

March 2001

FINANCIAL AUDIT

IRS' Fiscal Year 2000 Financial Statements





Contents

Letter	•		3
Auditor's Report			5
Management Discussion and Analysis	-		16
Financial Statements	Balance Sheet Statement of Net Cost Statement of Changes in Net Position Statement of Budgetary Resources Statement of Financing Statement of Custodial Activity Notes to the Financial Statements		54 54 55 56 57 58 59 60
Supplemental and Other Accompanying Information			77
Appendixes	Appendix I:	Material Weaknesses and Management Challenges, Reportable Conditions, and Compliance Issues	84
	Appendix II:	Details on Audit Methodology	106
	Appendix III:	Comments From the Internal Revenue Service	107

Contents

Abbreviations

EITC	Earned Income Tax Credit
FFMIA	Federal Financial Management Improvement Act of 1996
FFMSR	Federal Financial Management Systems Requirements
FIA	Federal Managers' Financial Integrity Act
IRS	Internal Revenue Service
JFMIP	Joint Financial Management Improvement Program
OMB	Office of Management and Budget
P&E	property and equipment
SFFAS	Statement of Federal Financial Accounting Standards
SGL	U.S. Government Standard General Ledger
SSA	Social Security Administration
TIGTA	Treasury Inspector General for Tax Administration



United States General Accounting Office Washington, D.C. 20548

March 1, 2001

The Honorable Paul H. O'Neill The Secretary of the Treasury

Dear Mr. Secretary:

The accompanying report presents the results of our audit of the financial statements of the Internal Revenue Service (IRS) as of and for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2000. Our audit was required by the Chief Financial Officers Act of 1990, as expanded by the Government Management Reform Act of 1994. This report contains our (1) unqualified opinion on IRS' financial statements, (2) opinion that internal controls at IRS as of September 30, 2000, were not effective, and (3) report on IRS' noncompliance with two provisions of laws and regulations that we tested and IRS' financial management systems' lack of substantial compliance with the requirements of the Federal Financial Management Improvement Act of 1996.

Our unqualified opinion on IRS' fiscal year 2000 financial statements was due to the extraordinary efforts of IRS senior management and staff to compensate for serious internal control and systems deficiencies. There has been a tremendous amount of hard work and commitment over the last 2 years, and there have been some significant improvements. Such efforts will be needed to sustain this result in future years until lasting solutions to IRS' internal controls and systems deficiencies are fully achieved. Despite these significant efforts and the resulting unqualified opinion on its fiscal year 2000 financial statements, IRS does not have timely, accurate, and useful financial information and sound controls with which to make informed decisions and to ensure accountability on an ongoing basis.

The accompanying report also discusses other significant issues that we considered in performing our audit and in forming our conclusions that we believe should be brought to the attention of IRS management and users of IRS' financial statements. We will report our recommendations for corrective actions to address the weaknesses in IRS' internal controls and compliance with law and regulation issues in a separate report to be issued at a later date.

We are sending copies of this report to the Chairmen and Ranking Members of the Senate Committee on Appropriations; Senate Committee on Finance; Senate Committee on Governmental Affairs; Senate Committee on the Budget; Subcommittee on Treasury, General Government, and Civil Service, Senate Committee on Appropriations; Subcommittee on Taxation and IRS Oversight, Senate Committee on Finance; Subcommittee on Oversight of Government Management, Restructuring, and the District of Columbia, Senate Committee on Governmental Affairs. We are also sending copies of this report to the Chairmen and Ranking Minority Members of the House Committee on Appropriations; House Committee on Ways and Means; House Committee on Government Reform; House Committee on the Budget; Subcommittee on Government Efficiency, Financial Management, and Intergovernmental Relations, House Committee on Government Reform; and Subcommittee on Oversight, House Committee on Ways and Means. In addition, we are sending copies of this report to the Chairman and Vice-Chairman of the Joint Committee on Taxation, the Commissioner of Internal Revenue, the Director of the Office of Management and Budget, the Chairman of the IRS Oversight Board, and other interested parties. Copies will be made available to others upon request.

This report was prepared under the direction of Steven J. Sebastian, Acting Director, Financial Management and Assurance, who can be reached at (202) 512-3406. If I can be of further assistance, please call me at (202) 512-5500.

Sincerely yours,

Xom Wan -

David M. Walker Comptroller General of the United States



United States General Accounting Office Washington, D.C. 20548

To the Commissioner of Internal Revenue

In accordance with the Chief Financial Officers (CFO) Act of 1990, as expanded by the Government Management Reform Act of 1994, this report presents the results of our audit of the financial statements of the Internal Revenue Service (IRS) for fiscal year 2000. The financial statements report the assets, liabilities, net position, net costs, changes in net position, budgetary resources, reconciliation of net costs to budgetary obligations, and custodial activity related to IRS' administration of its responsibilities for implementing federal tax legislation. The financial statements do not include a measurement of the amount of taxes legally owed the federal government but which have not been identified by IRS, often referred to as the "tax gap."

In its role as the nation's tax collector, IRS has a demanding responsibility in collecting taxes, processing tax returns, and enforcing the nation's tax laws. The size and complexity of IRS' operations present additional challenges to management. IRS is a large, complex, decentralized organization with about 100,000 people in 10 service centers,¹ 3 computing centers, and many other field offices throughout the United States. Historically, most IRS offices other than headquarters have had responsibilities tied to their geographical locations. However, in response to congressional concerns about IRS' operations embodied in the Internal Revenue Service Restructuring and Reform Act of 1998, IRS is undergoing a reorganization that is significantly affecting the past roles and responsibilities of these offices. In fiscal year 2000, IRS collected over \$2 trillion in taxes, processed over 210 million tax returns, and paid about \$194 billion in refunds to taxpayers.

¹As part of its ongoing reorganization, IRS now calls these offices "campuses."

IRS continues to face most of the pervasive systems and internal control weaknesses that we have reported each year since we began auditing IRS' financial statements in fiscal year 1992.² Despite these weaknesses, in fiscal vear 2000. IRS was able to produce for the first time combined financial statements covering its tax custodial and administrative activities that are fairly stated in all material respects.³ This achievement was the culmination of 2 years of extraordinary effort on the part of IRS to develop compensating processes to work around its serious systems and control weaknesses to derive year-end balances for its financial statements and address several of the management issues we raised in previous reports. IRS laid the groundwork for sustainable improvements in several critical areas. However, IRS' approach to obtaining this unqualified opinion on its fiscal year 2000 financial statements relied heavily on costly, timeconsuming processes; statistical projections; external contractors; substantial adjustments; and monumental human efforts that extended well after the September 30, 2000, fiscal year-end. The tremendous commitment on the part of both IRS senior management and staff was the key to IRS' ability to achieve its goal of receiving an unqualified audit opinion on its financial statements for fiscal year 2000. At the same time, these costly efforts would not be necessary if IRS' systems and controls operated effectively. In addition, the absence of effective systems and controls means that IRS lacks the timely, accurate, and useful information to make informed management decisions and ensure adequate accountability on an ongoing basis.

IRS' achievement is an important milestone. At the same time, sustaining this success will require a continued high level of involvement by IRS senior management and further efforts to obtain lasting solutions to its fundamental systems and internal control deficiencies. IRS has made notable progress in several areas. Specifically, we noted significant improvements in IRS' policies and procedures over its fund balance with Treasury and transactions held in suspense and in its documentation of

²*Financial Audit: Examination of IRS' Fiscal Year 1992 Financial Statements* (GAO/AIMD-93-2, June 30, 1993).

³In fiscal year 1997, IRS received for the first time unqualified audit opinions on separate financial statements covering its tax custodial activities (by GAO) and its administrative activities (by the Department of Treasury Office of Inspector General). In fiscal year 1998, IRS combined its tax custodial and administrative activities in one set of financial statements. GAO was able to determine in fiscal years 1998 and 1999 that the taxes receivable balance reported on the balance sheet and the tax revenue and refunds reported in the statement of custodial activity were fairly stated.

unpaid tax assessments that, if effectively sustained in the future, should prevent a reemergence of the problems that we found in these areas in prior years. IRS also made improvements in computer security and handling of taxpayer receipts and data, although further improvement is needed in each of these areas. In addition, IRS is working aggressively to address issues we have raised regarding controls over its budgetary activity. While more efforts are needed in this area, measurable progress has been made.

IRS senior management has continued to demonstrate a strong commitment to address the operational and financial management issues discussed in this report. This high level of involvement by IRS senior management has greatly contributed to actions taken to resolve some of the issues we have raised. Continued involvement at this level is critical to IRS' success in addressing the serious internal control and systems problems that remain. While IRS was able to produce financial statements that were fairly stated in all material respects using compensating processes, this approach does not produce the reliable, useful, and timely financial and performance information IRS needs for decision-making on an ongoing basis which is the goal of the CFO Act. Also, this approach does not address the underlying financial management and operational issues that adversely affect IRS' ability to effectively fulfill its responsibilities as the nation's tax collector. We reported on these continuing significant challenges for IRS in our recently issued high-risk and performance and accountability series⁴ and other reports.

The challenge for IRS will be to build on the improvements made in fiscal year 2000 to not only improve its compensating processes but, more importantly, to develop and implement the fundamental long-term solutions that are needed to address the management challenges we have identified. Some of these solutions can be addressed in the near term through the continued efforts and commitment of IRS senior management and staff. Others, which involve modernizing IRS' financial and operational systems, will take years to fully achieve. Until IRS' systems and processes are overhauled and internal controls are strengthened, "heroic" efforts will have to be sustained for IRS to continue to receive an unqualified audit opinion in future years.

⁴*High-Risk Series: An Update* (GAO-01-263, January 2001) and *Major Management Challenges and Program Risks: Department of the Treasury* (GAO-01-254, January 2001).

Opinion on IRS' Financial Statements	IRS' financial statements, including the accompanying notes, present fairly, in all material respects, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles, IRS' assets, liabilities, net position, net costs, changes in net position, budgetary resources, reconciliation of net costs to budgetary obligations, and custodial activity, as of and for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2000.
	However, misstatements may nevertheless occur in other financial information reported by IRS as a result of the internal control weaknesses described in this report.
	IRS' financial statements report tax revenues collected during the fiscal year and the cumulative amounts of unpaid taxes where there is agreement with IRS, either by the taxpayer or court, as to the amounts owed. Cumulative unpaid tax assessments where there is no future collection potential or where there is no agreement as to the amounts owed are not reported in the financial statements, but are reported as write-offs and compliance assessments, respectively, in supplemental information to IRS' financial statements. However, to the extent that taxes owed in accordance with the nation's tax laws are not reported by taxpayers and are not identified through IRS' various enforcement programs, in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles, they are not reported in the financial statements nor in supplemental information to the financial statements, IRS does not have current information upon which to base a reasonable estimate of the magnitude of these unidentified and unpaid taxes—referred to as the "tax gap." We have discussed this issue in our recently issued high risk series. ⁵

⁵*High Risk Series: An Update* (GAO-01-263, January 2001).

Opinion on Internal Controls	Because of the material weaknesses ⁶ in internal controls discussed below, IRS did not maintain effective internal controls over financial reporting (including safeguarding of assets) or compliance with laws and regulations, and thus did not provide reasonable assurance that losses, misstatements, and noncompliance with laws material in relation to the financial statements would be prevented or detected in an ongoing manner and on a timely basis.
	Despite the material weaknesses in internal controls, IRS was able to prepare, through compensating processes and approaches to work around its serious internal control and systems deficiencies, financial statements that were fairly stated in all material respects for fiscal year 2000. Nonetheless, IRS continues to face the following key issues which represent material weaknesses in internal controls and management challenges:
	 an inadequate financial reporting process, resulting in IRS not having current and reliable ongoing information to support management decision-making and to prepare cost-based performance measures; weaknesses in controls and other limitations affecting its ability to properly manage unpaid assessments, resulting in both taxpayer burden and potentially billions of dollars in lost revenue to the government; weaknesses in controls over tax refunds, permitting the disbursement of potentially billions of dollars of improper refunds; inadequate controls over property and equipment, resulting in IRS' inability to reasonably ensure that its property and equipment is safeguarded and used only in accordance with management policy; inadequate budgetary controls, resulting in IRS' inability to routinely ensure that its budgetary resources are being properly accounted for, reported, and controlled; and weaknesses in computer security controls that may allow unauthorized individuals to access, alter, or abuse proprietary IRS programs and electronic data and taxpayer information.

⁶A material weakness is a condition that precludes the entity's internal control from providing reasonable assurance that material misstatements in the financial statements would be prevented or detected on a timely basis. Reportable conditions are matters coming to our attention that, in our judgment, should be communicated because they represent significant deficiencies in the design or operation of internal controls that could adversely affect IRS' ability to meet the objectives described in this report.

