The Honorable Paul Simon
Chairman, Subcommittee on
Postsecondary Education
Committee on Education and Labor
House of Representatives
Dear Mr. Chairman:

Your November 17, 1982, letter requested information on the Department of Education's State Student Incentive Grant (SSIG) program. Specifically, you asked for information on (1) how the program is administered at the state level, (2) how much federal SSIG money has been received by each state and how much money the program has generated in each state, (3) the average income of students receiving SSIG awards, and (4) the impact of federal funding reductions on the program in fiscal year 1982 as well as the potential impact of further reductions. In later discussion with the Subcommittee staff, we agreed to determine the amounts that the states have expended on their own need-based grant and scholarship programs. The results of our review are summarized below and discussed in more detail in the enclosures.

Under the SSIG program, authorized by Public Law 92-318, federal funds are made available to states to encourage them to develop or expand grant assistance to students with substantial financial need who attend postsecondary education institutions. The Department of Education allocates federal funds to states based on a formula reflecting each state's postsecondary student enrollment size. However, states must match the allocation, dollar for dollar.

From fiscal year 1974, the first year federal funds were appropriated for the program, through fiscal year 1982, the Congress appropriated about $\$ 512$ million. These appropriations, together with matching funds, have made available about \$l billion for SSIG grants to needy postsecondary students. During fiscal years 1974 through 1981, the last fiscal year for which data were available from the Department of Education, the program awarded about 1.6 million grants to postsecondary students whose estimated average net family income ranged from $\$ 7,786$ in 1974 to $\$ 11,297$ in 1980; the average for 1981 was $\$ 11,046$. For the 8 -year period, grant recipients' estimated average SSIG awards ranged from $\$ 324$ in 1974 to a high of $\$ 597$ in 1978; the average award for 1981 was $\$ 581$.

In addition to assistance provided under the SSIG program, states have provided other financial assistance through statesponsored need-based programs. Thirty-one states had statesponsored need-based grant or scholarship programs before the advent of the SSIG program, and six states have initiated other state-sponsored programs since participating in the SSIG program. During fiscal years 1967-73, the 31 states provided about $\$ 1.6$ billion to needy postsecondary students. From fiscal year 1974, the first year federal funds were appropriated for SSIG, through 1982, they provided about $\$ 5.7$ biliion under their state-sponsored programs and another $\$ 434.3$ million in SSIG matching funds. The six states provided about $\$ 96.8$ million under their state-sponsored programs and about $\$ 27.9$ million in SSIG matching funds during fiscal years 1974-82. In total during this period, these 37 states provided about $\$ 5.8$ billion through their state-sponsored programs and about $\$ 462 \mathrm{million}$ in SSIG matching funds. During the same period the 14 states (including the District of Columbia) that did not have other statesponsored student aid programs provided about $\$ 41$ million in SSIG matching funds.

Based on the views of SSIG program administrators in the 50 states and the District of Columbia obtained through a telephone survey, the fiscal year 1982 federal funding reductions of $\$ 3$ million for the SSIG program had a greater impact in some states than in others. Twelve states experienced a substantial decrease--more than 15 percent--in the number of SSIG recipients. Only two states, however, made administrative or programmatic changes, such as increasing the expected family contribution or reducing the number of program evaluations, as a direct result of the fiscal year 1982 funding reductions.

Federal appropriations for the SSIG program for fiscal year 1983 were about $\$ 13.7$ million less than the previous year's appropriations. Most state SSIG program administrators contacted during our survey believed that this funding reduction would resuit in even fewer students receiving SSIG grants and/or students receiving smaller awards. If federal funds continue to decline, 45 state SSIG program officials said that the number and/or size of awards made to students would most likely be further reduced. Thirty-five officials would seek additional funds from their states and other sources to maintain the program at the current levels, although the probability of getting additional funds was not expected to be high.

According to 22 state SSIG program administrators, fermirating federal funding for the SSIG program would further reduce the number of needy postsecondary students that would receive assistance or would reduce the award amounts, and thousands of students could lose the opportunity for postsecondary education. Program administrators in 12 states believed that without any federal contribution, their states would terminate the program. If the federal government's participation in the program is terminated, state administrators believed that the federal government should take other actions, such as increasing federal funding in other postsecondary education programs, to make up for the loss of SSIG funds.

A draft of this report was sent to the Department of Education for its review. The Department's comments were obtained orally and were incorporated in this report.

We trust that this information will be helpful to the Subcommittee. As arranged with your office, unless you publicly announce its contents earlier, no further distribution of this report will be made until 30 days from its issue date. At that time, we will send copies to interested parties and make copies available to others upon request.

Sincerely yours,


Richard L. Fogel Director
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## FUNDING INFORMATION ON THE STATE

## STUDENT INCENTIVE GRANT PROGRAM AND

## OTHER NEED-BASED STUDENT AID PROGRAMS

OF SELECTED STATES

## INTRODUCTION

On November 17, 1982, the Chairman of the Subcommittee on Postsecondary Education, House Committee on Education and Labor, asked us to obtain data on the (1) Department of Education's (ED's) annual funding of the State Student Incentive Grant (SSIG) program in each statel since the program was enacted in 1972, (2) average income of students receiving SSIG awards and the states' distribution of SSIG funds to recipients, (3) amount of matching funds required by each state receiving federal funds, and (4) the amount of money each state made available annually to needy postsecondary students under need-based financial assistance programs since 1972. We were also asked to provide information on the impact of the fiscal year 1982 federal funding reductions on the program as well as the potential impact of further funding reductions.

Because the SSIG program was initially funded in 1974, we obtained information on the amounts of SSIG funds ED provided to states each year since fiscal year 1974 rather than 1972. To obtain a broad overview of the states' use of the SSIG funds and the impact of funding reductions on their programs as well as the potential impact of terminating federal funding, we conducted a telephone survey of all states. We also agreed to obtain data on the growth or change in states' funding of needbased student aid programs before SSIG's first appropriations in fiscal year 1974. Available data were obtained from the states for fiscal years 1967-82.

OBJECTIVE, SCOPE, AND METHODOLOGY
Our objective was to gather data and answer specific questions relating to states' use of federal SSIG funds. We interviewed program officials and reviewed records from ED's State Student Incentive Grant Section to obtain (1) an understanding of how annual allotments are administered and distributed to

[^0]states and (2) specific data on the amounts of federal SSIG funds provided to each state as well as the number of students who received assistance under the program since fiscal year 1974. We also obtained data from ED on the SSIG recipients' average net family income and award levels.

During July and August 1983, we conducted a telephone survey to obtain information from the agencies responsible for administering the SSIG program in each state. This survey elicited information on the states' matching of federal SSIG funds as well as their funding of other need-based student aid programs and state officials' views on the impact of federal SSIG funding reductions. We also obtained information on changes between fiscal years 1981 and 1982 in program administration and in the number of SSIG recipients and the relationship of these changes to reductions in federal SSIG funding.

Because of the tight time frame for providing the requested information, we gathered data on the states' SSIG programs through a telephone survey, rather than through on-site reviews. Information on the funding of other need-based programs is based on the amounts of money the states appropriated as well as funds contributed by other sources to the programs rather than actual expenditures because expenditure data were not readily available for all states. Additionally, data on the net incomes and awards for fiscal years 1982 and 1983 recipients were not yet available from ED at the time of our review. Because we did not make on-site reviews, we did not evaluate the states' administration of the SSIG program.

Our work was performed in accordance with generally accepted government auditing standards.

THE STATE STUDENT INCENTIVE GRANT
PROGRAM: A FEDERAL-STATE PARTNERSHIP IN STUDENT FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE

The SSIG program was established by the June 23, 1972, amendments to the Higher Education Act of 1965 (title IV-A-3) to make incentive grants available to states to assist them in providing grants to eligible students with substantial financial need to attend institutions of higher education. The program is a 50-50 cost-sharing program under which federal funds are allotted to states based on a formula reflecting recent student attendance patterns and state funds are required to match the federal allotments.

A state that desires to receive SSIG funds must have an agreement with the Secretary of Education as provided for under the authorizing law and must submit an application for funding to ED each year. The amount of funds available to states is determined by a statutory allotment formula and the program's level of appropriations. States initially obtain allotments that are determined by a nonnegotiable formula. States may, however, request a share of a reallotment of funds that become available when some states do not use their entire allotment.

In fiscal year 1974, the first funding year for the SSIG program, 36 states participated. By fiscal year 1977, all 51 states had received funding through the program. SSIG student grants are limited to $\$ 2,000$ a year.

ED provides leadership and support for the SSIG program, and the states are responsible for administering the grants to students. ED's State Student Incentive Grant Section of the Office of Student Financial Assistance administers the program at the federal level. This section is responsible for defining possible regulatory problems and recommending necessary regulatory and policy changes, developing standards and criteria for reviewing state SSIG program agreements, approving state applications for funds, and monitoring program operations.

Annual funding allotments to states are made about the end of May. The allotments must be matched equally by state funds from nonfederal resources.

Each state is required to assign responsibility for administering the SSIG program to a single agency. States may use a centralized or decentralized structure, or a combination of both, to administer the program. Most often states use a centralized structure under which the state agency performs all administrative functions relating to grant awards, including processing grant applications, notifying students of awards, verifying student attendance, disbursing grant funds, and maintaining student awards records. Under a decentralized structure, a state delegates some of the functions to participating postsecondary institutions and suballocates SSIG funds to the institutions on the basis of an enrollment or a need formula. The institutions recommend SSIG grant recipients to the state agency, which must approve the awards.

