

Understanding Waste in Federal Programs

What Is Waste?

Waste occurs when individuals or organizations expend government resources carelessly, extravagantly, or without adequate purpose.

Waste involves incurring unnecessary costs due to inefficient or ineffective practices, systems, or controls. It can result in substantial losses to the federal government, as well as diverting the availability of funds for other purposes. Program officials are responsible for considering the potential for waste that may impact the achievement of defined objectives and respond to effectively mitigate any risks. Ideally, effective and efficient government programs and operations produce intended results in a manner that minimizes the waste of government resources.

Waste is sometimes discussed alongside fraud and abuse, all of which are significant issues for the federal government. Fraud involves obtaining something of value through willful misrepresentation as determined through the judicial or other adjudicative system. In contrast, waste and abuse do not necessarily involve violations of law. However, the discovery of waste during program audits, for instance, could indicate noncompliance with regulations or the potential for fraud.

Why This Matters

This GAOverview is part of a series aimed at helping officials better prevent and detect fraud, waste, and abuse. Wasteful spending reduces the efficiency and effectiveness of a wide range of federal programs and operations. Many examples of waste have been identified that relate to government purchases, but waste can also occur throughout other government operations. Waste can cost the federal government billions of taxpayer dollars due to mismanagement of assets, not following policies and statutes, or inadequate oversight procedures. Understanding potential causes of waste can help federal officials better identify and combat waste within their programs and operations.

What Are Some Potential Causes of Waste?



Programs may be vulnerable to waste due to mismanagement of assets.

Inventory system shortcomings can result in problems with tracking the purchase and use of goods and services, making it difficult to control costs and leading to waste. Poor or nonexistent tracking hinders an agency's ability to know what it has already purchased. For example, an agency unnecessarily spent more than \$35 million on software fines and unused licenses over several years due to lack of insight into its prior purchases of licenses and how often they were used.



Personnel may engage in wasteful practices by not following policies and statutes.

Senior officials could disregard policies and statutes as they apply to hiring. This can result in the hiring of ineligible employees. We identified instances where senior officials bypassed competitive hiring processes by appointing individuals, including a relative, to federal positions without proper regard for their qualifications. For example, an agency made salary payments to ineligible employees totaling nearly \$440,000 before the employees were removed. These funds were wasteful because the hiring laws put in place to safeguard taxpayer dollars were not followed.



Program officials do not always establish adequate oversight procedures.

Without establishing clear program goals, along with performance measures to ensure goals are being met, management increases chances of wasted resources. Lack of monitoring and oversight procedures, or failure to conduct quality control, could lead to inadequate planning and execution that can decrease a program's ability to minimize waste. For example, one Inspector General identified up to \$11.5 million in waste and associated safety hazards resulting from a lack of oversight to ensure that safety contract requirements for buildings were followed.



What Could Help Better Prevent Waste?

Improve asset management capabilities.

Developing control activities over software purchases can improve asset management and reduce wasteful spending. For instance, agencies that implement software asset management tools can better ensure that they are accurately tracking software inventory to identify duplicative or obsolete software. They can also better ensure that the agency reduces the risk of procuring software in a costly and ineffective manner by minimizing licensing penalties and overspending. One agency has reported financial benefits of nearly \$2.4 billion through the identification of unrecorded assets after improved operations and business processes. The figure shows how waste may occur and possible corrective actions.

Ensure that officials know and adhere to policies and statutes.

Ensuring that agency officials know and follow established rules can help prevent waste. For example, an agency's officials did not ensure that they clearly understood and adhered to federal hiring regulations and avoided prohibited personnel practices. Management should lead by an example that reflects the integrity and ethical values expected throughout the entity.

Develop adequate oversight procedures.

Internal control is a dynamic process that should be adapted continually to the risks and changes an entity faces. Developing strong oversight procedures of program activities, such as ensuring requirements are met, can help program managers establish realistic goals and take corrective action, when necessary, to minimize waste. In addition, management should periodically review policies and procedures related to control activities for continued relevance and effectiveness in achieving objectives.

