26,710
	the contract	16,249
	Contract errors or inadequacies Payment deductions due to	1,586
	decreased workload	(<u>4,373</u>)
	Total	\$40,172

Year 3	Wage increases Deleting government furnished supplies and equipment from	\$30,123
	the contract	19,499
	Contract errors	5,130
	Payment deductions due to	·
	decreased workload	(<u>404</u>)
	Total	\$54,348

Small cost increases in years 2 and 3 were attributed to contract errors, such as underestimation of the number of square feet to be cleaned, that reduced the anticipated savings of contracting out. Savings were realized by contracting this function.

Vance Air Force Base, Oklahoma, Instrument Flight Simulator Operations

The comparison of in-house with contracting-out costs covered the 3-year period from October 1, 1980, through September 30, 1983. In estimating contract costs for the cost comparison, the Air Force negotiated a fiscal year 1981 price with a contractor who already had a services contract at the base. The instrument flight simulator operations function was then made a part of this contract.

	10-1-80 to 9-30-81	10-1-81 to 9-30-82	10-1-82 to 9-30-83	Total
Estimated savings by contracting out	\$114,053	\$138,185	\$163 , 509	\$ 415 , 747
Estimated contract costs used in the cost comparison	\$ <u>314,639</u>	\$ <u>314,639</u>	\$ <u>314,639</u>	\$943,917
Contract costs for year 1 and estimated contract costs for years 2 and 3	\$ <u>372,248</u>	\$ <u>392,133</u>	\$ <u>421,840</u>	\$ <u>1,186,221</u>
Cost increases	\$ 57,609	\$ 77,494	\$107,201	\$ 242,304

Estimated contract costs in the cost comparison for years 2 and 3 were based on the negotiated price for fiscal year 1981. Since the instrument flight simulator operations function was part of a larger contract, we were only able to obtain estimates of the function's costs for years 2 and 3. We were unable to quantify specific causes of cost increases but, according to Air Force representatives, contract costs were higher than those in the cost comparison because overhead rates, wages, and workloads increased. Savings were realized by contracting this function.

37

Warner Robins Air Logistics Center, Georgia, Precision Measurement Equipment Laboratory

The comparison of in-house with contracting-out costs covered the 3-year period from October 1, 1979, to September 30, 1982. The initial contractor operated the function for 2 years but after it experienced performance problems the Air Force awarded the contract to another company. The second contractor started work with a 2-month phase-in period on October 1, 1981, while the original contractor completed work on November 30, 1981.

	10-1-79 to 9-30-80	10-1-80 to 9-30-81		
Estimated savings by contracting out	\$199 , 318	\$ 228,292	\$ 229,268	\$ 656 , 878
Contractor bid used in the cost comparison	\$805 , 794	\$ 805 ,794	\$ 805,794	\$2,417,382
Contract costs	\$930,794	\$ <u>1,293,594</u>	\$1,971,252	\$ <mark>4,195,64</mark> 0
Cost increases	\$125,000	\$ 487,800	\$1,165,458	\$1,778,258

Cost increases resulted from the following:

Year 1	Increased funding for reimbursable materials	\$ 125,000
Year 2	Contractor claim against the government Additional work	\$ 332,055
	Total	\$ 487,800
Year 3	Two-month contract extension for \$328,680 and new contract bid for \$1,467,378 were higher than initial estimate Increased funding for reimbursable materials Increased funding for reimbursable contractor travel expense	\$ 990,264 174,536 658
	Total	\$1,165,458

Cost increases in year 2 resulting from a contractor claim decreased the anticipated savings of contracting out. Cost increases in years 1 and 3, resulting from additional work and reimbursable materials and travel, would have occurred even if the function had remained in-house and did not, therefore, reduce savings. In year 3, \$990,264 in cost increases were attributed to a 2-month contract extension and the award to a new contractor. However, at least a portion of this increase was due to additional work and increased wages.

In addition to the increased contract costs, we were informed that poor contractor performance and the transition to contracting increased the Air Force's costs as well by about \$798,000 during the first 18 months of the initial contract. These costs alone more than offset the anticipated savings of \$656,878 from contracting out.

Prior to the award of a new contract in October 1981, the Air Force made another comparison of in-house and contractingout costs for fiscal years 1982, 1983, and 1984. The Air Force concluded that contracting rather than converting back to in-house performance would result in savings of \$2,035,229 over the 3-year period.