## Federal and state funding <br> of the SSIG program

For fiscal years 1974-82, the Congress appropriated about $\$ 512$ million for the SSIG program. Total federal and state funding for SSIG grants during this period was about $\$ 1$ billion. About 60 percent of the total funds were available for SSIG grants to students during fiscal years 1979-82, when the federal share reached its highest appropriations and allotment levels. Appropriations for the program were 19 percent less in fiscal year 1983 than in fiscal year 1982. The following table shows the program's funding history.

| Fiscal <br> year | Federal <br> appropriations | Federal <br> allotments | State <br> matching <br> funds | Total <br> funding |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| 1973 | - |  | - |  |
| 1974 | $\$ 20,000,0006$ | $\$ 18,728,495$ | $\$ 18,728,495$ | $\$ 37,456,990$ |
| 1975 | $20,000,000$ | $19,574,993$ | $19,574,993$ | $39,149,986$ |
| 1976 | $44,000,000$ | $43,370,357$ | $43,370,357$ | $86,740,714$ |
| 1977 | $60,000,000$ | $59,098,524$ | $59,098,524$ | $118,197,048$ |
| 1978 | $63,750,000$ | $62,762,291$ | $62,762,291$ | $125,524,582$ |
| 1979 | $76,750,000$ | $75,723,625$ | $75,723,625$ | $151,447,250$ |
| 1980 | $76,750,000$ | $75,640,444$ | $75,640,444$ | $151,280,888$ |
| 1981 | $76,750,000$ | $75,953,124$ | $75,953,124$ | $151,906,248$ |
| 1982 | $73,680,000$ | $72,972,221$ | $72,972,221$ | $145,944,442$ |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Federal allotments do not equal the federal appropriations since our report covers only allotments made to the 51 states and does not cover participating U.S. Territories; the federal appropriations were allocated to states and U.S. Territories.
bonly $\$ 19$ million was released for allotment to states.
In fiscal year 1974, the first operating year of the SSIG program, 36 states participated in the program, and federal allotments to these states ranged from $\$ 56,841$ for Delaware to \$3,215,886 for California. In fiscal year 1982, all states participated in the program, and the federal allotments to the states ranged from $\$ 63,254$ for Wyoming to $\$ 11,394,921$ for California.

Enclosure II provides an account of the net allotments (basic allotments plus adjustments for returns or additional allotments) each state received for fiscal years 1974-82, the initial federal allotments to states for fiscal year 1983, and
the total federal and state funding for the program for fiscal years 1974-82.

The source for matching funds in most states has been state appropriations. Some states, however, have used other moneys, such as contributions from participating postsecondary institutions, as sources for matching funds.

RECIPIENTS' INCOME AND GRANT AMOUNTS

During fiscal years 1974-81, the SSIG program provided about 1.6 million grants ${ }^{2}$ to postsecondary students whose estimated average net family income ${ }^{3}$ ranged from $\$ 7,786$ in 1974 to $\$ 11,297$ in 1980; the average for 1981 was $\$ 11,046$. The estimated average SSIG award ${ }^{4}$ ranged from $\$ 324$ in 1974 to a high of $\$ 597$ in 1978; the average award for 1981 was $\$ 581$. Data on recipients' net family income ${ }^{5}$ and levels of awards were not available from ED for fiscal year 1982.6

In fiscal years 1980 and 1981, 549,327 grants were awarded to students. According to our telephone survey, the recipients were primarily undergraduate students who attended about 4,500 higher education institutions that included vocational institutions, junior and community colleges, and 4-year and professional public and private institutions. The annual costs of

2Includes both initial and continuation grants to students and therefore does not necessarily correspond to the number of students who received SSIG grants.

3Includes the family income of both dependent and independent students.

4 Includes awards for both full-time and part-time students and includes initial awardees as well as continuation awardees.

5For the SSIG program, each state determines its own definition of net family income, and we did not obtain the definition used by each state.
${ }^{6}$ The figures for the number of grant awards and estimated average net family income and awards are based on data obtained from performance reports that the states submit to ED. At the time of our review, states had not submitted their fiscal year 1982 performance reports; therefore, data for that year were not obtained.
education for these students ranged from relatively nominal amounts to $\$ 20,000$ or more per year. The students' net family incomes averaged about $\$ 11,000$ in each of the 2 years, and their SSIG awards averaged $\$ 584$ and $\$ 581$ for fiscal years 1980 and 1981, respectively.

In some states the grantees' estimated average net family income was much higher than $\$ 11,000$. For example, in Connecticut the estimated average net family income for grant recipients was $\$ 20,486$ in fiscal year 1980 and about $\$ 21,172$ in fiscal year 1981. Also, in some states the estimated average awards were much higher than the overall average. For example, Ohio's estimated average award was $\$ 762$ in fiscal year 1980 and $\$ 1,074$ in fiscal year 1981. No state's average award reached the $\$ 2,000$ maximum award level. Enclosure III shows, by state, the estimated average net family income and average award for SSIG recipients for fiscal years 1974-81.

STATE ASSISTANCE TO NEEDY POSTSECONDARY STUDENTS

In addition to SSIG grants, most states have provided other financial assistance through state-sponsored programs. Thirtyone states had state-sponsored need-based grant or scholarship programs before the advent of the SSIG program, and six states have initiated other state-sponsored programs since participating in the SSIG program.

During the 7 years ( $1967^{7}$ through 1973) before the start of SSIG program funding, the 31 states provided about $\$ 1.6$ billion to needy postsecondary students. From the start of SSIG funding in fiscal year 1974 through fiscal year 1982, these 31 states provided about $\$ 5.7$ billion under their state-sponsored programs. During this period they also provided SSIG matching funds totaling $\$ 434.3$ million. The six states provided about $\$ 96.8 \mathrm{million}$ to needy postsecondary students under their statesponsored programs and about $\$ 27.9$ million in SSIG matching funds during fiscal years 1974-82. In total during this period, these 37 states provided about $\$ 5.8$ billion through their statesponsored programs and about $\$ 462$ million in SSIG matching funds. During the same period the 14 states that did not have other state-sponsored student aid programs provided about $\$ 41$ million in SSIG matching funds.

7Although some state-sponsored programs began before 1967, GAO selected fiscal year 1967 as the first year for looking at the funding history and growth of state-sponsored need-based programs that preceded the SSIG program.

The funding provided by the 51 states through statesponsored programs and SSIG matching since the inception of the SSIG program through fiscal year 1982 totaled $\$ 6.3$ billion. Enclosure IV provides data on states' need-based funding levels for fiscal years 1967-82.

The funding of state-sponsored need-based grant and scholarship programs in states that operated programs before $1974{ }^{8}$ increased at varying rates between fiscal years 1967 and 1982. For example, in 1982, the funding for Maryland and Pennsylvania programs was about 2.5 times the original funding levels of about $\$ 1.9$ million and $\$ 31.5$ million, respectively, and the funding for South Carolina's programs increased to about $\$ 12.8$ million from its original level of $\$ 5,000$. In 16 states, the 1982 funding levels were at least 10 times greater than the original levels.

Between fiscal years 1980 and 1982, the 37 states made about $\$ 2.4$ billion available to postsecondary students under their state-sponsored programs. In addition, they made available $\$ 203.5 \mathrm{million}$ in matching funds for SSIG recipients.

## STATES' ASSESSMENTS OF THE EFFECT <br> OF SSIG FUNDING REDUCTIONS AND/OR TERMINATION OF THE PROGRAM

Federal appropriations for the SSIG program were reduced by about $\$ 16.7$ million between fiscal years 1981 and 1983. Between fiscal years 1981 and 1982, federal appropriations for the program decreased by about $\$ 3$ million. Fiscal year 1983 federal appropriations were about $\$ 13.7$ million, or 19 percent, less than the previous year's funding. According to state program officials, funding reductions have had varying effects on the states' programs.

Effects of fiscal year 1982
funding reductions
Data on the number of students who received SSIG awards in fiscal year 1982 were not yet available from ED at the time of our review. However, we asked state SSIG officials whether the number of SSIG recipients significantly increased, decreased, or stayed about the same between fiscal years 1981 and 1982 . According to their responses:

[^1]--37 states had about the same number of grant recipients each year.
--2 states had a much higher number of grant recipients in 1982. An official from one of these states explained that the state decreased the size of the maximum and average awards, thereby making more money available for more recipients. This official also said that the state was able to provide more "overmatching" funds in 1982 than in 1981.
--12 states had substantial decreases--more than 15 per-cent--in the number of grant recipients. In 11 cases the decreases were attributed to program funding reductions.

The funding reductions' impact on a state's student aid program could be influenced somewhat by the degree to which it relies on SSIG funds from ED. States that rely heavily on federal funding for student aid would tend to be more adversely affected as federal funds decline than other states. The specific degree of reliance on federal SSIG funds is hard to quantify. About half of the SSIG program officials in our survey stated that their state programs relied to a moderate degree on federal funding. SSIG officials in nine states stated that their programs relied very little on federal funds in providing postsecondary education assistance to needy students, and officials in three states said their state program is totally contingent on federal funds.

During our interviews with state SSIG program officials, we inquired about changes (besides changes in the numbers of recipients served) that occurred in their SSIG programs as a result of federal funding cuts. State officials indicated that although some programmatic changes were made, in only two cases did they result from federal funding cuts. According to SSIG officials:
--18 states increased or decreased their activities in relation to program monitoring, reviews, or evaluations; only 1 state took any specific action as a direct result of federal funding reductions.
--4 states tightened program eligibility criteria by taking such actions as increasing the expected family or student contributions and by standardizing costs; only 1 state indicated that SSIG funding cuts contributed to the state's decision to increase the expected family contribution.
--2 states changed their procedures for processing SSIG applications; neither state's changes resulted from funding reductions.

State officials' views on impact of continued federal Eunding reductions

Fiscal year 1983 federal appropriations for the SSIG program provided funding for school year 1983-84. Therefore, state officials were not able to give us precise data on the effects of the $\$ 13.7$ million funding reduction for fiscal year 1983 in terms of the number of students served, size of awards, and programmatic changes. However, SSIG program administrators contacted during our survey provided their views about the fiscal year 1983 funding reduction's impact on the program. Most of the 51 state program officials we contacted believed that fewer students would receive SSIG grants and/or the awards would be smaller. States that did not expect to experience any major adverse impact indicated that increased state appropriations were expected to help offset federal funding reductions.

Other concerns expressed by SSIG program administrators were that (1) students i access to education will be adversely affected as education costs rise and student assistance money decreases; (2) some students may have to go to different educational institutions, go to school part time, or borrow more money; and (3) middle income students could be more adversely affected by funding reductions as the limited funds would be made available first to the most needy students.

According to one SSIG state program administrator, federal funding reductions send a bad message as they show a lack of federal commitment which threatens the federal/state partnership. Also, diminishing federal funds can sometimes make it difficult for states to justify requests for state appropriations for student aid purposes.

SSIG program administrators told us that states would have to consider changes to their programs if federal funds continue to decline. The most likely action would be to reduce the number and/or size of awards made to students. Officials in 45 states indicated they would do this. Thirty-five state officials indicated their programs would seek additional funds from the state and other sources to maintain the program at least at the current level, although some said the probability of getting additional funds would not be high. Only five states indicated that they would contemplate dropping out of the program if federal SSIG funds were further reduced.

