

United States Government Accountability Office Report to Congressional Addressees

July 2017

INVESTIGATIONAL NEW DRUGS

FDA Has Taken Steps to Improve the Expanded Access Program but Should Further Clarify How Adverse Events Data Are Used

GAO Highlights

Highlights of GAO-17-564, a report to congressional addressees

Why GAO Did This Study

FDA's goal for the expanded access program is to allow patients with immediately life-threatening and serious ailments access to investigational drugs when appropriate. Stakeholders have raised concerns that FDA's process is confusing or burdensome, particularly for the entities that have roles in the process—such as physicians, manufacturers, and institutional review boards.

GAO was asked to examine the expanded access program. Among other objectives, GAO examined 1) what is known about the number, type, and time frames of expanded access requests received by FDA; 2) what actions FDA and other stakeholders have taken to improve expanded access; and 3) how FDA uses data from expanded access in the drug approval process.

GAO reviewed regulations and FDA documents and analyzed FDA data on the numbers and types of expanded access requests it received from FY2012 through 2015, the most recent at the time of the review. GAO also interviewed FDA officials and other stakeholders including nine manufacturers selected to represent large and small companies—and patient and physician representatives.

What GAO Recommends

FDA should clearly communicate how it uses adverse events data from expanded access use in the drug approval process. FDA agreed with the recommendation.

View GAO-17-564. For more information, contact John Dicken at (202) 512-7114 or Dickenj@gao.gov.

INVESTIGATIONAL NEW DRUGS

FDA Has Taken Steps to Improve the Expanded Access Program but Should Further Clarify How Adverse Events Data Are Used

What GAO Found

Under the Food and Drug Administration's (FDA) expanded access program, patients with serious or life threatening ailments and no other comparable medical options can obtain access to investigational drugs outside of a clinical trial. Expanded access requests must be submitted to FDA but manufacturers must also grant permission for patients to access their investigational drugs. Of the nearly 5,800 expanded access requests that were submitted to FDA from fiscal year 2012 through 2015, FDA allowed 99 percent to proceed. Almost 96 percent of these requests were for single patients (either emergency or non-emergency). FDA's review process for expanded access requests is designed such that all requests are either allowed or not allowed to proceed within 30 days of receiving each request. FDA typically responded to emergency single-patient requests within hours and other types of requests within the allotted 30 days.

FDA and other stakeholders, including a non-profit organization and a drug manufacturer, have taken steps to improve the expanded access process and patient access to drugs. For example, in response to concerns that the process to request expanded access to drugs was complex and cumbersome, FDA simplified its website, guidance, and the forms required for the most common types of requests. Efforts by other stakeholders include a project to educate and streamline the process by which institutional review boards approve treatment plans for expanded access drug use and a pilot advisory group to help a drug manufacturer manage expanded access requests. Some states have also enacted "Right-to-Try" laws to facilitate patient access to investigational drugs. These laws provide liability and licensing protections for manufacturers and providers under state law if an adverse event-such as an adverse reaction to the drug—occurs with patients who were allowed access to investigational drugs. However, some stakeholders GAO interviewed cited concerns that these laws may not help patients access drugs, in part because they do not compel a manufacturer to provide access.

Manufacturers sponsoring clinical trials must submit safety reports to FDA that include adverse events data resulting from clinical trials and any expanded access use, to be used in assessing the safety of a drug within the drug approval process. FDA reported using these data from expanded access use in a few cases during the drug approval process but not more widely, because its use does not have the same controls as clinical trials. FDA officials reported that they communicate with manufacturers on how they will use expanded access adverse events data. However, GAO's review of documents FDA uses to communicate with drug manufacturers about the expanded access program found that only one included a reference to its use of these data, and it did not include specific examples of how the data might be used. Further, some of the manufacturers told GAO the guidance was unclear. These manufacturers noted that the lack of clear information can influence their decision whether to give patients access to their drugs because of their concerns that an adverse event will result in FDA placing a clinical hold on their drug, which could delay its development. This could impact FDA's goal of facilitating expanded access to drugs for treatment use by patients with serious or life-threatening diseases or conditions, when appropriate.

Contents

Letter		1
	Background Selected Drug Manufacturers Reported Different Experiences with	4
	Expanded Access Requests, and FDA Allowed Nearly All Requests to Proceed from Fiscal Year 2012 through 2015 Selected Manufacturers and FDA Consider a Number of Factors	15
	in Reviewing Expanded Access Requests FDA and Others Have Taken Steps to Improve the Expanded Access Program and Patient Access to Investigational Drugs,	21
	but Stakeholders Continue to Cite Concerns FDA Reported Limited Use of Expanded Access Safety Data in its Drug Approval Process, and Some Manufacturers Have Asked	25
	for More Clarity on This Use by FDA Conclusions	30 32
	Recommendation for Executive Action	32 33
	Agency Comments	33
Appendix I	Form FDA 1571, Investigational New Drug Application	36
Appendix II	Form FDA 3926, Individual Patient Expanded Access Investigational New Drug Application	40
Appendix III	Comments from the Department of Health & Human Services	43
Appendix IV	GAO Contact and Staff Acknowledgments	46
Tables		
	 Table 1: Total Expanded Access Requests Reviewed and Allowed to Proceed by Food and Drug Administration's (FDA) Center for Drug Evaluation and Research and Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research, by Type, Fiscal Years 2012 through 2015 Table 2: Number of Expanded Access Requests Reviewed by Food and Drug Administration's (FDA) Center for Drug 	17

Evaluation and Research (CDER), by Division and Type of Request, Fiscal Years 2012 through 2015 Table 3: Number of Expanded Access Requests Reviewed by	18
Food and Drug Administration's (FDA) Center for	
Biologics Evaluation and Research (CBER), by Office and	
Type of Request, Fiscal Years 2012 through 2015	19
Table 4: Median Food and Drug Administration (FDA) Review	
Time Frames, in Days, for Expanded Access IND	
Requests by Center, Type, and Whether the Request	
Was Allowed to Proceed, Fiscal Years 2012 through 2015	20

Figures

Figure 1: Food and Drug Administration's (FDA) Typical Drug	
Development and Approval Process	7
Figure 2: Types of Expanded Access Requests that Occur	
throughout the Food and Drug Administration's (FDA)	
Typical Drug Development and Approval Process	12
Figure 3: Expanded Access Treatment Protocol Example	13
Figure 4: Expanded Access Single-Patient IND Example	14

Abbreviations

BLA CBER CDER	biologics license application Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research
COER	Center for Drug Evaluation and Research Compassionate Use Advisory Committee
FDA	Food and Drug Administration
IND	investigational new drug application
IRB	institutional review board
NDA	new drug application
NYU	New York University

This is a work of the U.S. government and is not subject to copyright protection in the United States. The published product may be reproduced and distributed in its entirety without further permission from GAO. However, because this work may contain copyrighted images or other material, permission from the copyright holder may be necessary if you wish to reproduce this material separately.

U.S. GOVERNMENT ACCOUNTABILITY OFFICE

441 G St. N.W. Washington, DC 20548

July 11, 2017

Congressional Addressees

Through the Food and Drug Administration's (FDA) expanded access program, patients with serious or immediately life-threatening ailments and no other FDA-approved therapeutic options may potentially obtain investigational drugs or biologics as a last resort for treatment.¹ In these instances, FDA may allow access to treatments using drugs still in the development process—and, therefore, not yet approved by FDA for marketing in the United States—when certain criteria are met. In addition to FDA—which receives and reviews expanded access requests and determines whether to allow them to proceed—other entities have roles in the process.² For example, manufacturers decide whether to give patients access to their investigational drugs. In addition, institutional review boards (IRB) must approve patients' expanded access treatment plans, and physicians treat the patients with the investigational drugs and monitor their progress.

FDA has reported that it allows nearly all of the expanded access requests it receives to proceed; however, little is known about the number of requests denied by manufacturers and, therefore, never submitted to FDA. In addition, little is known about the time it takes FDA to review expanded access requests—although, in most cases, expanded access use may begin 30 days after the request is received by FDA, unless the agency acts to expedite or disallow the request from proceeding.³

²By allowing an expanded access request to proceed, FDA is authorizing the expanded access use of a drug for treatment, subject to other program requirements.

³See 21 C.F.R. § 312 305(d) (2016).

¹Investigational drugs and biological products have not been approved for marketing in the United States by FDA. See 21 C.F.R. § 312.3 (2016). Drugs are defined to include, among other things, articles intended for use in the diagnosis, cure, mitigation, treatment, or prevention of disease, and include components of those articles. See 21 U.S.C. §§ 321(g)(1)(B), (D). Biological products are materials, such as viruses, therapeutic sera, toxins, antitoxins, vaccines, or analogous products to prevent, treat, or cure human diseases or injuries. See 42 U.S.C. § 262(i) (2016) and 21 C.F.R. § 600.3(h) (2016). For the purpose of this report we refer to drugs and biologics collectively as "drugs." In general, biological products are derived from living sources, such as humans, animals, and microorganisms.

FDA's expanded access program has been criticized by some physician and patient advocacy groups for being too burdensome and confusing to the entities involved, which could pose a barrier to individuals' access to investigational drugs. Additionally, manufacturers have raised concerns about how FDA might use data from expanded access use in its process for approving the drug for marketing in the United States. However, stakeholders, including physicians, patients, and patient advocates, have also highlighted steps FDA and other stakeholders have taken to improve the program.

You asked us to review various aspects of FDA's expanded access program. This report examines

- what is known about the number, type, and time frames of expanded access requests that are allowed to proceed by selected drug manufacturers and by FDA;
- 2. what factors selected drug manufacturers and FDA consider when reviewing expanded access requests;
- 3. what actions FDA and other stakeholders have taken to improve expanded access; and
- 4. how, if at all, FDA has used data from expanded access use in its drug approval process.

To determine what is known about the number, type, and time frames of expanded access requests that are allowed to proceed, we analyzed information collected through interviews or written responses to questions from a non-generalizable selection of nine drug manufacturers on their experiences with the expanded access program. The manufacturers were selected to include large and small manufacturers based on the number of FDA approved products they were marketing as well as other factors, such as whether they had experience with the expanded access program.⁴ Additionally, we obtained and analyzed data from FDA on the number, type, and time frames of expanded access requests reviewed by

⁴Among the nine manufacturers, we selected the following from information from a manufacturer trade group's membership list: four were identified by the trade group as being established companies marketing multiple drugs, and two were identified as small companies, either not yet marketing any drugs or just beginning to market drugs. Of the additional manufacturers, two were selected because they were known for having experience with expanded access and self-identified as established companies that were marketing multiple biologics, and one was selected because it is publically known to have experience with expanded access and self-identified as a small company developing biologics.

the agency from fiscal year 2012 through fiscal year 2015.⁵ To assess the reliability of FDA's data, we interviewed knowledgeable agency officials, conducted quality checks to identify any obvious errors, and compared the detailed data FDA provided to us with summary data that FDA had publicly reported on its website. We determined these data were sufficiently reliable for the purposes of our reporting objective.

To describe the factors that manufacturers and FDA take into account when reviewing expanded access requests, we analyzed information collected through interviews or written responses from the nine selected manufacturers. Additionally, we reviewed FDA regulations pertaining to expanded access to investigational drugs and interviewed knowledgeable FDA officials.⁶

To determine the actions that FDA and other stakeholders have taken to improve expanded access, we reviewed FDA regulations and guidance related to expanded access and interviewed knowledgeable FDA officials. We also analyzed information collected through interviews with or written responses from the 9 manufacturers and a non-generalizable selection of 14 other stakeholders, including patient and physician advocacy groups, and analyzed publically available proposals and reports regarding efforts to improve expanded access.⁷

To evaluate how FDA has used data from expanded access use in its drug approval process, we analyzed information from interviews with FDA and the stakeholders. Lastly, we assessed FDA's communication with manufacturers on the agency's use of expanded access data against the federal internal control standards related to information and communication.⁸ The forms of communication we reviewed included FDA's regulations, guidance documents, and website and other forms of communication with manufacturers regarding expanded access, such as letters acknowledging the receipt of expanded access requests.

⁷These 14 other stakeholders included patient and physician representatives, as well as individuals or organizations identified by FDA and others as stakeholders who were knowledgeable about the expanded access program.

⁸See GAO, *Standards for Internal Control in the Federal Government*, GAO-14-704G (Washington, D.C.: September. 2014)

⁵Fiscal year 2015 was the most recent year of data available when we conducted our work.

⁶See 21 C.F.R. pt. 312, subpt. I, §§ 312.300 et seq. (2016).