39

COMPOSITIE OF AFFECTED PERMANENT EMPLOYEES

		Ge	nder	M	Minority			Veteran		
	Total	Male	Female	NO	Yes U	nknown	Yes	No	Unknown	
Placed at the installation: ^a In the same grade In a lower grade In a higher grade	618 896 19	370 766 11	248 130 8	395 668 9	214 216 9	9 12 1	315 614 10	302 282 9	1 - -	
Placed at another installation (no relocation)	·a									
In the same grade In a lower grade In a higher grade	109 122 5	79 104 3	30 18 2	54 42 2	44 60 3	11 20 -	55 55 3	53 64 2	1 3 -	
Placed at another installation (relocation)	a									
In the same grade In a lower grade In a higher grade	79 31 2	73 30 1	6 1 1	68 20 2	9 11 -	2 - -	51 20 1	28 11 1	-	
Regular retirement	182	150	32	101	65	16	125	56	1	
Early retirement	116	99	17	72	42	2	75	40	1	
Resigned	53	39	14	37	14	2	33	20	-	
Involuntarily separated	129	71	58	53	71	5	61	68	-	
Employed by contractor	171	111	60	110	52	9	84	87	-	
Placed in non-federal position	1	1	-	_	1	-	-	1	-	
Discharged during probation period	1	1	-	1	-	-	1		-	
Deceased	1	1	_	1	_			1		
Total	2,535	1,910	625	1,635	811	89 	1,503	1,025	7	

aplaced in permanent positions except for a few instances as discussed in appendix IV.

FUNCTIONS REVIEWED FOR EFFECTS ON PERMANENT EMPLOYEES

<u>Installation</u>	Function	Page
Army		
Cameron Station, VA	Motor vehicle operations and maintenance	43
Dugway Proving Ground, UT	Installation base support	44
Fort Benning, Fort Stewart, and Hunter Army Airfield, GA	Administrative telephone operations and maintenance	46
Fort Benning, GA	Laundry and dry cleaning services	47
Fort Bliss, TX	Training audiovisual support center	48
Fort Eustis, VA	Industrial operations base support services	49
Fort Monmouth, NJ	Installation and support activity	50
Fort Riley, KS	Maintenance	52
Presidio of San Francisco, CA	Laundry and dry cleaning services	53
Navy		
Charleston Naval Shipyard, SC	Vehicle maintenance, refuse collection, and taxi operation	54
Commissary Store, Alameda, CA	Night shelf stocking	55
Commissary Store, Little Creek, VA	Shelf stocking	56
Jacksonville Naval Air Station, FL	Air transportation services	57
Mare Island Naval Shipyard, Vallejo, CA	Data and key entry services	58
Naval Academy, Annapolis, MD	Custodial and miscellaneous services	59

Dugway Proving Ground, Utah, Installation Base Support

The function, converted to contracting in July 1983, affected 106 employees. Included were 96 employees who had worked in the function and 10 employees who were displaced from other functions.

Status and Profile of Affected Permanent Employees							
	Gender				ional Ori	gin Vete	ran
	Total	Male	Female	White	Hispanic	Yes	No
Placed at the installation	:						
In the same grade	40	26	14	39	1	24	16
In a lower grade	32	2 9	3	30	2	15	17
Placed at another installation or federal agency (no relocation):							
In the same grade	3	3	-	3	-	1	2.
In a lower grade	1	1	-	1	-	1	-
Placed at another installation or federal agency (relocation):							
In the same grade	4	4	_	4	-	Δ	_
In a lower grade	1	1	-	1	-	1	-
Regular retirement	3	3	-	3	-	1	2
Early/disability retiremen	t 20	19	1	17	3	13	7
Resignation	2	_2		2	_	_1	
Total	106	88	18	100	6	61	45

44

. .

Cameron Station, Virginia, Motor Vehicle Operations and Maintenance

The function, converted to contracting in January 1983, affected 95 employees. Included were 43 employees who had worked in the function and 52 employees who were displaced from other functions.