	The material weaknesses in internal control noted above may adversely affect any decision by IRS' management that is based, in whole or in part, on information that is inaccurate because of these weaknesses. In addition, unaudited financial information reported by IRS, including budget and performance information, may also contain misstatements resulting from these weaknesses. In addition to the material weaknesses discussed above, we identified two reportable conditions which, although not material weaknesses, represent significant deficiencies in the design or operation of internal controls that could adversely affect IRS' ability to meet the internal control objectives described in this report. These conditions concern:
	 deficiencies in controls over hardcopy tax receipts and taxpayer data that increase the government's and taxpayers' risk of loss or inappropriate disclosure of taxpayer data; and deficiencies and limitations in revenue reporting and excise tax distributions, resulting in IRS' inability to separately report revenue collected for three of the federal government's four largest revenue sources and errors in quarterly distributions of excise tax revenue to trust funds.
	We have reported on these material weaknesses and reportable conditions in prior audits and have provided IRS numerous recommendations to address these issues, of which over 80 were still open as of the date of this letter. We will follow up in future audits to monitor IRS' progress in implementing these recommendations. For more details on these issues, see appendix I.
Compliance with Laws and Regulations and FFMIA Requirements	Our tests of compliance with selected provisions of laws and regulations disclosed two instances of noncompliance with laws and regulations that are reportable under U.S. generally accepted government auditing standards or OMB guidance. These relate to IRS' structuring of installment agreements to collect delinquent taxes and the timing of the release of tax liens on taxpayers' property. Also, IRS' financial management systems did not substantially comply with the following requirements of the Federal Financial Management Improvement Act of 1996 (FFMIA): (1) Federal Financial Management Systems Requirements, (2) applicable federal accounting standards (U.S. generally accepted accounting principles), and (3) the <i>U.S. Government Standard General Ledger</i> (SGL) at the transaction level. IRS has readily acknowledged that its financial management systems

	do not comply with FFMIA and the need to overhaul these systems as part of its broader systems modernization efforts. For more details on these issues, see appendix I. Except as noted above, our tests for compliance with the laws and regulations disclosed no other instances of noncompliance that would be reportable under U.S. generally accepted government auditing standards or OMB audit guidance. However, the objective of our audit was not to provide an opinion on overall compliance with laws and regulations. Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion.
Consistency of Other Information	Management's Discussion and Analysis, required supplemental information, and other accompanying information contain a wide range of data, some of which are not directly related to the financial statements. We did not audit and do not express an opinion on this information. However, we compared this information for consistency with the financial statements and discussed the methods of measurement and presentation with IRS officials. Based on this limited work, we found no material inconsistencies with the financial statements or nonconformance with OMB guidance. Under OMB Bulletin 97-01, as amended, agencies are asked to strive to develop and report objective measures that, to the extent possible, provide information about the cost-effectiveness of their programs. However, we found that IRS cannot report reliable cost-based performance measures relating to its various programs in accordance with the Government Performance and Results Act of 1993.
Objectives, Scope, and Methodology	Management is responsible for (1) preparing the annual financial statements in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles, (2) establishing, maintaining, and assessing internal control to provide reasonable assurance that the broad control objectives of 31 U.S.C. §3512, (c), (d), (Federal Managers' Financial Integrity Act (FIA)) are met, (3) complying with applicable laws and regulations, and (4) ensuring that the agency's financial management systems substantially comply with the requirements of FFMIA. We are responsible for obtaining reasonable assurance about whether (1) the financial statements are presented fairly, in all material respects, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles and

(2) management maintained effective internal controls, the objectives of which are the following:

	 Financial reporting—transactions are properly recorded, processed, and summarized to permit the preparation of financial statements in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles and assets are safeguarded against loss from unauthorized acquisition, use, and disposition. Compliance with laws and regulations—transactions are executed in accordance with laws governing the use of budget authority and with other laws and regulations that could have a direct and material effect on the financial statements and any other laws, regulations, and governmentwide policies identified by OMB audit guidance.
	We are also responsible for testing compliance with (1) selected provisions of laws and regulations that have a direct and material effect on the financial statements, (2) laws for which OMB audit guidance requires testing, and (3) FFMIA requirements. In addition, we are responsible for performing limited procedures with respect to certain other information appearing in these annual financial statements. For more details on our methodology, see appendix II.
	We did not evaluate all internal controls relevant to operating objectives as broadly described by FIA, such as controls relevant to preparing statistical reports and ensuring efficient operations. We limited our internal control testing to testing controls over financial reporting and compliance.
	We did not test compliance with all laws and regulations applicable to IRS. We limited our tests of compliance to legal provisions that we considered applicable to the financial statements for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2000, and were either included in the Internal Revenue Code or required to be tested by OMB guidance. We caution that noncompliance other than that discussed in this report may occur and not be detected by these tests and that such testing may not be sufficient for other purposes.
	We performed our work in accordance with U.S. generally accepted government auditing standards and OMB audit guidance.
Agency Comments and Our Evaluation	In responding to this report, IRS recognized the extraordinary efforts necessary to overcome its serious systems deficiencies and internal control weaknesses in order to prepare financial statements for fiscal year 2000

that were fairly stated in all material respects. IRS also acknowledged the need to sustain these efforts and to continue to address its systems and control deficiencies but noted that ultimately, long-term resolutions to many of these issues can only be addressed through its ongoing systems modernization efforts. IRS highlighted its accomplishments thus far in addressing these issues, and summarized many of the corrective actions it has in progress or planned which it believes will ultimately achieve this goal. For example, IRS cited improvements in areas such as routine reconciliations of its fund balance, proper classification of program costs, review and management of its suspense accounts, and substantiation of unpaid assessments through better documentation. IRS also cited continuing efforts to address issues such as accountability over property and equipment, computer security, and security of hard copy tax receipts and taxpayer data. We will evaluate the effectiveness of these efforts during future audits.

IRS generally agreed with our discussion of its problems with financial reporting, but believed that our discussion of the quality of the data in its general ledger systems could mislead readers. We clarified our discussion of this issue to address IRS' concern. However, we did not accept IRS' suggested alternate language because we believe it gives the impression that the problem with IRS' general ledger data integrity relates primarily to IRS' practice of not posting certain types of transactions until year-end, such as the capitalization of costs incurred in purchasing property and equipment (P&E). However, as discussed in appendix I to this report, as of September 30, 2000, IRS' general ledger did not include material amounts of fiscal year 2000 transactions, and in fact, these transactions were not recorded until months after the end of the year. In addition to the capitalization of P&E and related depreciation expense, these included many other material transactions, such as recognition of expenses for goods and services received during fiscal year 2000 but not yet recorded. IRS also recorded material adjustments to correct misstatements caused by previous delays in recording transactions, such as fiscal year 1999 expenses that were not recorded until fiscal year 2000.

In commenting on this report's discussion of unpaid assessments, IRS noted that the cost-benefit analysis discussed in this report would not produce more resources nor necessarily indicate that the programs subject to such an analysis should be expanded. The purpose of such analysis is not to increase resources. Rather, the objective is to provide IRS management with the basic information needed to make decisions involving allocation of limited resources among competing priorities, and

once such decisions are made, to enable IRS to justify its decisions. This analysis would also better position IRS to determine if increases in total resources are warranted and to support related budgetary requests to Congress. IRS indicated a preference for addressing this issue through its strategic planning and budgeting process. However, in the absence of basic cost-benefit information to support this process, the basis for IRS' decisions will remain unclear.

IRS generally agreed with the report's discussion of weaknesses in controls over P&E, but believed that our report did not put into proper perspective the progress IRS believes it achieved in P&E management in fiscal year 2000. As we state in appendix I, we noted progress in IRS' efforts to improve the timeliness and accuracy of its P&E records, nonetheless, while improvements were made, we found that these efforts were not fully effective as demonstrated by the significant number of errors in IRS' property records we continued to find during our testing. In addition, it was only through an interim process involving enormous manual efforts by IRS employees, and the costly assistance of a contractor, that IRS was able to report a reliable P&E balance in its financial statements. Throughout fiscal year 2000, the lack of an integrated property management system precluded IRS' ability to report timely, reliable P&E information. We recognize the extraordinary efforts of IRS staff and we applaud management's commitment to working to correct the long-standing weaknesses that we identified. However, the progress that IRS made in fiscal year 2000 was not sufficient to correct the fundamental deficiencies in its property management system. We will continue to evaluate the effectiveness of IRS' initiatives to correct deficiencies during future audits and to assist it in its efforts with suggestions and recommendations.

IRS had several other specific comments to the draft report. These comments, along with the complete text of IRS' response, are included in appendix III.

Sem-Warns-

David M. Walker Comptroller General of the United States

February 9, 2001

Management Discussion and Analysis



Department of the Treasury Internal Revenue Service
Management Discussion and Analysis
Fiscal Year 2000
<u>CONTENTS</u>
1. Mission Statement, Goals, Principles, and Changepg. 3
2. Organization and Managementpg. 4
3. Key Performance Indicatorspg. 9
4. Programmatic Highlights pg.15
5. System Controls and Legal Compliancepg.30
6. Future Effects of Existing, Currently-Known Demands, Risks, Uncertainties, Events, Conditions and Trendspg.31
7. Financial Statementspg.37

Internal Revenue Service	
•	
Management Discussion and Analysis	
•	
Fiscal Year 2000	
LIST OF EXHIBITS	
Exhibit 1 FY 2000 IRS Organization pg. 6	
Exhibit 2 FY 2001 IRS Organization pg. 7 FY 2001 IRS National Headquarters Organizationpg. 8	
Exhibit 3 Key Performance Indicatorspg. 9	













INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE Management Discussion and Analysis For the Fiscal Year Ended September 3	0, 2000		
3. KEY PERFORMANCE INDIC	ATORS		
The key performance indicators (measurements of performance that de whole or for organizational component services to large sets of taxpayers. In measures will be applicable to the IRS divisions.	eliver the overall s that are respor the FY 2001 org	mission for the o Isible for providin Janization, a new	rganization as a g a full range of set of strategic
The IRS began identifying key perform finalize these measures in FY 2001. good performance measures is an evo is anticipated and expected that there strategic intent behind these measures.	Experience has lving process that may be change	shown that the at improves with t as to the specific	development of ime. As such, it measures. The
Exhibit 3			
Key Performance Indicators	FY 99 Actual	FY 00 Plan	FY 00 Actual
Service To Each Taxpayer			
 Customer Satisfaction—Toll Free Customer Satisfaction—Walk-In 	3.5(1) 6.4(2)	3.6(3) 6.5	3.5(1) 6.5(2)
 Customer Satisfaction—Field & Office Exam. Customer Satisfaction—Field Collection 	4.1(2) 3.9(2)	4.4 3.9	4.4(2) 4.6(2)
4. Customer Satisfaction—Field Collection Service To All Taxpayers	3.9(2)	3.9	4.6(2)
Customer Satisfaction—Field Collection Service To All Taxpayers Toll-Free Level of Service	3.9(2) 53.3%		
 Customer Satisfaction—Field Collection Service To All Taxpayers Toll-Free Level of Service No. of Calls Answered—includes Automated (millions)—workload projections only Tax Law Accuracy Rate for Taxpayer 	3.9(2)	3.9 58.5%	4.6(2) 60.6%
 Customer Satisfaction—Field Collection Service To All Taxpayers Toll-Free Level of Service No. of Calls Answered—includes Automated (millions)—workload projections only 	3.9(2) 53.3% 110.3	3.9 58.5% 118.0	4.6(2) 60.6% 93.2 72.6% 9.7
 Customer Satisfaction—Field Collection Service To All Taxpayers Toll-Free Level of Service No. of Calls Answered—includes Automated (millions)—workload projections only Tax Law Accuracy Rate for Taxpayer Inquiries (Toll-Free) No. of Taxpayers Served—Walk-In (millions) Workload projection only Field Collection Quality 	3.9(2) 53.3% 110.3 74.1% 10.0 86.0%	3.9 58.5% 118.0 80.0% 10.0 86.0%	4.6(2) 60.6% 93.2 72.6% 9.7 83.4%
 Customer Satisfaction—Field Collection Service To All Taxpayers Toll-Free Level of Service No. of Calls Answered—includes Automated (millions)—workload projections only Tax Law Accuracy Rate for Taxpayer Inquiries (Toll-Free) No. of Taxpayers Served—Walk-In (millions) Workload projection only Field Collection Quality Field Examination Quality 	3.9(2) 53.3% 110.3 74.1% 10.0 86.0% 58.0%	3.9 58.5% 118.0 80.0% 10.0 86.0% 68.0%	4.6(2) 60.6% 93.2 72.6% 9.7 83.4% 57.0%
 Customer Satisfaction—Field Collection Service To All Taxpayers Toll-Free Level of Service No. of Calls Answered—includes Automated (millions)—workload projections only Tax Law Accuracy Rate for Taxpayer Inquiries (Toll-Free) No. of Taxpayers Served—Walk-In (millions) Workload projection only Field Collection Quality Field Collection Quality Office Examination Quality 	3.9(2) 53.3% 110.3 74.1% 10.0 86.0% 58.0% 58.0%	3.9 58.5% 118.0 80.0% 10.0 86.0% 68.0% 72.0%	4.6(2) 60.6% 93.2 72.6% 9.7 83.4% 57.0% 58.0%
 Customer Satisfaction—Field Collection Service To All Taxpayers Toll-Free Level of Service No. of Calls Answered—includes Automated (millions)—workload projections only Tax Law Accuracy Rate for Taxpayer Inquiries (Toll-Free) No. of Taxpayers Served—Walk-In (millions) Workload projection only Field Collection Quality Field Examination Quality Total Net Revenue Collected(trillions) Workload projection only 	3.9(2) 53.3% 110.3 74.1% 10.0 86.0% 58.0% 58.0% \$8.0% \$1.718	3.9 58.5% 118.0 80.0% 10.0 86.0% 68.0% 72.0% \$1.847	4.6(2) 60.6% 93.2 72.6% 9.7 83.4% 57.0% 58.0% \$1.902
 Customer Satisfaction—Field Collection Service To All Taxpayers Toll-Free Level of Service No. of Calls Answered—includes Automated (millions)—workload projections only Tax Law Accuracy Rate for Taxpayer Inquiries (Toll-Free) No. of Taxpayers Served—Walk-In (millions) Workload projection only Field Collection Quality Field Collection Quality Total Net Revenue Collected(trillions)— Workload projection only Total Enforcement Revenue Collected 	3.9(2) 53.3% 110.3 74.1% 10.0 86.0% 58.0% 58.0%	3.9 58.5% 118.0 80.0% 10.0 86.0% 68.0% 72.0%	4.6(2) 60.6% 93.2 72.6% 9.7 83.4% 57.0% 58.0%
 Customer Satisfaction—Field Collection Service To All Taxpayers Toll-Free Level of Service No. of Calls Answered—includes Automated (millions)—workload projections only Tax Law Accuracy Rate for Taxpayer Inquiries (Toll-Free) No. of Taxpayers Served—Walk-In (millions) Workload projection only Field Collection Quality Field Examination Quality Total Net Revenue Collected(trillions) Workload projection only 	3.9(2) 53.3% 110.3 74.1% 10.0 86.0% 58.0% 58.0% \$8.0% \$1.718	3.9 58.5% 118.0 80.0% 10.0 86.0% 68.0% 72.0% \$1.847	4.6(2) 60.6% 93.2 72.6% 9.7 83.4% 57.0% 58.0% \$1.902
 Customer Satisfaction—Field Collection Service To All Taxpayers Toll-Free Level of Service No. of Calls Answered—includes Automated (millions)—workload projections only Tax Law Accuracy Rate for Taxpayer Inquiries (Toll-Free) No. of Taxpayers Served—Walk-In (millions) Workload projection only Field Collection Quality Field Examination Quality Total Net Revenue Collected (trillions)— Workload projection only Total Net Revenue Collected (billions)workload projection only Total Enforcement Revenue Protected 	3.9(2) 53.3% 110.3 74.1% 10.0 86.0% 58.0% \$8.0% \$1.718 \$32.9	3.9 58.5% 118.0 80.0% 10.0 86.0% 68.0% 72.0% \$1.847 \$32.2(4)	4.6(2) 60.6% 93.2 72.6% 9.7 83.4% 53.0% 58.0% \$1.902 \$33.8