Case Example: Software Asset Management

Ineffective software asset management increases the likelihood of waste.

Causes of wasteful activity and corrective actions auditors recommended



The agency does not have an enterprise-wide software asset management application to discover software assets, establish inventory, and normalize license data.



The agency's software asset management policy lacks a standardized approach, leaving roles, responsibilities, and processes unclear.



The agency lacks insight into its software spending, as software purchases and payouts for software license infractions are not sufficiently tracked.

Auditors
recommended the
implementation of a
single software
asset management
tool across the
agency to mitigate
operational,
cybersecurity, and
financial risk.

Auditors
recommended that
the agency establish
enterprise-wide
software asset
management policy
and procedures,
which would facilitate
an efficient, cohesive,
and effective way to
manage its software
assets across
organizational
boundaries.

Auditors
recommended
centralizing software
spending insights by
implementing a
"penalty spend"
classification within
the financial system
to capture
expenditures, such as
penalties for license
infractions and
software acquired by
purchase cards.

Sources: GAO analysis of National Aeronautics and Space Administration Office of Inspector General information, IG-23-008; antto/stock.adobe.com (icons). | GAO-24-107198

Selected References

- Standards for Internal Control in the Federal Government. GAO-14-704G. September 10, 2014.
- Special Inspector General for Afghanistan Reconstruction, *Update on the Amount of Waste, Fraud, and Abuse Uncovered through SIGAR's Oversight Work between January 1, 2018, and December 31, 2019.* Report Number SIGAR 21-05-SP. October 15, 2020.
- National Aeronautics and Space Administration, Office of Inspector General, NASA's Software Asset Management. IG-23-008. January 12, 2023.
- U.S. Government Publishing Office, Office of Inspector General, Interim Report of Investigation into Alleged Misconduct by Two Senior GPO Managers. 18-0011-I. June 21, 2018.

About GAO

The Government Accountability Office, the audit, evaluation, and investigative arm of Congress, exists to support Congress in meeting its constitutional responsibilities and to help improve the performance and accountability of the federal government for the American people.

Connect with GAO on Facebook, Flickr, Twitter, and YouTube. Subscribe to our RSS Feeds or Email Updates. Listen to our Podcasts. Visit GAO on the web at https://www.gao.gov.

A. Nicole Clowers, Managing Director, Congressional Relations, ClowersA@gao.gov, (202) 512-4400

Chuck Young, Managing Director, Public Affairs, YoungC1@gao.gov, (202) 512-4800

U.S. Government Accountability Office, 441 G Street NW, Washington, DC 20548 This document is not an audit product and is subject to revision. It contains information prepared by GAO to provide insight on federal wasteful spending to federal agency officials, legislative bodies, or other organizations. We believe that the information and data obtained, and the analysis conducted, provide a reasonable basis for any statements within this product.

For more information about this GAOverview, contact: Seto Bagdoyan, Director, Forensic Audits and Investigative Service, at (202) 512-6722 or BagdoyanS@gao.gov.

Key Contributors: Erica Varner (Assistant Director), Carla Craddock (Analyst in Charge), Colin Fallon, Maria McMullen, and Joseph Rini.

Source: All icons in this document are from antto/stock.adobe.com unless otherwise noted. This work of the United States may include copyrighted material; details at www.gao.gov/copyright.

The GAO Antifraud Resource: https://gaoinnovations.gov/antifraud_resource/

A Framework for Managing Fraud Risks in Federal Programs: https://www.gao.gov/products/gao-15-593sp GAOverview: Fraud in the Federal Government: https://www.gao.gov/products/gao-23-106110 GAOverview: Understanding Abuse of Federal Resources: https://www.gao.gov/products/gao-24-106458

GAO-24-107198 GAOverview: Understanding Waste in Federal Programs