Impact of terminating federal funding for the SSIG program

In most states, terminating federal funding for the SSIG program would have a major impact on postsecondary educational assistance for students. Twenty-two SSIG program administrators stated that terminating federal SSIG funds would further reduce either the number of students that would receive awards or the award amounts. Program officials in 30 states expressed concern that thousands of students would lose the opportunity for postsecondary education.

Program administrators in 12 states believed that without any federal contribution, their states would terminate the program, resulting in an immediate loss of assistance to many postsecondary students.

SSIG program officials in nine states indicated that termination of federal SSIG funding would not severely affect student financial assistance in their states. Three states' program administrators believed that the state legislatures would appropriate money to make up the loss of federal funds.

Should federal participation in the SSIG program cease, state program administrators believed that the federal government should make up for the loss of these funds through other means. Seventeen of the state officials said that the federal government should increase funding for other federal postsecondary education programs, such as the Pell Grant, Supplementary Educational Opportunity Grant, or College Work Study. Although increased federal funding in other postsecondary education programs would provide more assistance to needy students, two state officials believed that these programs, such as the Pell Grant, would not necessarily serve the same target population as the SSIG program. Four state officials also said that federal postsecondary education funds should be distributed to the states in the form of block grants to allow states more discretion in using the money.

STATES' SSIG NET NIIOMMNIS EOR FISCAL YEARS 1974-82, STATES'
NITTAL FDERRAL SSIG NLOMMENS FRR FISCAL YRER 1983. ADD TOTNL

FDDERNL AND STATE FINDING FOR FISCAL YEARS 1974-82


|  |  | FY 1 |  | FY | 975 | FY | 976 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | States recelving federal SSIG funds | Federal SSIG net allotments | Total federal and state funding for SSIG | Federal SSTG net allotments | Total federal and state funt ing for SSIG | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Federal SSTG } \\ & \text { net allotrents } \end{aligned}$ | Total federal and state funting for SSIG |
|  | Nevada |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | New Hampehire |  |  |  |  | \$ 134,625 | \$ 269,250 |
|  | Hew Jersey | \$ 561,891 | \$ 1,123,782 | \$ 544,091 | \$ 1,088,182 | 1,229,667 | 2,459,334 |
|  | New Mextio |  |  |  |  | 96,950 | 193,900 |
|  | Hew York | 1,989,265 | 3,978,530 | 1,930,370 | 3,860,740 | 4,184,225 | 8,368,450 |
|  | North Carolina |  |  | 422,447 | 844,894 | 778,876 | 1,557,752 |
|  | North Dakota | 69,527 | 139,054 | 62,769 | 125,538 | 125,909 | 251,818 |
|  | Onfo | 912,800 | 1,825,600 | 847,493 | 1,694,986 | 1,799,952 | 3,599,904 |
|  | Oklahoma | 278,675 | 557,350 | 266,095 | 532,190 | 621,968 | 1,243,936 |
|  | Oregon | 287,473 | 574,946 | 281,982 | 563,964 | 606,127 | 1,212,254 |
|  | Pernsylvania | 879,800 | 1,759,600 | 941,027 | 1,882,054 | 2,007,315 | 4,014,630 |
|  | Rhode Island | 116,606 | 233,212 | 117,450 | 234,900 | 256,898 | 513,796 |
|  | South Carolina | 218,882 | 437,764 | 204,927 | 409,854 | 500,219 | 1,000,438 |
| $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | South Dakota | 66,742 | 133,484 | 55,804 | 111,608 | 103,075 | 206,150 |
| N | Tennessee | 343,520 | 687,040 | 320,024 | 640,048 | 723,778 | 1,447,556 |
|  | Texas | 1,140,511 | 2,281,022 | 1,074,984 | 2,149,968 | 2,419,616 | 4,839,232 |
|  | Utah | 168,291 | 336,582 | 171,332 | 342,664 | 286,344 | 572,688 |
|  | Verroont | 59,927 | 119,854 | 59,038 | 118,076 | 118,240 | 236,480 |
|  | Virginia | 302,952 | 605,904 | 393,289 | 786,578 | 847,326 | 1,694,652 |
|  | Washington | 450,389 | 900,778 | 425,032 | 850,064 | 910,737 | 1,821,474 |
|  | Hest Virginia | 144,038 | 288,076 | 145,315 | 290,630 | 314,883 | 629,766 |
|  | Whisconstn | 503,732 | 1,007,464 | 471,476 | 942,952 | 975,893 | 1,951,786 |
|  | Whouing |  |  | 17,125 | 34,250 | 7,219 | 14,438 |
|  | D.C. |  |  | 104,125 | 208,250 | 344,172 | 688,344 |
|  | Tbtal | \$18,728,495 | \$37,456,990 | \$19,574,993 | \$39,149,986 | \$43,370,357 | \$86,740,714 |


|  |  | FY 1 | 977 | FY |  | FY |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | States receiving federal SSTG fund | Federal SSIG net alloments | Total federal and state funding for SSIG | Federal SSIG net allotments | Total federal and state funding for SSIG | Federal SSTG net allotentis | Total federal and state funding for SSTG |
|  | Alabama | \$ 272,850 | \$ 545,700 | \$ 968,303 | \$ 1,936,606 | \$ 1,064,180 | \$ 2,128,360 |
|  | Alaska |  |  | 63,355 | 126,710 | 112,763 | 225,526 |
|  | Arizona | 595,288 | 1,190,576 | 796,387 | 1,592,774 | 809,725 | 1,619,450 |
|  | Arkansas | 244,065 | 488,130 | 257,526 | 515,052 | 473,617 | 947,234 |
|  | Califomda | 10,010,343 | 20,020,686 | 10,442,707 | 20,885,414 | 12,653,909 | 25,307,818 |
|  | colorado | 750,678 | 1,501,356 | 794,536 | 1,589,072 | 1,014,799 | 2,029,598 |
|  | comecticut | 789,435 | 1,578,870 | 825,585 | 1,651,170 | 982,860 | 1,965,720 |
|  | Delaware | 160,332 | 320,664 | 167,648 | 335,296 | 200,212 | 400,424 |
|  | Florida | 1,859,362 | 3,718,724 | 1,936,481 | 3,872,962 | 2,366,398 | 4,732,796 |
|  | Ceorgla | 1,102,025 | 2,204,050 | 1,125,986 | 2,251,972 | 1,372,215 | 2,744,430 |
|  | Hawail | 144,488 | 288,976 | 147,940 | 295,880 | 230,334 | 460,668 |
|  | Thaho | 180,476 | 360,952 | 204,416 | 408,832 | 253,383 | 506,766 |
|  | Illinois | 3,079,294 | 6,158,588 | 3,181,643 | 6,363,286 | 4,054,382 | 8,108,764 |
| $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\omega}$ | Indiana | 1,185,655 | 2,371,310 | 1,234,832 | 2,469,664 | 1,614,941 | 3,229,882 |
|  | Iowa | 641,050 | 1,282,100 | 651,653 | 1,303,306 | 812,065 | 1,624,130 |
|  | Kansas | 675,344 | 1,350,688 | 703,355 | 1,406,710 | 850,254 | 1,700,508 |
|  | Kentucky | 742,244 | 1,484,488 | 773,029 | 1,546,058 | 964,390 | 1,928,780 |
|  | Loulsiana | 297,444 | 594,888 | 320,453 | 640,906 | 411,338 | 822,676 |
|  | Maine | 226,005 | 452,010 | 231,107 | 462,214 | 280,234 | 560,468 |
|  | Maryland | 1,061,770 | 2,123,540 | 1,093,812 | 2,187,624 | 1,350,047 | 2,700,094 |
|  | Massachusetts | 2,105,428 | 4,210,856 | 2,201,842 | 4,403,684 | 2,525,562 | 5,051,124 |
|  | Michigan | 2,640,905 | 5,281,810 | 2,761,841 | 5,523,682 | 3,185,293 | 6,370,586 |
|  | Mirnesota | 1,142,037 | 2,284,074 | 1,189,405 | 2,378,810 | 1,455,947 | 2,911,894 |
|  | Misalssippi | 532,081 | 1,064,162 | 564,962 | 1,129,924 | 666,621 | 1,333,242 |
|  | Missouri | 1,213,580 | 2,427,160 | 1,246,126 | 2,492,252 | 1,486,314 | 2,972,623 |
|  | Montana | 164,940 | 329,880 | 175,249 | 350,498 | 185,821 | 371,642 |
|  | Nebraska | 409,049 | 818,098 | 427,781 | 855,562 | 536,939 | 1,073,878 |