INTERNAL REVENUE Management Discussio For the Fiscal Year End	n and Analysis
KEY PERFORMANCE	INDICATOR EXPLANATIONS
Service To All Taxpa	ayers
taxpayers that are refund inquiries, in	Service – This measure represents the relative success rate or calling for our toll-free services including tax law, account and formant calls, and calls to the Taxpayer Advocate. Level or d as "calls answered" divided by the "total call attempts."
project expected requests) (millions Customer Service	Inswered – Includes Automated (workload projection used to levels of program activity and justification of resource s) – This measure represents a count of all calls answered a Sites by assistors and automated systems. Calculation of this calls made directly to the TeleTax phone number.
represents the acc toll-free telephone a rate and weighing	cy Rate for Taxpayer Inquiries (Toll-Free) – This measure suracy of tax law information provided to taxpayers through the assistance program. Results are calculated using the site quality it using total site volume and number reviewed. That number is becomes part of the national number. Results are expressed as reviewed calls.
expected levels of (millions) – This m	yers Served – Walk-In (workload projection used to project of program activity and justification of resource requests neasure represents the total number of taxpayers who come into serve tax assistance or to request tax forms.
	Quality ¹ - This measure represents the score awarded to a n case by the Collection Quality Measurement System (CQMS)
	n Quality ¹ - This measure represents the score awarded to a amination case by the Examination Quality Measurement System reviewer.
	on Quality ¹ – This measure represents the score awarded to a camination case by the EQMS reviewer.
aspects of job performance (for Colle- appeals rights - for Examination: time innocent spouse provisions). The qu	MS and EQMS) are designed to monitor, through a sample of completed cases, and crucial totion: fair and courteous freatment, taxpayers' right to notification, tax accuracy verification, aly exam start or reassignment, income-deduction-credit items considered, taxpayers' rights and allty of completed case work is an important business result providing a balanced system of fic quantitative performance measures. Cases are reviewed to measure performance in key

INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE Management Discussion and Analysis For the Fiscal Year Ended September 30, 2000
EY PERFORMANCE INDICATOR EXPLANATIONS
Service To All Taxpayers (continued)
 Total Net Revenue Collected – (workload projection used to project expected levels of program activity and justification of resource requests) – (trillions) This measure represents all revenue collected (actuals and planned are from the Department of the Treasury and the Office of Tax Analysis) by the IRS, including enforcement collections, but excluding refunds.
 Total Enforcement Revenue Collected – (workload projection used to project expected levels of program activity and justification of resources requests) – (billions) - This measure represents all revenue collected through enforcement actions by Collection (includes collections on notices by Service Center Collection Branch but excludes collections on Examination assessments), Automated Collection System (ACS), and estimates of collections generated by Examination recommendations.
10. Total Enforcement Revenue Protected – (workload projection used to project expected levels of program activity and justification of resource requests) – (billions) – This measure represents dollars prevented from being released from the Treasury through actions taken by the IRS in response to electronic and paper filing fraud (deleted refunds), disallowed claims in response to a taxpayer's effort to recoup all or part of previously assessed and paid tax and penalty, refundable earned income tax credit, and dependency issues worked in the service centers.
Productivity Through A Quality Work Environment
 Employee Satisfaction (Servicewide) – This measure represents an employee's perception of the work environment, management practices, and organizational barriers that affect an employee's willingness and ability to do a good job. An employee is asked to rate the IRS performance on a five-point scale. The servicewide average is based on favorable ratings (determined as a 4 or 5).
ANALYSIS OF KEY PERFORMANCE INDICATORS
A variance analysis was conducted for all measures that fell short of the FY 2000 targeted performance. Measures reflecting a variance of less than +/-5% were not reported . In addition, in the case of Field Collection Quality, analysis was conducted due to the significant increase in FY 2000 performance when compared to the goal.

INTERNAL REVENUE S Management Discussion a For the Fiscal Year Ended	and Analysis	
ANALYSIS OF KEY PER	FORMANCE INDICATORS	
Service To Each Taxp	ayer	
may have contributed satisfaction barometers. O restoration of public tru mandated and inspired corporate philosophy and and their attendant provi program priorities and re resolutions and a custom access, led to a dram fundamental change in include: adoption of the b new outreach programs institution of administrativ Problem Solving Days; expanded taxpayer acce	Field Collection – A multitude of internal and external forces directly and indirectly to the substantial rise in customer Chief among these are the rehabilitation of the agency's image, st and confidence, the numerous and sweeping changes by RRA 98 legislation, and the ensuing transformation in d orientation. The many new taxpayer rights and protections sions including Section1203 (b), combined with redirection of esources, extensive re-training emphasizing negotiated case her service focus, plus expanded Offer in Compromise (OIC) atic reduction in the use of enforcement actions and a customer relations. Other contributing internal factors could balanced measures program and approach; implementation of ; roll-out of several new installment agreement products; ere reviewers; reduction in time applied to traditional programs; extended hours; reduced wait times in the walk-in area; possibility to services and products; and greater institutional iveness to customer needs, concerns and expectations. <i>y</i> also have played a role.	
Service To All Taxpay	ers	
(millions) – The numbe attempts made by custor answered can be attribut (increased call handle tim attempts made by custo	vered – Includes Automated (workload projection only) r of calls answered declined during FY 2000 while the total ners declined at a much higher rate. The decline in the calls ed to a decrease in efficiency of assistors in all product lines e) and significant changes to the mix of calls. The decrease in mers are a result of improvement in key areas such as: (1) (2) better call routing, and (3) changes to technology including	
measure can be attribute results in all of the custor have had an impact of questions to be answer declines in all quality scol	for Taxpayer Inquiries (Toll-Free) – The slight decline in this d to the changing mix and complexity of calls as evidenced by mer service product lines. Web-based applications for tax law n reducing telephone demand, leaving the more complex ed by assistors. In an attempt to more accurately pinpoint res, a new measure (replacing this measure) was developed in ct Response Rate. This new measure is designed to eliminate	
	13	

INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE Management Discussion and Analysis For the Fiscal Year Ended September 30, 2000	
ANALYSIS OF KEY PERFORMANCE INDICATORS	
Service To All Taxpayers (continued)	
internal errors not impacting the outcome of the call to the customer, providing a better indicator of quality IRS provides to its customers. Reporting against this measure will begin in FY 2001.	
 Number of Taxpayers Served – Walk-In (millions) – The volume of contacts has decreased by 5% from the prior year. This decrease can be attributed to a reduction of approximately 500,000 forms distributed at the walk-in sites. The goal for FY 2000 of 10.0 million customers was a projection of total traffic based on historical information rather than specific initiatives to increase contacts. A review of the decrease in Forms Distribution in both the walk-in sites and telephone lines designated for forms requests provides some indication that customers are securing their forms through some other avenue, possibly the IRS internet website, "The Digital Daily." This website continues to be extremely popular and shows an increase in information accesses (968 million in FY 2000 compared to 767 million in FY 1999) during the period January through April. Field Collection Quality – Collection's declining performance in the CQMS measure can be attributable to outside factors. Aggregate detail out time (time spent working in area other than Eicld Collection guale can be accurated at the course of the cou	
areas other than Field Collection such as a Customer Service walk-in office) has increased by 9.3% in FY 2000 as compared with the prior year's experience. One of the CQMS key quality categories is a "Timeliness of Work Category". Failure to meet any of the standards in this category will result in a lower overall composite score. Analysis of the category revealed that a frequently used reason code for errors in the category was "Lapse Prior to Assignment to Revenue Officer (RO) Assignment" due to managers not having employees available to assign the work.	
Field and Office Examination Quality – Quality results in both areas declined and did not meet the goals established for FY 2000. Improvement opportunities were not realized due in part to standards related to RRA 98 procedures not being met resulting in a lower overall composite score. Also, concerns regarding the sampling were addressed to ensure valid samples of cases were reviewed. Analysis of the data reflects improvements in 3 standards: Audit Scope, Audit Planning and Workpapers. These improvements over the long run could substantially impact performance.	
14	



INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE Management Discussion and Analysis For the Fiscal Year Ended September 30, 2000	
PROGRAMMATIC HIGHLIGHTS	
Status of Plans (continued)	
The projects selected for initial work were evaluated and selected on a comparative basis from a larger portfolio of possible projects. The projects evaluated opportunities for business process improvements based on customer needs, best practices, and the mission, goals, and strategy of the IRS. A prioritization process was used to select and refine a set of modernization projects. The projects selected ranked highest on a combination of criteria which included benefits (to taxpayers, the IRS operations, and to employees), risks, and readiness to proceed based on prior work.	
All of the projects are being managed according to the agreed methodology of the ELC which defines certain key milestones at which defined deliverables must be produced and accepted to proceed to the next milestone. One such deliverable is the business case, which summarizes the scope, benefit, cost, and risk of the project. A preliminary business case is developed at Milestone 2, and an updated baseline business case is developed at Milestone 3.	
The first system to be developed and deployed will be the Customer Communications System. It will allow the IRS to answer more of the 150 million telephone calls it receives, while providing a solid foundation for future systems, nearly all of which require communication with taxpayers.	
Enterprise Vision and Architecture – In May 2000, the IRS began a major update to the original 1997 Modernization Blueprint, which guided the program in the initial period. The objective of this new project, referred to as Blueprint 2000, is to reflect the substantial knowledge gained through experience, the changes in the IRS organization, and the improvements in available technology that have taken place over the last three years.	
One of the most important aspects of Blueprint 2000 is the greater emphasis on business vision – stating clearly how the main aspects of tax administration will operate differently in the future with the help of technology.	
At the present time, important work is underway on the first of three incremental releases/versions of Blueprint 2000. The entire tax administration process has been carefully defined down to three levels of detail, specifying how speed, accuracy, and timeliness will improve. On the technical side, key topics such as data architecture and security are being addressed, with the Blueprint being updated to reflect them.	
I	
16	