		Ger	nder	Race	Nation	al Orig	yin	Ve	etera	an
	Total	Male	Female	White	Black	Indian	Unk	Yes	No	Unk
Placed at the installation	n:									
In the same grade	9	9	-	1	7	-	1	3	6	-
In a lower grade	2	2	-	1	-	-	1	2	-	-
Placed at another installation or federal agency (no relocation): In the same grade	24	24	_	6	12	1	5	17	6	1
In a lower grade	56		2	10	35	<u> </u>	11	20		3
In a higher grade	1	1	-	-	1	-	_	-	1	-
Regular retirement	1	1	-	1		-		1	-	-
Resignation	1	1		1		-	-	1	-	-
Involuntary separation	_1	_1	<u> </u>		_		_1	_	_1	
Total	95 	93 	2	20	55	1	19	44	47	4

Fort Benning, Fort Stewart, and Hunter Army Airfield, Georgia, Administrative Telephone Operations and Maintenance

In March 1983, the Army contracted out administrative telephone operations and maintenance at nine installations in the southeastern United States. The decision to contract out was based on one cost comparison covering all nine installations. We reviewed three of the nine installations which accounted for about 45 percent of all employees affected by the conversion.

Conversion of the function to contracting affected 57 employees at the 3 installations. Included were 56 employees who had worked in the function and 1 employee who was displaced from another function.

	Total		nder Female			al Origin Hispanic	Vete Yes	
Placed at the installation	:							
In the same grade	10	3	7	7	2	1	. 2	8
In a lower grade	37	27	10	32	2	3	25	12
In a higher grade	2	1	1	2	-	-	1	1
Placed at another installation or federal agency in the same grade (relocation)	2	2	_	1	1	-	-	2
Regular retirement	4	3	1	4	-	-	3	1
Involuntary separation	_2	_1	<u> </u>	_2	_		_1	_1
Total	57	37	20	48	5	4	32	25

ι.

The installation imposed a hiring freeze several months before the function was converted to contract performance in order to have positions for permanent employees affected by contracting out. During the hiring freeze, permanent positions at the installation were filled with temporary employees. When the function was converted to contractor performance, permanent employees affected by contracting were placed in many of the positions that had previously been filled by temporary employees.

Fort Bliss, Texas, Training Audiovisual Support Center

The function, converted to contracting in July 1983, affected 65 employees. Included were 62 employees who had worked in the function and 3 employees who were displaced from other functions.

Status and Profile of Affected Permanent Employees												
	Total		ender Female			tional Ori <u>Hispanic</u>		<u>Vete</u> <u>Yes</u>				
Placed at the installation	on:											
In the same grade	22	17	5	7	-	14	1	18	4			
In a lower grade	39	37	2	17	-	22	-	33	6			
Regular retirement	1	1	-	-	-	1	-	1	-			
Early retirement	2	1	1	2	-	-	-	1	1			
Resignation	<u> </u>	_	_1	_	1	_		_1	-			
Total	65	56	9	26	1	37	1	54	11			

48

Fort Benning, Georgia, Laundry and Dry Cleaning Service

The function, converted to contracting in October 1982, affected 102 employees. Included were 96 employees who had worked in the function and 6 employees who were displaced from other functions.

	Total		der Female			l Origin Hispanic	<u>Vete</u> Yes	
Placed at the installation	1:							
In the same grade	27	12	15	5	21	1	10	17
In a lower grade	8	8	-	2	5	1	7	1
In a higher grade	1	-	1	1	-	-	-	1
Regular retirement	12	2	10	1	11	-	1	11
Resignation	12	5	7	3	8	1	4	8
Involuntary separation	13	2	11	-	13	-	7	6
Placed with contractor	<u>29</u>	4	<u>25</u>	_6	23	_	_4	<u>25</u>
Total	102	33	69	18	81	3	33	69

Fort Monmouth, New Jersey, Installation and Support Activity

The function, converted to contracting in October 1982, affected 507 employees. Included were 461 employees who had worked in the function and 46 employees who were displaced from other functions.