	We conducted this performance audit from March 2016 to June 2017 in accordance with generally accepted government auditing standards. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain sufficient, appropriate evidence to provide a reasonable basis for our findings and conclusions based on our audit objectives. We believe that the evidence obtained provides a reasonable basis for our findings and conclusions based on our audit objectives.
Background	FDA is responsible for assessing the safety, efficacy, and quality of drugs marketed in the United States. As part of this role, it oversees the drug development process and is responsible for approving new drugs for marketing. Patients with serious or immediately life-threatening illnesses and no other FDA-approved therapeutic options may be able to access treatments still in the development process through FDA's expanded access program.
Drug Development and Approval Process	The process by which a drug or biologic is developed and considered for approval for marketing in the United States involves a number of steps that include the clinical testing of the drug's safety and effectiveness on human volunteers. Before a drug can be tested on humans, it is first tested for toxicity on animals. Following such testing, a manufacturer must submit an investigational new drug application (IND) to FDA in order to test the drug on humans. FDA's decision of whether or not to allow the human testing to proceed is based, in part, on evidence and analysis regarding the drug's toxicity in animals and the availability of human volunteers. Additional information about the typical process for human testing and FDA's review processes is described below and depicted in figure 1. ⁹
Pre-clinical Testing	Before a drug or biologic can be tested on humans, it is tested for toxicity on animals. These tests are also used to gather basic information on the safety and efficacy of the drug or biologic. FDA may decide whether or not to allow the investigational drug to be tested on humans, based in part on results of the drug being tested on animals.

⁹A clinical trial tests potential drugs or biologics in human volunteers to determine if they should be approved for wider use in the general population.

Investigational New Drug Application	A manufacturer that wants to test an investigational drug or biologic on humans must first submit an IND to FDA for the agency to review, and FDA must allow the IND to proceed before testing on humans can begin. ¹⁰ The IND includes various components, such as the clinical treatment plan, which provides the detailed procedure for how humans will be tested, and Form FDA 1571, which contains a number of administrative elements pertaining to the request. See appendix I for a copy of this form.
	Clinical trials on humans can only begin after FDA has reviewed and allowed the application to proceed and an IRB has reviewed and approved the clinical treatment plan and reviewed the patient's informed consent form. ¹¹ After receiving this approval, the manufacturer is considered the sponsor of an existing IND, and clinical trials that involve human volunteers can begin. Once in effect, the sponsor of the existing IND may amend its research plan as needed, such as to expand or otherwise change patient eligibility criteria. Testing under an amended treatment plan may only begin after the changes have been submitted to FDA and approved by an IRB.
Clinical Trials	An investigational drug typically goes through three phases of clinical trials before it is submitted to FDA for marketing approval. According to FDA officials, in some cases when a new drug is being tested for a life-threatening ailment, the drug development process may be expedited by going through only one or two phases of clinical trials before an application is submitted to FDA for marketing approval. At any point during the clinical trials, FDA could issue a clinical hold on the existing IND that would delay the proposed or ongoing clinical trials. ¹² When a drug undergoing clinical trials is placed on clinical hold, it cannot be
	¹⁰ See 21 C.F.R. pt. 312, subpt. B, §§ 312.20 et seq. (2016).
	¹¹ The IRB's role is to ensure protections for human volunteers in clinical trials and that informed consent will be obtained. According to FDA, an IRB means any board,

informed consent will be obtained. According to FDA, an IRB means any board, committee, or other group formally designated by an institution to review, approve the initiation of, and conduct periodic review of biomedical research involving human subjects. Many institutions (such as research hospitals) have their own IRB to oversee human subjects research conducted within the institution or by the staff of the institution—these are commonly referred to as local IRBs. A physician who does not have access to a local IRB typically uses an independent IRB. An IRB generally incurs costs for their review of expanded access requests and may charge physicians or others for reviews.

¹²Reasons for imposing clinical holds can include human volunteers being subject to unreasonable and significant risks of illness or injury.

administered to any human volunteers. This includes volunteers already in a clinical trial, and no new volunteers may be recruited to clinical trials. If the drug completes a clinical trial phase and is not on clinical hold, FDA allows it to proceed to the next phase. The three clinical trial phases are detailed below:

- Phase I: Phase I clinical trials generally test the safety of the drug on about 20 to 80 healthy volunteers. The goal of this phase is to determine the drug's most frequent side effects and how it is metabolized and excreted. If the drug does not show unacceptable toxicity in the phase I clinical trials, it may move on to phase II.
- Phase II: Phase II clinical trials assess the drug's safety and effectiveness on people who have a certain disease or condition and typically are conducted on a few dozen to hundreds of volunteers. During this phase some volunteers receive the drug and others receive a control, such as a placebo. If there is evidence that the drug is effective in the phase II clinical trials, it may move on to phase III.
- Phase III: Phase III clinical trials generally involve several hundreds to thousands of volunteers and gather more information about the drug's safety and effectiveness on different patient populations and at different dosages, again while being compared to a control. If phase III clinical trials are successfully completed, the drug may move on to FDA's review and approval process.

Review and Approval Process When seeking FDA's approval to market and sell a drug in the United States, the manufacturer submits an application to FDA.¹³ Included in these submissions are the data from the safety and efficacy clinical trials for FDA to review. FDA uses the information in the application to either approve or not approve the drug. Based on a study looking at 10 years of data, among the drugs that enter Phase I clinical trials, on average, 9.6 percent were ultimately approved for marketing in the United States.¹⁴ For example, if 100 investigational drugs entered Phase I clinical trials, approximately 63 (63.2%) would advance to Phase II clinical trials. Of those 19

¹³When seeking approval for marketing of a new drug in the United States, the manufacturer submits to FDA a new drug application (NDA). See 21 C.F.R. § 314.50 (2016). When seeking approval for marketing of new biologic in the United States, the manufacturer submits to FDA a biologics license application (BLA). See 21 C.F.R. § 601.2 (2016).

¹⁴David W. Thomas et al., *Clinical Development Success Rates 2006-2015* (Washington, D.C.: BIO, 2016).

drugs, 11 (58.1%) would advance to the new drug application process, and, ultimately, 10 of those (85.3%) would be approved for marketing and sale in the United States.



Source: GAO analysis of FDA data and a 2016 collaborative study by Biotechnology Innovation Organization, Biomedtracker, and Amplion.ª | GAO-17-564

Note: According to FDA officials, there can be wide variation in the number of patients involved in the different clinical trial phases, and, when a new drug is being tested for a life-threatening ailment, the drug development process may be expedited by going through only one or two phases of clinical trials before an application is submitted to FDA for marketing approval.

^aDavid W. Thomas et al., *Clinical Development Success Rates 2006-2015* (Washington, D.C.: BIO, 2016).

Expanded Access Program	Through FDA's expanded access program, licensed physicians, on behalf of their patients with serious or immediately life-threatening ailments, who have no other comparable medical options, and who are unable to participate in a clinical trial, can request and possibly access investigational drugs for treatment of the patients, if the patients meet
	certain eligibility requirements. ¹⁵ FDA's goals for the program are to

¹⁵FDA's expanded access eligibility requirements are outlined in FDA's regulations on expanded access to investigational drugs, 21 C.F.R. pt. 312, subpt. I, §§ 312.300 et seq. (2016).

facilitate the availability of investigational drugs when appropriate, ensure patient safety, and preserve the clinical trial development process. All expanded access requests must be submitted to FDA, and once received take effect within a designated time unless the agency acts to expedite or disallow them from proceeding. The expanded access process also requires the involvement of other entities, including the manufacturer that is developing the drug and must provide access to it, an IRB that must approve the expanded access treatment plan and review the patient's informed consent form, and a physician who administers the drug. FDA categorizes expanded access requests into two broad categories protocol requests and IND requests—and the roles and responsibilities of each of these entities within the process can vary depending on the category of the request.

Expanded Access Protocol An expanded access protocol request aims to provide access to an investigational drug outside of a clinical trial when the sponsor of an Requests existing IND submits a treatment plan for expanded access in an amendment to that existing IND. The manufacturer typically makes these requests and is, therefore, the sponsor of the expanded access request. The manufacturer must submit the expanded access treatment plan using a new Form FDA 1571 notifying FDA that the manufacturer is amending its existing IND to provide the investigational drug to one or more patients outside of the clinical trial. An expanded access protocol may be submitted to provide access to a single patient or to more than one patient. A manufacturer may decide to open an expanded access protocol because it has received requests for a drug that is still in clinical trialsfor example, requests for terminal patients with no other options-or because it has a drug that has completed clinical trials and wants to make the drug available to patients who have no other comparable treatment options while waiting for FDA's new drug approval response. For expanded access protocol requests sponsored by manufacturers, the roles and responsibilities of the various entities involved in the process typically are as follows: 16

• The manufacturer: In addition to submitting the request for the expanded access protocol to FDA, the manufacturer, as the sponsor of the request, is responsible for the collection and submission of data to FDA on any adverse events that occurred from administering the drug to patients who received it under the expanded access protocol.

¹⁶Sponsors of expanded access protocol requests can also be a physician or a third party, such as an academic institution, but more typically are manufacturers.

These data are included with the manufacturer's regular adverse event data reporting to FDA under the existing IND.

- **The physician:** The patient's physician administers the investigational drug to the patient and must report any serious adverse events to the manufacturer sponsoring the expanded access protocol.
- The IRB: A designated IRB must approve the clinical treatment plan that is submitted as part of the expanded access protocol application (similar to its review of the original clinical treatment plan) and review the patient's informed consent form. FDA generally requires that all IRB reviews be completed by a full IRB—meaning the majority of members of the board participate in the review process—and FDA makes no specific exception for expanded access.¹⁷

Expanded Access IND Requests An expanded access IND request aims to provide access to an investigational drug outside of a clinical trial and under a new IND. The vast majority of expanded access IND requests are opened to provide access to a single patient.¹⁸ A patient's physician typically submits these single-patient requests and is, therefore, the sponsor of these requests and must submit to FDA the Individual Patient Expanded Access Application, using Form FDA 3926 or Form FDA 1571.¹⁹ See appendix II and appendix I for copies of these forms. In general, physicians would pursue expanded access IND requests when they learn of a drug being developed and think the benefit of giving their patient expanded access outweighs the risks. For expanded access IND requests sponsored by

¹⁷See 21 C.F.R. § 56.108(c) (2016). Expedited review procedure does not require full IRB review. Also, emergency use applications are exempted from prospective IRB review altogether, although emergency expanded access use must still be reported to the IRB within five working days. See 21 C.F.R. §104(c) (2016).

¹⁸IND requests for multiple patients are often requested by manufacturers and require the submission of Form FDA 1571 to FDA.

¹⁹The Individual Patient Expanded Access Application, Form FDA 3926, was finalized in June 2016, along with related guidance documents for industry: *Individual Patient Expanded Access Application: Form 3926; Expanded Access to Investigational Drugs for Treatment use*—Questions and Answers: Guidance for Industry; and Charging for *Investigational Drugs Under an IND*—Questions and Answers: Guidance for Industry.

physicians, the roles and responsibilities of the various entities involved in the process typically are as follows:²⁰

- **The physician:** The physician first obtains a letter of authorization from the manufacturer that documents it will allow the physician to reference its existing IND information. After receiving this letter, the physician submits an expanded access request to FDA. If FDA allows the request to proceed to treatment, the physician must provide FDA with a written summary of the results at the conclusion of treatment.
- **The manufacturer:** The manufacturer decides whether it will allow the patient (or patients) access to the drug. If the manufacturer decides to give the patient (or patients) access to the drug, it gives the physician a letter of authorization.
- The IRB: The IRB must approve the clinical treatment plan that is submitted as part of the expanded access IND application and review a patient's informed consent form.²¹ FDA generally requires that all IRB reviews be completed by a full IRB—meaning the majority of the members of the board participate in the review process—and FDA makes no specific exception for expanded access.²²

²⁰For expanded access IND requests sponsored by manufacturers, the roles and responsibilities of the various entities involved in the process are similar to those for expanded access protocol requests. Likewise, for expanded access protocol requests sponsored by physicians, the roles and responsibilities of the various entities involved in the process are similar to those for the expanded access IND requests.

²¹See 21 C.F.R. § 312.305(c)(4) (2016).

²²See 21 C.F.R. § 56.108(c) (2016). Expedited review procedure does not require full IRB review. Also, emergency use applications are exempted from prospective IRB review altogether, although emergency expanded access use must still be reported to the IRB within five working days. See 21 C.F.R. §104(c) (2016).