ROGRAMMATIC HIGHLIGHTS status Of Implementation (continued) proval to request release of ITIA funds for the first of four build segments. The usiness solution is built in Milestone 4. The system will be integrated with other usiness systems, tested, and piloted. The system will then be prepared for deployment its intended sites. addition, important progress has been made on key infrastructure projects that are quired to support the business systems projects. Interprise Vision and Architecture – Subsequent to completion of the Investment ecision Management (IDM) process and the updated enterprise vision and chitecture, an analysis will be done to develop migration plans and to propose usible prioritizes and sequences for all further projects. This plan will be developed the prioritization decisions considered in time to continue progress beyond the urrently planned project deliverables in FY 2001 and FY 2002. This planning will be a to a part of the ongoing IDM. unding Inding for the program is provided through the ITIA. This entails four distinct ocedures, each with its own set of steps and organizational components: propriations, funding releases, approval from the Core Business Systems Executive teering Committee, and contracting. Through the end of FY 2000, Congress has appropriated \$506 million of which \$249 Illion has been released and \$200 million has been obligated. Funding releases are quested through submission of expenditure plans that outline necessary funding with stification. Expenditure plans address and comply with all requirements and commendations from oversight bodies relative to content and format. Expenditure ans are approved by Treasury and the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) prior IRS submission to Congress; a copy of the plan is provided to the General counting Office (GAO) for review concurrent with the delivery to Congress. The first nding release was in June, 1999 (\$35 million), followed by releases in December 1999 33 million), April 2000 (\$144 milli
pproval to request release of ITIA funds for the first of four build segments. The usiness solution is built in Milestone 4. The system will be integrated with other usiness systems, tested, and piloted. The system will then be prepared for deployment its intended sites. addition, important progress has been made on key infrastructure projects that are quired to support the business systems projects. Interprise Vision and Architecture – Subsequent to completion of the Investment ecision Management (IDM) process and the updated enterprise vision and chitecture, an analysis will be done to develop migration plans and to propose possible priorities and sequences for all further projects. This plan will be developed the prioritization decisions considered in time to continue progress beyond the interprity planned project deliverables in FY 2001 and FY 2002. This planning will be a explant of the ongoing IDM. unding anding for the program is provided through the ITIA. This entails four distinct ocedures, each with its own set of steps and organizational components: propriations, funding releases, approval from the Core Business Systems Executive teering Committee, and contracting. Through the end of FY 2000, Congress has appropriated \$506 million of which \$249 illion has been released and \$200 million has been obligated. Funding releases are quested through submission of expenditure plans that outline necessary funding with stiffication. Expenditure plans address and complex to the General committee, for congress; a copy of the plan is provided to the General counting Office (GAO) for review concurrent with the delivery to Congress. The first nding releases was in June, 1999 (\$35 million), followed by releases in December 1999 (33 million), April 2000 (\$148 million), and September 2000 (\$33 million). The PX 2001, Congress has appropriated an additional \$72 million. In November 2000, RS received congressional approval for the release of \$200 million. This will provide full cal year funding for program level activitie
Isiness solution is built in Milestone 4. The system will be integrated with other isiness systems, tested, and piloted. The system will then be prepared for deployment its intended sites. addition, important progress has been made on key infrastructure projects that are quired to support the business systems projects. Interprise Vision and Architecture – Subsequent to completion of the Investment ecision Management (IDM) process and the updated enterprise vision and chitecture, an analysis will be done to develop migration plans and to propose besible priorities and sequences for all further projects. This plan will be developed do the prioritization decisions considered in time to continue progress beyond the urrently planned project deliverables in FY 2001 and FY 2002. This planning will be a sy part of the ongoing IDM. unding unding for the program is provided through the ITIA. This entails four distinct ocedures, each with its own set of steps and organizational components: opropriations, funding releases, approval from the Core Business Systems Executive teering Committee, and contracting. Inrough the end of FY 2000, Congress has appropriated \$506 million of which \$249 Illion has been released and \$200 million has been obligated. Funding releases are quested through submission of expenditure plans that outline necessary funding with stification. Expenditure plans address and comply with all requirements and commendations from oversight bodies relative to content and Budget (OMB) prior IRS submission to Congress; a copy of the plan is provided to the General conting Office (GAO) for review concurrent with the delivery to Congress. The first nding releases was in June, 1999 (\$35 million), followed by releases in December 1999 33 million), April 2000 (\$148 million), and September 2000 (\$33 million). In November 2000, IS received congressional approval for the release of \$200 million. This will provide full scal year funding for program level activities and full funding for approved projects to dit in
quired to support the business systems projects. Interprise Vision and Architecture – Subsequent to completion of the Investment decision Management (IDM) process and the updated enterprise vision and chitecture, an analysis will be done to develop migration plans and to propose possible priorities and sequences for all further projects. This plan will be developed d the prioritization decisions considered in time to continue progress beyond the urrently planned project deliverables in FY 2001 and FY 2002. This planning will be a sy part of the ongoing IDM. unding unding for the program is provided through the ITIA. This entails four distinct ocedures, each with its own set of steps and organizational components: propriations, funding releases, approval from the Core Business Systems Executive teering Committee, and contracting. mough the end of FY 2000, Congress has appropriated \$506 million of which \$249 illion has been released and \$200 million has been obligated. Funding releases are quested through submission of expenditure plans that outline necessary funding with stification. Expenditure plans address and comply with all requirements and commendations from oversight bodies relative to content and Budget (OMB) prior IRS submission to Congress; a copy of the plan is provided to the General counting Office (GAO) for review concurrent with the delivery to Congress. The first nding release was in June, 1999 (\$35 million), followed by releases in December 1999 33 million), April 2000 (\$148 million), and September 2000 (\$33 million). or FY 2001, Congress has appropriated an additional \$72 million. In November 2000, KS received congressional approval for the release of \$200 million. This will provide full scal year funding for program level activities and full funding for approved projects to it in the next milestone. After completion of the IDM process, IRS plans to submit a account FY 2001 expenditure plan in February 2001 to request funds for projects
ecision Management (IDM) process and the updated enterprise vision and chitecture, an analysis will be done to develop migration plans and to propose possible priorities and sequences for all further projects. This plan will be developed and the prioritization decisions considered in time to continue progress beyond the internetly planned project deliverables in FY 2001 and FY 2002. This planning will be a ey part of the ongoing IDM. unding unding for the program is provided through the ITIA. This entails four distinct ocedures, each with its own set of steps and organizational components: opropriations, funding releases, approval from the Core Business Systems Executive teering Committee, and contracting. Inrough the end of FY 2000, Congress has appropriated \$506 million of which \$249 illion has been released and \$200 million has been obligated. Funding releases are quested through submission of expenditure plans that outline necessary funding with stification. Expenditure plans address and comply with all requirements and commendations from oversight bodies relative to content and format. Expenditure ans are approved by Treasury and the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) prior IRS submission to Congress; a copy of the plan is provided to the General counting Office (GAO) for review concurrent with the delivery to Congress. The first nding release was in June, 1999 (\$35 million), followed by releases in December 1999 33 million), April 2000 (\$148 million), and September 2000 (\$33 million).
unding for the program is provided through the ITIA. This entails four distinct ocedures, each with its own set of steps and organizational components: propriations, funding releases, approval from the Core Business Systems Executive teering Committee, and contracting. mrough the end of FY 2000, Congress has appropriated \$506 million of which \$249 illion has been released and \$200 million has been obligated. Funding releases are quested through submission of expenditure plans that outline necessary funding with stification. Expenditure plans address and comply with all requirements and commendations from oversight bodies relative to content and format. Expenditure ans are approved by Treasury and the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) prior IRS submission to Congress; a copy of the plan is provided to the General counting Office (GAO) for review concurrent with the delivery to Congress. The first nding release was in June, 1999 (\$35 million), followed by releases in December 1999 33 million), April 2000 (\$148 million), and September 2000 (\$33 million).
becedures, each with its own set of steps and organizational components: bepropriations, funding releases, approval from the Core Business Systems Executive teering Committee, and contracting. Inrough the end of FY 2000, Congress has appropriated \$506 million of which \$249 illion has been released and \$200 million has been obligated. Funding releases are quested through submission of expenditure plans that outline necessary funding with stification. Expenditure plans address and comply with all requirements and commendations from oversight bodies relative to content and format. Expenditure ans are approved by Treasury and the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) prior IRS submission to Congress; a copy of the plan is provided to the General counting Office (GAO) for review concurrent with the delivery to Congress. The first nding release was in June, 1999 (\$35 million), followed by releases in December 1999 33 million), April 2000 (\$148 million), and September 2000 (\$33 million).
illion has been released and \$200 million has been obligated. Funding releases are quested through submission of expenditure plans that outline necessary funding with stification. Expenditure plans address and comply with all requirements and commendations from oversight bodies relative to content and format. Expenditure ans are approved by Treasury and the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) prior IRS submission to Congress; a copy of the plan is provided to the General counting Office (GAO) for review concurrent with the delivery to Congress. The first nding release was in June, 1999 (\$35 million), followed by releases in December 1999 33 million), April 2000 (\$148 million), and September 2000 (\$33 million).
RS received congressional approval for the release of \$200 million. This will provide full scal year funding for program level activities and full funding for approved projects to kit in the next milestone. After completion of the IDM process, IRS plans to submit a second FY 2001 expenditure plan in February 2001 to request funds for projects
elected through the IDM process.












INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE Management Discussion and Analysis For the Fiscal Year Ended September 30, 2000
PROGRAMMATIC HIGHLIGHTS
Compliance
Examination (Continued)
 A revised TRAC agreement for use in the cosmetology and barber industry A revised TRAC agreement for use in the food and beverage industry A revised TRDA for use in the food and beverage industry A new TRAC agreement for use in other industries where tipping is customary A new TRDA agreement for use in other industries where tipping is customary A new TRDA agreement for use in other industries where tipping is customary In addition to the agreements mentioned above, the Service announced a new program, EmTRAC (Employer designed TRAC). Taxpayers in the food and beverage industry have expressed interest in designing their own TRAC programs. Notice 2000-21, 2000-19, Internal Revenue Bulletin (I.R.B.) 967, announced the proposed requirements and procedures for obtaining approval of an employer-designed EmTRAC program. Notice 2000-21 also offered interested persons the opportunity to comment on the proposed program. Once approved, restaurant employers will receive the same benefits and protections as afforded under the IRS administered TRAC voluntary compliance agreements.
Collection
The impact of RRA 98 on the IRS continues to be extensive, changing many aspects of the collection process. During FY 2000 Collection developed additional training materials, updated procedural manuals, and centralized OIC processing to minimize taxpayer burden, improve service delivery, and enhance all facets of customer contact.
With the IRS' continued focus on customer service, Collection again provided substantial support to Walk-In taxpayer service in FY 2000. Collection continued to support the Walk-In operation in field offices, providing 400,000 hours of professional support, an increase of 37.8% over FY 1999 to ensure that walk-in customers are served timely and efficiently. To address dwindling resources and increasing workload, Collection has continued to develop systems that prioritize the work and allocate resources, direct work to the right employee at the right time, predict a taxpayer's ability to make payment on a tax liability, and remove inventory cases that are deemed unproductive.



INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE Management Discussion and Analysis For the Fiscal Year Ended September 30, 2000	
PROGRAMMATIC HIGHLIGHTS	
Compliance	
Employee Plans and Exempt Organizations (Superseded by Tax-Exempt and Government Entities Division (TE/GE)	
FY 2000 saw the successful stand-up of the new Tax-Exempt and Government Entities Division (TE/GE). This effort entailed the transfer of 1,900 former Employee Plans (EP) and Exempt Organizations (EO) employees to TE/GE and the selection of a new senior leadership team. The TE/GE division will continue to serve the tax administration needs of EP and EO customers. In addition, new organizational components will address the specialized needs of Government Entities' customers and provide dedicated telephone and correspondence assistance to all TE/GE customers. New programs developed within each customer segment reflect the organization's increased emphasis on Customer Education and Outreach and Voluntary Compliance.	
TE/GE Customer Service oversight was transferred to the Director, Customer Account Services (CAS). CAS maintained a high level of service in the face of a doubling call demand, answering an additional 113,000 calls through September. During FY 2000, CAS began to recruit and train additional assistors in anticipation of an increased call demand in FY 2001.	
A Government Entities' office was established to address the needs of customers previously served – federal, state, and local governments; Indian tribal governments; and Tax Exempt Bonds (TEB). This organization has already established partnerships with major stakeholders and developed new outreach materials.	
Meanwhile, efforts to centralize determination letter processing for EP and EO customers in Cincinnati, Ohio, continued in FY 2000. Building on survey responses, TE/GE completed a customer satisfaction pilot project in connection with the determination letter process leading to the adoption of many recommendations for improving customer information. Among other changes, the Determination Request Acknowledgement Notice was revised and a new notice piloted to inform customers of the status of their application and to allow them to contact the IRS employee working their case directly.	
During FY 2000, TE/GE initiated the Service's first Operating Division Partnership Council with the National Treasury Employees Union (NTEU), reinforcing its commitment to productive and cooperative labor-management relations.	
TE/GE continued to promote compliance through its traditional determination letter and examination programs as well as through new voluntary compliance initiatives. Employee Plan voluntary correction activities were organized into a distinct program with Rulings and Agreements, while the Partnerships for Compliance program provided	
27	





INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE Management Discussion and Analysis For the Fiscal Year Ended September 30, 2000	
5. SYSTEM CONTROLS AND LEGAL COMPLIANCE	
The IRS is providing "qualified assurance" that internal control systems are adequate and effective in achieving the objectives of the Financial Manager's Financial Integrity Act (FMFIA). The qualified assurance is based on our identification of material weakness and national significant control deficiencies that have been addressed by corrective action plans. As part of the FMFIA reporting, three national significant control deficiencies and one material weakness have been closed. In addition, IRS reported under the Federal Financial Management Improvement Act (FFMIA) that financial management systems do not substantially comply with the FFMIA. Plans are being revised to resolve material weaknesses causing this condition, and the initiatives associated with these plans will be contained in Blueprint 2000, as appropriate. To focus top level efforts on correction of control weaknesses, the Deputy Commissioner established the Financial and Management Controls Executive Steering Committee (FMC ESC), which he chairs. The ESC, which is made up of Division	
Commissioners and the Chief Financial Officer, has initiated a variety of actions to address financial and management control issues in the Service.	
As an example, the ESC is making a concerted effort to address long-term issues related to property and security using subcommittees for each of these areas. The two subcommittees formed under the FMC ESC are Property and Equipment (P&E) and Security. Both coordinate the agency-wide improvement in these areas. The P&E Subcommittee will reengineer our business processes, establish a common identifier to link system information, develop a replacement property system, and establish a comprehensive inventory strategy. The Security Subcommittee will follow-up and provide periodic reports to address current deficiencies and develop an overall long-term approach for security to identify issues, determine their impact, and prioritize corrective actions.	
The ESC is addressing control issues by focusing corrective action efforts on these identified high priority areas and by developing new and innovative solutions to address continuing problem areas. Additionally, all aspects of prioritization of business solutions and monitoring of planned corrective actions are accomplished by the Deputy Commissioner and other senior executives.	
30	



For the Fiscal Year Ended September 30, 2000
FUTURE EFFECTS OF EXISTING, CURRENTLY-KNOWN DEMANDS, RISKS, UNCERTAINTIES, EVENTS, CONDITIONS AND TRENDS
Management Challenges and High Risks (continued)
Figure 1 depicts the components of the unpaid assessments balance as of September 30, 2000.
Figure 1: Components of IRS' \$240 Billion of Unpaid Assessments
Write-offs \$129 Compliance \$39 Compliance \$39 \$39 \$39 \$51 \$51 \$51 \$51 \$51 \$51 \$51 \$51
Of the \$240 billion balance of unpaid assessments, \$129 billion represents write-offs. Write-offs principally consist of amounts owed by defunct taxpayer's, including many failed financial institutions resolved by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) and the former Resolution Trust Corporation (RTC). The remaining amounts consist of amounts owed by taxpayers with extreme economic and/or financial hardships, deceased taxpayers, and taxpayer's left insolvent due to bankruptcy.