		Gender		Race/National Origin						Veteran		
	Total	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Indian	Unk	Yes	No	
Placed at the installatio	n:											
In the same grade	138	72	66	118	17	2	1		-	57	81	
In a lower grade	302	276	26	256	35	6	1	1	3	242	60	
Placed at another												
installation or federal												
agency (no relocation):												
In the same grade	2	2	-	1	1	-	-	-	-	2	-	
In a lower grade	1	1	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	
In a higher grade	1	1	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	
Placed at another												
installation or federal agency (relocation):												
In the same grade	3	3	-	3	-	-	-	-	_	3	-	
In a lower grade	1	1	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	ĩ	-	
Regular retirement	33	29	4	32	1	-	-	-	-	29	4	
Early retirement	11	9	2	11	-	-	-	-	-	8	3	
Resignation	4	2	2	4	-	-	-	-	-	1	3	
Involuntary separation	1	1	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	
Placed with the contracto	er <u>10</u>	4	6	7	_1		_1		1	5	5	
Total	507	401	106	435	56	8	3	1	4	351	156	

Fort Eustis, Virginia, Industrial Operations Base Support Services

The function, converted to contracting in February 1983, affected 304 employees. Included were 247 employees who had worked in the function and 57 employees who were displaced from other functions.

	Total	Gend Male F				ional Ori Hispanic		Unk	Veter Yes	
Placed at the installation	ו:									
In the same grade	64	37	27	43	19	1	-	1	39	25
In a lower grade	104	75	29	68	30	-	1	5	70	34
In a higher grade	2	2	-	2	-	-	-	-	2	-
Placed at another installation or federal agency (no relocation):										
In the same grade	28	18	10	21	2	-	-	5	15	13
In a lower grade	30	26	4	14	7	-	1	8	19	11
In a higher grade	1	1	-	1	-	-		-	1	-
Regular retirement	46	41	5	19	17	-	-	10	36	10
Early retirement	2	2	-	-	2	-	-	-	1	1
Resignation	11	10	1	8	2	-	-	1	9	2
Involuntary separation	7	7	-	4	1	-	-	2	7	-
Placed with the contractor	57	6	1	6	-	-	-	1	4	3
Deceased	1	1	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	1
Discharged during probation		_1	_	_1	_	_	_	_		
Total	304	227	77	188	80	1	2	33 ====	204	100

Fort Riley, Kansas, Maintenance

The function, converted to contracting in December 1982, affected 142 employees. Included were 110 employees who had worked in the function and 32 employees who were displaced from other functions.

	-	Gender Male Famale		Race/National Origin White Black Hispanic Asian U					Veteran Nk Yes No Unk		
	Total	Male F	emale	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Unk	Yes	No	<u>Unk</u>
Placed at the installatio	n:										
In the same grade	43	40		39	4			-	33	10	-
In a lower grade	79	74	5	70	7	1	-	1	39	40	-
Placed at another installation or federal agency in the same grade (had to relocate)		1	-	-	1	_	_	_	1	-	-
Regular retirement	4	4	-	1	-	1	-	2	3	-	1
Early retirement	10	9	1	7	-	1	1	1	8	2	-
Placed with the contracto	r <u>5</u>	4	_1	5		_	-	_	_2	3	_
Total	142	132	10	122	12	3	1	4	86	55	1

Temporary positions for 192 employees were created at the time of the conversion to contracting. After the conversion to contracting, some of the temporary positions were eliminated when the employees were able to acquire permanent positions.

Charleston Naval Shipyard, South Carolina, The Vehicle Maintenance, Refuse Collection, and Taxi Operation

The function, converted to contracting in April 1983, affected 47 employees. Included were 41 employees who had worked in the function and 6 employees who were displaced from other functions.

Status and Profile of Affected Permanent Employees												
	Total		nder Female			l Origin Asian						
Placed at the installation In the same grade In a lower grade		29 16	1	16 5	13 11	1 -	16 10	14 6				
Regular retirement	_1	_1	-	_1	_	-	_1	_				
Total	47	46	1	22	24	1	27	20				

Presidio of San Francisco, California, Laundry and Dry Cleaning Services

The function, converted to contracting in October 1982, affected 21 employees. All 21 employees worked in the function.

Status and Profile of Affected Permanent Employees

		Gender			ace/Na		Veteran		
	Total	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	<u>Asian</u>	Yes	No
Placed at the installation:									
In the same grade	1	-	1		1		-		1
In a lower grade	8	6	2	4	3		1	4	4
Regular retirement	3	-	3	1	2	-	-	1	2
Early retirement	3	-	3	-	3	-	-	-	3
Involuntary separation	_6	_2	_4		_4	_1	_1	_2	4
Total	21	8	13	5	13	1	2	7	14

The contract was terminated by the Presidio 3 months after it started due to contractor deficiencies. The function was returned to in-house performance. Fourteen affected employees, including some employees that were involuntarily separated and some employees that were placed in other government positions, returned to positions in the laundry services as temporary employees.