|  |  | EY 1 |  | F 1 | 978 | FY 1 | 979 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | States receiving federal SSTG funds | Federal SSTG net allobments | Total federal and state funt ing for SSIG | Federal SSIG net allotments | Total federal and atate funting for SSIG | Federal SSIG net allotments | Total federal and state funding for SSIG |
|  | Nevada | \$ 81,176 | \$ 162,352 | \$ 138,032 | \$ 276,064 | \$ 145,540 | \$ 291,080 |
|  | New Hampehire | 185,947 | 371,894 | 224,346 | 448,692 | 262,578 | 525,156 |
|  | New Jersey | 1,602,365 | 3,204,730 | 1,671,602 | 3,343,204 | 2,046,589 | 4,093,178 |
|  | New Mexico | 280,700 | 561,400 | 266,450 | 532,900 | 319,354 | 638,708 |
|  | Hew York | 6,137,049 | 12,274,098 | 6,401,851 | 12,803,702 | 7,561,341 | 15,122,682 |
|  | North Carolina | 1,282,363 | 2,564,726 | 1,365,183 | 2,730,366 | 1,643,183 | 3,286,366 |
|  | North Dakota | 159,682 | 319,364 | 163,287 | 326,574 | 200,366 | 400,732 |
|  | Chio | 2,321,361 | 4,642,722 | 2,383,616 | 4,767,232 | 2,962,556 | 5,925,112 |
|  | Oklahoma | 835,836 | 1,671,672 | 923,074 | 1,846,148 | 1,094,538 | 2,189,076 |
|  | Oregon | 771,444 | 1,542,888 | 803,440 | 1,606,880 | 982,952 | 1,965,904 |
|  | Pernsylvania | 2,593,301 | 5,186,602 | 2,705,480 | 5,410,960 | 3,403,444 | 6,806,888 |
|  | Rhode Island | 326,103 | 652,206 | 352,887 | 705,774 | 397,791 | 795,582 |
|  | South Carolina | 730,958 | 1,461,916 | 767,068 | 1,534,136 | 887,723 | 1,775,446 |
| $\stackrel{\square}{\square}$ | South Dakota | 118,000 | 236,000 | 172,868 | 345,736 | 210,254 | 420,508 |
|  | Temessee | 996,835 | 1,993,670 | 1,038,180 | 2,076,360 | 1,262,919 | 2,525,838 |
|  | Texas | 3,306,614 | 6,613,228 | 3,445,171 | 6,890,342 | 3,708,272 | 7,416,544 |
|  | Utah | 368,443 | 736,886 | 466,475 | 932,950 | 566,500 | 1,133,000 |
|  | Vermont | 150,426 | 300,852 | 156,665 | 313,330 | 192,337 | 384,674 |
|  | Virginia | 1,225,157 | 2,450,314 | 1,296,266 | 2,592,532 | 1,575,529 | 3,151,058 |
|  | Washington | 1,219,131 | 2,438,262 | 1,269,696 | 2,539,392 | 1,683,808 | 3,367,616 |
|  | Hest Vinginia | 414,268 | 828,536 | 423,622 | 847,244 | 530,187 | 1,060,374 |
|  | Whisconsin | 1,320,422 | 2,640,844 | 1,375,188 | 2,750,376 | 1,621,602 | 3,243,204 |
|  | Wyouing | 8,178 | 16,356 |  |  | 13,042 | 26,084 |
|  | D.C. | 436,597 | 873,194 | 463,884 | 927,768 | 536,677 | 1,073,354 |
|  | Total | \$59,098,524 | \$118,197,048 | \$62,762,291 | \$125,524,582 | \$75,723,625 | \$151,447,250 |



|  | II 1980 |  | FY 1981 |  | F1 1982 |  | F 1983 |  | Totals |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| States receiving federal SSTG fund | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pederal } \\ & \text { SSIG met } \\ & \text { allotente } \end{aligned}$ | Total federal and state funding for SSTG | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Federal } \\ \text { SSIG net } \\ \text { allotmente } \end{array}$ | Total federal and atate funding for SSIG | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pederal } \\ & \text { SSIG net } \\ & \text { allotments } \end{aligned}$ | Total federal <br> and ecate funding <br> for SSIG | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Peducal } \\ & \text { SSTG } \\ & \text { frotalal } \\ & \text { allotmente } \end{aligned}$ | Total initial federal and eqpected atate funde for SSE | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Federal } \\ \text { SSIG not } \\ \text { allot-atep } \end{array}$ | Federal and state funding for SSTG |
| New Mextco | \$ 385,340 | \$ 770,680 | \$ 396,055 | \$ 792,110 | \$ 376,056 | \$752. 112 | \$ 304,390 | \$608, 780 | \$ 2,120,905 | \$ 4,261,810 |
| Naw York | 6,624,514 | 13,249,028 | 6,589,842 | 13,179,694 | 6,269,038 | 12,538,076 | 5,068,430 | 10,136,860 | 47,687,495 | 95,374,990 |
| North Carollna | 1,687,208 | 3,374,416 | 1,649,159 | 3,298,318 | 1,596,820 | 3,193,640 | 1,302,181 | 2,604, 362 | 10,425,239 | 20,850,478 |
| Horth Dekota | 213,010 | 426,020 | 209,005 | 418,010 | 198,452 | 396,904 | 160,632 | 321,264 | 1,402,007 | 2,804,014 |
| Ohlo | 3,010,478 | 6,020,956 | 3,042,861 | 6,085,722 | 2,919,299 | 5,838,598 | 2,377,279 | 4,754,558 | 20,200,416 | 40,400,832 |
| Oklahoma | 1,020,368 | 2,040,736 | 1,033,514 | 2,067,028 | 991,546 | 1,983,092 | 807,448 | 1,614,896 | 7,065,614 | 14,131,228 |
| Ocegon | 989, 123 | 1,978,246 | 1,002,154 | 2,004, 308 | 951,552 | 1,903,104 | 770,211 | 1,540,422 | 6,676,247 | 13,352,494 |
| Pernsylvania | 3,405,778 | 6,811,556 | 3,428,791 | 6,857,582 | 3,266,704 | 6,533,408 | 2,635,216 | 5,270,432 | 22,631,640 | 45,263,280 |
| Pirode Lsland | 431,412 | 862,824 | 411,738 | 823,476 | 390,948 | 781,896 | 316,443 | 632,886 | 2,801,833 | 5,603,666 |
| South Carolina | 860,333 | 1,720,666 | 830,289 | 1,660,578 | 801,401 | 1,602,802 | 648,675 | 1,297,350 | 5,801,800 | 11,603,600 |
| South Dakota | 217,698 | 435,396 | 217,867 | 435,734 | 209,003 | 418,040 | 170,211 | 340,422 | 1,371,328 | 2,742,656 |
| Tennessee | 1,332,001 | 2,664,002 | 1,263,283 | 2,526,566 | 1,199,495 | 2,398,990 | 970,902 | 1,941,804 | 8,460,035 | 16,960,070 |
| Texas | 4,421,505 | 8,843,010 | 4,255,422 | 8,510,844 | 4,040,955 | 8,081,910 | 3,270,854 | 6,541,708 | 27,813,050 | 55,626,100 |
| Ucah | 592,768 | 1,185,536 | 585,265 | 1,170,530 | 587,289 | 1,174,578 | 445,499 | 830,998 | 3,792,707 | 7,585,414 |
| Vermont | 194, 523 | 399,046 | 195,948 | 391,896 | 186,053 | 372,106 | 150,597 | 301,194 | 1,313,157 | 2,626,314 |
| Virginia | 1,654,844 | 3,309,688 | 1,611,927 | 3,223,854 | 1,568,242 | 3,136,484 | 1,277,070 | 2,554,140 | 10,475,532 | 20,951,064 |
| Hashington | 1,940,793 | 3,881,586 | 1,770,269 | 3,540,538 | 1,680,891 | 3,361,762 | 1,360,549 | 2,721,098 | 11,350,736 | 22,701,472 |
| Hest Virginia | 551,137 | 1,102,274 | 566,634 | 1,133,268 | 538,023 | 1,076,046 | 435,490 | 870,500 | 3,628, 107 | 7,256,214 |
| Wisconsin | 1,625,382 | 3,250,764 | 1,600,615 | 3,201,230 | 1,535,618 | 3,071,236 | 1,250,503 | 2,501,006 | 11,029,928 | 22,059,856 |
| Wyonding | 28,531 | 57,062 | 57,702 | 115,404 | 63,254 | 126,508 | 100,574 | 201,148 | 195,051 | 390, 102 |
| D.C. | 394,661 | 789,322 | 559,036 | 1,118,072 | 536,335 | 1,072,670 | 436,755 | 873.510 | 3,375,487 | 6,750,974 |
| Total | \$75,640,444 | \$151,280,888 | \$75,953,124 | \$151,906,248 | \$72,972,221 | \$145, \$44,442 | \$59,427,741 | \$118,855,482 | \$503,824,074 | \$1,007,648,148 |

## ESTIMATED AUERAGE nET PAMTLY DHOCRE

| States | AD AMPRS EOR SSIG RECIPIENS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | BY STATE ROR FISCAL YEARS 1974-81 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Fiocal years |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1974 | 1975 | $\underline{1976}$ | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 |
| Alabama: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Estimated average net income | NP | \$ 7,178 | \$ 4,087 | \$ 4,774 | \$ 6,518 | \$ 6,237 | \$ 7,909 | \$ 7,744 |
| Estimated average avard |  | \$ 125 | \$ 136 | \$ 161 | \$ 361 | \$ 349 | \$ 355 | \$ 370 |
| Number of recipients |  | 3,496 | 2,641 | 2,453 | 6,594 | 7,371 | 5,089 | 1,598 |
| Alaska: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Estimated average net income | NP | NP | \$8,710 | NP | \$ 5,409 | \$ 5,634 | \$8,429 | \$ 3,856 |
| Estimated average avard |  |  | \$ 769 |  | \$ 603 | \$ 1,124 | \$ 1,207 | \$ 1,253 |
| Numer of recipients |  |  | 88 |  | 193 | 186 | 247 | 171 |
| Arizona: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Estimated average net income | NP | NP | \$8,781 | \$ 7,512 | \$ 7,772 | \$ 7,697 | \$8,476 | \$ 9,047 |
| Estimated average amard |  |  | \$ 596 | \$ 569 | \$ 660 | \$ 642 | \$ 642 | \$ 691 |
| Number of recipients |  |  | 1,215 | 1,948 | 2,260 | 2,505 | 2,471 | 3,301 |
| Arkansas: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Estimated average net income | NP | \$ 6,239 | \$ 7,241 | \$ 7,173 | \$ 7,205 | \$11,227 | \$12,711 | \$12,094 |
| Estimated average avard |  | \$ 144 | \$ 145 | \$ 167 | \$ 171 | \$ 295 | \$ 312 | \$ 125 |
| Number of rectipients |  | 1,135 | 1,306 | 2,846 | 2,889 | 4,666 | 7,430 | 9,922 |
| Californta: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Estimated average net income | \$ 7,842 | \$ 9,200 | \$10,372 | \$11,265 | \$11,893 | \$12,420 | \$12,995 | \$13,731 |
| Estimated average amard | \$ 982 | \$ 1,034 | \$ 1,119 | \$ 1,092 | \$ 1,078 | \$ 1,083 | \$ 1,244 | \$ 1,212 |
| Numer of recipients | 6,232 | 5,727 | 12,305 | 17,260 | 17,887 | 21,980 | 16,987 | 18,697 |
| Colorado: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Estimated average net income | \$ 4,295 | \$ 5,076 | \$ 5,379 | \$ 6,018 | \$ 7,793 | \$ 6,988 | \$9,254 | \$9,293 |
| Estimated average award | \$ 977 | \$ 665 | \$ 735 | \$ 641 | \$ 613 | \$ 605 | \$ 581 | \$ 632 |
| Number of reciplents | 709 | 722 | 1,386 | 2,198 | 2,431 | 3,229 | 3,442 | 3,155 |