 7. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS The IRS approach to completing its FY 2000 financial statements is primarily the same as it was in FY 1999. The only exception is in the Property and Equipment area. Previously, in FY 1999, since pertinent data was unavailable, estimated net book value was determined based on estimates derived statistically from a sample of assets. For FY 2000, ADP and telecommunication equipment acquired after September 30, 1999, will be recorded at cost. Also, for FY 2000, depreciation on these assets is calculated with a half year depreciation taken in the year of acquisition. Audit Opinion
as it was in FY 1999. The only exception is in the Property and Equipment area. Previously, in FY 1999, since pertinent data was unavailable, estimated net book value was determined based on estimates derived statistically from a sample of assets. For FY 2000, ADP and telecommunication equipment acquired after September 30, 1999, will be recorded at cost. Also, for FY 2000, depreciation on these assets is calculated with a half year depreciation taken in the year of acquisition.
Audit Opinion
Due to the combined efforts of the IRS and GAO, the GAO auditors, have rendered an "unqualified " or clean opinion on all of the IRS's financial statements (both revenue and administrative). This means that the IRS could properly account for our total appropriated dollars of over \$8 billion, its revenue collections of over \$2 trillion, and its refunds of over \$190 billion. Our success can be greatly attributed to the hard and dedicated work of our staff, our significant improvements made to IRS' internal controls, and the management level focus we have placed on the audit.
Limitations to the Financial Statements
The financial statements have been prepared to report the financial position and results of operations of the IRS pursuant to the requirements of 31. U.S.C. 3515(b). While the statements have been prepared from the books and records of the IRS in accordance with the formats prescribed by OMB, the statements are in addition to the financial reports used to monitor and control budgetary resources which are prepared from the same books and records. The statements should be read with the realization that they are for a component of the U.S. Government, a sovereign entity. One implication of this is that liabilities cannot be liquidated without legislation that provides resources to do so.
· ·

Financial Statements

Balance Sheet

(In Millions)		
ASSETS		
Intragovernmental: Fund balance with Treasury and cash (Note 2) Due from Treasury (Note 12) Accounts receivable, Net (Note 3) Advances to government agencies Total Intragovernmental	\$ 2,008 1,040 11 163 3,222	
 With the Public: Federal Taxes receivable, net of Allowance for doubtful accounts of \$59,000 (Notes 5, 12) Accounts receivable, Net (Note 3) Advances to the public Other assets (Note 4, 12) Total with the Public 	$ \begin{array}{r} 22,000 \\ 4 \\ 14 \\ 93 \\ \hline 22,111 \end{array} $	
Property and equipment, Net (Note 6)	1,266	
Total Assets	<u>\$ 26,599</u>	
LIABILITIES		
Intragovernmental: Due to Treasury (Notes 5, 12) Accrued expenses (Note 7) Other liabilities (Note 8) Total Intragovernmental Federal tax refunds payable (Note 12) Accounts payable (Note 7) Accrued expenses (Note 7)	22,000 71 <u>87</u> <u>22,158</u> 1,040 43 513 909	
Other liabilities (Note 8) Capital lease liability (Note 9)	21	
Contingencies (Note 10) Total Liabilities	12	
NET POSITION		
Net Position (Note 11)	1,903	
Total Liabilities and Net Position	<u>\$ 26,599</u>	
The accompanying notes are an integra	al part of these statements	

Statement of Net Cost

Г

	Departm Interna Stater For the Fiscal Yea	Revenu Nent of N	ue Servi Net Cos	ice t), 2000		
	(In Millio	ns)				_
Program	F	ull Cost		Excha Reve		Net Cost of Operations	
Submission Processing Telephone and Correspondence Taxpayer Advocate Service Document Matching Criminal Investigation Examination Collection Tax Exempt/Government Entities Statistics of Income Chief Counsel Subtotal Net Cost of Operations (Note 17)		2,8 1,0 2	955 152 105 628 852 069 226 45 341	\$\$	(9) (81) (56) (17) (4) (32) (1) (11) (211)	\$ 1,552 1,874 152 105 572 2,835 1,065 194 44 <u>330</u> <u>8,723</u>	

Statement of Changes in Net Position

Department of the Tr Internal Revenue Se Statement of Changes in N For the Fiscal Year Ended Sep	rvice Net Position
(In Millions)	
Net Cost of Operations	\$ 8,723
Financing Sources (other than exchange revenue)	
Appropriations used	8,344
Transfers to General Fund and other	(48)
Imputed financing	396
Total Financing Sources	8,692
Net Results of Operations	(31)
Net Change in Cumulative Results of Operations	(31)
Decrease in Unexpended Appropriations (Note 11)	(179)
Change in Net Position	(210)
Net Position – Beginning of Period – Restated (Note 11)	
Net Position – End of Period	<u>\$ 1,903</u>
The accompanying notes are an integra	l part of these statements

Statement of Budgetary Resources

Department of the Treasury Internal Revenue Service Statement of Budgetary Resourc For the Fiscal Year Ended September	
(In Millions)	
Budgetary Resources	
Budget authority	\$ 8,319
Unobligated balances - beginning of period - Restated (Note 11)	933
Spending authority from offsetting collections	107
Adjustments	(12)
Total Budgetary Resources	<u>\$ 9,347</u>
Status of Budgetary Resources	
Obligations incurred	\$ 8,556
Unobligated balances – available (Note 11)	346
Unobligated balances - unavailable (Note 11)	445
Total Status of Budgetary Resources	<u>\$ 9,347</u>
Outlays	
Obligations incurred	\$ 8,556
Less: Spending authority from offsetting collections and adjustments	(180)
Obligated balance, net - beginning of period - Restated (Note 11)	1,240
Less: Obligated balance, net - end of period	(1,232)
Total Outlays	\$ 8,384
The accompanying notes are an integral part of	these statements

Statement of Financing

Department of the Treasury Internal Revenue Service Statement of Financing For the Fiscal Year Ended Septembe		
(In Millions)		
 Obligations and Nonbudgetary Resources Obligations incurred Less: Spending authority from offsetting collections and adjustments Financing source - imputed financing Exchange revenue not in the budget Transfer of fixed assets Total Obligations, as Adjusted, and Nonbudgetary Resources Resources That Do Not Fund the Net Cost of Operations Change in amount of goods, services, and benefits ordered but not yet received or provided Change in unfilled customer orders Costs capitalized on the Balance Sheet Financing sources That Do Not Fund the Net Cost of Operations Costs That Do Not Require Resources Depreciation and amortization Expenditure offset for pending refund Total Costs That Do Not Require Resources Financing Sources Yet to be Provided Net Cost of Operations 	\$ 8,556 (180) 396 (115) (8) \$ 8,649 \$ 24 (1) (380) 8 \$ (349) \$ 362 5 \$ 367 \$ 367 \$ 56 \$ 8,723	
The accompanying notes are an integral part of	of these statements	
- 5 -		

Statement of Custodial Activity

(In Billions) REVENUE ACTIVITY Collections of Federal Tax Revenue (Note 15) Individual income, FICA/SECA, and other \$	Internal Revenue Servic Statement of Custodial Act For the Fiscal Year Ended Septem	vity
Collections of Federal Tax Revenue (Note 15) Individual income, FICA/SECA, and other \$ 1,765 Corporate income 235 Excise 55 Bstate and gift 29 Railroad retirement 5 Federal unemployment 7 Total Collections of Federal Tax Revenue 2,096 Increase in federal taxes receivable, net 1 Total Federal Tax Revenue 2,097 Distribution of federal revenue to Treasury (2,096) Increase in amount due to Treasury (1) NET FEDERAL REVENUE ACTIVITY \$	(In Billions)	
Estate and gift 29 Railroad retirement 5 Federal unemployment 7 Total Collections of Federal Tax Revenue 2,096 Increase in federal taxes receivable, net 1 Total Federal Tax Revenue 2,097 Distribution of federal revenue to Treasury (2,096) Increase in amount due to Treasury (1) NET FEDERAL REVENUE ACTIVITY \$ - FEDERAL TAX REFUND ACTIVITY (Note 16) \$ 194 Total Refunds of Federal Taxes \$ 194 Appropriations Used for Refund of Federal Taxes \$ 194	Collections of Federal Tax Revenue (Note 15) Individual income, FICA/SECA, and other Corporate income	235
Total Federal Tax Revenue 2,097 Distribution of federal revenue to Treasury (2,096) Increase in amount due to Treasury (1) NET FEDERAL REVENUE ACTIVITY \$ - FEDERAL TAX REFUND ACTIVITY (Note 16) \$ 194 Total Refunds of Federal Taxes \$ 194 Appropriations Used for Refund of Federal Taxes \$ 194	Estate and gift Railroad retirement Federal unemployment	29 5 7
Increase in amount due to Treasury (1) NET FEDERAL REVENUE ACTIVITY \$ - FEDERAL TAX REFUND ACTIVITY (Note 16) \$ 194 Total Refunds of Federal Taxes \$ 194 Appropriations Used for Refund of Federal Taxes (194)		
FEDERAL TAX REFUND ACTIVITY (Note 16) Total Refunds of Federal Taxes \$ 194 Appropriations Used for Refund of Federal Taxes (194)	Increase in amount due to Treasury	(1)
Appropriations Used for Refund of Federal Taxes (194)		<u> </u>
NET FEDERAL TAX REFUND ACTIVITY <u>\$</u>	Appropriations Used for Refund of Federal Taxes	(194)
	NET FEDERAL TAX REFUND ACTIVITY	<u>\$</u>
The accompanying notes are an integral part of these statements		

Notes to the Financial Statements

	Internal Revenue Service Notes to the Financial Statements For the Fiscal Year Ended September 30, 2000
Note 1.	A. Reporting Entity
Summary of Significant Accounting Policies	The Internal Revenue Service (the Service) is a bureau of the U.S. Department of the Treasury (Treasury). The Service originated in 1862, when Congress established the Office of the Commissioner of the Internal Revenue. In 1952, the Bureau was reorganized by Congress and in 1953 became the Internal Revenue Service (IRS).
	In FY 2000, the Service progressed with the implementation of a plan to reorganize the structure and management of the Service in accordance with legislation enacted by Congress in 1998. The legislation directed the Commissioner to modify the geographic organizational structure of national, regional and district divisions to establish units serving groups of taxpayers with similar needs, and to ensure an independent appeals process. Over time, the legislation will have a pervasive and significant impact throughout the organization and in addition to its effect within the Service, will require conforming changes to numerous statutes, regulations and delegations.
	The Treasury Inspector General for Tax Administration (TIGTA) is a Treasury agency established under the IRS Restructuring and Reform Act of 1998 and was included in the Service's reporting entity through fiscal year (FY) 1999. The reporting entity has changed in FY 2000 as TIGTA received its own appropriation for FY 2000 and is not included in the Service's FY 2000 financial statements.
	The mission of the Service is to provide America's taxpayers top quality service by helping them understand and meet their tax responsibilities and by applying the tax law with integrity and fairness to all.
	B. Basis of Presentation
	The accompanying financial statements report the Service's financial position as of September 30, 2000, and its net cost of operations, changes in net position, budgetary resources, financing and custodial activity for the year then ended. These statements include the accounts of all funds under the Service's control, which have been established to account for the resources of the Service, as well as funds for the purpose of recording tax revenues and refunds. They were prepared from the Service's accounting and financial management systems in accordance with Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Bulletin No. 97-01, <i>Form and Content of Agency Financial Statements</i> , as amended, and the Service's accounting policies which are summarized in this note.
	C. Basis of Accounting
	The accompanying financial statements are presented on a basis in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP).
	Balance Sheet, Statement of Net Cost, Statement of Changes in Net Position These statements are presented on the accrual basis of accounting. Under the accrual method, revenues are recognized when earned, and expenses are recognized when costs are incurred or goods or services are received, without regard to receipt or payment of cash. The statement of net cost presents the full cost associated with the Service's major programs. Note 17 describes additional accounting policies applicable to the Statement of Net Cost.
	Statement of Budgetary Resources The statement of budgetary resources is presented using the budgetary basis of accounting. Budgetary accounting facilitates compliance with legal constraints and controls over the use of federal funds. This financial statement is in addition to the reports prepared by the Service throughout the year pursuant to OMB directives for purposes of monitoring and controlling the Service's obligation and expenditure of budgetary resources.

 Internal Revenue Service Notes to the Financial Statements For the Fiscal Year Ended September 30, 2000
<u>Statement of Financing</u> The statement of financing is presented using both an accrual and a budgetary basis of accounting as a means to facilitate understanding of the differences between the two accounting bases.
Statement of Custodial Activity The statement of custodial activity is presented primarily on the modified cash basis of accounting. Specifically, total federal revenue is recognized when received and is reported net of the change in federal taxes receivable. The related distribution of all such collections to the U.S. Treasury, however, is reported on the cash basis. Refunds of taxes and interest, as well as Earned Income Tax Credit (EITC) and child tax credit payments, are also reported on the modified cash basis. Specifically, refunds, EITC payments, and child tax credit payments are recognized when disbursed and are reported net of the change in federal tax refunds payable.
D. Financing Sources and Exchange Revenue
The Service receives the majority of its funding through annual, multi-year, no-year, and trust fund appropriations that are available for use within statutory limits for operating and capital expenditures. Appropriations are recognized as financing sources when the related expenses are incurred. The following are the different types of operating appropriations:
Processing, Assistance and Management This appropriation provides funds for processing tax returns and related documents; assisting taxpayers in the filing of their returns and in paying taxes that are due; matching information returns with tax returns; conducting internal audit reviews and internal security investigations; and managing financial resources, rent and utilities.
Tax Law Enforcement The purpose of this appropriation is to provide funds for the examination of tax returns, and the administrative and judicial settlement of taxpayer appeals of examination findings. It also provides for issuing technical rulings, monitoring employee pension plans, determining qualifications of organizations seeking tax-exempt status, examining tax returns of exempt organizations, enforcing statutes relating to detection and investigation of criminal violations of the internal revenue laws, collecting unpaid accounts, compiling statistics of income and compliance research, and securing unfiled tax returns and payments.
Information Systems This appropriation funds costs for data processing and information and telecommunication support for the Internal Revenue Service activities, including developmental information systems and operations information systems. The operational systems are located in a variety of sites including the Martinsburg Computing Center, the Detroit Computing Center, the Tennessee Computing Center, and in regional and district offices and service centers.
Other These budgetary accounts consist of an aggregate of smaller multi-functional funds that support the Service's mission to collect the proper amount of tax and provide improved customer service to the taxpayer. The Information Technology Investments appropriation is the largest of these funds and may be obligated as Congress approves expenditure plans.
In addition, the Service incurs certain costs that are paid in total or in part by other federal entities, such as pension costs administered by the Office of Personnel Management and legal judgments paid by the Treasury Judgment Fund. These constitute subsidized costs and are recognized by the Service on its statements of changes in net position and financing as an imputed financing source equal to the cost paid by the other federal entity.
- 8 -