Commissary Store, Little Creek, Virginia, Shelf Stocking

The function, converted to contracting in February 1983, affected 16 employees. Included were 11 employees who had worked in the function and 5 employees who were displaced from other functions.

Status and	Profile	of	Affected	Permanent	Employ	rees
------------	---------	----	----------	-----------	--------	------

	Total		nder Female			al Origin Unknown	Vete Yes	
Placed at the installation	:							
In the same grade	7	5	2	2	5	-	3	4
In a lower grade	1		1	1	-	_	-	1
In a higher grade	2	1	1	1	1	-	1	1
Placed at another installation or federal agency in a lower grade (no relocation)	3	_	3	_	3	_	_	3
Placed at another installation or federal agency in the same grade (relocation)	1	1	-	-	_	1	1	-
Involuntary separation	_2		_2		_2	_		_2
Total	16	7	9	4	11	1	5	11

Commissary Store, Alameda, California, Night Shelf Stocking

The function, converted to contracting in August 1983, affected 13 employees. Included were seven employees who worked in the function and six employees who were displaced from other functions.

	Total		der Female			ional Orio Hispanic		Veter Yes	
Placed at the installation In the same grade In a lower grade		_ 3	1 4	_ 2	1 3	- 1	- 1	- 3	1 4
Placed at another installation or federal agency in the same grade (no relocation)	. 1	-	1	1	-	-		-	1
Involuntary separation	2	-	2	-	2	-	-		2
Placed with the contractor	<u>2</u>	_	_2		_1	_	1	_	_2
Total	13	3	10	3	7	1	2	3	10

Mare Island Naval Shipyard, Vallejo, California, Data and Key Entry Services

The function, converted to contracting in April 1983, affected nine employees. All nine employees worked in the data and key entry service function.

	Total	<u>Gender</u> Female			ional Orio Hispanic		<u>Veteran</u> <u>No</u>
Placed at the installation:							
In the same grade	7	7	4	2	1	-	7
In a higher grade	_2	_2		_	<u> </u>	_2	_2
Total	9	9	4	2	1	2	9

Jacksonville Naval Air Station, Florida, Air Transportation Services

The function, converted to contracting in November 1982, affected 14 employees. Included were nine employees who had worked in the function and five who were displaced from other functions.

Status and Profile of Affected Permanent Employees									
		Gender	R	Veteran					
	Iotal	Male	white	BLACK	Hispanic	Indian	res	<u>No</u>	
Placed at the installation:									
In the same grade	7	7	3	4	-	-	6	1	
In a lower grade	_7	_7	_4	1	_1	_1	_6	_1	
Total	14	14	7	5	1	1	12	2	

57

Naval Air Station, Memphis, Tennessee, Base Operations Services

The function, contracted out in July 1983, affected 273 employees. Included were 253 employees who had worked in the function and 20 employees who were displaced from other functions.

Status and Profile of Affected Permanent Employees

		Ger	der	Race/National		Origin	Vete	ran
	Total	Male	Female	White I	Black (inknown	Yes	No
Placed at the installation	:							
In the same grade	39	14	25	36	3	-	16	23
In a lower grade	37	2 9	8	29	8	-	25	12
Placed at another installation or federal agency (no relocation):								
In the same grade	13	8	5	5	7	1	8	5
In a lower grade	12	11	1	6	6	-	9	3
Placed at another installation or federal agency (relocation): In the same grade In a lower grade	39 11	36 11	3	32 6	6 5	1	27 10	12 1
Regular retirement	29	28	1	17	12	-	24	5
Early retirement	18	17	1	9	9	-	14	4
Resignation	2		2	1	-	1	-	2
Involuntary separation	13	9	4	10	2	1	8	5
Placed with the contractor	60	_50	<u>10</u>	<u>52</u>	_8	_		<u>16</u>
	273	213	60	203	66	4	185	88

About 1 year before the conversion, the Naval Air Station began filling vacancies in positions anticipated to be affected by the conversion with temporary employees. This was done to reduce the impact of the reduction-in-force on permanent employees.

Naval Academy, Annapolis, Maryland, Custodial and Miscellaneous Services

The function, converted to contracting in March 1983, affected 78 employees. Included were 55 employees who had worked in the function and 23 employees who were displaced from other functions.