Beyond these broad categories, FDA further categorizes expanded access requests (whether protocol or IND requests) by the number of patients treated and the type of situation. The agency reports data separately on four categories of expanded access requests that FDA defines as follows:

- 1. Single-patient.
- Single-patient emergency, for example, for a patient who is not expected to live long enough for FDA and an IRB to review a typical single-patient expanded access request.²³
- Intermediate-size generally for two patients to potentially hundreds of patients.
- 4. Treatment for larger widespread populations.

Where the drug is at in the drug development process can affect the type of expanded access request that may be submitted to FDA. (See figure 2.)

²³Emergency requests are generally received and authorized by FDA over the telephone. If approved, the physician must submit an expanded access submission to FDA within 15 business days and notify an IRB within 5 business days of the emergency use.

Figure 2: Types of Expanded Access Requests that Occur throughout the Food and Drug Administration's (FDA) Typical Drug Development and Approval Process



Treatment expanded access requests are generally initiated during phase III clinical trials or once clinical trials are complete when a manufacturer is pursuing FDA's approval for marketing in the U.S.

Source: GAO analysis of FDA data. | GAO-17-564

Note: According to FDA officials, there can be wide variation in the number of patients involved in the different clinical trial phases, and, when a new drug is being tested for a life-threatening ailment, the drug development process may be expedited by going through only one or two phases of clinical trials before an application is submitted to FDA for marketing approval.

Figures 3 and 4 illustrate two common examples of situations in which expanded access requests may be submitted to FDA and the roles and responsibilities of various stakeholders for each example. They include an example of an expanded access treatment protocol request submitted by a manufacturer late in the drug development process, and an expanded access single-patient IND request submitted by a physician earlier in the process.

Figure 3: Expanded Access Treatment Protocol Example

A manufacturer's drug was successful in clinical trials. The manufacturer is pursuing approval from Food and Drug Administration (FDA) to sell and market the drug in the United States. While waiting for FDA's approval decision, the manufacturer would like to set up an expanded access protocol so that a large number of terminal patients can access the drug.

Stakeholder roles and responsibilities

- **Manufacturer** submits a Form FDA 1571 notifying FDA that it is amending its existing IND. If allowed to proceed, manufacturer collects and submits adverse events data to FDA.
- FDA has 30 days to review the request.
- Physician requests access to the drug from the manufacturer, treats one or more patients, and submits
 adverse events data to the manufacturer.
- IRB reviews and approves the treatment plan.





Legend: FDA= Food and Drug Administration; IRB= institutional review board.

Source: GAO analysis of FDA data. | GAO-17-564

Note: According to FDA officials, there can be wide variation in the number of patients involved in the different clinical trial phases, and, when a new drug is being tested for a life-threatening ailment, the drug development process may be expedited by going through only one or two phases of clinical trials before an application is submitted to FDA for marketing approval.

Figure 4: Expanded Access Single-Patient IND Example

A physician learns of a promising drug being developed that has just started phase II clinical trials. The physician would like to sponsor a single-patient IND for her terminal patient.



Legend: FDA= Food and Drug Administration; IRB= institutional review board.

Source: GAO analysis of FDA data. | GAO-17-564

Note: According to FDA officials, there can be wide variation in the number of patients involved in the different clinical trial phases, and, when a new drug is being tested for a life-threatening ailment, the drug development process may be expedited by going through only one or two phases of clinical trials before an application is submitted to FDA for marketing approval.

Within FDA, the centers that oversee the pre-clinical and clinical testing of drugs and biologics, the Center for Drug Evaluation and Research (CDER) and the Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research (CBER), also review and determine whether an expanded access request for a drug or biologic is allowed to proceed.²⁴

Selected Drug Manufacturers Reported Different Experiences with Expanded Access Requests, and FDA Allowed Nearly All Requests to Proceed from Fiscal Year 2012 through 2015

Selected Drug Manufacturers Reported Different Experiences with the Number and Types of Expanded Access Requests They Received Each of the nine selected manufacturers from whom we obtained information reported having experiences with the expanded access program, but the extent of the experiences, and with what type of request, varied. Specifically,

- one manufacturer reported only having experience with expanded access IND requests;
- three manufacturers reported only having experience with expanded access protocol requests; and
- five manufacturers reported having experience with both types of requests.

Of those manufacturers that had experience with expanded access IND requests, all of the requests were sponsored by physicians and were for

²⁴Within CDER, there are 16 divisions that review expanded access requests, based upon a drug's therapeutic indication; similarly, within CBER, there are 3 offices that review expanded access requests.

single patients, including both emergency and non-emergency requests. Most of these manufacturers said that expanded access INDs can happen at any phase of a drug's development, but, based upon the availability of safety and efficacy data, manufacturers are more likely to approve these requests at later phases of drug development. The numbers of single-patient IND requests these manufacturers reported receiving ranged from 39 to approximately 800. Additionally, some manufacturers reporting experience with expanded access IND requests said that they may decide to establish an expanded access protocol instead of separately considering single-patient expanded access IND requests. For example, one manufacturer reported that, once it receives an expanded access IND request for a single patient, it will proactively evaluate whether there is the potential for additional requests, and, if so, it will work to establish an expanded access protocol to cover a group of patients for FDA's review. Another manufacturer said that it has three pathways for patients to access investigational drugs-clinical trials. expanded access protocols, and expanded access INDs-and that it will first evaluate whether a patient is eligible for its clinical trial or an expanded access protocol it has established before it will consider providing access to the drug through an expanded access IND request.

Three of the nine selected manufacturers reported that their only experience with the expanded access program was with expanded access protocol requests. These manufacturers cited the following reasons for establishing expanded access protocols. One reason cited by two manufacturers was that expanded access protocols allowed them to give groups of patients access to their drugs subsequent to completion of their phase III clinical trials, pending approval of their drug for marketing. Another manufacturer said that it had already built late-phase expanded access into its overall drug development plans. Among these manufacturers, the reported numbers of patients covered under their expanded access protocols varied—ranging from 18 patients to 800 patients. FDA Allowed 99 Percent of Nearly 5,800 Expanded Access Requests to Proceed During Fiscal Years 2012 through 2015 From fiscal year 2012 through 2015, FDA reviewed nearly 5,800 expanded access requests and allowed nearly all of them to proceed. Specifically, of the 5,753 expanded access requests the agency reviewed, it allowed 5,697 (99 percent) to proceed.²⁵ (See table 1.) According to a study using FDA data, in the rare cases when FDA did not allow a request to proceed, the most common reasons were incomplete applications, unsafe dosing, the requested drug's demonstrated lack of efficacy for its intended use, the availability of adequate alternative therapies, and inadequate information provided in the application on which to base a decision.²⁶

Table 1: Total Expanded Access Requests Reviewed and Allowed to Proceed by Food and Drug Administration's (FDA) Center for Drug Evaluation and Research and Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research, by Type, Fiscal Years 2012 through 2015

Type of request		Number reviewed	Allowed to proceed	Percent allowed to proceed
Single-patient	Emergency	2,451	2,436	99.4
	Non-emergency	3,047	3,016	99.0
Multiple patients	Intermediate-size	204	194	95.1
	Treatment (widespread)	51	51	100.0
Total		5,753	5,697	99.0

Source: GAO analysis of FDA data | GAO-17-564

Note: This table includes both expanded access IND requests and expanded access protocol requests.

Nearly all of the expanded access requests FDA received and reviewed (approximately 96 percent) were for single patients (including both emergency and non-emergency requests). However, because intermediate-size and treatment requests expand access to groups of patients, more patients may have gained expanded access to drugs through these types of requests. For example, one of the selected manufacturers reported that 400 patients received its drug through an

²⁵These data only reflect information on requests that were submitted to FDA. The physician also must obtain authorization from the manufacturer to access the drug, which typically is obtained before the physician submits a request to FDA.

²⁶Jonathan P. Jarow, Steven Lemery, Kevin Bugin, and Naomi Lowy, "Ten-Year Experience for the Center for Drug Evaluation and Research, Part 2: FDA's Role in Ensuring Patient Safety," *Therapeutic Innovation & Regulatory Science*, vol. 51, no. 2 (2016).

expanded access treatment protocol and anticipated further growth in the number of patients treated under that protocol by the end of 2016. Another manufacturer reported that over 1,500 patients had requested access to its treatment protocol since November 2015. FDA officials said that the agency does not keep data on the number of patients treated under intermediate-size or treatment expanded access requests.

We analyzed data from the FDA divisions and offices that reviewed expanded access requests and found that most requests were concentrated among a few divisions and offices. For example, among the 16 CDER divisions that review these requests, four divisions—Antiinfective, Antiviral, Hematology, and Oncology 2—reviewed over 3,700 requests, accounting for 74 percent of all requests that CDER received from fiscal year 2012 through 2015. In contrast, during that same time period 9 other divisions reviewed a combined total of 201 requests, accounting for 4 percent of all requests received. (See table 2.)

	Singl	e-patient	Multiple pat	Multiple patients			
Division	Emergency	Non-emergency	Intermediate-size	Treatment (widespread)	Total	Percent	
Anti-infective	261	1,031	4	0	1,296	25.6	
Antiviral	911	70	2	0	983	19.4	
Hematology	466	406	12	8	892	17.6	
Oncology 2	20	521	14	15	570	11.3	
Gastroenterology and Inborn Errors of Metabolism	291	124	52	2	469	9.3	
Oncology 1	39	398	2	3	442	8.7	
Neurology	60	88	58	2	208	4.1	
All other divisions ^a	74	85	30	12	201	4.0	
Total	2,122	2,723	174	42	5,061	100.0	

Table 2: Number of Expanded Access Requests Reviewed by Food and Drug Administration's (FDA) Center for Drug Evaluation and Research (CDER), by Division and Type of Request, Fiscal Years 2012 through 2015

Source: GAO analysis of FDA data. | GAO-17-564

Note: This table includes both expanded access IND requests and expanded access protocol requests.

^aThis category includes the following CDER divisions: Pulmonary, Allergy, and Rheumatology; Cardiology and Renal; Transplant and Ophthalmology; Medical Imaging; Metabolism and Endocrine; Dermatology and Dental; Anesthesia, Analgesia, and Addiction; Psychiatry; and Bone, Reproductive, and Urology. Similarly, for requests reviewed by CBER, one of its three offices—the Office of Tissues and Advanced Therapies—reviewed 484 of the 692 total requests, which accounted for approximately 70 percent of the requests reviewed by that center.²⁷ (See table 3.)

Table 3: Number of Expanded Access Requests Reviewed by Food and Drug Administration's (FDA) Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research (CBER), by Office and Type of Request, Fiscal Years 2012 through 2015

	Singl	e-patient	Multiple pa			
Office	Emergency	Non-emergency	Intermediate-size	Treatment (widespread)	Total	Percent
Tissues and Advanced Therapies ^a	142	320	14	8	484	69.9
Vaccine Research and Review	96	4	14	0	114	16.5
Blood Research and Review	91	0	2	1	94	13.6
Total	329	324	30	9	692	100.0

Source: GAO analysis of FDA data. | GAO-17-564

Note: This table includes both expanded access IND requests and expanded access protocol requests.

^aAccording to FDA, advanced therapies include cellular products, therapeutic vaccines, and gene therapies.

FDA Typically Responded to Emergency Expanded Access Requests in Less than 1 Day and Responded to All Other Requests within the Allotted 30-day Time Frame

FDA officials told us that it only tracks response times for expanded access IND requests (not protocol requests), and, from fiscal years 2012 through 2015, the agency typically responded to emergency expanded access IND requests in less than 1 day, according to FDA data. These data also show that the agency responded to all other expanded access IND requests within the 30 days allotted to the agency, as established in regulation.²⁸ FDA officials reported that, given the nature of emergency expanded access IND requests, they try to respond to these requests within hours of receiving them. From fiscal years 2012 through 2015, of the more than 2,300 emergency expanded access IND requests that were submitted, FDA's median response time was within less than a day. (See table 4.)

²⁷According to FDA, advanced therapies include cellular products, therapeutic vaccines, and gene therapies.

²⁸See 21 C.F.R. § 312.305(d) (2016).