Estimated average net income Estimated average award Number of recipients

Piscal years

| States | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Comecticut: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Estimated average net income | \$8,523 | \$13,869 | \$13,789 | \$15,657 | \$17,214 | \$18,907 | \$20,486 | \$21,172 |
| Pstimated average amard | \$ 353 | \$ 656 | \$ 678 | \$ 668 | \$ 681 | \$ 697 | \$ 689 | \$ 736 |
| Number of recipients | 1,553 | 821 | 1,746 | 2,195 | 2,219 | 2,529 | 2,674 | 2,391 |
| Delamare: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Estimated average net income | \$ 7,200 | \$ 9,131 | \$10,212 | \$10,110 | \$11,153 | \$12,159 | \$16,082 | \$16,415 |
| Estimated average amard | \$ 460 | \$ 544 | \$ 508 | \$ 548 | \$ 653 | \$ 413 | \$ 688 | \$ 596 |
| Muber of recipients | 217 | 236 | 571 | 554 | 594 | 1,007 | 680 | 948 |
| Florida: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Estinated average net income | \$ 5,883 | \$ 7,236 | \$ 6,824 | \$ 6,966 | \$ 7,408 | \$ 3,809 | \$ 4,119 | \$ 3,967 |
| Estimated average award | \$ 1,030 | \$ 1,034 | \$ 1,004 | \$ 1,048 | \$ 1,004 | \$ 833 | \$ 860 | \$ 958 |
| Nuber of recipients | 1,206 | 1,097 | 2,624 | 3,352 | 3,761 | 5,114 | 5,863 | 5,634 |
| Ceorgla: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Estimated average net income | \$ 6,195 | \$ 7,488 | \$8,011 | \$8,723 | \$ 9,379 | \$10,121 | \$11,910 | \$13,593 |
| Estimated average amand | \$ 231 | \$ 265 | \$ 264 | \$ 311 | \$ 310 | \$ 270 | \$ 279 | \$ 305 |
| Number of reciplents | 2,692 | 2,163 | 5,592 | 6,787 | 6,816 | 10,154 | 9,599 | 10,996 |
| Hawali: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Estimated average net inoome | NP | \$10,027 | \$10,247 | \$ 6,037 | \$ 6,333 | \$ 8,275 | \$8,499 | \$8,540 |
| Estimated average award |  | \$ 125 | \$ 132 | \$ 194 | \$ 202 | \$ 218 | \$ 215 | \$ 335 |
| Nunber of recipients |  | 784 | 1,798 | 1,481 | 1,396 | 1,923 | 2,076 | 1,621 |
| Idaho: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Estimated average net income | NP | \$ 5,966 | \$ 7,771 | \$8,391 | \$ 7,915 | \$ 7,835 | \$ 9,171 | \$8,570 |
| Estimated average award |  | \$ 388 | \$ 441 | \$ 476 | \$ 477 | \$ 585 | \$ 541 | \$ 526 |
| Nunber of rectplents |  | 178 | 588 | 688 | 790 | 803 | 883 | 911 |
| nulnois: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Estimated average net income | \$ 9,886 | \$14,442 | \$14,918 | \$11,774 | \$11,825 | \$11,400 | \$11,448 | \$11,734 |
| Estimated average award | \$ 613 | \$ 360 | \$ 1,003 | \$ 288 | \$ 710 | \$ 235 | \$ 317 | \$ 442 |
| Nunber of reciplents | 8,754 | 4,574 | 9,617 | 22,059 | 12,313 | 18,267 | 17,593 | 24,443 |

Estimated average net income
Estimated average amand

|  | States | Fiscal years |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 |
|  | Indisna: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Estimated average net income | \$11,774 | \$14,390 | \$14,533 | \$15,515 | \$16,413 | \$14,958 | \$15,177 | \$ 5,149 |
|  | Estimated average amard | \$ 918 | \$ 918 | \$ 917 | \$ 1,030 | \$ 1,048 | \$ 810 | \$ 573 | \$ 602 |
|  | Number of recipients | 948 | 863 | 1,960 | 2,341 | 2,337 | 3,918 | 12,876 | 10,185 |
|  | Iowa: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Estimated average net income | \$10,072 | \$12,621 | \$11,172 | \$12,039 | \$11,747 | \$12,336 | \$14,446 | \$14,049 |
|  | Eatimated average amand | \$ 532 | \$ 627 | \$ 474 | \$ 548 | \$ 405 | \$ 418 | \$ 432 | \$ 419 |
|  | Number of recipients | 1,222 | 832 | 2,288 | 2,489 | 3,312 | 3,989 | 4,341 | 4,112 |
|  | Kaneas: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Estimated average net income | \$11,634 | \$11,642 | \$13,843 | \$13,851 | \$14,327 | \$15,759 | \$17,221 | \$17,340 |
|  | Estimated average avard | \$ 357 | \$ 338 | \$ 572 | \$ 662 | \$ 496 | \$ 553 | \$ 415 | \$ 492 |
|  | Nenber of recipients | 1,310 | 1,010 | 1,018 | 2,971 | 2,626 | 2,507 | 3,993 | 3,675 |
| $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{6}$ | Kentucky: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Bstimated average net income | \$ 3,571 | \$4,201 | \$ 6,975 | \$8,372 | \$9,512 | \$10,328 | \$11,534 | \$12,797 |
|  | Estimated average amard | \$ 282 | \$ 201 | \$ 125 | \$ 291 | \$ 268 | \$ 266 | \$ 268 | \$ 279 |
|  | Number of recipients | 1,613 | 1,619 | 4,768 | 5,629 | 6,140 | 7,658 | 7,683 | 7,392 |
|  | Loulsiana: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Estimated average net income | NP | \$ 6,279 | \$ 6,982 | \$ 7,264 | \$ 7,441 | \$8,577 | \$10,184 | \$10,795 |
|  | Estimated average amard |  | \$ 258 | \$ 309 | \$ 284 | \$ 290 | \$ 382 | \$ 457 | \$ 504 |
|  | Number of recipients |  | 1,414 | 1,442 | 1,588 | 1,679 | 1,954 | 2,163 | 4,135 |
|  | Maine: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Estimated average net income | \$ 7,026 | \$ 5,179 | \$ 4,293 | \$ 5,184 | \$10,274 | \$ 9,026 | \$15,171 | \$15,73 |
|  | Estimated average award | \$ 274 | \$ 403 | \$ 307 | \$ 365 | \$ 556 | \$ 360 | \$ 235 | \$ 373 |
|  | Number of rectipients | 711 | 349 | 1,039 | 1,247 | 1,686 | 2,852 | 4,379 | 1,550 |
|  | Maryland: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Estimated average net ticome | \$8,220 | \$10,566 | \$11,007 | \$ 9,826 | \$10,042 | \$10,972 | \$11,844 | \$12,053 |
|  | Estimated average amard | \$ 856 | \$ 877 | \$ 541 | \$ 473 | \$ 449 | \$ 485 | \$ 490 | \$ 491 |
|  | Number of recipients | 850 | 801 | 2,913 | 4,372 | 4,670 | 5,289 | 5,050 | 4,909 |

States
Massachupetts:
Estimated average net income Estimated average amand
Nubber of recipients
Michigan:

| Estimated average net income | \$12,002 | \$13,690 | \$13,787 | \$15,453 | \$16,508 | \$17,707 | \$19,153 | \$19,570 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Estimated average axand | \$ 734 | \$ 733 | \$ 870 | \$ 822 | \$ 892 | \$ 970 | \$ 774 | \$ 932 |
| Munber of recipients | 2,473 | 2,514 | 4,384 | 6,236 | 5,960 | 6,418 | 8,180 | 6,785 |
| nesota: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Estimated average net income | \$ 3,712 | \$ 3,000 | \$ 3,641 | \$ 4,209 | \$ 3,731 | \$ 4,987 | \$ 3,000 | \$ 3,000 |
| Pstimated average anard | \$ 693 | \$ 741 | \$ 780 | \$ 576 | \$ 564 | \$ 562 | \$ 610 | \$ 524 |
| Number of reciplents | 998 | 906 | 2,162 | 3,951 | 4,159 | 5,122 | 4,811 | 5,657 |

Mississippi:
Estimated average net incone
Estimated average award
Nuber of recipients
fissourl:

| Estimated average net incorne | \$10,028 |  | 9,458 | \$11,314 | \$11,631 | \$8,574 | \$10,075 | \$10,187 | \$10,188 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Estimated average award | \$ 622 | \$ | 685 | \$ 558 | \$ 547 | \$ 513 | \$ 613 | \$ 708 | \$ 708 |
| Number of reciplents | 1,354 |  | 1,175 | 3,042 | 4,255 | 4,744 | 5,161 | 4,118 | 3,282 |
| tana: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Estimated average net incoue | NP | \$ | 5,925 | \$ 7,499 | \$10,022 | \$ 9,247 | \$8,849 | \$10,215 | \$ 9,556 |
| Estimated average amard |  | \$ | 312 | \$ 310 | \$ 279 | \$ 318 | \$ 376 | \$ 378 | \$ 378 |
| Number of recipients |  |  | 296 | 576 | 1,303 | 1,195 | 1,029 | 929 | 1,134 |
| raska: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Estimated average net income | \$ 6,390 | \$ | 7,983 | \$ 7,156 | \$ 8,910 | \$10,526 | \$10,048 | \$12,903 | \$12,476 |
| Estimated average avard | \$ 336 | \$ | 395 | \$ 454 | \$ 486 | \$ 478 | \$ 509 | \$ 550 | \$ 577 |
| Numer of recipients | 800 |  | 675 | 1,169 | 1,607 | 1,701 | 2,023 | 2,152 | 1,886 |