	Total		nder Female	Rac White		<u>Vete</u> <u>Yes</u>	ran <u>No</u>
Placed at the installation In the same grade In a lower grade	: 42 21	33 17	9 4	1 1	41 20	27 7	15 14
Regular retirement	2	1	1	-	2	1	1
Early retirement	11	9	2	-	11	9	2
Resignation	_2	_1	_1	_1	_1	_1	_1
Total	78	61	17	3	75	45	33

Status and Profile of Affected Permanent Employees

Several affected employees were placed in temporary or intermittent status after the conversion to contracting. The Naval Academy placed personnel in the newly created positions with the hope that they could be moved to other permanent positions if openings occurred.

Naval District, Washington, D.C., Custodial Services

The function conversion was delayed from September 1983 to January 1984. Eleven employees were affected by the conversion. All 11 employees worked in the custodial services function.

	Total		der Female	Race Black	<u>Vete</u> <u>Yes</u>	ran No
Placed at the installation	:					
In the same grade	7	3	4	7	3	4
In a lower grade	2	2	-	2	2	
Placed at another installation or federal agency in the same grade (no relocation)	1	1	-	1	-	1
Regular retirement	_1	_1	_	_1	_1	
Total	11	7	4	11	6	5

Naval Air Station, Whiting Field, Florida, Base Operating Services

The function, converted to contracting in October 1982, affected 144 employees. Included were 130 employees who had worked in the function and 14 employees who were displaced from other functions.

Status and Profile of Affected Permanent Employees										
		Ger	nder	Race	/Natio	onal Or	igin	Vete	ran	
	Total	Male	Female					Yes	No	
Placed at the installation):									
In the same grade	5	5	-	4	1	-	-	4	1	
In a lower grade	18	17	1	18	-	-	-	16	2	
Placed at another installation or federal agency (no relocation):										
In the same grade	15	12	3	12	3	-	-	7	8	
In a lower grade	9	8	1	8	-	1	-	2	7	
Placed at another installation or federal agency (relocation): In the same grade In a lower grade	10 9	10 9	Ξ	10 6	- 3		-	6 5	4 4	
In a higher grade	1	1	-	1	-	-		1	-	
Regular retirement	9	9	-	7	1	-	1	5	4	
Early retirement	15	12	3	14	1	-	-	11	4	
Resignation	17	17	-	16	-	-	1	15	2	
Involuntary separation	23	22	1	14	8	1	-	16	7	
Placed with the contractor	r <u>13</u>	_13	-	13	_	_	_	8	_5	
Total	144	135	9	123	17	2	2	96	48	

Naval Hospital, Bethesda, Maryland, Laundry Services

The function, converted to contracting in October 1983, affected 19 employees. Included were 16 employees who worked in the function and 3 employees who were displaced from other functions.

Status	and	Profile	of	Affected	Permanent	Employees

	Total	Gen Male		Rac White		<u>Vete</u> Yes	<u>No</u>	
Placed at the installation					_	-		
In the same grade	6	2	4 1	1	5	2 1	4	
In a lower grade	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	
Regular retirement	4	-	4	-	4	1	3	
Resignation	1	1	-	1		-	1	
Involuntary separation	_6	_1	_5	_3	_3	_1	_5	
Total	19	5	14	6	13	5	14	

Naval Education and Training Support Center Pacific, San Diego, California, Audio Visual Services Function

The function, converted to contracting in April 1983, affected 52 employees. Included were 46 employees who had worked in the function and 6 employees who were displaced from other functions.

		Gen	der			onal Origi			tera	
	Total	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Unk	Yes	No	Unk
Placed at the installation: In the same grade In a lower grade	20 13	15 10	5 3	13 13	3	2 -	2 -	11 9	8 4	1 -
Placed at another installation (no relocation): In the same grade In a lower grade	1 2	- 1	1 1	1	-	- 2	-	- 2	1 -	- -
Placed at another installation in the same grade (relocation)	2	2	-	2	_	-	-	2	-	-
Early retirement	4	3	1	4	-	-	-	2	1	1
Involuntary separation	6	5	1	4	1	1	-	5	1	-
Placed with contractor	_4	_3	_1	3	_	_1	_	_3	_1	
Total	52	39	13	40	4	6	2	34	16 	2

Naval Regional Medical Center, Portsmouth, Virginia, Laundry Services

The function, converted to contracting in April 1983, affected 20 employees. Included were 18 employees who had worked in the function and 2 employees who were displaced from other functions.