 Table 4: Median Food and Drug Administration (FDA) Review Time Frames, in Days, for Expanded Access IND Requests by

 Center, Type, and Whether the Request Was Allowed to Proceed, Fiscal Years 2012 through 2015

-		Single-Patient						Mu	ltiple Pa	tients		
		Emer	gency		Non-emer	gency	lı	ntermediat	e-size		Trea (wides)	tment pread)
					Allowed	d to proc	eed					
FDA center	No.	Median	Max	No.	Median	Max	No.	Median	Мах	No.	Median	Max
Center for Drug Evaluation and Research	2,094	<1	15	2,483	3.0	30	136	30.0	30	0	N/A	N/A
Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research	257	<1	25	118	19.0	30	11	30.0	30	5	30.0	30
					Not allow	ed to pro	oceed					
Center for Drug Evaluation and Research	10	<1	4	8	25.5	30	4	27.0	28	0	N/A	N/A
Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research	4	<1	<1	20	28.0	30	3	15.0	30	0	N/A	N/A

Legend: N/A = not applicable

Source: GAO analysis of FDA data. | GAO-17-564.

Note: This table only includes data on expanded access IND requests. It does not include expanded access protocol requests because data were unavailable.

For non-emergency single-patient IND requests that were allowed to proceed, the median number of days to review the requests among the two centers ranged from 3 days to 19 days, and was 30 days for both intermediate-size and treatment requests. Under FDA's rules, unless a request is not allowed to proceed, an expanded access IND request goes into effect 30 days after FDA receives it or on earlier notification by FDA that the expanded access use may begin.²⁹

FDA officials told us that the agency does not track the time it takes to respond to expanded access protocol requests for various reasons. First, because there are so few protocol requests compared to expanded access IND requests, FDA officials said that the data on time frames for protocols are less meaningful. Second, because expanded access protocol requests are associated with existing INDs that have previously approved treatment plans, all expanded access protocol requests are

²⁹See 21 C.F.R. § 312.305(d) (2016).

allowed to proceed as soon as FDA receives the request, except for the treatment category of expanded access protocol requests (i.e., for large sized or widespread patient groups). FDA imposes a 30-day review period on expanded access treatment protocol requests due to the potential for exposing large groups of patients to an investigational drug. If FDA has not otherwise responded to an expanded access treatment protocol request within 30 days, the request is automatically allowed to proceed.

Selected Manufacturers and FDA Consider a Number of Factors in Reviewing Expanded Access Requests The nine selected manufacturers from whom we obtained information reported that they consider a wide range of factors when reviewing expanded access requests. The factors ranged from those related to the specific patients who would receive a drug to how expanded access might affect the drug development process. Specific factors that were commonly reported as used in reviews by the manufacturers we spoke with included patient factors and drug development factors.

Patient factors that were commonly reported included the following:

- The patient's condition and treatment history. For example, one manufacturer told us that the patient must have a disease that is "serious" or "life-threatening" and have no comparable or satisfactory alternative treatment available to the patient.
- The patient's eligibility for a clinical trial. For example, one manufacturer said that it assesses whether the patient is ineligible to enroll in a clinical trial for a medically valid reason or meets eligibility criteria for an ongoing trial but seeks treatment in a location without access to that trial.
- Whether the potential benefits of treatment outweigh the potential risks to the patient. For example, one manufacturer reported that providing investigational drugs with limited safety data in an uncontrolled setting could increase the potential for significant adverse events to occur.
- The bioethical implications of providing drugs through expanded access. For example, one manufacturer said that it considers whether providing its drug through expanded access for one patient ethically obligates it to provide its drug to all patients who request it.

Drug development factors that were commonly reported included the following:

- Reducing participation in clinical trials by approving expanded access requests. For example, one manufacturer said that as patients become increasingly aware of the availability of an investigational drug through expanded access, manufacturers may face challenges enrolling a sufficient number of patients for clinical trial studies because patients receiving the drug through expanded access are guaranteed the investigational drug (as opposed to participants in a clinical trial who can have a chance of receiving a placebo).
- The risk that adverse events experienced by patients using an unapproved drug through the expanded access program could compromise the drug development process. For example, some manufacturers raised concerns that, because an investigational drug is administered in an uncontrolled setting under expanded access, any data reported from such adverse events could complicate the safety findings for that drug during FDA's review of those data and contribute to a decision to not approve the drug.
- The potential public backlash that could arise against the manufacturer should an expanded access request be denied. For example, one manufacturer told us that denying an expanded access request could lead to negative publicity through social media. This negative publicity could, in turn, deter potential investors from funding the drug's development.
- The requested drug's availability. For example, one manufacturer said that it evaluates whether it has enough supply of its drug available to successfully administer its clinical trial, as well as to provide the drug to expanded access patients. Another manufacturer said that this is a particular concern early in the drug development process, as manufacturers typically only produce the amount of drug needed to support each clinical trial phase. Generally, the drug's supply is low in the early clinical phases and increases in the later clinical phases.
- The financial and administrative resources required to fulfill the request. Manufacturers said that resource requirements could particularly impact smaller manufacturers that have fewer resources available to process expanded access requests and administer clinical trials simultaneously.

Page 22

FDA officials told us that the factors they consider when reviewing expanded access requests to determine whether that request should be allowed to proceed are based on criteria in regulation.³⁰ Specifically, upon receipt of an expanded access request, FDA officials said that they review that request against the following four general criteria established in regulation:

- 1. The patient has a serious or immediately life-threatening disease or condition.
- 2. There is no comparable or satisfactory alternative therapy.
- 3. The potential benefit justifies the potential risks of the treatment, and those potential risks are not unreasonable in the context of the disease or condition to be treated.
- 4. Providing the drug will not interfere with clinical investigations that could support marketing approval of the drug or otherwise compromise development of the expanded access use for that drug.

In addition to these general criteria applicable to all expanded access requests, FDA officials reported that they consider additional criteria in regulation that apply to specific types of expanded access.

- **Single-patient requests:** The physician must determine that the probable risk to the patient from the investigational drug is not greater than the probable risk from the disease or condition and FDA must determine that the patient cannot obtain the drug under another IND or protocol.³¹
- Intermediate-size populations: FDA must determine that there is enough evidence that the drug is safe at the dose and duration proposed for expanded access use to justify a clinical trial of the drug in the approximate number of patients expected to receive it under expanded access. In addition, there must be preliminary clinical evidence of effectiveness of the drug or a plausible pharmacological effect of the drug to make expanded access use a reasonable therapeutic option in the anticipated patient population.³²

³⁰See 21 C.F.R. 312.305(a) (2016) (establishing criteria for all expanded access uses).

³¹See 21 C.F.R. 312.310(a) (2016) (establishing criteria for individual patients).

³²See 21 C.F.R. 312.315(b) (2016) (establishing criteria for intermediate-size patient populations).

• **Treatment INDs or protocols:** FDA must determine that the drug is being investigated in a controlled clinical trial (or that all clinical trials of the drug have been completed) and that the IND sponsor is actively pursuing marketing approval of the drug for the expanded access use with due diligence. Additionally, when expanded access use is for a serious disease or condition, FDA must determine that there is sufficient clinical evidence of safety and effectiveness to support the expanded access use. When expanded access use is for an immediately life-threatening disease or condition, the available scientific evidence, taken as a whole, must provide a reasonable basis to conclude that the investigational drug may be effective for the expanded access use and would not expose patients to an unreasonable and significant risk of illness or injury.³³

FDA officials told us that, in addition to these criteria, agency reviewers will also consider whether submitted requests have provided sufficient information to allow FDA to make an informed decision on the request.

³³See 21 C.F.R. 312.320(a) (2016) (establishing criteria for treatment INDs or protocols).

FDA and Others Have Taken Steps to Improve the Expanded Access Program and Patient Access to Investigational Drugs, but Stakeholders Continue to Cite Concerns	
FDA Continues to Undertake Efforts to Improve the Expanded Access Program	According to FDA officials and stakeholders, FDA has undertaken efforts to improve the expanded access program. These efforts include publishing a simplified application form, finalizing existing guidance and making changes to its website for single-patient expanded access IND requests, and working with the Reagan-Udall Foundation—a non-profit established by Congress to assist FDA—to develop a website to help patients and physicians navigate the expanded access process. ³⁴
Simplified Application Form, Guidance, and Website	In response to concerns raised by patients and physicians that the process for physicians trying to request expanded access to drugs for single patients was complex and cumbersome, FDA issued a new simplified, alternative application form for these requests (Form FDA 3926) in June 2016, finalized its related guidance, and made changes to its website. According to FDA, prior to these changes physicians who wanted expanded access for a single patient had to complete and submit the same form that manufacturers complete when establishing clinical trials (Form FDA 1571). According to FDA officials, the new form requires the physician to complete 11 elements of information, far fewer than the 26 elements identified on Form FDA 1571. FDA officials estimate that the new application will take physicians, on average, 45 minutes to complete.

³⁴See 21 U.S.C. § 379dd (establishment and functions of Reagan-Udall Foundation).

In addition to the new application, FDA finalized another guidance document which provided more details on the implementation of FDA expanded access regulations, to further assist physicians in the expanded access process.³⁵ FDA has also redesigned its expanded access website pages to explain the new form and guidance document in detail.

Most stakeholders, including physicians, spoke positively about the new application and some said the new application is easier and takes less time to complete compared to Form FDA 1571. However, even with these changes, a few of the stakeholders that we spoke with said the revised website and forms may still be hard to understand, particularly for physicians and patients who have no prior experience with expanded access requests.

Website to Help Physicians and Patients
 In response to concerns from patients and patient advocates that it is difficult to locate and understand information about single-patient expanded access IND requests, FDA asked the Reagan-Udall Foundation to develop a website—referred to as the Expanded Access Navigator—that will help physicians and patients find relevant information about the process.³⁶ According to the foundation's proposal, the Navigator will include a directory of manufacturers' expanded access policies, procedures, and points of contact. The Navigator will also offer information on IRBs and reporting requirements for physicians. Foundation officials also told us that FDA has been helping with this effort by identifying issues and reviewing the content of the Navigator, and that the website is expected to launch in June 2017.

Foundation officials noted that key challenges with the website include determining how to keep its information current and how to get potentially cautious manufacturers to provide the needed information. Some stakeholders told us they were skeptical the website could be maintained with updated information or were concerned that it could be a duplication of the information found on ClinicalTrials.gov.³⁷ However, the proposal for the Navigator website states that it would not duplicate the information on

³⁵FDA, *Expanded Access to Investigational Drugs for Treatment Use— Questions and Answers: Guidance for Industry* (Silver Spring. Md.:June 2016).

³⁶The Reagan-Udall Foundation is a nonprofit organization created by Congress to advance the mission of FDA for the purpose of advancing regulatory science.

³⁷The website ClinicalTrials.gov is a web-based resource for patients and physicians to access information about clinical trials.

	ClinicalTrials.gov. Other stakeholders told us that the Navigator could help patients quickly and efficiently obtain information on drug manufacturers' expanded access policies and information. This type of website appears to complement a provision in the recently enacted 21st Century Cures Act, which requires manufacturers to make their expanded access policies publically available.
Other Stakeholders Are Working to Improve the Expanded Access Process and Increase Patient Access to Drugs	Some stakeholder groups are taking steps to improve the expanded access process through efforts to streamline the IRB process, help manufacturers manage expanded access requests for drugs, and increase patient access through legislative changes.
	In response to concerns raised by stakeholders, including manufacturers and patient advocacy groups, that IRB involvement in the expanded access process increases the time it takes for patients to obtain access to drugs in single-patient IND situations and that IRBs lack a full understanding of the expanded access program, the WCG Foundation is developing a project to streamline the IRB process and educate IRBs. ³⁸ According to the foundation officials, the timeliness of IRB reviews is hindered by the IRB's unfamiliarity with the expanded access review process, which may occur only once every few years for some IRBs. In addition, foundation officials said that even though FDA has developed the abbreviated Form FDA 3926 for physicians to use for single-patient expanded access IND requests, some IRBs continue to require the use of the longer form (Form FDA 1571) as the basis for their review of the application, which could slow the process further. See appendix I for a copy of Form FDA 1571 and appendix II for a copy of Form FDA 3926. The foundation's project aims to overcome these obstacles by working with IRBs to meet and respond to a physician single-patient IND request within 72 hours from the date the request was received. In addition, they
	must agree to adopt FDA's simplified application (Form FDA 3926) for single-patient IND requests. Some of the intended outcomes for the project include establishing a core group of IRBs with expanded access experience, streamlining the IRB process by providing the IRB community ³⁸ The WCG Foundation is a non-profit organization, founded by the WIRB-Copernicus

³⁸The WCG Foundation is a non-profit organization, founded by the WIRB-Copernicus Group, whose mission is to help improve protections for research participants and improve the health and well-being for all people.

with a standard form for reviewing expanded access requests, and educating the broader national IRB community on using a streamlined IRB process. FDA officials said the agency supports WCG's efforts and is meeting with foundation officials on a regular basis. Some of the stakeholders that we spoke with noted that more education and guidance for IRBs on how to handle expanded access requests is needed.