| Fiscal years |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1974 | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 |
| \$ 7,797 | \$ 6,530 | \$ 6,855 | \$ 9,163 | \$9,233 | \$10,877 | \$13,112 | \$13,600 |
| \$ 645 | \$ 617 | \$ 651 | \$ 657 | \$ 663 | \$ 669 | \$ 664 | \$ 653 |
| 2,424 | 2,370 | 5,010 | 6,629 | 6,756 | 7,748 | 8,143 | 7,927 |
| \$12,002 | \$13,690 | \$13,787 | \$15,453 | \$16,508 | \$17,707 | \$19,153 | \$19,570 |
| \$ 734 | \$ 733 | \$ 870 | \$ 822 | \$ 892 | \$ 970 | \$ 774 | \$ 932 |
| 2,473 | 2,514 | 4,384 | 6,236 | 5,960 | 6,418 | 8,180 | 6,785 |
| \$ 3,712 | \$ 3,000 | \$ 3,641 | \$ 4,209 | \$ 3,731 | \$ 4,987 | \$ 3,000 | \$ 3,000 |
| \$ 693 | \$ 741 | \$ 780 | \$ 576 | \$ 564 | \$ 562 | \$ 610 | \$ 524 |
| 998 | 906 | 2,162 | 3,951 | 4,159 | 5,122 | 4,811 | 5,657 |
| NP | \$ 5,448 | \$ 6,644 | \$ 6,835 | \$ 7,942 | \$ 7,321 | \$10,044 | \$11,216 |
|  | \$ 402 | \$ 509 | \$ 538 | \$ 532 | \$ 547 | \$ 649 | \$ 560 |
|  | 746 | 1,296 | 1,923 | 2,058 | 2,100 | 1,953 | 2,260 |
| \$10,028 | \$ 9,458 | \$11,314 | \$11,631 | \$8,574 | \$10,075 | \$10,187 | \$10,188 |
| \$ 622 | \$ 685 | \$ 558 | \$ 547 | \$ 513 | \$ 613 | \$ 708 | \$ 708 |
| 1,354 | 1,175 | 3,042 | 4,255 | 4,744 | 5,161 | 4,118 | 3,282 |
| NP |  |  |  |  |  | \$10,215 | \$ 9,556 |
|  | \$ 312 | \$ 310 | \$ 279 | \$ 318 | \$ 376 | \$ 378 | \$ 378 |
|  | 296 | 576 | 1,303 | 1,195 | 1,029 | 929 | 1,134 |
| \$ 6,390 | \$ 7,983 | \$ 7,156 | \$ 8,910 | \$10,526 | \$10,048 | \$12,903 | \$12,476 |
| \$ 336 | \$ 395 | \$ 454 | \$ 486 | \$ 478 | \$ 509 | \$ 550 | \$ 577 |
| 800 | 675 | 1,169 | 1,607 | 1,701 | 2,023 | 2,152 | 1,886 |

## States

Nevada:
Estimated average net income
Estimated average amard
Number of reciplents
New llempehire:
Batimated average net income Eatimated average axand
Nunber of recipients
New Jersey:
Estimated average net income
Estimated average amard
Number of rectplents

| Fiscal years |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1974 | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 |
| NP | NP | NP | \$ 4,934 | \$ 5,934 | \$ 6,078 | \$6,513 | \$ 5,785 |
|  |  |  | \$ 493 | \$ 619 | \$ 680 | \$ 611 | \$ 766 |
|  |  |  | 328 | 421 | 395 | 441 | 410 |
| NP | NP | \$8,815 | \$9,771 | \$10,638 | \$12,418 | \$13,684 | \$13,617 |
|  |  | \$ 415 | \$ 407 | \$ 420 | \$ 401 | \$ 382 | \$ 378 |
|  |  | 532 | 813 | 1,066 | 1,395 | 1,527 | 1,508 |
| \$10,367 | \$10,365 | \$8,373 | \$10,247 | \$ 9,956 | \$10,629 | \$11,979 | \$14,699 |
| \$ 125 | \$ 125 | \$ 138 | \$ 151 | \$ 696 | \$ 801 | \$ 802 | \$ 790 |
| 11,996 | 11,060 | 11,487 | 17,263 | 8,358 | 10,374 | 11,848 | 10,230 |
| NP | NP | \$8,148 | \$ 4,956 | \$ 4,951 | \$ 5,310 | \$ 5,666 | \$ 5,756 |
|  |  | \$ 1,239 | \$ 378 | \$ 371 | \$ 365 | \$ 420 | \$ 332 |
|  |  | 145 | 1,230 | 1,229 | 1,500 | 1,489 | 1,723 |
| \$ 7,226 | \$8,378 | \$ 6,486 | \$8,212 | \$10,514 | \$8,173 | \$ 7,808 | \$11,064 |
| \$ 125 | \$ 512 | \$ 587 | \$ 474 | \$ 570 | \$ 574 | \$ 614 | \$ 594 |
| 64,690 | 7,492 | 14,301 | 25,566 | 22,135 | 25,055 | 20,657 | 21,037 |
| NP | \$ 6,554 | \$ 7,681 | \$8,037 | \$6,097 | \$ 6,650 | \$ 7,613 | \$8,610 |
|  | \$ 746 | \$ 509 | \$ 574 | \$ 516 | \$ 505 | \$ 566 | \$ 597 |
|  | 1,114 | 3,041 | 4,446 | 5,328 | 6,072 | 5,487 | 5,153 |
| \$ 5,169 | \$5,353 | \$ 7,649 | \$8,640 | \$10,302 | \$15,259 | \$17,535 | \$19,893 |
| \$ 341 | \$ 340 | \$ 352 | \$ 351 | \$ 342 | \$ 357 | \$ 363 | \$ 364 |
| 426 | 448 | 762 | 962 | 1,007 | 1,192 | 1,122 | 1,039 |

New Mexico:
Escimated average net income Estimated average award
Number of recipients

New York:

| Estimated average net income | \$ 7,226 | \$ 8,378 | \$ 6,486 | \$ 8,212 | \$10,514 | \$ 8,173 | \$ 7,808 | \$11,064 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Estimated avarage award | \$ 125 | \$ 512 | \$ 587 | \$ 474 | \$ 570 | \$ 574 | \$ 614 | \$ 594 |
| Number of reciplents | 64,690 | 7,492 | 14,301 | 25,566 | 22,135 | 25,055 | 20,657 | 21,037 |
| th Carolina: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Estimated average net income | NP | \$ 6,554 | \$7,681 | \$8,037 | \$ 6,097 | \$ 6,650 | \$ 7,613 | \$8,610 |
| Estimated average award |  | \$ 746 | \$ 509 | \$ 574 | \$ 516 | \$ 505 | \$ 566 | \$ 597 |
| Nuber of rectpients |  | 1,114 | 3,041 | 4,446 | 5,328 | 6,072 | 5,487 | 5,153 |
| th Dakota: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Estimated average net income | \$ 5,169 | \$ 5,353 | \$ 7,649 | \$8,640 | \$10,302 | \$15,259 | \$17,535 | \$19,893 |
| Estimated average award | \$ 341 | \$ 340 | \$ 352 | \$ 351 | \$ 342 | \$ 357 | \$ 363 | \$ 364 |
| Number of recipients | 426 | 448 | 762 | 962 | 1,007 | 1,192 | 1,122 | 1,039 |


|  | States | Fiscal years |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 |
|  | Ohio: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Estinated average net income | \$ 4,254 | \$8,483 | \$8,217 | \$ 9,096 | \$ 6,254 | \$ 9,258 | \$ 7,490 | \$ 7,987 |
|  | Estimated average amard | \$ 1,372 | \$ 1,009 | \$ 637 | \$ 931 | \$ 755 | \$ 961 | \$ 762 | \$ 1,074 |
|  | Number of reciplents | 1,252 | 1,598 | 4,392 | 4,730 | 6,256 | 5,908 | 7,359 | 5,518 |
|  | Oclahoma: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Estimated average net income | \$ 3,956 | \$ 3,311 | \$ 4,480 | \$ 4,140 | \$ 4,052 | \$ 4,305 | \$4,274 | \$ 4,247 |
|  | Estinated average award | \$ 174 | \$ 194 |  | \$ 183 | \$ 192 | \$ 191 | \$ 221 | \$ 220 |
|  | Number of recipients | 2,950 | 2,179 | 6,389 | 7,663 | 7,872 | 9,639 | 8,454 | 9,214 |
|  | Oregan: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Estimated average net income | \$ 5,121 | \$6,420 | \$ 6,952 | \$ 8,420 | \$ 7,220 | \$ 7,182 | \$ 6,510 | \$ 7,851 |
|  | Estimated average amard | \$ 142 | \$ 137 | \$ 347 | \$ 139 | \$ 204 | \$ 306 | \$ 254 | \$ 487 |
|  | Nunber of recipients | 1,123 | 1,187 | 3,082 | 4,058 | 3,932 | 3,706 | 4,454 | 13,949 |
| N | Pernsylvenia: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Estimated average net income | \$8,994 | \$13,037 | \$ 6,189 | \$ 4,843 | \$4,612 | \$ 5,442 | \$10,183 | \$ 4,807 |
|  | Estimated average award | \$ 883 | \$ 909 | \$ 650 | \$ 734 | \$ 782 | \$ 787 | \$ 884 | \$ 971 |
|  | Number of recipients | 1,915 | 2,017 | 6,091 | 6,871 | 6,746 | 8,297 | 7,484 | 7,295 |
|  | Fhode Tsland: $\quad \$ 10,898$ S11, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Estimated average net income | \$10,838 | \$11,120 | \$11,888 | \$12,536 | \$13,055 | \$15,086 | \$17,349 | \$16,932 |
|  | Estimated average amard | \$ 125 | \$ 125 | \$ 688 | \$ 365 | \$ 164 | \$ 206 | \$ 653 | \$ 268 |
|  | Number of recipients | 2,589 | 2,771 | 2,740 | 1,676 | 1,721 | 3,482 | 5,531 | 3,979 |
|  | South Carolina: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Batimated average net income | \$ 9,575 | \$ 9,985 | \$10,679 | \$ 9,193 | \$9,912 | \$ 9,562 | \$9,847 | \$ 7,580 |
|  | Estimated average award | \$ 1,174 | \$ 1,281 | \$ 1,072 | \$ 854 | \$ 456 | \$ 1,017 | \$ 1,087 | \$ 1,275 |
|  | Nunber of recipients | 352 | 304 | 900 | 1,218 | 1,466 | 1,620 | 1,515 | 1,256 |

States
South Dakota:
Estimated average net income
Estimated average anard
Numer of recipients
Tennessee:
Estimated average net income
Estimated average award
Nuber of reciplents

Texas:
Estimated average net income Estimated average amard
Number of recipients
Utah:
Bstimated average net income
Estimated average awand
Number of recipients
Vermont:
Estimated average net income
Estimated average award
Number of recipients