Status and Profile of Affected Permanent Employees

	Status and Frontie of Affected Felindhent Imployees											
	Total		nder Female			al Origin Hispanic	<u>Vete</u> <u>Yes</u>					
Placed at the installation):											
In the same grade	6	4	2	-	6	-	2	4				
In a lower grade	2	1	1		2	-	1	1				
In a higher grade	2	2	-	-	2		2	-				
Regular retirement	1	1	-	1	-	-	-	1				
Involuntarily separated	9	_4	_5	_1	_7	_1	_3	_6				
Total	20	12	8	2	17	1	8	12				

Naval Regional Medical Center, Portsmouth, Virginia, Food Services

The function, converted to contracting in June 1983, affected 113 employees. Included were 84 employees who had worked in the function and 29 employees who were displaced from other functions.

Status and Profile of Affected Permanent Employees										
		Ger	nder	Race/1	Nationa	al Origin	Vete	ran		
	Total	Male	Female			Hispanic	Yes	No		
Placed at the installation	:									
In the same grade	8	5	3	1	7	-	6	2		
In a lower grade	2 9	18	11	3	26	-	23	6		
In a higher grade	1	1	-	-	1	-	1	-		
Placed at another installation or federal agency (no relocation):										
In the same grade	15	7	8	1	12	2	1	14		
In a lower grade	6	1	5	1	5	-	-	6		
In a higher grade	2	-	2	-	2	-	1	1		
Regular retirement	8	7	1	-	7	1	4	4		
Early retirement	7	7	-	-	7	-	2	5		
Involuntary separation	22	3	19	2	20	-	3	19		
Placed with the contractor	14	5	9	1	12	1	-	14		
Placed in non- federal position	_1	_1	-	_	_1		_	_1		
Total	113	55	58	9	100	4	4 1	72 -		

Naval Training Center, Orlando, Florida, Motor Vehicle Operations

The function, converted to contracting in January 1983, affected 21 employees. Included were 18 employees who had worked in the function and 3 employees who were displaced from other functions.

Status and Profile of Affected Permanent Employees										
	Total		nder Female	Rac White		<u>Vete</u> <u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>			
Placed at the installation In the same grade In a lower grade	5 10	4 10	1	3 8	2 2	5 4	- 6			
Placed at another installation (relocation): In the same grade In a lower grade	1 1	1 1	- -	1 1	- -	1 -	- 1			
Regular retirement	3	3	-	2	1	3	-			
Early retirement	_1	_1		_1		_1				
Total	21	20	1	16	5	14	7			

Naval Shipyard, Charleston, South Carolina, Data Transcription

The function, converted to contracting in October 1982, affected five employees. All five employees worked in the data transcription function.

	Total		der Female	Rac White		<u>Veteran</u> <u>No</u>
Placed at the installation In the same grade In a lower grade	: 3 1	1 -	2 1	1 -	2 1	3 1
Placed at another installation or federal agency in a lower grade (no relocation)	_1		_1	_1		_1
Total	5	1	4	2	3	5

Navy Commissary Store, Gulfport, Mississippi, Night Shelf Stocking

The function, converted to contracting in July 1983, affected 11 employees. Included were seven employees who had worked in the function and four employees who were displaced from other functions.

Status and Profile Of Affected Permanent Employees									
	Total		nder Female	Race/Na White		Origin Asian	<u>Yete</u>	ran No	
Placed at the installation: In the same grade In a lower grade	: 3 3	1 3	2	1 2	2 1	-	2 3	1 -	
Placed at another installation or federal agency in the same grade (no relocation)	4	3	1	2	1	1	3	1	
Involuntary separation	_1	_1	_		_1	_	_1	_	
Total	11	8	3	5	5	1	9	2	

Naval Weapons Center, China Lake, California, Family Housing, Motor Vehicle Maintenance, Supply Operations, and Warehouse Functions

The functions, converted to contracting in March 1983, affected 212 employees. Included were 130 employees who had worked in the functions and 82 employees who were displaced from other functions.