To address concerns that drug manufacturers' decisions on expanded access requests could be biased or unfair, the drug manufacturer Janssen Pharmaceuticals Companies of Johnson & Johnson is piloting a process through which it receives recommendations about which patients should receive expanded access from a third party group.³⁹ Specifically, in May 2015, Johnson & Johnson announced a partnership between Janssen and the Compassionate Use Advisory Committee (CompAC) at the New York University (NYU) School of Medicine's Division of Medical Ethics. At the time the committee was established, Janssen was receiving numerous expanded access requests for a drug it was developing to treat a form of cancer, but the drug had not yet been approved for marketing by FDA. According to officials from Janssen and NYU CompAC, Janssen decided it needed help with allocating the drug fairly and transparently to patients outside of clinical trials. The committee, which consists of medical experts, bioethicists, and patient representatives, meets weekly to review anonymized expanded access requests submitted to Janssen. evaluate each request against a set of criteria the committee developed, and provide Janssen with a suggested approach for each request. Between July 1 and December 31, 2015, the committee recommended that Janssen approve 60 of 76 requests that it reviewed. Janssen reported that it approved all 60 of these requests recommended by the committee, and, based on the positive experience with the pilot, the manufacturer is planning to expand the program to additional investigational drugs.

In an effort to help facilitate patient access to investigational drugs, the Goldwater Institute—a public policy research organization—developed model legislation for states.⁴⁰ The institute's model legislation, which is referred to as Right-to-Try, would place limitations under state law on

Pilot to Help Drug Manufacturers Manage Expanded Access Requests

Efforts to Increase Access to Drugs through State Legislative Changes

³⁹Janssen is an arm of Johnson & Johnson.

⁴⁰The Goldwater Institute, which was established in 1988, is an independent, non-partisan public policy research organization that focuses on developing state policy solutions to protect people's rights.

liability lawsuits and licensing actions against individuals or entities involved in the care of individuals seeking expanded access. The institute officials stated that such legislation would provide incentives for manufacturers and physicians to provide access to such drugs. According to the institute's officials, the model legislation applies only to drugs that have successfully completed phase I clinical safety trials. According to the Goldwater Institute, as of June 2017, 37 states had enacted Right-to-Try laws similar to this model.

The stakeholders we spoke with, including representatives of drug manufacturers and patient and physician advocacy groups, reported concerns about these legislative changes:

- Some contended that the laws would not help patients gain access to investigational drugs because they do not compel manufacturers to give access. As we previously noted, manufacturers have cited various reasons why they may not give a patient access to their investigational drug, and it is unclear to what extent these laws would address these concerns.
- Others raised concerns that the laws might give patients false hope that experimental drugs will cure them. Thus, patients may not fully consider the risks associated with these drugs, which may not be effective and which could potentially be more harmful than no treatment.

FDA Reported Limited Use of Expanded Access Safety Data in its Drug Approval Process, and Some Manufacturers Have Asked for More Clarity on This Use by FDA

FDA reported using expanded access safety data, specifically adverse events data, in a few cases in approving new drugs for marketing in the United States and not more widely because expanded use situations generally lack controls used in most clinical trials.⁴¹ FDA guidance specifies that safety data, which include any unexpected adverse events that are associated with the use of a drug, even during expanded access use, must be reported along with safety data from clinical trials. Agency officials noted that these data can contribute important safety information that might not otherwise be collected in clinical trials and that they take into account the context of the expanded access situation, such as use in patients with serious or life-threatening ailments, when reviewing new drug applications (NDA) and biologics license applications (BLA). For example, officials said that in some cases adverse events data from expanded access use can identify rare side effects not detected in clinical trials, as well as inform FDA reviewers of any safety issues involving patient populations that were not otherwise included in the drug's clinical trials. FDA's primary goal of the expanded access program is to allow patients with no other options access to investigational drugs when appropriate. Data from expanded access use can also help FDA meet its agency goal of collecting meaningful data about the use of investigational drugs.42

However, several stakeholders we spoke with, including the selected manufacturers, raised concerns that FDA is not clear about how it uses expanded access adverse events data in its review of NDAs and BLAs. Some of the manufacturers noted that use of such data may influence FDA in making final approval decisions and that this possibility can contribute to a manufacturer deciding not to grant patients access to their drug through the expanded access program. Some of the manufacturers

⁴¹Sponsors of INDs and all cases of expanded access are responsible for submitting safety reports to FDA that include adverse events data resulting from clinical trials to be used in assessing the safety of a drug within the drug approval process. See 21 C.F.R. §§ 312,32, 312.305(c)(5) (2016).

⁴²According to FDA officials, data from expanded access use can also provide insights on a drug's effectiveness in limited situations. In addition, some of the nine manufacturers we spoke with reported using safety and efficacy data from expanded access situations to help them evaluate their drug. For example, officials from one manufacturer reported that a benefit of implementing an expanded access protocol over an IND is that the manufacturer can collect additional information on the drug's efficacy and safety. Officials from another manufacturer also told us they use data from expanded access use to make changes to the drug's palatability or dosage before the drug is approved by FDA.

reported that they have concerns about the possibility that FDA's use of adverse events data from expanded access requests would result in a clinical hold on their drug, which would halt all testing on human subjects and, as a result, delay the drug's development process. One study included a review of ten years (2005 through 2014) of expanded access data to determine how often a clinical hold was put on a drug that was obtained via expanded access.⁴³ This review only found two instances in which adverse events from expanded access use contributed to a decision to have a clinical hold put on a drug. However, manufacturers continued to raise concerns about how FDA uses adverse events data from expanded access use.

FDA briefly mentions its perspective on how adverse events data from expanded access are viewed in NDAs and BLAs; but, the information is vague and is not consistently communicated in other documents FDA uses to communicate with manufacturers on expanded access use. Specifically, our review of FDA's regulations and nine related documents FDA uses to communicate with manufacturers regarding expanded access use found little information communicating how FDA uses adverse events data from expanded access in new drug application reviews. Our review included FDA's three new guidance documents on expanded access, four letters of acknowledgement FDA sends to sponsors after they submit an expanded access request, and two guidance documents on IND safety reporting (a 2012 final document and 2015 draft of new guidance).⁴⁴ Only one of the documents—its expanded access treatment use guidance that was issued in June of 2016—refers to how expanded access data might be used by FDA in the drug approval process. According to FDA officials, it included this reference in response to manufacturer's requests that more clarity be provided on how these data

⁴³Jonathan P. Jarow, Steven Lemery, Kevin Bugin, Sean Khozin, and Richard Moscicki, "Expanded Access of Investigational Drugs: The Experience of Drug Evaluation and Research Over a 10-Year Period," *Therapeutic Innovation & Regulatory Science*, vol. 50, no.6 (2016).

⁴⁴The three guidance documents on expanded access were FDA, *Expanded Access to Investigational Drugs for Treatment Use–Questions and Answers: Guidance for Industry* (Silver Spring, Md; June 2016); FDA, *Individual Patient Expanded Access Applications: Form FDA 3926 Guidance for Industry* (Silver Spring, Md: June 2016); and FDA, *Charging for Investigational Drugs under an IND—Questions and Answers: Guidance for Industry* (Silver Spring, Md: June 2016). The two guidance documents on IND safety reporting were FDA, *Safety Reporting Requirements for INDs and BA/BE Studies* (Silver Spring, Md: December 2012) and FDA, *Safety Assessment for IND Safety Reporting (Draft)* (Silver Spring, Md: December 2015). We also reviewed FDA regulations pertaining to expanded access use: 21 C.F.R. pt. 312, subpt. I, §§ 312.300 et seq. (2016).
would be used. Although FDA took steps to improve its communication on this, the information in the document is vague in that it contains few details and no specific examples. The specific language is as follows:

> "...There are a small number of cases in which FDA has used adverse event information from expanded access in the safety assessment of a drug. However, FDA reviewers of these adverse event data understand the context in which the expanded access use was permitted (e.g., use in patients with serious or immediately life-threatening diseases or administered in a clinical setting (not clinical trial)) and will evaluate any adverse event data obtained from an expanded access submission within that context....⁷⁴⁵

According to standards for internal control in the federal government, management should ensure adequate means of communicating with external stakeholders who have a significant impact on the agency achieving its goal.⁴⁶ While FDA officials told us that they believe manufacturers are aware of how the agency uses adverse events data from expanded access use, some of the manufacturers and other stakeholders told us they did not think the guidance was clear. Some manufacturers also noted that the lack of clear information about how FDA uses these data can influence their decision not to give patients access to their drugs. This could impact FDA's goal of facilitating expanded access to drugs for treatment use by patients with serious or life-threatening diseases or conditions, when appropriate.

Conclusions

FDA's expanded access program was established to facilitate access, when appropriate, to investigational drugs for certain patients when no other comparable medical options are available. Although FDA provides clear guidance on the expanded access data that must be submitted by physicians and manufacturers and recently took steps to communicate how it will use these data, the agency's communication lacks clarity and specificity. In addition, this information is not consistently communicated in other documents that FDA uses to communicate with manufacturers about the program. Manufacturers and other stakeholders expressed concerns about this lack of clarity, and some noted that, without a better

⁴⁵FDA, *Expanded Access to Investigational Drugs*, p. 18.

⁴⁶GAO-14-704G.

	understanding of the how the data will be used, they may be more likely to deny expanded access requests for fear that any adverse events associated with these often terminally ill patients may delay the development of the drug should FDA place a clinical hold due to the adverse event. Such delays in clinical trials can have a significant impact on a manufacturer, especially on small companies trying to make a drug available to a larger patient population. Without clearly communicated information from FDA on how adverse event data from expanded access is used, manufacturers do not have the information they need to make informed decisions about expanded access.
Recommendation for Executive Action	To help FDA meet its goal of facilitating expanded access to investigational drugs by patients with serious or life-threatening diseases or conditions, when appropriate, the Commissioner of FDA should clearly communicate how the agency will use adverse event data from expanded access use when reviewing drugs and biologics for approval for marketing and sale in the United States.
Agency Comments	We provided a draft of this report to HHS for comment. In its comments, reproduced in appendix III, HHS concurred with our recommendation. HHS noted that while there have only been two instances in which adverse event data have contributed to decisions to temporarily put development of investigational drugs on partial clinical holds, additional clarity on how FDA uses such data from expanded access use may allay manufacturers concerns. HHS also provided technical comments, which we incorporated as appropriate.
	We are sending copies of this report to the congressional addressees, the Secretary of Health & Human Services, and other interested parties. In addition, the report is available at no charge on the GAO website at http://www.gao.gov.

If you or your staffs have any questions about this report, please contact me at (202) 512-7114 or dickenj@gao.gov. Contact points for our Offices of Congressional Relations and Public Affairs may be found on the last page of this report. GAO staff who made key contributions to this report are listed in appendix IV.

John E. Dichen

John E. Dicken Director, Health Care

List of Addressees

The Honorable John Hoeven Chairman The Honorable Jeff Merkley Ranking Member Subcommittee on Agriculture, Rural Development, Food and Drug Administration, and Related Agencies Committee on Appropriations United States Senate

The Honorable Robert Aderholt Chairman The Honorable Sanford Bishop Ranking Member Subcommittee on Agriculture, Rural Development, Food and Drug Administration, and Related Agencies Committee on Appropriations House of Representatives

The Honorable Tom Carper United States Senate

The Honorable Ron Johnson United States Senate

The Honorable Michael McCaul House of Representatives

The Honorable Fred Upton House of Representatives

Appendix I: Form FDA 1571, Investigational New Drug Application

Food and Drug Administration's (FDA) Form FDA 1571 contains a number of administrative elements pertaining to an investigational new drug application. This form is completed and submitted to FDA by manufacturers who seek FDA's approval to conduct clinical trials of an investigational drug on humans.