Internal Revenue Service	
Notes to the Financial Statements For the Fiscal Year Ended September 30, 2000	
E. Fund Balance with Treasury and Cash	
The fund balance with Treasury is the aggregate amount of funds in the Service's accounts including appropriated funds from which the Service is authorized to make expenditures and pay liabilities; as well as funds in deposit, suspense, and clearing accounts. Generally, cash receipts and disbursements are processed by the Treasury. Imprest funds are maintained by Headquarters and field offices in commercial bank accounts.	
F. Accounts Receivable, Net	
Accounts receivable consists of amounts due from federal agencies, state and local governments, and the public. The balance of accounts receivable for reimbursable services includes both billed and unbilled receivables. Unbilled accounts receivable are recorded, and reimbursable revenues are recognized, as the services are performed and costs are incurred. The unbilled receivables are later transferred to billed accounts receivable when bills are rendered to the buying agencies. The allowance for uncollectible accounts is based on an annual review of groups of accounts by region, age and account type and includes accounts receivable balances older than one year.	
G. Advances	
Advances to government agencies primarily represent funds paid to the Treasury Working Capital Fund (WCF). Amounts in the fund are available for expenses of operating and maintaining common administrative services of Treasury that can be performed more economically as a centralized service. Centralized services funded through the Treasury WCF for the Service consist primarily of telecommunications services and payroll processing. In accordance with established WCF procedures, Treasury Departmental Offices collect funds for these services in advance from Treasury Bureaus. Advances are expensed as services are provided by the WCF. This account also includes amortized advances (amortized over seven years) representing assets with a useful life greater than one year.	
The majority of advances to the public are for investigations and employee travel advances, which are expensed upon receipt of required expense reports.	
H. Property and Equipment	
The net book value of Property and Equipment as of September 30, 2000, consists of the following components:	
General Property and Equipment acquired before October 1, 1999 The estimated net book value of ADP equipment, telecommunication equipment, office equipment and furniture, investigative equipment, and vehicles as of September 30, 1999, was derived based upon estimates of the net book value of a statistically selected sample of assets, using techniques prescribed by the Uniform Standards of Appraisal Practice. These estimated net book values were then projected to the entire population of assets. With the exception of small expendable computer peripherals such as keyboards and cables, all property and equipment in the categories described above and acquired before October 1, 1999, is capitalized regardless of the dollar amount of individual assets. Depreciation on these assets is calculated using the straight line method and is based on the estimated net book values and projected remaining useful lives of the assets as of September 30, 1999.	
-9-	

<u> </u>	Internal Revenue Service Notes to the Financial Statements For the Fiscal Year Ended September 30, 2000
	ADP and Telecommunication Equipment acquired after September 30, 1999 The method used by the Service to report the capitalized ADP and telecommunication assets acquired after September 30, 1999 is described as "pooling." Under pooling, all ADP and telecommunication equipment acquired after September 30, 1999 are recorded at cost. Separate pools are established for each class of ADP and telecommunication assets, as distinguished by the useful life of the assets. In FY 2000, there are two pools one for equipment with a useful life of three years, and the other for assets with a useful life of seven years. With the exception of small expendable computer peripherals, the pools accumulate the cost of all ADP and telecommunication assets. Depreciation on these assets is calculated using the straight line method over the estimated useful lives established by the Service with a half-year of depreciation taken in the year of acquisition.
	Office Equipment and Furniture, Investigative Equipment, and Vehicles acquired after September 30, 1999 The Service capitalized office equipment and furniture, investigative equipment, and Criminal Investigation Division vehicles acquired after September 30, 1999 with an individual asset acquisition cost of \$5,000 or more. Depreciation on these assets is calculated using the straight line method over the estimated useful lives established by the Service (10 years for office equipment and investigative equipment, 8 years for furniture, 5 years for vehicles) with a half-year of depreciation taken in the year of acquisition.
	Major Systems The Service has 10 systems it considers major systems as of September 30, 2000. Major systems are defined as any system where the projected costs are expected to exceed \$20 million. Costs included in the major systems category include labor and certain indirect costs for the design, acquisition, and implementation of the major systems. Other costs associated with these major systems, such as hardware, software, transportation charges, and installation costs are included in the property and equipment categories previously described. Costs associated with preparation of facilities to house the systems are classified as leasehold improvements.
	Separate categories are established for major systems and major systems in process. Major systems are considered placed in service when development is complete; the system is in all important respects ready for use, deployment of the system is underway, and significant systems' changes are not anticipated. Until placed in service, major systems are shown as Work in Process (WIP). Major systems are depreciated using the straight line method over an estimated useful life of seven years with a half-year of depreciation taken in the year placed in service.
	Leasehold Improvements This category of assets is shown at historical cost less estimated depreciation. Depreciation on these assets is calculated using the straight line method with 10 years as the estimated useful life of the improvements with a half-year of depreciation taken in the year of acquisition. For projects initiated before October 1, 1999, a \$50,000 threshold was used to identify projects capitalized as leasehold improvements; all leasehold improvement projects initiated after September 30, 1999 are capitalized regardless of cost.
	I. Capital Lease Liability
	Certain computer equipment related to the Main Frame Consolidation Project and other office equipment is leased under Lease-To-Ownership-Plans (LTOP). The terms of these LTOPs provide for 36 monthly payments (for computers) and 48 monthly payments (for other equipment). Under eachLTOP, the equipment is owned as of the last monthly payment. These LTOP leases are classified as capital leases. The liability reported represents the present value of future lease payments required by the terms of the capital leases.

 Internal Revenue Service Notes to the Financial Statements For the Fiscal Year Ended September 30, 2000
J. Permanent and Indefinite Funds
The Service uses a special class of funds, designated as "permanent and indefinite", to disburse tax refund principal and related interest. These permanent and indefinite funds are not subject to budgetary ceilings set by the Congress during the annual appropriation process. Because the refunds, from a budgetary standpoint, are permanently funded by the Congress, refunds payable at year-end are fully funded. The asset "Due from Treasury" designates this approved funding to pay year-end tax refund liabilities, which are reflected in the funds used for refund of federal taxes on the statement of custodial activity along with tax refund payments for the year.
Although funded through the appropriation process, refund activity is reported as a custodial activity of the Service. This presentation is appropriate because refunds are, in substance, a custodial revenue-related activity in that they are a direct result of taxpayer overpayments of their tax liabilities. Federal tax revenue received from taxpayers is not available for use in the operation of the Service and is not reported on the statement of net cost. Likewise, the resultant refunds of overpayments are not available for use by the Service in operations. Consequently, to present refunds as an expense of the Service on the statement of net cost with related appropriations used would be inconsistent with the reporting of the related federal tax revenue and would materially distort the costs incurred by the Service in meeting its strategic objectives.
K. Tax Assessments and Abatements
Under the Internal Revenue Code Section 6201, the Commissioner of the IRS, as delegated by the Secretary of the Treasury, is authorized and required to make inquiries, determinations, and assessments of all taxes which have been imposed and accruing under any internal revenue law but which have not been duly paid (including interest, additions to the tax, and assessable penalties). Unpaid assessments result from taxpayers filing returns without sufficient payments; as well as from the Service's enforcement programs, such as examination, underreporter, substitute for return, and combined annual wage reporting.
The Commissioner of the IRS also has authority to abate the paid or unpaid portion of an assessed tax, interest, and penalty. Abatements occur for a number of reasons and are a normal part of the tax administration process (e.g., a qualifying corporation claimed a net operating loss that created a credit that can be carried back to reduce a prior year's tax liability, amended tax returns, correction of an assessment from an enforcement program, taxes discharged in bankruptcy, accepted offers in compromise, penalty abatements for reasonable cause, contested assessments made due to mathematical or clerical errors, and assessments contested after the liability has been satisfied). Abatements may result in claims for refunds or a reduction of the unpaid assessed amount.
L. Federal Taxes Receivable
Federal taxes receivable and the corresponding liability, "Due to Treasury," are not accrued until related tax returns are filed, or assessments made, and prepayments netted against liabilities. Accruals are made to reflect penalties and interest on taxes receivable through the balance sheet date.
Taxes receivable consist of unpaid assessments (taxes and associated penalties and interest) due from taxpayers for which the Service can support the existence of a receivable through taxpayer agreement, such as filing of a tax return without sufficient payment, or a court ruling in favor of the Service. Taxes receivable are shown on the balance sheet net of an allowance for doubtful accounts. The allowance for doubtful accounts reflects an estimate of the portion of total taxes receivable deemed to be uncollectible.

 Internal Revenue Service Notes to the Financial Statements For the Fiscal Year Ended September 30, 2000
Compliance assessments are unpaid assessments for which neither the taxpayer nor a court has affirmed that the taxpayer owes amounts to the Federal Government. Examples include assessments resulting from an IRS audit or examination of which the taxpayer does not agree with the results. These amounts are not reported on the balance sheet; however, statutory provisions require that these accounts be maintained until the statute for collection expires.
Write-offs consist of unpaid assessments for which the Service does not expect further collections due to factors such as taxpayers' bankruptcy, insolvency, or death. These amounts are also not reported on the balance sheet; however, statutory provisions require that these accounts be maintained until the statute for collection expires.

	Internal Revenu Notes to the Financi For the Fiscal Year Ended	ial Statements	2000							
Note 2. Fund	Fund balance with Treasury and cash as of September 30, 2000, consist of the following:									
Balance with Treasury and Cash (In Millions)	Appropriated and other funds Imprest funds		\$	2,005						
(In Mattions)	Fund Balance with Treasury and Cash		\$	2,008						
	The Information Technology Investments fund ru which can only be obligated pursuant to an exper consist of user fees, suspense, deposit, and clearing	nditure plan appr								
Note 3. Accounts Receivable,	Accounts receivable and allowances for uncollect following:			-			st of the			
Net (In Millions)			lntra- ernm			h the blic				
	Accounts receivable Allowance for uncollectible accounts		\$	19 (8)	\$	6 (2)				
	Accounts Receivable, Net		\$	11	\$	4				
Note 4. Other Assets (In Millions)	Other assets, as of September 30, 2000, consist of	-	following: Intra- Governmental			With the Public				
	Other custodial assets		\$	-	\$	87				
	Federal tax lien revolving fund			-		6				
	Total Other Assets		\$	-	\$	93				
	Other custodial assets primarily represent voluntar the funds to unpaid tax assessments. This categor pending the results of criminal investigations. As as "Non-Entity Assets" and are offset by an equal The Federal tax lien revolving fund primarily cr accordance with Section 7425 of the Internal Revo can be used to redeem real property foreclosed up Real property is redeemed when the Service pay certain post-sale expenses. The Service may the proceeds to the outstanding tax obligation.	y also includes so s described in No liability in other onsists of real p enue Code and So pon by a holder ys the lienholder	vite 12 custo roper ection of a li the a	monies of , other cu dial liabil ty held fo 2410 of en, which amount b	f \$3 millio istodial as ities. or resale Title 28, t h is super id at sale	to the pu he revolvior to the plus int	are held classified ublic. In ring fund tax lien. erest and			
	- 13 -									

Note 5. Federal Taxes Receivable. Federal taxes receivable (gross) was \$81 billion as of September 30, 2000, and consisted of tax assessments. Federal taxes receivable (gross) estimated to be collectible. It is based on projections of collectibility from a statistical sample of taxes receivable. An allowance for doubtful accounts of \$59 billion was established for the difference between the gross federal taxes receivable. It is based on projections of collectibility from a statistical sample of taxes receivable. An allowance for doubtful accounts of \$59 billion was established for the difference between the gross federal taxes receivable. Teressenting amounts to be transferred to Treasury is the offsetting liability to federal taxes receivable. The set is based on projections of collectibility. Due to Treasury when collected. Note 6. Property and equipment as of September 30, 2000, consist of the following: Net Book Net Book Net Book Net Book Net Book Value 9/30/2000 Property and Equipment 3 to 10 years 5 774 \$ 210 \$ (305) \$ 679 Other Major Systems 7 years 34 358 (31) 361 Major Systems - Work in Process 254 (226) - 28 Leasehold Improvements 10 years 197 23 (23) 197 TIGTA Vehicles and Investigative Equipment \$ 1.281 \$ 344 \$ (359) \$ 1.266 RS's FY 1999 financial st		For th	Internal Re Notes to the Fin ie Fiscal Year Er				
Federal taxes receivable, etc. equaled \$22 billion as of September 30, 2000, and is the portion of federal taxes receivable (gross) estimated to be collectible. It is based on projections of collectibility from a statistical sample of taxes receivable. An allowance for doubliful accounts of \$59 billion was established for the difference between the gross federal taxes receivable and the portion estimated to be collectible. Due to Treasury when collected. Note 6. Property and equipment as of September 30, 2000, consist of the following: Net Book Net Book Value	Federal Taxes Receivable,	penalties, and interest which	h were not paid				
Property and Equipment (In Millions) Note T. Net Book Life Net Book Value 9/30/99 Net Book Transfers Net Book Value 9/30/2000 Property and Equipment 3 to 10 years \$ 774 \$ 210 \$ (305) \$ 679 Other Major Systems 7 years 34 358 (31) 361 Major Systems – Work in Process 254 (226) - 28 Leasehold Improvements 10 years 197 23 (23) 197 Construction in Progress 14 (13) - 1 THGTA Vehicles and Investigative Equipment <u>8</u> (8)		taxes receivable (gross) est statistical sample of taxes re the difference between the g Treasury is the offsetting 1	timated to be co ceivable. An allo gross federal taxes	llectible. It is owance for doul s receivable and	based on projecti otful accounts of \$5 the portion estima	ons of collectibi 9 billion was est ted to be collecti	lity from a ablished for ble. Due to
$ \begin{array}{ $	Property and	Property and equipment as o	of September 30, 2	2000, consist of	the following:		
Note 7. Accounts payable and accrued expenses as of September 30, 2000, consist of the following: Accounts payable and accrued expenses as of September 30, 2000, consist of the following: Intra- With the Adventer and accrued expenses as of September 30, 2000, consist of the following: Note 7. Accounts payable and accrued expenses as of September 30, 2000, consist of the following: Accounts payable and accrued expenses as of September 30, 2000, consist of the following: Accounts payable and Accrued expenses \$ - \$ \$ 43 Accounts payable and Accrued expenses \$ 0, 233 Account payroll and benefits \$ 0, 233		Category		Value		Depreciation	Value
Leasehold Improvements 10 years 197 23 (23) 197 Construction in Progress 14 (13) - 1 TIGTA Vehicles and Investigative Equipment 8 (8) - - Total Property and Equipment § 1,281 § 344 § (359) § 1,266 IRS's FY 1999 financial statements included as an asset the net book value of vehicles and investigative equipment belonging to the Treasury Inspector General for Tax Administration (TIGTA). These assets were transferred to TIGTA during FY 2000. Note 7. Accounts payable and accrued expenses as of September 30, 2000, consist of the following: Accounts payable and Accrued expenses Intra- With the Fayable and Accrued expenses 30 233 Accounts payable \$ - \$ 43 Accound expenses 30 233 Accrued payroll and benefits 41 280		Other Major Systems Major Systems – Work in	2	34	358		361
Total Property and Equipment \$ 1,281 \$ 344 \$ (359) \$ 1,266 IRS's FY 1999 financial statements included as an asset the net book value of vehicles and investigative equipment belonging to the Treasury Inspector General for Tax Administration (TIGTA). These assets were transferred to TIGTA during FY 2000. Note 7. Accounts payable and accrued expenses as of September 30, 2000, consist of the following: Accounts Intra- With the Payable and Governmental Public Expenses 30 233 (In Millions) Accrued payroll and benefits 41		Leasehold Improvements Construction in Progress TIGTA Vehicles and	10 years	197	23 (13)		197
IRS's FY 1999 financial statements included as an asset the net book value of vehicles and investigative equipment belonging to the Treasury Inspector General for Tax Administration (TIGTA). These assets were transferred to TIGTA during FY 2000. Note 7. Accounts payable and accrued expenses as of September 30, 2000, consist of the following: Accounts Intra- Payable and Intra- Accrued Governmental Expenses 30 (In Millions) Accrued expenses Accrued payroll and benefits 41			nent			<u>-</u> \$ (359)	<u>-</u> \$ 1 266
Accounts Intra- With the Payable and Governmental Public Accrued Governmental Public Expenses Intra- Vith the (In Millions) Accounts payable \$ - \$ 43 Accrued expenses 30 233 Accrued payroll and benefits 41 280		equipment belonging to the	Treasury Inspecto				
(In Millions)Accounts payable\$ -\$ 43Accrued expenses30233Accrued payroll and benefits41280	Accounts Payable and	Accounts payable and accru	ed expenses as of	September 30,	Intra-	With the	
Total Accounts Payable and Accrued Expenses \$ 71 \$ 556		Accrued expenses	s		30	233	_
		Total Accounts Payable an	d Accrued Expe	ises	<u>\$ 71</u>	<u>\$ 556</u>	=