## Virginia:

Estimated average net income
Estimated average amard
Number of rectpients

| Fiscal years |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1974 | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 |
| \$ 6,071 | \$ 4,778 | \$ 4,746 | \$ 6,241 | \$10,501 | \$ 5,898 | \$12,672 | \$11,666 |
| \$ 440 | \$ 125 | \$ 125 | \$ 125 | \$ 212 | \$ 322 | \$ 289 | \$ 325 |
| 605 | 560 | 1,030 | 1,251 | 1,540 | 1,342 | 695 | 623 |
| \$8,837 | \$10,593 | \$ 6,162 | \$ 9,202 | \$9,824 | \$10,184 | \$10,335 | \$ 5,497 |
| \$ 741 | \$ 844 | \$ 595 | \$ 843 | \$ 615 | \$ 616 | \$ 753 | \$ 714 |
| 825 | 711 | 2,311 | 2,254 | 3,171 | 3,940 | 7,419 | 3,541 |
| \$ 9,087 | \$ 7,797 | \$9,172 | \$ 10,633 | \$ 11,572 | \$ 12,054 | \$ 13,911 | \$ 13,760 |
| \$ 750 | \$ 456 | \$ 540 | \$ 630 | \$ 683 | \$ 730 | \$ 799 | \$ 803 |
| 2,974 | 4,478 | 8,527 | 10,006 | 9,591 | 9,656 | 10,404 | 10,080 |
| \$ 5,043 | \$4,613 | \$ 5,160 | \$ 6,827 | \$6,215 | \$ 5,465 | \$ 7,051 | \$ 7,454 |
| \$ 594 | \$ 550 | \$ 577 | \$ 601 | \$ 640 | \$ 733 | \$ 684 | \$ 651 |
| 537 | 527 | 851 | 1,076 | 1,183 | 1,418 | 1,557 | 1,648 |


$N P=$ Did not participate in the progran.

## THIRTY-SEVEN STATES' NEED-BASED PROGRAM FUNDING

LEVELS FOR FISCAL YEARS 1967-82

Total available
state funds state funds

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\$, 844,807 \\
5,601,455 \\
6,213,051 \\
5,517,703 \\
6,634,157 \\
(\mathrm{a}) \\
5,388,670 \\
7,366,298 \\
10,883,119 \\
7,081,415 \\
(\mathrm{a}) \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

$\$ 59,530,675$
adata not available.

## California

Fiscal year

1967
1968
1969
1970
1971
1972
1973
1974
1975
1976
1977
1978
1979
1980
1981
1982

State SSIG matching funds

Other state funds
\$ 5,084,000
8,400,000
12,942,000
16,098,000
19,430,200
27,227,000
33,331,000
36,442,228
46,653,400
49,899,424
54,362,314
56,744,586
56,663,182
66,027,426
66,353,100
66,805,158
\$622,463,018

## Total available state funds

\$ 5,084,000 8,400,000 12,942,000 16,098,000 19,430,200 27,227,000 33,331,000 39,658,114 49,790,700 57,168,212 64,372,657 67,187,293 69,317,091 77,444,713 78,340,050 78,200,079
\$703,991,109

## Colorado

$\left.\begin{array}{cc}\text { Fiscal } \\ \text { year }\end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c}\text { State ssIG } \\ \text { matching } \\ \text { funds }\end{array}\right\}$
Fiscal
year

1970
1971
1972
1973
1974
1975
1976
1977
1978
1979
1980
1981
1982
Total
\$2,108,399 404,000
348,000 373,400
384,887 167,648

152,524 160,331
State SSIG matching funds
\$ 56,841 60,768

Other state funds


150,000
150,000
150,000 93,159 80,232 45,476 37,789
32,352 $=1$

Florida
State SSIG matching funds

1972
1973
1974
1975
1976
1977
1978
1979
1980
1981
1982
Total
Fiscal
year 974
-

Other
state
funds
$\$ 360,000$ 3,600,000
3,086,512
2,802,094
3,259,670
2,781,276
3,377,038
3,267,204
3,777,072
5,016,386
7,093,993
\$38,421,245

Total available state funds

$$
\text { \$ } \begin{array}{r}
120,000 \\
150,000 \\
150,000 \\
150,000 \\
150,000 \\
141,000 \\
198,000 \\
198,120 \\
200,000 \\
404,000 \\
348,000 \\
373,400 \\
384,887 \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

$\$ 2,967,407$

Total available state funds
\$ 360,000
3,600,000
3,693,256
3,401,047
4,629,835
4,640,638
5,313,519
5,633,602
6,388,536
7,458,193
9,412,506
\$54,531,132


## Illinois

Fiscal
year
1967
1968
1969
1970
1971
1972
1973
1974
1975
1976
1977
1978
1979
1980
1981
1982
State SSIG
matching
funds
\$ 1,135,710
1,060,017
2,312,021
3,079,294
3,181,643
4,054,382
4,169,498
4,194,590
4,003,932

Total
\$27,191,087

## Indiana

State SSIG
matching
funds

Fiscal
year
1967
1968
1969
1970
1971
1972
1973
1974
1975
1976
1977
1978
1979
1980
1981
1982

Other
state
funds
\$ 1,367,998
2,494,858
3,080,000
3,140,000
7,357,280
8,830,884
10,078,190
10,453,248
14,675,364
16,448,720
16,588,690
17,490,336
17.986.314

17,040,414
17,110,236
17,234,892
\$181,377,424

Total available state funds
\$ 10,273,732 18,449,491 26,058,608 32,460,554 39,123,290 51,091,125 53,720,059 57,169,272 67,074,919 67.435,177 71,068,343 76,442,651 79,595,617 84,504,502 85,923,555
88,974,285
\$909,365,180

## Total available state funds

\$ 1,367,998 2,494,858 3,080,000 3,140,000 7,357,280 8,830,884 10,078,190 10,912,831 15,101,769 17,420,781 17,774,345 18,725,168 19,601,255 18,610,207 18,645,118 $18,707,446$


## Kansas

## State SSIG matching funds

Fiscal year

1967
1968
1969
1970
1971
1972
1973
1974
1975
1976
1977
1978
1979
1980
1981
1982
\$ 251,326
229,950
480,808
675,344
703,355
850,254
864,363
864,528
820,876

Other
state funds
\$ 125,000
140,000
150,000
150,000
150,000
1,150,000
2,650,000
2,398,674
2,960,050
3,068,192
3,084,656
3,021,052
2,949,746
3,310,637
3,310,472
3,354,124
\$31,972,603

Total available state funds
$\$ \quad 125,000$
140,000
150,000
150,000
150,000
1,150,000
2,650,000
2,650,000
3,190,000
3,549,000
3,760,000
3,724,407
3,800,000
4,175,000
4,175,000
4,175,000
$\$ 37,713,407$

## Kentucky



## Maryland

| Fiscal year | State SSIG matching funds | Other <br> state <br> funds |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1967 |  | \$ 1,868,728 |
| 1968 |  | 2,089,044 |
| 1969 |  | 2,675,750 |
| 1970 |  | 3,024,480 |
| 1971 |  | 3,253,915 |
| 1972 |  | 3,358,300 |
| 1973 |  | 3,684,000 |
| 1974 | \$ 327,450 | 3,430,968 |
| 1975 | 370,900 | 3,420,600 |
| 1976 | 801,193 | 3,023,307 |
| 1977 | 1,061,770 | 2,836,000 |
| 1978 | 1,093,812 | 2,917,128 |
| 1979 | 1,350,047 | 2,859,063 |
| 1980 | 1,398,050 | 3,129,040 |
| 1981 | 1,422,984 | 3,291,986 |
| 1982 | 1,342,992 | 3,426,360 |
| Total | \$9,169,198 | \$48,288,669 |

## Total available state funds

$\$ 1,868,728$
2,089,044 2,675,750 3,024,480 3,253,915 3,358,300 3,684,000 3,758,418 3,791,500 3,824,500 3,897,770 4,010,940 4,209,110 4,527,090 4,714,970 4,769,352
\$57,457,867

Total available state funds

\$ 800,000a $800,000^{a}$ 2,300,000 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ 3,800,000 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ 8,850,000 8,350,000 8,350,000 9,885,000 11,000,000 12,150,000 12,150,000 14,650,000 17,150,000 17,850,000 18,685,000 22,834,272
\$169,604,272


## New Jersey

| Fiscal |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| year | State SSIG <br> matching <br> funds |
| 1967 |  |
| 1968 |  |
| 1969 |  |
| 1970 |  |
| 1971 |  |
| 1972 |  |
| 1973 | 561,891 |
| 1974 | 544,091 |
| 1975 | $1,229,667$ |
| 1976 | $1,602,365$ |
| 1977 | $1,671,602$ |
| 1978 | $2,046,589$ |
| 1979 | $2,157,155$ |
| 1980 | $2,046,146$ |
| 1981 | $1,942,829$ |
| 1982 |  |
| Total | $\$ 13,802,335$ |
|  |  |

Other state funds
$\$ 5,813,835$
8,691,364
13,090,626
18,598,529
24,009,937
29,728,705
30,017,097
28,516,437
25,783,742
29,602,437
31,536,878
34,498,908
40,709,063
42,528,905
42,485,404
47,904,022
$\$ 453,515,889$

## New York

## State SSIG matching funds

Other
state
funds
\$ $36,000,000$
36,000,000
36,000,000
37,000,000
43,000,000
48,000,000
52,000,000
75,021,470
106,139,260
172,631,550
194,725,902
213,196,298
232,877,318
239,750,972
265,820,316
$290,476,486$

## Total available state funds

$$
\begin{array}{r}
5,813,835 \\
8,691,364 \\
13,090,626 \\
18,598,529 \\
24,009,937 \\
29,728,705 \\
30,017,097 \\
29,078,328 \\
26,327,833 \\
30,832,104 \\
33,139,243 \\
36,170,510 \\
42,755,652 \\
44,686,060 \\
44,531,550 \\
49,846,851 \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

\$467,318,224

Total available state funds
\$ $36,000,000$ 36,000,000 36,000,000 37,000,000 43,000,000 48,000,000 52,000,000 77,010,735 108,069,630 176,815,775 200,862,951 219,598,149 240,438,659 246,375,486 272,410,158 296,738,243