	Next Page	Export	Data	Import Data		Reset Form]
DEPAR	TMENT OF HEALTH Food and Drug A			CES	E		OMB No. 0910-0014 February 28, 2019 <i>ent on page 3</i> .
	TIONAL NEW D				с	linical investigati	iologic may be shipped or on begun until an IND for that effect (21 CFR 312.40)
1. Name of Sponsor						2. Date of	Submission (mm/dd/yyyy)
3. Sponsor Address					4	Telephone Nur	nber (Include country code if
Address 1 (Street addre	ss, P.O. box, company r	ame c/o)				applicable and	
Address 2 (Apartment, s	uite, unit, building, floor,	etc.)			_		
City		State/Provin	ce/Region				
Country		i	ZIP or Post	al Code			
5. Name(s) of Drug <i>(Include</i>	e all available names: 7	rade, Generi	ic, Chemica	Con	tinuatio ge for #	on	mber (If previously assigned)
7. (Proposed) Indication for	Use	ls ti	his indicatio	on for a rare disease (prevale	ence <200.000 ir	1 U.S.)? Yes No
		Orp	han Desigrication?	uct have an FDA nation for this	Des	es, provide the C signation numbe cation:	
8. Phase(s) of Clinical Inve	stigation to be conducte	ed 🗖 Dha		Phase 2 🔲 Phase		Other (Creater)	
10. IND submission should The next submission (e	.g., amendment, report	, or correspo	ndence) sh	ould be numbered "	Serial N	lumber: 0001."	Serial Number
Subsequent submission				der in which they are	Submi	lleu.	
Request For Reactiva	Is the following (<i>Select</i> New Drug Application (IN tion Or Reinstatement Jpdate Report (DSUR)		-			esponse To FDA eneral Correspo	Request For Information
Protocol Amendment(s	5 X X X	n Amendme		Request for			IND Safety Report(s)
New Protocol		stry/Microbiol		Meeting			Initial Written Report
Change in Protocol	🔲 Pharma	acology/Toxic	ology	Proprietar	y Name	e Review	Follow-up to a Written
New Investigator	🔲 Clinical		Statistics	🔲 Special Pr	rotocol	Assessment	Report
PMR/PMC Protocol	🔲 Clinical	Pharmacolog	gу	🗌 Formal Di	spute F	Resolution	
12. Select the following only to the cited CFR section			ent must be			for any items se ccess Use, 21 Ci	
Emergency Resear Requirements, 210	rch Exception From Info	rmed Consen	nt	Individual Patient Emergency 21 CF	, Non-	🔲 Inte	ermediate Size Patient pulation, 21 CFR 312.315
Charge Request, 2	x 7		1	Individual Patient 21 CFR 312.310(, Emerg	jency 🔲 Tre	atment IND or Protocol, CFR 312.320
				Jse Only			
CBER/DCC Receipt Stamp		DDR Recei		Jse Olliy		Division Assig	nment
						IND Number A	Assigned
ORM FDA 1571 (2/16)			Page 1	1 of 3			PSC Publishing Services (301) 443-6740 E

Previous Page	Next Page			
13. Contents of Application - Thi	is application contains the	following items	s (Select all that apply)	
 1. Form FDA 1571 (21 C) 2. Table of Contents (21) 3. Introductory statemen 4. General Investigationa 5. Investigator's brochur 6. Protocol(s) (21 CFR 3) a. Study protocol(s) b. Investigator dat completed Form 	CFR 312.23(a)(1)) 1 CFR 312.23(a)(2)) nt (21 CFR 312.23(a)(3)) ial plan (21 CFR 312.23(a) re (21 CFR 312.23(a)(5)) 3(2.23(a)(6)) (s) (21 CFR 312.23(a)(6)(iii) (s) FDA 1572 (21 CFR 312.23(a)(6)(iii)(b) 572 dy to be conducted by a conduct	(3)) ((b)) or)) or completed ontract research	6. Protocol(s) (C d. Instituti (b)) or c 7. Chemistry, ma (21 CFR 312.2) Environme (21 CFR 312.2) Environme (21 CFR 312.2) Previous huma 10. Additional inf 11. Biosimilar Us 12. Clinical Trials h organization?	onal Review Board data (21 CFR 312.23(a)(6)(ii ompleted Form(s) FDA 1572 unufacturing, and control data 23(a)(7)) rhal assessment or claim for exclusion 12.23(a)(7)(iv)(e)) and toxicology data (21 CFR 312.23(a)(8)) an experience (21 CFR 312.23(a)(9)) ormation (21 CFR 312.23(a)(10)) ter Fee Cover Sheet (Form FDA 3792) is certification of Compliance (Form FDA 3674) fes □ No
If Yes, provide a statement co identification of the clinical stu				
15. Name and Title of the person	s 10	17		
	y begin. I also agree r	not to begin o	or continue clinical in	IND unless I receive earlier notification
requirements set forth in 2 studies in the proposed cli regulatory requirements. 17. Name of Sponsor or Sponsor 18. Telephone Number (<i>Include c</i> 20. Address	21 CFR Part 56 will be inical investigation. I a or's Authorized Representa country code if applicable an	responsible agree to cond ative d area code) 1	for initial and contin luct the investigation	uing review and approval of each of th in accordance with all other applicable
requirements set forth in 2 studies in the proposed clii regulatory requirements. 17. Name of Sponsor or Sponsor 18. Telephone Number (Include c 20. Address Address 1 (Street address, P.C)	21 CFR Part 56 will be inical investigation. I a of 's Authorized Representa country code if applicable an O. box, company name c/c	responsible agree to cond ative d area code) 1	for initial and contin luct the investigation	uing review and approval of each of the in accordance with all other applicable ber (Include country code if applicable and area cod
requirements set forth in 2 studies in the proposed cli regulatory requirements. 17. Name of Sponsor or Sponsor 18. Telephone Number (<i>Include c</i> 20. Address	21 CFR Part 56 will be inical investigation. I a of 's Authorized Representa country code if applicable an O. box, company name c/c	responsible agree to cond ative d area code) 1	for initial and contin luct the investigation	uing review and approval of each of the in accordance with all other applicable ber (Include country code if applicable and area cod 21. Email Address
requirements set forth in 2 studies in the proposed clii regulatory requirements. 17. Name of Sponsor or Sponsor 18. Telephone Number (Include c 20. Address Address 1 (Street address, P.C)	21 CFR Part 56 will be inical investigation. I a or's Authorized Represente country code if applicable an O. box, company name c/c unit, building, floor, etc.)	responsible agree to cond ative d area code) 1	for initial and contin luct the investigation	uing review and approval of each of the in accordance with all other applicable ber (Include country code if applicable and area cod 21. Email Address
requirements set forth in 2 studies in the proposed cli regulatory requirements. 17. Name of Sponsor or Sponsor 18. Telephone Number (<i>include c</i> 20. Address Address 1 (<i>Street address, P.C</i> Address 2 (<i>Apartment, suite, u</i>)	21 CFR Part 56 will be inical investigation. I a or's Authorized Represente country code if applicable an O. box, company name c/c unit, building, floor, etc.)	responsible agree to cond ative id area code) 1 b)	for initial and continu luct the investigation	uing review and approval of each of the in accordance with all other applicable ber (Include country code if applicable and area cod 21. Email Address
requirements set forth in 2 studies in the proposed cli regulatory requirements. 17. Name of Sponsor or Sponsor 18. Telephone Number (<i>Include c</i> 20. Address Address 1 (<i>Street address</i> , P.C Address 2 (<i>Apartment, suite, d</i> City Country	21 CFR Part 56 will be inical investigation. I a or's Authorized Represente country code if applicable an O. box, company name c/c unit, building, floor, etc.)	responsible agree to cond ative d area code) 1 p) rovince/Region	for initial and continu luct the investigation	uing review and approval of each of the in accordance with all other applicable ber (Include country code if applicable and area cod 21. Email Address
requirements set forth in 2 studies in the proposed cli regulatory requirements. 17. Name of Sponsor or Sponsor 18. Telephone Number (<i>Include c</i> 20. Address Address 1 (<i>Street address</i> , <i>P.C</i> Address 2 (<i>Apartment, suite, d</i> City Country 23. Name of Countersigner	P1 CFR Part 56 will be inical investigation. I a or's Authorized Representa country code if applicable an O. box, company name c/c unit, building, floor, etc.) State/P O. box, company name c/c	responsible agree to cond ative d area code) 1 p) rovince/Region ZIP or Pos	for initial and continu luct the investigation	uing review and approval of each of th in accordance with all other applicabl ber (Include country code if applicable and area cod 21. Email Address
requirements set forth in 2 studies in the proposed cli regulatory requirements. 17. Name of Sponsor or Sponsor 18. Telephone Number (Include c 20. Address Address 1 (Street address, P.C Address 2 (Apartment, suite, u City Country 23. Name of Countersigner 24. Address 1 (Street address, P.C	P1 CFR Part 56 will be inical investigation. I a r's Authorized Representa country code if applicable an O. box, company name c/c unit, building, floor, etc.) State/P O. box, company name c/c unit, building, floor, etc.)	responsible agree to cond ative d area code) 1 p) rovince/Region ZIP or Pos	for initial and continu luct the investigation	uing review and approval of each of the in accordance with all other applicable ber (Include country code if applicable and area cod 21. Email Address 22. Date of Sponsor's Signature (mm/dd/yyy
requirements set forth in 2 studies in the proposed cli regulatory requirements. 17. Name of Sponsor or Sponsor 18. Telephone Number (<i>include c</i> 20. Address Address 1 (<i>Street address</i> , <i>P.C</i> Address 2 (<i>Apartment, suite, u</i> City 23. Name of Countersigner 24. Address 1 (<i>Street address, P.C</i> Address 1 (<i>Street address, P.C</i> Address 1 (<i>Street address, P.C</i> Address 2 (<i>Apartment, suite, u</i> City Country	P1 CFR Part 56 will be inical investigation. I a r's Authorized Representa country code if applicable an O. box, company name c/c unit, building, floor, etc.) State/P O. box, company name c/c unit, building, floor, etc.)	responsible agree to cond ative d area code) 1 rovince/Region ZIP or Pos	for initial and continu luct the investigation	view Board (IRB) that complies with the ing review and approval of each of the in accordance with all other applicable ber (Include country code if applicable and area cod 21. Email Address 22. Date of Sponsor's Signature (mm/dd/yyy 22. Date of Sponsor's Signature (mm/dd/yyy WARNING : A willfully false statement is a criminal offense (U.S.C. Title 18, Sec. 1001).
requirements set forth in 2 studies in the proposed cli regulatory requirements. 17. Name of Sponsor or Sponsor 18. Telephone Number (Include c 20. Address Address 1 (Street address, P.C Address 2 (Apartment, suite, c City 23. Name of Countersigner 24. Address of Countersigner 24. Address 1 (Street address, P.C Address 2 (Apartment, suite, c City Country 24. Address 2 (Apartment, suite, c City Countersigner City City City	P1 CFR Part 56 will be inical investigation. I a pr's Authorized Representa country code if applicable an 0. box, company name c/c unit, building, floor, etc.) State/P 0. box, company name c/c unit, building, floor, etc.) State/P	responsible agree to cond ative d area code) 1 rovince/Region ZIP or Pos	for initial and continu luct the investigation	uing review and approval of each of the in accordance with all other applicable ber (Include country code if applicable and area cod 21. Email Address 22. Date of Sponsor's Signature (mm/dd/yyy 22. Date of Sponsor's Signature (mm/dd/yyy 23. WARNING : A willfully false statement is a criminal offense (U.S.C. Title 18, Sec. 1001).
requirements set forth in 2 studies in the proposed cli regulatory requirements. 17. Name of Sponsor or Sponsor 18. Telephone Number (Include c 20. Address Address 1 (Street address, P.C Address 2 (Apartment, suite, c City 23. Name of Countersigner 24. Address 1 (Street address, P.C Address 2 (Apartment, suite, c City Country 24. Address 1 (Street address, P.C Address 2 (Apartment, suite, c City City Country United States of America	P1 CFR Part 56 will be inical investigation. I a pr's Authorized Representa country code if applicable an 0. box, company name c/c unit, building, floor, etc.) State/P 0. box, company name c/c unit, building, floor, etc.) State/P	responsible agree to cond ative d area code) 1 rovince/Region ZIP or Pos	for initial and continu luct the investigation 19. Facsimile (FAX) Num tal Code	uing review and approval of each of the in accordance with all other applicable ber (Include country code if applicable and area cod 21. Email Address 22. Date of Sponsor's Signature (mm/dd/yyy 22. Date of Sponsor's Signature (mm/dd/yyy 23. WARNING : A willfully false statement is a criminal offense (U.S.C. Title 18, Sec. 1001).

Г

	The information below applies only to requirements of the F	
response, including the tim and maintain the data need comments regarding this bu	ollection of information is estimated to average 100 hours pe ne to review instructions, search existing data sources, gathe ded and complete and review the collection of information. Sen urden estimate or any other aspect of this information collection ducing this burden to the address to the right:	er Food and Drug Administration d Office of Operations
'An agency may not conduc collection of information unle	t or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a ess it displays a currently valid OMB number."	Please do NOT send your completed form to this PRA Staff email address.