	F			al Statement		-					
Note 8. Other Liabilities	Other liabilities as of Se	Other liabilities as of September 30, 2000, consist of the following:									
(In Millions)				C	Governmenta		Public				
	Workers' compensation				\$ 83	2 \$					
	Accrued annual leave Suspense					- 5	382				
	Other custodial liabilitie	es					87	_			
	Total Other Liabilities				\$ 8'	7\$	\$ 909	-			
Note 9	Liabilities not Covered Accrued annual leave current wage rates in eff	consists of er fect at fiscal y	nployees'u	npaid leave t	balances at Se						
Note 9.	Budgetary Resources, a Other custodial liabiliti taxpayers for deposits p monies. The capital lease liabilit	es (the offsett ending applic	ing liability ation of the f	funds to outst	odial assets) j anding tax de	orimarily c	consist of	liabilities to			
Note 9. Leases (In Millions)	Other custodial liabiliti taxpayers for deposits p monies.	es (the offsett ending applic	ing liability ation of the f	funds to outst	odial assets) j anding tax de	orimarily c	consist of	liabilities to			
Leases	Other custodial liabiliti taxpayers for deposits p monies.	es (the offsett rending applic ty as of Septer	ting liability ation of the f nber 30, 200	funds to outst 0, is as follow	odial assets) j anding tax de vs:	orimarily c	consist of	liabilities to			
Leases	Other custodial liabiliti taxpayers for deposits p monies. The capital lease liabilit Main Frame	es (the offsett ending applic ty as of Septer Total	ting liability ation of the f nber 30, 200 9/30/01	funds to outst 0, is as follov 9/30/02	odial assets) j anding tax de vs: 9/30/03	orimarily c	consist of	liabilities to			
Leases	Other custodial liabilitie taxpayers for deposits p monies. The capital lease liabilit Main Frame Consolidation	es (the offsett lending applic ty as of Septer Total \$ 14	ting liability ation of the f nber 30, 200 9/30/01 \$ 13	funds to outst 0, is as follov 9/30/02 \$1	odial assets) j anding tax de vs: 9/30/03 \$ -	orimarily c	consist of	liabilities to			
Leases	Other custodial liabiliti taxpayers for deposits p monies. The capital lease liabilit Main Frame Consolidation Mail Sorters Copiers and Office	es (the offsett ending applic ty as of Septer Total \$ 14 10	ting liability ation of the f nber 30, 200 9/30/01 \$ 13 4	funds to outst 0, is as follow 9/30/02 \$ 1 4	odial assets) j anding tax de vs: 9/30/03 \$ -	orimarily c	consist of	liabilities to			
Leases	Other custodial liabiliti taxpayers for deposits p monies. The capital lease liabilit Main Frame Consolidation Mail Sorters Copiers and Office Equipment Total Lease	es (the offsett lending applic ty as of Septer Total \$ 14 10 <u>2</u>	ting liability ation of the f nber 30, 200 9/30/01 \$ 13 4 <u>1</u>	funds to outst 0, is as follow 9/30/02 \$ 1 4 1	odial assets) j anding tax de vs: 9/30/03 \$ - 2 	orimarily c	consist of	liabilities to			
Leases	Other custodial liabiliti taxpayers for deposits p monies. The capital lease liabilit Main Frame Consolidation Mail Sorters Copiers and Office Equipment Total Lease Obligations	es (the offsett lending applic ty as of Septer Total \$ 14 10 <u>2</u> <u>26</u>	ting liability ation of the f nber 30, 200 9/30/01 \$ 13 4 <u>1</u>	funds to outst 0, is as follow 9/30/02 \$ 1 4 1	odial assets) j anding tax de vs: 9/30/03 \$ - 2 	orimarily c	consist of	liabilities to			
Leases	Other custodial liabiliti taxpayers for deposits p monies. The capital lease liabilit Main Frame Consolidation Mail Sorters Copiers and Office Equipment Total Lease Obligations Less: Interest Present Value of	es (the offsett lending applic ty as of Septer Total \$ 14 10 <u>2</u> <u>26</u> (5)	ting liability ation of the f nber 30, 200 9/30/01 \$ 13 4 <u>1</u>	funds to outst 0, is as follow 9/30/02 \$ 1 4 1	odial assets) j anding tax de vs: 9/30/03 \$ - 2 	orimarily c	consist of	liabilities to			

	Internal Revenu Notes to the Financi For the Fiscal Year Ended	ial Statements
	leased under Lease-To-Ownership-Plans (LTOP). payments (for computers) and 48 monthly paymen	nt. Interest rates range from 9 to 10 percent. Capital lease
	items are leased under one-year contracts. In addit arrangements from the General Services Administr While many of these vehicles are used for several returned to the GSA when they are no longer needed	ration (GSA) based on the needs of the individual offices.
Note 10. Contingencies	and threatened legal matters that, in the opinion of losses are considered probable by Chief Counsel, payable from the Treasury Judgment Fund in account	an accrual for contingent losses of \$12 million for pending of Chief Counsel, are considered probable. No additional Of these amounts, certain settlements and awards may be ordance with 31 U.S.C. 1304. Of the \$12 million accrued m the Treasury Judgment Fund for settlements and awards
	The Service does not have contractual commit appropriations.	tments for payments on obligations related to canceled
Note 11. Net Position (In Millions)	Net position as of September 30, 2000, consists of Unexpended Appropriations:	the following:
	Unobligated balances Available Unavailable Undelivered orders	\$ 326 445 614
	Total Unexpended Appropriations	1,385
	Cumulative results of operations	518
	Total Net Position	\$ 1,903
	orders as of September 30, 2000. Available appropriation funds that can be obligated after Sep million in user fees that were not allocated to unobligated balances are expired appropriations r balances include \$305 million of the Information and \$94 million unavailable). In prior fiscal years for Information Technology Investments which	ce's unobligated appropriation balances and undelivered unobligated balances represent no-year and multi-year ptember 30, 2000. Available balances are shown net of \$20 appropriations as of September 30, 2000. Unavailable no longer available to incur new obligations. Unobligated in Technology Investment Account (\$211 million available s, the Service received appropriations totaling \$506 million can only be obligated pursuant to an expenditure plan 249 million, of which \$200 million has been obligated.
	- 16 -	

	Internal Revenue Servi Notes to the Financial State For the Fiscal Year Ended Septem	ments			
	During FY 2000, the Service performed a review of obliga that undelivered orders had been overstated by \$308 millio accrued expenses. Accordingly, the balance of net positio million, a decrease of \$63 million from the \$2,176 million ended September 30, 1999. Additionally, based on the rev undelivered orders as of September 30, 1999.	on. Of this \$308 million, n - beginning of period ha balance previously repor	\$63 million represented as been restated as \$2,113 ted for the fiscal year		
ŕ	Balances on the statement of budgetary resources for the fi by the restatement as follows:	scal year ended Septemb	er 30, 2000 are affected		
	Obligated balances, net – beginning of period on the staten \$1,240 million, a decrease of \$287 million from the \$1,52' year ended September 30, 1999. The decrease of \$287 mi decrease in budgetary obligations of \$42 million at Septem	7 million balance previou llion reflects the deobliga	sly reported for the fiscal		
	Unobligated balances – beginning of period on the stateme \$933 million, an increase of \$252 million from the \$681 m September 30, 1999. The increase of \$252 million reflects orders at September 30, 1999. It also reflects an increase i included in unobligated balances as of September 30, 1999	illion previously reported the deobligation of \$245 n user fees and other of \$	d for the fiscal year ended million in undelivered		
	Balances on the statement of changes in net position for th affected as follows:	e fiscal year ended Septe	mber 30, 2000 are		
	The decrease in unexpended appropriations reflects a decr appropriations of \$63 million from \$1,627 to \$1,564 at Sep		ance of unexpended		
Note 12. Non-entity Assets (In Millions)	Non-entity assets arise from the Service's custodial duty proper accounting for these activities in the books and September 30, 2000 consist of the following:				
(111 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11		Intra- Governmental	With the Public		
	Due from Treasury Federal taxes receivable, net of Allowance for doubtful accounts of \$59,000 Other custodial assets	\$ 1,040 - -	\$- 22,000 87		
	Due from Treasury represents tax refunds due to taxpayers	but not disbursed as of S	September 30, 2000.		
	Federal taxes receivable are transferred to Treasury up receivable has been recognized as an offsetting intragover receivable is described in more detail in Note 5.				
	Other custodial assets, also discussed in Note 4, primar pending application of the funds to unpaid tax assessments	ly relate to the deposits and seized monies.	received from taxpayers,		
	Internal Revenue Serv Notes to the Financial Stat For the Fiscal Year Ended Septer	ements			
--	--	---	--	--	--
Note 13. Liabilities Not	Liabilities not covered by budgetary resources as of Septe	ember 30, 2000, consist of t	he following:		
Covered by Budgetary		Intra- Governmental	With the Public		
Resources (In Millions)	Workers' compensation	\$ 82	\$ 440		
	Accrued annual leave	-	382		
	Contingencies Capital Lease Liability		12 21		
	Liabilities not covered by budgetary resources are lia authority and result from the receipt of goods and servi appropriations, revenues, or other financing sources nec available through Congressional appropriation. See Not and accrued annual leave, Note 9 for capital lease liability	ces, or the occurrence of e essary to pay the liabilities e 8 for further description o	ligible events, for which have not yet been made f workers' compensation		
Note 14. Comparison of Statement of Budgetary Resources and	Balances reported in the Statement of Budgetary Resourc Budget (Submission) are shown in the table below for ear Technology Investment Account. The table excludes oth Statement of Budgetary Resources and the Submission ar	ch of the major appropriatio er minor appropriations. D	ns and the Information ifferences between the		
Resources and Submission to President's Budget (In Millions)	n to There are significant differences in definitional requirements. For example, Treasury requires ex balances to be included in unobligated balances on the Statement of Budgetary Resources but ON requirements exclude expired balances from the unobligated balances on the Submission. Also, a				
	As discussed in Note 11, the Service restated beginnin Because the deadline for the Submission preceded the de restatement was not fully reflected in the Submission. made after the deadline are not reflected in the Submission	adline for the financial state Additionally, other financi	ements, the impact of the		
	Processing Assistance and Management:	Statement of Budgetary Resources FY 2000	Submission to President's Budget FY 2000 Actual		
	Ŭ Ŭ				
	Budgetary Resources Budgetary Authority	\$3,376	\$3,380		
	Unobligated Balances - Beginning of Period	143	13		
	Spending Authority from Offsetting Collections Adjustments	28 (22)	27 (32)		
	Total Budgetary Resources	3,525	3,388		
	Status of Budgetary Resources	2.257	2.240		
	Obligations Incurred Unobligated Balances – Available	3,357 20	3,360 20		
	Unobligated Balances – Unavailable	148	8		
	Total Status of Budgetary Resources	3,525	3,388		

For the Fiscal Year Ended Se	Service Statements ptember 30, 2000	
	Statement of Budgetary Resources FY 2000	Submission to President's Budget FY 2000 Actual
Tax Law Enforcement:		
Budgetary Resources Budgetary Authority Unobligated Balances – Beginning of Period	\$3,283 76	\$3,285
Spending Authority from Offsetting Collections Adjustments	61	62
Total Budgetary Resources	3,420	3,347
Status of Budgetary Resources Obligations Incurred Unobligated Balances – Available Unobligated Balances – Unavailable	3,330 90	3,342 5
Total Status of Budgetary Resources	3,420	3,347
Outlays	\$3,205	\$3,204
Information Systems:		
Budgetary Resources Budgetary Authority Unobligated Balances – Beginning of Period Spending Authority from Offsetting Collections Adjustments	\$1,502 209 12 9	\$1,502 22 9 71
Total Budgetary Resources	1,732	1,604
Status of Budgetary Resources Obligations Incurred Unobligated Balances – Available Unobligated Balances – Unavailable	1,545 90 <u>97</u>	1,544 53 7
Total Status of Budgetary Resources	1,732	1,604
Outlays	\$1,649	\$1,649

