

Highlights of GAO-03-561, a report to congressional requesters

Why GAO Did This Study

Since July 1998, GAO has reported numerous times on nursing home quality-of-care issues and identified significant weaknesses in federal and state oversight. GAO was asked to assess the extent of the progress made in improving the quality of care provided by nursing homes to vulnerable elderly and disabled individuals, including (1) trends in measured nursing home quality, (2) state responses to previously identified weaknesses in their survey, complaint, and enforcement activities, and (3) the status of oversight and quality improvement efforts by the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS).

What GAO Recommends

GAO is making several recommendations to the Administrator of CMS to (1) strengthen the nursing home survey process, (2) ensure that state survey and complaint activities adequately assess qualityof-care problems, and (3) improve CMS oversight of state survey activities. CMS concurred with the report's recommendations, but its comments on intended actions were not fully responsive to all of the recommendations. Eleven states provided comments that most often focused on the resource constraints states face in meeting federal standards for oversight of nursing homes.

www.gao.gov/cgi-bin/getrpt?GAO-03-561.

To view the full product, including the scope and methodology, click on the link above. For more information, contact Kathryn G. Allen at (202) 512-7118.

NURSING HOME QUALITY

Prevalence of Serious Problems, While Declining, Reinforces Importance of Enhanced Oversight

What GAO Found

The proportion of nursing homes with serious quality problems remains unacceptably high, despite a decline in the incidence of such reported problems. Actual harm or more serious deficiencies were cited for 20 percent or about 3,500 nursing homes during an 18-month period ending January 2002, compared to 29 percent for an earlier period. Fewer discrepancies between federal and state surveys of the same homes suggests that state surveyors are doing a better job of documenting serious deficiencies and that the decline in serious quality problems is potentially real. Despite these improvements, the continuing prevalence of and state surveyor understatement of actual harm deficiencies is disturbing. For example, 39 percent of 76 state surveys from homes with a history of quality-of-care problems—but whose current survey found no actual harm deficiencies—had documented problems that should have been classified as actual harm or higher, such as serious, avoidable pressure sores.

Weaknesses persist in state survey, complaint, and enforcement activities. According to CMS and states, several factors contribute to the understatement of serious quality problems, including poor investigation and documentation of deficiencies, limited quality assurance systems, and a large number of inexperienced surveyors in some states. In addition, GAO found that about one-third of the most recent state surveys nationwide remained predictable in their timing, allowing homes to conceal problems if they chose to do so. Considerable state variation remains regarding the ease of filing a complaint, the appropriateness of the investigation priorities, and the timeliness of investigations. Some states attributed timeliness problems to inadequate staff and an increase in the number of complaints. Although the agency strengthened enforcement policy by requiring states to refer for immediate sanction homes that had repeatedly harmed residents, GAO found that states failed to refer a substantial number of such homes, significantly undermining the policy's intended deterrent effect.

CMS oversight of state survey activities has improved but requires continued attention to help ensure compliance with federal requirements. While CMS strengthened oversight by initiating annual state performance reviews, officials acknowledged that the reviews' effectiveness could be improved. For the initial fiscal year 2001 review, officials said they lacked the capability to systematically distinguish between minor lapses and more serious problems that required intervention. CMS oversight is also hampered by continuing database limitations, the inability of some CMS regions to use available data to monitor state activities, and inadequate oversight in areas such as survey predictability and state referral of homes for enforcement. Three key CMS initiatives have been significantly delayed—strengthening the survey methodology, improving surveyor guidance for determining the scope and severity of deficiencies, and producing greater standardization in state complaint processes. These initiatives are critical to reducing the subjectivity evident in current state survey and complaint activities.