Appendix II: Form FDA 3926, Individual Patient Expanded Access Investigational New Drug Application

Food and Drug Administration's (FDA) Individual Patient Expanded Access Application, Form FDA 3926, is to be completed and submitted to FDA by a patient's physician requesting single-patient expanded access of an investigational drug.

Individual Patient Expanded Access Investigational New Drug Application (I (<i>Title 21, Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) Part</i>	Expiration Date: April 30, 2019
	See PRA Statement on last page.
(Title 21 Code of Federal Perculations (CEP) Part	ND)
(The ZI, Code of Federal Regulations (CIR) Fait	312)
. Patient's Initials	2. Date of Submission (mm/dd/yyyy)
.a. Initial Submission 3.b. Follow-Up Submission	Investigational Drug Name
 Select this box if this form is an initial submission for an individual patient expanded access IND, Select this box if this form acc a follow-up submission to an individual patient expanded access IND, 	companies existing
and complete only fields 4 and complete the items to the through 8, and fields 10 and 11. section, and fields 8 through	
. Clinical Information	
ndication	
rief Clinical History (Patient's age, gender, weight, allergies, diagnosis, prior	therapy, response to prior therapy, reason for
equest, including an explanation of why the patient lacks other therapeutic op	
Treatment Information	
nvestigational Drug Name	
noolgalonal Brag Hame	
lame of the entity that will supply the drug (generally the manufacturer)	
DA Review Division <i>(if known)</i>	
reatment Dian (Including the dose route and schedule of administration, play	and duration and monitoring procedures. Also include
	nned duration, and monitoring procedures. Also include
	nned duration, and monitoring procedures. Also include
nodifications to the treatment plan in the event of toxicity.)	
nodifications to the treatment plan in the event of toxicity.)	
nodifications to the treatment plan in the event of toxicity.)	manufacturer of the drug)
nodifications to the treatment plan in the event of toxicity.)	manufacturer of the drug)
 Letter of Authorization (LOA), if applicable (generally obtained from the r I have attached the LOA. (Attach the LOA; if electronic, use normal PDF fu Note: If there is no LOA, consult the Form Instructions. 	manufacturer of the drug) Inctions for file attachments.)
A consult of the treatment plan in the event of toxicity.) Letter of Authorization (LOA), if applicable (generally obtained from the r I have attached the LOA. (Attach the LOA; if electronic, use normal PDF fu Note: If there is no LOA, consult the Form Instructions. Physician's Qualification Statement (Including medical school attended,	manufacturer of the drug) unctions for file attachments.) year of graduation, medical specialty, state medical
 Letter of Authorization (LOA), if applicable (generally obtained from the r I have attached the LOA. (Attach the LOA; if electronic, use normal PDF fu Note: If there is no LOA, consult the Form Instructions. 	manufacturer of the drug) Inctions for file attachments.) year of graduation, medical specialty, state medical first few pages of physician's curriculum vitae (CV),
A bodifications to the treatment plan in the event of toxicity.) Letter of Authorization (LOA), if applicable (generally obtained from the i I have attached the LOA. (Attach the LOA; if electronic, use normal PDF fu Note: If there is no LOA, consult the Form Instructions. Physician's Qualification Statement (Including medical school attended, license number, current employment, and job title. Alternatively, attach the	manufacturer of the drug) Inctions for file attachments.) year of graduation, medical specialty, state medical first few pages of physician's curriculum vitae (CV),
 Letter of Authorization (LOA), if applicable (generally obtained from the inclusion of the LOA), if applicable (generally obtained from the inclusion of the LOA), if applicable (generally obtained from the inclusion of the LOA). (Attach the LOA); if electronic, use normal PDF further of the Induction of the LOA. (Attach the LOA); if electronic, use normal PDF further of the Induction of the Inducti	manufacturer of the drug) Inctions for file attachments.) year of graduation, medical specialty, state medical first few pages of physician's curriculum vitae (CV),
 Letter of Authorization (LOA), if applicable (generally obtained from the r Letter of Authorization (LOA), if applicable (generally obtained from the r I have attached the LOA. (Attach the LOA; if electronic, use normal PDF fu Note: If there is no LOA, consult the Form Instructions. Physician's Qualification Statement (Including medical school attended, license number, current employment, and job title. Alternatively, attach the i provided they contain this information. If attaching the CV electronically, use Physician Name, Address, and Contact Information 	manufacturer of the drug) unctions for file attachments.) year of graduation, medical specialty, state medical first few pages of physician's curriculum vitae (CV), e normal PDF functions for file attachments.)
 Letter of Authorization (LOA), if applicable (generally obtained from the r Letter of Authorization (LOA), if applicable (generally obtained from the r I have attached the LOA. (Attach the LOA; if electronic, use normal PDF fu Note: If there is no LOA, consult the Form Instructions. Physician's Qualification Statement (Including medical school attended, license number, current employment, and job title. Alternatively, attach the i provided they contain this information. If attaching the CV electronically, use Physician Name, Address, and Contact Information 	manufacturer of the drug) Inctions for file attachments.) year of graduation, medical specialty, state medical first few pages of physician's curriculum vitae (CV),
A big the second secon	manufacturer of the drug) unctions for file attachments.) year of graduation, medical specialty, state medical first few pages of physician's curriculum vitae (CV), e normal PDF functions for file attachments.)
A big the second secon	manufacturer of the drug) unctions for file attachments.) year of graduation, medical specialty, state medical first few pages of physician's curriculum vitae (CV), e normal PDF functions for file attachments.)
A big the second secon	manufacturer of the drug) unctions for file attachments.) year of graduation, medical specialty, state medical first few pages of physician's curriculum vitae (CV), e normal PDF functions for file attachments.)
A construction of the treatment plan in the event of toxicity.) Letter of Authorization (LOA), if applicable (generally obtained from the r Letter of Authorization (LOA), if applicable (generally obtained from the r LoA: if electronic, use normal PDF fu Note: If there is no LOA, consult the Form Instructions. Physician's Qualification Statement (Including medical school attended, license number, current employment, and job title. Alternatively, attach the r provided they contain this information. If attaching the CV electronically, use Physician Name, Address, and Contact Information Physician Name (Sponsor) ddress 1 (Street address, No P.O. boxes)	manufacturer of the drug) unctions for file attachments.) year of graduation, medical specialty, state medical first few pages of physician's curriculum vitae (CV), e normal PDF functions for file attachments.)
A construction of the treatment plan in the event of toxicity.) Letter of Authorization (LOA), if applicable (generally obtained from the i Letter of Authorization (LOA), if applicable (generally obtained from the i LoA: if electronic, use normal PDF fu Note: If there is no LOA, consult the Form Instructions. Physician's Qualification Statement (Including medical school attended, license number, current employment, and job title. Alternatively, attach the i provided they contain this information. If attaching the CV electronically, use Physician Name, Address, and Contact Information Physician Name (Sponsor) ddress 1 (Street address, No P.O. boxes)	manufacturer of the drug) unctions for file attachments.) year of graduation, medical specialty, state medical first few pages of physician's curriculum vitae (CV), e normal PDF functions for file attachments.) Email Address of Physician
Address 2 (Apartment, suite, unit, building, floor, etc.)	manufacturer of the drug) unctions for file attachments.) year of graduation, medical specialty, state medical first few pages of physician's curriculum vitae (CV), e normal PDF functions for file attachments.) Email Address of Physician
Address 2 (Apartment, suite, unit, building, floor, etc.)	manufacturer of the drug) unctions for file attachments.) year of graduation, medical speciality, state medical first few pages of physician's curriculum vitae (CV), e normal PDF functions for file attachments.) Email Address of Physician Telephone Number of Physician
Note: If there is no LOA, consult the Form Instructions. Physician's Qualification Statement (Including medical school attended, license number, current employment, and job title. Alternatively, attach the is provided they contain this information. If attaching the CV electronically, use Physician Name, Address, and Contact Information Physician Name (Sponsor) Address 1 (Street address, No P.O. boxes) Address 2 (Apartment, suite, unit, building, floor, etc.)	manufacturer of the drug) unctions for file attachments.) year of graduation, medical speciality, state medical first few pages of physician's curriculum vitae (CV), e normal PDF functions for file attachments.) Email Address of Physician Telephone Number of Physician
A construction of the treatment plan in the event of toxicity.)	manufacturer of the drug) unctions for file attachments.) year of graduation, medical speciality, state medical first few pages of physician's curriculum vitae (CV), e normal PDF functions for file attachments.) Email Address of Physician Telephone Number of Physician Facsimile (FAX) Number of Physician