Status and Profile of Affected Permanent Employees									
	Total		nder Female			ional Orig Hispanic		Vete Yes	
Placed at the installation	n:								
In the same grade	41	14	27	39	-	2	-	15	26
In a lower grade	86	68	18	79	3	2 3	1	55	31
In a higher grade	3	3	-	2	-	1	-	2	1
Placed at another installation (relocation): In the same grade In a lower grade In a higher grade	17 8 1	14 7 -	3 1 1	15 6 1	2 - -	- 2 -	- -	7 3 -	10 5 1
Regular retirement	12	11	1	11	-	1		5	7
Early retirement	10	8	2	7	3	-	-	3	7
Involuntary separation	14	11	3	12	2	-	-	6	8
Placed with contractor	20	<u> 15</u>	_5	_17	3	_		7	13
Total	212	151	61 	189	13	9	1	103	109

Philadelphia Naval Shipyard, Pennsylvania, Supply Support

The function, converted to contracting in April 1983, affected six employees. All six employees worked in the function.

	<u>Total</u>		nder Female	Race White Unk		<u>Vete</u> Yes	ran No
Placed at the installation In the same grade In a lower grade In a higher grade	2 3 <u>1</u>	2 3 	_ _1	- 3 _1	2	2 	- 3 _1
Total	6	5	1	4	2	2	4

Norfolk Naval Shipyard, Portsmouth, Virginia, Data Entry Services

The function, converted to contracting in September 1982, affected 19 employees. All 19 employees worked in the data entry services function.

Status and Profile of Affected Permanent Employees

	<u>Total</u>	<u>Gender</u> Female	Mino Yes	<u>No</u>		No No
Placed at the installation: In the same grade In a higher grade	15 2	15 2	8 2	7	1 -	14 2
Placed at another installation or federal agency in the same grade (no relocation)	1	1	_	1	_	1
Regular retirement	_1	_1	_1			_1
Total	19	19	11	8	1	18

^aRace/National Origin data was not obtained.

U.S. Naval Construction Battalion Center, <u>Port Hueneme, California,</u> Grounds Maintenance

The function, converted to contracting in October 1982, affected 18 employees. Included were 16 employees who had worked in the functions and 2 employees who were displaced from other functions.

Status	and	Profile	of	Affected	Permanent	Employees

	Total	Gender Male	Race/I White	National Hispanic	Origin <u>Unk</u>	<u>Vete</u> <u>Yes</u>	no No
Placed at the installation	:						
In the same grade	9	9	3	3	3	8	1
In a lower grade	7	7	1	6	-	7	_
In a higher grade	1	1	-	-	1	1	-
Early retirement	_1	_1	_	_1		_1	_
Total	18	18	4	10	4	17	1

Philadelphia Naval Station, Pennsylvania, Food Services

The function, converted to contracting in October 1982, affected 16 employees. Included were 15 employees who had worked in the function and 1 employee who was displaced from another function.

Status and Profile of Affected Permanent Employeesa

	<u>Total</u>	<u>Gender</u> <u>Male</u>	<u>Vete</u> Yes	ran <u>No</u>
Placed at the installation in a lower grade	2	2	2	
Placed at another installation or federal agency in a lower grade (no relocation)	1	1	1	-
Regular retirement	4	4	4	-
Early retirement	1	1	1	-
Involuntary separation	1	1	-	1
Placed with the contractor	_7	_7	_7	
Total	16	16	15	1

^aRace/National Origin was not determined.

Barksdale Air Force Base, Louisiana, Transient Aircraft Maintenance

The function, converted to contracting in October 1982, affected 19 employees. Included were 16 employees who had worked in the function and 3 employees who were displaced from other functions.

Status and Profile of Affected Permanent Employees

	<u>Total</u>	<u>Gender</u> <u>Male</u>	<u>Race</u> White	<u>Vete</u> <u>Yes</u>	no No
Placed at the installation: In the same grade In a lower grade	1 <u>18</u>	1 <u>18</u>	1 <u>18</u>		1 15
Total	19	19	19	3	16

Prior to the conversion to contracting, the installation's Civilian Personnel Office stockpiled vacancies and imposed a semi-hiring freeze in order to enhance the placement opportunities for affected employees.

(392004)

AN EQUAL OPPORTUNITY EMPLOYER

UNITED STATES GENERAL ACCOUNTING OFFICE WASHINGTON, D.C. 20548

OFFICAL BUSINESS PENALTY FOR PRIVATE USE \$300

.

BULK RATE POSTAGE & FEES PAID GAO PERMIT No. G100

30983

~