Total
$\$ 47,680,214$
$\$ 2,078,639,572$
\$2,126,319,786

## North Carolina

| Fiscal year | State SSIG matching funds | Other state <br> funds | Total available state funds |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1967 |  | \$ 6,528,897 | \$ 6,528,897 |
| 1968 |  | 6,370,508 | 6,370,508 |
| 1969 |  | 4,063,906 | 4,063,906 |
| 1970 |  | 4,309,791 | 4,309,791 |
| 1971 |  | 2,494,007 | 2,494,007 |
| 1972 |  | 4,512,551 | 4,512,551 |
| 1973 |  | 8,016,830 | 8,016,830 |
| 1974 |  | 8,632,155 | 8,632,155 |
| 1975 | \$ 422,447 | 9,915,128 | 10,337,575 |
| 1976 | 778,876 | 12,972,628 | 13,751,504 |
| 1977 | 1,282,363 | 13,106,976 | 14,389,339 |
| 1978 | 1,365,183 | 14,093,756 | 15,458,939 |
| 1979 | 1,643,183 | 14,247,062 | 15,890,245 |
| 1980 | 1,687,208 | 15,454,714 | 17,141,922 |
| 1981 | 1,649,159 | 17,479,583 | 19,128,742 |
| 1982 | 1,596,820 | 5,904,359 | 7,501,179 |
| Total | \$10,425,239 | \$148,102,851 | \$158,528,090 |
|  | North Dakota |  |  |
| Fiscal year | State SSIG matching funds | Other state funds | Total available state funds |
| 1974 | \$ 69,527 |  | \$ 69,527 |
| 1975 | 62,769 |  | 62,769 |
| 1976 | 125,909 |  | 125,909 |
| 1977 | 159,682 |  | 159,682 |
| 1978 | 163,287 |  | 163,287 |
| 1979 | 200,366 | \$100,000 | 300,366 |
| 1980 | 213,010 | 100,000 | 313,010 |
| 1981 | 209,005 | 200,000 | 409,005 |
| 1982 | 198,452 | 200,000 | 398,452 |
| Total | \$1,402,007 | \$600,000 | \$2,002,007 |



| Fiscal <br> year | State SSIG <br> matching <br> funds | Other <br> state <br> funds | Total available <br> state funds |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| 1974 | $\$ 287,473$ | $\$ 1,929,146$ | $\$ 2,216,619$ |
| 1975 | 281,982 | $2,521,789$ | $2,803,771$ |
| 1976 | 606,127 | $2,274,083$ | $2,880,210$ |
| 1977 | 771,444 | $3,199,662$ | $3,971,106$ |
| 1978 | 803,440 | $4,516,192$ | $5,319,632$ |
| 1979 | 982,952 | $6,375,721$ | $7,358,673$ |
| 1980 | 989,123 | $5,420,561$ | $6,409,684$ |
| 1981 | $1,002,154$ | $6,797,711$ | $7,799,865$ |
| 1982 | 951,552 | $\underline{7,935,757}$ | $\boxed{8,887,309}$ |
|  |  |  |  |
| Total | $\$ 6,676,247$ | $\$ 40,970,622$ | $\$ 47,646,869$ |
|  |  |  |  |

## Pennsylvania

Fiscal year

1967
1968
1969
1970
1971
1972
1973
1974
1975
1976
1977
1978
1979
1980
1981
1982

Total

## State SSIG matching funds

Other state funds
\$ 31,500,000 46,500,000
51,400,000
51,400,000
55,458,000
61,898,000
65,440,000
64,560,200
64,498,973
63,432,685
62,846,699
62,734,520
68,806,556
70,804,222
70,039.109
76,883,296
\$968,202,260

Total available state funds
\$ 31,500,000
46,500,000
51,400,000
51,400,000
55,458,000
61,898,000
65,440,000
65,440,000
65,440,000
65,440,000
65,440,000
65,440,000
72,210,000
74,210,000
73,467,900
$80,150,000$
\$990,833,900

## Rhode Island

| Fiscal year | State SSIG matching funds |  | Other state funds | Total available state funds |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1974 | \$ 116,606 |  |  | \$ 116,606 |
| 1975 | 117,450 | \$ | 2,034,256 | 2,151,706 |
| 1976 | 256,898 |  | 2,040,126 | 2,297,024 |
| 1977 | 326,103 |  | 2,469,463 | 2,795,566 |
| 1978 | 352,887 |  | 2,824,204 | 3,177,091 |
| 1979 | 397,791 |  | 3,795,224 | 4,193,015 |
| 1980 | 431,412 |  | 4,610,292 | 5,041,704 |
| 1981 | 411.738 |  | 5,877,172 | 6,288,910 |
| 1982 | 390,948 |  | 6,656,514 | 7,047,462 |
| Total | \$2,801,833 |  | 30,307,251 | \$33,109,084 |
|  | South Carolina |  |  |  |
| Fiscal year | State SSIG matching funds |  | Other <br> state <br> funds | Total available state funds |
| 1970 |  | \$ | 5,000 | \$ 5,000 |
| 1971 |  |  | 50,000 | 50,000 |
| 1972 |  |  | 150,000 | 150,000 |
| 1973 |  |  | 4,000,000 | 4,000,000 |
| 1974 | \$ 218,882 |  | 5,961,118 | 6,180,000 |
| 1975 | 204,927 |  | 7,050,546 | 7,255,473 |
| 1976 | 500,219 |  | 6,832,467 | 7,332,686 |
| 1977 | 730,958 |  | 7,623,791 | 8,354,749 |
| 1978 | 767,068 |  | 8,589,429 | 9,356,497 |
| 1979 | 887,723 |  | 9,019,867 | 9,907,590 |
| 1980 | 860,333 |  | 9,974,326 | 10,834,659 |
| 1981 | 830,289 |  | 11,254,370. | 12,084,659 |
| 1982 | 801,401 |  | 11,383,258 | 12,184,659 |
| Total | \$5,801,800 |  | 81,894,172 | \$87,695,972 |

South Dakota

| Fiscal <br> year | State SSIG <br> matching <br> funds |
| :--- | ---: |
| 1971 |  |
| 1972 |  |
| 1973 |  |
| 1974 | 66,742 |
| 1975 | 55,804 |
| 1976 | 103,075 |
| 1977 | 118,000 |
| 1978 | 172,868 |
| 1979 | 210,254 |
| 1980 | 217,698 |
| 1981 | 217,867 |
| 1982 | 209,020 |
|  |  |
| Total | $\$ 1,371,328$ |
|  |  |


| Other <br> state <br> funds | Total available <br> state funds |
| :--- | ---: |
| $\$ 61,000$ | $\$$ |
| 51,000 | 61,000 |
| 51,000 | 51,000 |
| 21,000 | 51,000 |
| 21,000 | 87,742 |
| 21,000 | 76,804 |
| 51,000 | 124,075 |
| 51,000 | 269,000 |
| 151,000 | 361,868 |
| 151,000 | 368,698 |
|  | 217,867 |
| 100,000 | 309,020 |
| 130,000 | $\$ 2,101,328$ |

## Tennessee

State SSIG matching funds

Other state funds
\$ 1,177,093
2,146,628
3,026,480 320,021 724,508
1,979,807
2,606,620
4,715,189
5,143,281
5,177,274
6,021,229

## Total available state funds

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\$ 1,177,093 \\
2,146,628 \\
3,370,000 \\
640,152 \\
1,448,286 \\
2,976,642 \\
3,644,800 \\
5,978,108 \\
6,475,282 \\
6,440,557 \\
7,220,724 \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

Total
\$8,480,142
\$33,038,130
\$41,518,272



## Washington

Eiscal
year
1970
1971
1972
1973
1974
1975
1976
1977
1978
1979
1980
1981
1982
Total

| State SSIG | Other |
| :---: | :---: |
| matching | state |
| funds | funds |

\$ 328,000 489,000 887,000
1,493,000
3,207,422
4,072,000
2,844,000
4,841,000
4,928,000
7,185,954
8,124,000
10,587,266
12,941,472
\$61,928,114

Total available state funds
\$ 328,000 489,000 887,000 1,493,000 3,657,811 4,497,032 3,754,737 6,060,131 6,197,696 8,869,762
10,064,793
12,357,895
14,622,353
\$73,279,210

## West Virginia

Fiscal year

1968
1969
1970
1971
1972
1973
1974
1975
1976
1977
1978
1979
1980
1981
1982

Other state funds
\$ 24,190 172,408 249,243 298,697 423,677
1,011,747
1,352,401
1,501,952
2,299,393
1,860,303
2,684,311
1,956,314
1,909,274
3,929,611
2,982,429
$\$ 22,655,950$

Total available state funds
\$ 24,190
172.408 249,243 298,697 423,677
1,011,747
1,496,439
1,647,267
2,614,276
2,274,571
3,107,933
2,486,501
2,460,411
4,496,245
3,520,452
\$26,284,057

|  | Wisconsin |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fiscal year | State SSIG matching funds | Other state funds | Total available state funds |
| 1967 |  | \$ 1,994,156 | \$ 1,994,156 |
| 1968 |  | 2,633,929 | 2,633,929 |
| 1969 |  | 2,944,955 | 2,944,955 |
| 1970 |  | 3,241,146 | 3,241,146 |
| 1971 |  | 3,933,803 | 3,933,803 |
| 1972 |  | 5,972,054 | 5,972,054 |
| 1973 |  | 11,324,144 | 11,324,144 |
| 1974 | \$ 503,732 | 15,016,999 | 15,520,731 |
| 1975 | 471,476 | 16,461,985 | 16,933,461 |
| 1976 | 975,893 | 18,353,632 | 19,329,525 |
| 1977 | 1,320,422 | 19,909,360 | 21,229,782 |
| 1978 | 1,375,188 | 21,686,618 | 23,061,806 |
| 1979 | 1,621,602 | 20,057,380 | 21,678,982 |
| 1980 | 1,625,382 | 19,788,236 | 21,413,618 |
| 1981 | 1,600,615 | 18,408,824 | 20,009,439 |
| 1982 | 1,535,618 | 20,607,427 | 22,143,045 |
| Total | \$11,029,928 | \$202,334,648 | \$213,364,576 |

Source: Department of Education and state agency data.


[^0]:    lthe District of Columbia was included and will be referred to as a state hereafter in this report.

[^1]:    8Funding data were incomplete for two of the states.