follow-up communi Initial Writter Follow-up to Annual Repo Summary of 10. Request for Al I request aut 11. Certification required mate continue clinic informed cons Federal IRB re that in the cas emergency tre applicable reg WARNING: A Signature of Phys	ntains the following neations, use Form FL IND Safety Report a Written IND Safety I a Written IND Safety I the Expanded Access Us thorization to Submit th Statement: I will ne ials unless I receive al investigations cov- ent, consistent with quirements will be re of an emergency i atment within 5 wor illatory requirements willfully false statician	DA 1571 for your submiss Report e (treatment completed) Form FDA 3926 is Form FDA 3926 to com ot begin treatment until e earlier notification fror vered by the IND if thos Federal requirements, responsible for initial ar request, treatment may king days of treatment. s. tement is a criminal out all prior required fiel	Sion.	e in Treatment Plan al Correspondence nse to FDA Request fo nse to Clinical Hold ents for an individual p ecceipt of a complete may begin. I also ag on clinical hold. I also al Review Board (IR nd approval of this tr B approval, provideo investigation in acc	atient expanded access IN d application and all ree not to begin or o certify that I will obtain B) that complies with the eatment use. I understa d the IRB is notified of th
follow-up communi Initial Writter Follow-up to Annual Repo Summary of 10. Request for Au I request aut 11. Certification required mate continue clinic informed cons Federal IRB re that in the cass emergency tre applicable reg WARNING: A Signature of Physe To enable the sign which have not ye	eations, use Form FL IND Safety Report a Written IND Safety I rt Expanded Access Us thorization to Use I norization to submit th Statement: I will no ials unless I receive al investigations cov- ent, consistent with quirements will be to of an emergency in atment within 5 wor ulatory requirements willfully false stat ician ature field, please fill	DA 1571 for your submiss Report e (treatment completed) Form FDA 3926 is Form FDA 3926 to com ot begin treatment until e earlier notification fror vered by the IND if thos Federal requirements, responsible for initial ar request, treatment may king days of treatment. s. tement is a criminal out all prior required fiel	Sion.	e in Treatment Plan al Correspondence nse to FDA Request fo nse to Clinical Hold ents for an individual p ecceipt of a complete may begin. I also ag in clinical hold. I also al Review Board (IR nd approval of this tr B approval, provideo investigation in acc e 18, Sec. 1001).	r Information atient expanded access IN d application and all ree not to begin or o certify that I will obtain B) that complies with the eatment use. I understa d the IRB is notified of th
Follow-up to Annual Repo Summary of Summary of In Request for Au I request aut Certification required mate continue clinic informed cons Federal IRB re that in the cas emergency tre applicable reg WARNING: A Signature of Physe To enable the sign which have not ye	a Written IND Safety I tt Expanded Access Us thorization to Use I norization to submit th Statement: I will no ials unless I receive al investigations cov- ent, consistent with quirements will be to of an emergency for atment within 5 wor ulatory requirements willfully false station ician ature field, please fill	e (treatment completed) Form FDA 3926 is Form FDA 3926 to com ot begin treatment until e earlier notification fror vered by the IND if those Federal requirements, responsible for initial ar request, treatment may king days of treatment. s. tement is a criminal out all prior required fiel	Genera Genera Respor Respor 30 days after FDA's re n FDA that treatment r e studies are placed o and that an Institutiona id continuing review ar begin without prior IRI I agree to conduct the offense (U.S.C. Title	al Correspondence hase to FDA Request for hase to Clinical Hold ents for an individual pro- ecceipt of a complete may begin. I also ag no clinical hold. I also al Review Board (IR hd approval of this tr B approval, provideo investigation in acc e 18, Sec. 1001).	atient expanded access IN d application and all ree not to begin or o certify that I will obtain B) that complies with the eatment use. I understa d the IRB is notified of th
Annual Report Summary of Summary of IO. Request for Au I request aut II. Certification required mate continue clinic informed cons Federal IRB re that in the cas emergency tre applicable reg WARNING: A Signature of Physe To enable the sign which have not ye	rt Expanded Access Us thorization to Use I norization to submit th Statement: I will no ials unless I receive al investigations cov- ent, consistent with quirements will be re of an emergency re atment within 5 wor ulatory requirements willfully false stat ician ature field, please fill	e (treatment completed) Form FDA 3926 is Form FDA 3926 to com ot begin treatment until e earlier notification fror vered by the IND if those Federal requirements, responsible for initial ar request, treatment may king days of treatment. s. tement is a criminal out all prior required fiel	Respon Respon 30 days after FDA's re n FDA that treatment r e studies are placed o and that an Institutiona d continuing review ar begin without prior IRI I agree to conduct the offense (U.S.C. Title	nse to FDA Request for nse to Clinical Hold ents for an individual p ecceipt of a complete may begin. I also ag in clinical hold. I also al Review Board (IR nd approval of this tr B approval, provideo investigation in acc at8, Sec. 1001).	atient expanded access IN d application and all ree not to begin or o certify that I will obtain B) that complies with the eatment use. I understa d the IRB is notified of th
Summary of	Expanded Access Us thorization to Use In norization to submit the Statement: I will not ials unless I receive al investigations coo- ent, consistent within quirements will be re- of an emergency re- atment within 5 worri- latory requirements willfully false stational ician ature field, please fill	Form FDA 3926 is Form FDA 3926 to com ot begin treatment until e earlier notification fror vered by the IND if thos Federal requirements, responsible for initial ar request, treatment may king days of treatment. s. tement is a criminal out all prior required fiel	Resport apply with FDA's requirement 30 days after FDA's re n FDA that treatment r e studies are placed o and that an Institutionan id continuing review ar begin without prior IRI I agree to conduct the offense (U.S.C. Title	nse to Clinical Hold ents for an individual p ecceipt of a complete may begin. I also ag in clinical hold. I alsc al Review Board (IR nd approval of this tr B approval, provided e investigation in acc e 18, Sec. 1001).	atient expanded access IN d application and all ree not to begin or o certify that I will obtain B) that complies with the eatment use. I understa d the IRB is notified of th
 10. Request for A 1 request aut 11. Certification required mate continue clinic informed cons Federal IRB re that in the cas emergency tre applicable reg WARNING: A Signature of Physe To enable the sign which have not ye 	thorization to Use Inorization to submit the Statement: I will not als unless I receive al investigations cov- ent, consistent with quirements will be re- of an emergency re- atment within 5 wor latory requirements willfully false statician ature field, please fill	Form FDA 3926 is Form FDA 3926 to com ot begin treatment until e earlier notification fror vered by the IND if thos Federal requirements, responsible for initial ar request, treatment may king days of treatment. s. tement is a criminal out all prior required fiel	apply with FDA's requirement 30 days after FDA's re n FDA that treatment r e studies are placed o and that an Institutionan id continuing review ar begin without prior IRI I agree to conduct the offense (U.S.C. Title	ents for an individual p ecceipt of a complete may begin. I also ag in clinical hold. I also al Review Board (IR nd approval of this tr B approval, provider e investigation in acc e 18, Sec. 1001).	d application and all ree not to begin or o certify that I will obtain B) that complies with the eatment use. I understa d the IRB is notified of th
I request aut I1. Certification required mate continue clinic informed cons Federal IRB re that in the cas emergency tre applicable reg WARNING: A Signature of Phys To enable the sign which have not ye	An orization to submit the Statement: I will not all survestigations cov- ent, consistent with quirements will be re- of an emergency re- atment within 5 wor- ulatory requirements willfully false stan- ician ature field, please fill	tis Form FDA 3926 to com ot begin treatment until e earlier notification fror vered by the IND if thos Federal requirements, responsible for initial ar request, treatment may king days of treatment. s. ttement is a criminal out all prior required fiel	30 days after FDA's re n FDA that treatment r e studies are placed o and that an Institutiona id continuing review ar begin without prior IRI I agree to conduct the offense (U.S.C. Title	eceipt of a complete may begin. I also ag in clinical hold. I also al Review Board (IR nd approval of this tr B approval, provideo investigation in acc at8, Sec. 1001).	d application and all ree not to begin or o certify that I will obtain B) that complies with the eatment use. I understa d the IRB is notified of th
11. Certification required mate continue clinic informed cons Federal IRB re that in the cas emergency tre applicable reg WARNING: A Signature of Phys To enable the sign which have not ye	Statement: I will m ials unless I receive al investigations cov- ent, consistent with quirements will be r of an emergency r atment within 5 wor ulatory requirements willfully false static ician	ot begin treatment until e earlier notification fror vered by the IND if thos Federal requirements, responsible for initial ar request, treatment may king days of treatment. s. tement is a criminal out all prior required fiel	30 days after FDA's re n FDA that treatment r e studies are placed o and that an Institutiona id continuing review ar begin without prior IRI I agree to conduct the offense (U.S.C. Title	eceipt of a complete may begin. I also ag in clinical hold. I also al Review Board (IR nd approval of this tr B approval, provideo investigation in acc at8, Sec. 1001).	d application and all ree not to begin or o certify that I will obtain B) that complies with the eatment use. I understa d the IRB is notified of th
required mate continue clinic informed cons Federal IRB re that in the cas emergency tre applicable reg WARNING: A Signature of Phys To enable the sign which have not ye	ials unless I receive al investigations cov- ent, consistent with quirements will be re of an emergency ra atment within 5 wor latory requirements willfully false sta ician ature field, please fill	e earlier notification fror vered by the IND if thos Federal requirements, responsible for initial ar request, treatment may king days of treatment. s. ttement is a criminal out all prior required fiel	n FDA that treatment r e studies are placed o and that an Institutiona Id continuing review ar begin without prior IRI I agree to conduct the offense (U.S.C. Title	may begin. I also ag in clinical hold. I also al Review Board (IR nd approval of this tr B approval, provideo i investigation in acc 18, Sec. 1001).	ree not to begin or o certify that I will obtain B) that complies with the eatment use. I understa d the IRB is notified of th
Signature of Phys To enable the sigr which have not ye	ician ature field, please fill	out all prior required fiel			
To enable the sign which have not ye	ature field, please fill		do. For a list of required	Date	
which have not ye			de Ear a list of required		
Date of FDA Rece		Se click here.	us. For a list of required	fields	
Date of FDA Rece		For F	DA Use Only		
	pt	Is this an emergency in	dividual patient IND?	Is this indication for < 200,000 in the U.S	a rare disease (prevalenc 5.)?
IND Number		Yes	□ No		Yes No
*		applies only to requirem			
The bu time to and re	rden time for this col review instructions, view the collection c	llection of information is search existing data so of information. Send cou on, including suggestion	estimated to average 4 ources, gather and ma nments regarding this	5 minutes per respor intain the data need burden estimate or a	nse, including the ed and complete
		Food and Drug A Office of Operation	ons ction Act (PRA) Staff	ces	
",		conduct or sponsor, and formation unless it displ			collection of

Appendix III: Comments from the Department of Health & Human Services

11			
WAN SERVICES. CO.	DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH & HUM	AN SERVICES	OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY
Will Wasa			Assistant Secretary for Legislation Washington, DC 20201
JUN 1	4 2017		
John Dicken			
Director, Hea U.S. Govern	in Care iment Accountability Office		
441 G Street	NW		
Washington,	DC 20548		
Dear Mr. Die	ckens:		
"Investigatio		aken Steps to Improv	ty Office's (GAO) report entitled, we the Expanded Access Program AO-17-564).
"Investigatio but Needs to	nal New Drugs: FDA Has To	uken Steps to Improv Data Are Used" (G. ty to review this rep Sincerely,	we the Expanded Access Program AO-17-564).
"Investigatio but Needs to	nal New Drugs: FDA Has To Clarify How Adverse Events	aken Steps to Improv Data Are Used" (G. ty to review this rep Sincerely, B ou D on a	Pro and Clark
"Investigatio but Needs to	nal New Drugs: FDA Has To Clarify How Adverse Events	aken Steps to Improv Data Are Used" (G. ty to review this rep Sincerely, Barbara Pisaro C	Pro and Clark
"Investigatio but Needs to	nal New Drugs: FDA Has To Clarify How Adverse Events	aken Steps to Improv Data Are Used" (G. ty to review this rep Sincerely, Barbara Pisaro C	Pro and Clark
"Investigatio but Needs to The Departm	nal New Drugs: FDA Has To Clarify How Adverse Events	aken Steps to Improv Data Are Used" (G. ty to review this rep Sincerely, Barbara Pisaro C	Pro and Clark
"Investigatio but Needs to The Departm	nal New Drugs: FDA Has To Clarify How Adverse Events	aken Steps to Improv Data Are Used" (G. ty to review this rep Sincerely, Barbara Pisaro C	Pro and Clark
"Investigatio but Needs to The Departm	nal New Drugs: FDA Has To Clarify How Adverse Events	aken Steps to Improv Data Are Used" (G. ty to review this rep Sincerely, Barbara Pisaro C	Pro and Clark
"Investigatio but Needs to The Departm	nal New Drugs: FDA Has To Clarify How Adverse Events	aken Steps to Improv Data Are Used" (G. ty to review this rep Sincerely, Barbara Pisaro C	Pro and Clark
"Investigatio but Needs to The Departm	nal New Drugs: FDA Has To Clarify How Adverse Events	aken Steps to Improv Data Are Used" (G. ty to review this rep Sincerely, Barbara Pisaro C	Pro and Clark
"Investigatio but Needs to The Departm	nal New Drugs: FDA Has To Clarify How Adverse Events	aken Steps to Improv Data Are Used" (G. ty to review this rep Sincerely, Barbara Pisaro C	Pro and Clark





Appendix IV: GAO Contact and Staff Acknowledgments

GAO Contact	John E. Dicken, (202) 512-7114 or dickenj@gao.gov
Staff Acknowledgments	In addition to the contact named above, Gerardine Brennan, Assistant Director; Carolyn Garvey, Analyst-in-Charge; Nick Bartine; George Bogart; Laurie Pachter; Vikki Porter; and Dharani Ranganathan made key contributions to this report.

GAO's Mission	The Government Accountability Office, the audit, evaluation, and investigative arm of Congress, exists to support Congress in meeting its constitutional responsibilities and to help improve the performance and accountability of the federal government for the American people. GAO examines the use of public funds; evaluates federal programs and policies; and provides analyses, recommendations, and other assistance to help Congress make informed oversight, policy, and funding decisions. GAO's commitment to good government is reflected in its core values of accountability, integrity, and reliability.
Obtaining Copies of GAO Reports and Testimony	The fastest and easiest way to obtain copies of GAO documents at no cost is through GAO's website (http://www.gao.gov). Each weekday afternoon, GAO posts on its website newly released reports, testimony, and correspondence. To have GAO e-mail you a list of newly posted products, go to http://www.gao.gov and select "E-mail Updates."
Order by Phone	The price of each GAO publication reflects GAO's actual cost of production and distribution and depends on the number of pages in the publication and whether the publication is printed in color or black and white. Pricing and ordering information is posted on GAO's website, http://www.gao.gov/ordering.htm.
	Place orders by calling (202) 512-6000, toll free (866) 801-7077, or TDD (202) 512-2537.
	Orders may be paid for using American Express, Discover Card, MasterCard, Visa, check, or money order. Call for additional information.
Connect with GAO	Connect with GAO on Facebook, Flickr, LinkedIn, Twitter, and YouTube. Subscribe to our RSS Feeds or E-mail Updates. Listen to our Podcasts. Visit GAO on the web at www.gao.gov and read The Watchblog.
To Report Fraud,	Contact:
Waste, and Abuse in Federal Programs	Website: http://www.gao.gov/fraudnet/fraudnet.htm E-mail: fraudnet@gao.gov Automated answering system: (800) 424-5454 or (202) 512-7470
Congressional Relations	Katherine Siggerud, Managing Director, siggerudk@gao.gov, (202) 512-4400, U.S. Government Accountability Office, 441 G Street NW, Room 7125, Washington, DC 20548
Public Affairs	Chuck Young, Managing Director, youngc1@gao.gov, (202) 512-4800 U.S. Government Accountability Office, 441 G Street NW, Room 7149 Washington, DC 20548
Strategic Planning and External Liaison	James-Christian Blockwood, Managing Director, spel@gao.gov, (202) 512-4707 U.S. Government Accountability Office, 441 G Street NW, Room 7814, Washington, DC 20548