	Fo		Revenue Ser Financial Sta Ended Septe	tements)		
		T , , , ,		Stateme Budgetary I FY 20	Resources	Submission President's B FY 2000 Ac	udget
	Information Technology	Investment Ac	count:				
	Budgetary Resources Budgetary Authority Unobligated Balance Spending Authority f Adjustments	s – Beginning of			\$ - 481 -		\$ - 480 - -
	Total Budgetary Resource	es			481		480
	Status of Budgetary Reso Obligations Incurred Unobligated Balance Unobligated Balance Total Status of Budgetary	s – Available s – Unavailable			176 211 94 481	-	176 304
	Outlays				\$ 76	-	\$ 76
Revenue (In Billions)	Individual income, FICA/SECA, and other Corporate income Excise Estate and gift Railroad retirement Federal unemployment Total	Collections Received FY 2000 \$1,765 235 55 29 5 7 \$2,096 100%	2000 \$1,132* 156** 39 - 4 5 \$1,336 64%	Tax Y 1999 \$ 613 70 15 26 1 2 \$ 727 34%	fear 1998 \$ 12 1 - 1 - \$ 14	Prior years \$ 8 1 2 - - \$ 19 1% 1%	
	 * Includes other collecti ** Includes tax year 2001 			of \$7 billion.			
	Individual income, FICA agencies. Of this amount					lected from othe	r federal

Internal Revenue Service Notes to the Financial Statements For the Fiscal Year Ended September 30, 2000
Examination Identifies areas of civil noncompliance with tax laws and pursues compliance strategies by various means, such as taxpayer education, tax return examination (audits), and research. Does not include correspondence audits, as these costs are included in Telephone and Correspondence. In addition, it does not include TE/GE except for some ancillary activities. Exchange revenue consists mainly of reimbursable income, with the remainder coming from installment agreement and other user fees.
Collection Secures delinquent federal tax returns and resolves delinquent accounts in the field, as well as assists taxpayers at the field walk-in sites (including TE/GE). Does not include initial telephone and/or correspondence with taxpayers or telephone collection activity, which are reported under Telephone and Correspondence. Exchange revenue consists of reimbursable income and income from installment agreement user fees.
Tax Exempt/Government Entities Provides guidance to tax exempt and government entities and ensures compliance with applicable tax law. The following activities performed centrally for TE/GE are not included in this line item: Submission Processing, Telephone and Correspondence, Taxpayer Advocate Service, Document Matching, Criminal Investigation, Collection, Statistics of Income, and Chief Counsel. Examination activities related to TE/GE are included in this line item. Exchange revenue consists mainly of TE/GE user fees for letter rulings and determinations with the remainder coming from reimbursable income.
<u>Statistics of Income</u> Collects and publishes statistics based on information reported on Federal tax returns filed by taxpayers and conducts the Service's studies on the operations of the tax laws with respect to all taxpayer groups (including TE/GE). Exchange revenue consists of reimbursable income.
<u>Chief Counsel</u> Provides legal services to all organizations within the Service (including TE/GE), both tax and nontax related. Also provides legal interpretations for selected tax matters to the public upon request. Exchange revenue consists mainly of user fees for letter rulings and determinations, with the remainder coming from reimbursable income.
Exchange Revenues The preponderance of exchange revenues earned by the Service are derived from fees for (1) determinations of tax exempt status of employee plans and exempt organizations (TE/GE), (2) reimbursable agreements with other federal agencies for various goods and services, and (3) new and restructured installment agreements, under which taxpayers pay their tax liabilities over an agreed upon timeframe. The United States Code (U.S.C.) 31 Sections 1535 and 9701, and Public Law 100-203 provide the statutory basis for the fees the Service charges customers for providing these goods and services.
With respect to TE/GE, the statute requires that the Service charge a reasonable fee. For tax administration reasons, the Service has determined that some fees be charged at a level below cost. For reimbursable agreements, the statute requires recovery of the actual cost of the work performed. In the case of installment agreements, the statute requires the recovery of full cost. The Service follows OMB A25 in calculating full cost. The Service interprets actual cost as the incremental cost of providing goods and services. During fiscal year 2000, the fees that the Service charged for establishing and restructuring installment agreements were less than the full cost FOR tax administration reasons. The Service has been working with the Office of Management and Budget to modify the Installment Agreement fee structure.

Internal Revenue Service Notes to the Financial Statements For the Fiscal Year Ended September 30, 2000	
Overhead and Indirect Cost Allocation Methodology Overhead and indirect costs were as closely identified to the front line activities as possible, based on the relationship between the programs and their consumption of resources. Examples of these costs include Unemployment Compensation - assigned based on actual claim history, Pension – allocated based on labor dollars, Information Systems - assigned and/or allocated based on the consumption of resources by project, and Depreciation – directly assigned where feasible (such as vehicles, investigation equipment, and major systems) with the remainder allocated based on full-time equivalents (FTE). The cost of activities considered support in nature, including but not limited to, Rent, Utilities, Financial Operations, Human Resources, and Agency-Wide Shared Services, were allocated based on FTE mix.	
Budget Functional Classification All of the Service's gross costs and earned revenues are included in the President's budget in the budget functional classification of General Government.	
	· · · · · ·
	i
- 23 -	

Supplemental and Other Accompanying Information

	Internal Revenue Service Supplemental Information - Unaudited For the Fiscal Year Ended September 30, 2000
Other Claims for Refund	Management has estimated amounts that may be paid out as other claims for tax refunds. This estimate represents an amount (principal and interest) that may be paid for claims pending judicial review by the Federal courts or, internally, by Appeals. The total estimated payout (including principal and interest) for claims pending judicial review by the Federal courts is \$8.4 billion and by Appeals is \$13.5 billion. Although these refund claims have been deemed to be probable, they do not meet the criteria in SFFAS No. 5 for reporting the amounts in the balance sheet or for disclosure in the notes to the financial statements. However, they meet the criteria in SFFAS No. 7 for inclusion as supplemental information.
Federal Taxes Receivable, Net (In Billions)	In accordance with SFFAS No. 7, some unpaid assessments do not meet the criteria for financial statemen recognition as discussed in Note 1 to the financial statements. Although compliance assessments and write-offs are not considered receivables under federal accounting standards, they represent legally enforceable claims o the IRS - acting on behalf of the federal government. There is, however, a significant difference in the collection potential of these categories.
	The components of the total unpaid assessments and derivation of net federal taxes receivable at September 30 2000 were as follows:
	Total unpaid assessments \$ 240 Less: Compliance assessments (30) Write-offs (129)
	Gross Federal Taxes Receivable 81
	Less: Allowance for doubtful accounts (59)
	Federal Taxes Receivable, Net <u>\$ 22</u>
	The Service cannot reasonably estimate the amount of allowance for doubtful accounts pertaining to its compliance assessments, and thus cannot determine their net realizable value or the value of the pre-assessment work-in-process.
	To eliminate double-counting, the compliance assessments reported above exclude trust fund recovery penalties totaling \$14 billion, assessed against officers and directors of businesses who were involved in the nor remittance of federal taxes withheld from their employees. The related unpaid assessments of those businesses are reported as taxes receivable or write-offs, but the Service may also recover portions of those businesses' unpaid assessments from any and all individual officers and directors against whom a trust fund recovery penalty is assessed.
Earned Income Tax Credit	The Earned Income Tax Credit (EITC) was originally authorized by the Tax Reduction Act of 1975 (Public Law 94-12) and made permanent by the Revenue Act of 1978 (Public Law 95-600). The EITC is a special credit fo taxpayers who work and whose earnings fall below the established allowance ceiling. Qualified taxpayers car receive partial credit in advance in each paycheck. In fiscal year 2000, the Service issued \$26.1 billion in EITC refunds, of which \$81.0 million was applied to advance EITC. An additional \$5.1 billion of the EITC was applied to reduce taxpayer liability.

		Internal Reve Supplemental Inform For the Fiscal Year Endo	nation - Unaudited	000		•
Intra- Governmental Assets (In Millions)	Agency wit	nd Balance Due from th Treasury Treasury \$2,005 \$1,040	Net \$ 7 4	Advances to Government Agencies \$ 122 41		
Intra- Governmental Liabilities	Total	\$2,005 \$1,040 Due to Treasury	\$ 11 Accrued Expenses	\$ 163 Accrued Payroll and Benefits	Other Liabilities	
(In Millions)	Treasury General Services Adu Department of Labor Office of Pers. Mgmt Other Total			\$ - 41 <u>\$ 41</u>	\$ - 82 <u>5</u> <u>\$ 87</u>	:
· .						
						-
		- 25 -				

	Supplem	nternal Revenue Iental Informatio al Year Ended So	on - Unaudited	00		
Schedule of Budgetary Resources by Major Budget Accounts		Processing Assistance & Management	Tax Law Enforcement	Information Services	Information Technology Investment Account and Other	Total
(In Millions)	Budgetary Resources Budget authority Unobligated balances – beginning	\$3,376	\$3,283	\$1,502	\$158	\$8,319
	of period Spending authority from	143	76	209	505	933
	offsetting collections Adjustments	28 (22)	61	12 9	6 1	107 (12)
	Total Budgetary Resources	\$3,525	\$3,420	\$1,732	\$670	\$9,347
	Status of Budgetary Resources Obligations incurred Unobligated balances – available Unobligated balances –	\$3,357 20	\$3,330 -	\$1,545 90	\$324 236	\$8,556 346*
	unavailable	148	90	97	110	445
	Total Status of Budgetary Resources	\$3,525	\$3,420	\$1,732	\$670	\$9,347
	Outlays Obligations incurred Less: spending authority from offsetting collections and	\$3,357	\$3,330	\$1,545	\$324	\$8,556
	adjustments Obligated balances, net –	(49)	(74)	(50)	(7)	(180)
	beginning of period Less: obligated balances, net –	405	236	549	50	1,240
	end of period	(395)	(287)	(395)	(155)	(1,232)
	Total Outlays	\$3,318	\$3,205	\$1,649	\$212	\$8,384
	be obligated until an expenditure	plan is approved	by Congress.			

	Internal Revenue Service Other Accompanying Information - Unaudited For the Fiscal Year Ended September 30, 2000
Child Tax Credit	The child tax credit was originally authorized by the Taxpayer Relief Act of 1997 (Public Law 105-34). The child tax credit is a special credit for taxpayers who work, whose earnings fall below the established allowance ceiling, and who have a qualifying child. In fiscal year 2000, the Service issued \$809 million in child tax credit refunds. An additional \$19.2 billion of child tax credits were applied to reduce taxpayer liability.
Tax Gap	The tax gap is the aggregate amount of tax imposed by the tax laws for any given tax year that is not paid voluntarily and timely, excluding interest and penalties. Although there is a tax gap for each type of tax, the Service does not have current information upon which to base a reasonable estimate of their magnitude.
	The collection gap is the cumulative amount of assessed taxes, including penalties and interest, which the Service expects to remain uncollectible. In essence, it represents the difference between the total balance of unpaid assessments and the net taxes receivable reported on the Service's balance sheet. The tax gap and the collection gap are related and overlapping concepts. The collection gap includes all of the uncollectible taxes for a particular tax year of the tax gap, and uncollectible taxes from prior years.
Tax Burden and Tax Expenditures	The Internal Revenue Code provides for progressive rates of tax, whereby higher incomes are generally subject to higher rates of tax. The tables below present the latest available information on income tax and on related income, deductions, and credits for individuals by income level and for corporations by size of assets. The information illustrates the tax burden borne by different income and asset brackets. The tables are only representative of more detailed data and analysis available from the Statistics of Income (SOI) office.
	Total tax expenditures are the foregone federal revenue resulting from deductions and credits provided in the Internal Revenue Code. Since tax expenditures directly affect funds available for government operations, decisions to forego federal revenue are as important as decisions to spend federal revenue.
	The tax expenditures for deductions is calculated using the tax burden percentage of gross income (gross receipts for corporations) applied to the total deductions. Total credits against tax liability result directly in tax expenditure.

		Internal Reven companying Info scal Year Ende	ormation - Una			
		1 1		Statistics of Incon	, ,	
]	ndividual In	come Tax Re	turns (Tax Y	lear 1998 Dat	<u>a)</u>	
	Under \$15	Size of \$15 under	Adjusted Gros \$30 under	s Income (in tho \$50 under	usands) \$100 under	Greater than
		\$30	\$50	\$100	\$200	\$200
Total returns	40,185,658	30,017,032	23,108,693	23,107,805	6,266,258	2,085,210
Gross income	\$244,788,823	\$662,404,951	\$911,149,007	\$1,600,986,435	\$833,000,473	\$1,215,173,868
Adjusted gross income Tax	\$241,187,654 \$8,471,127	\$655,967,345 \$47,580,911	\$903,288,852 \$91,614,035	\$1,588,255,154 \$203,384,619	\$822,620,525 \$144,634,586	\$1,204,653,318 \$317,883,579
Tax burden % of gross income Average Tax per Return	3.46% \$211	7.18% \$1,585	10.05% \$3,964	12.70% \$8,802	17.36% \$23,081	26.16% \$152,447
Deductions on Taxable Inco	me					
Standard deduction Itemized deduction Medical & dental expense Interest paid Charitable contributions Other itemized deductions	\$175,571,449 15,391,190 5,590,224 5,335,423 1,093,881 3,371,662	\$143,733,533 47,110,977 8,585,210 19,063,831 5,593,808 13,868,128	\$87,531,106 99,777,139 6,988,038 44,851,655 12,033,861 35,903,585	\$47,449,414 243,286,611 7,816,523 107,708,681 31,976,948 95,784,459	\$4,023,816 135,012,186 2,262,398 54,494,001 19,611,686 58,644,101	\$1,148,056 135,882,236 741,911 40,170,721 38,929,894 56,039,710
Total Deductions	\$190,962,639	\$190,844,510	\$187,308,245	\$290,736,025	\$139,036,002	\$137,030,292
Total Expenditures - Deductions	\$6,607,307	\$13,702,636	\$18,824,479	\$36,923,475	\$24,136,650	\$35,847,124
Credits Against Tax Liabili	tv					
Child care credit	\$34,755	\$598,513	\$686,237	\$1,020,689	\$265,731	\$54,646
Credit for elderly and disabled Foreign tax credit	24,977 9,149	10,709 37,722	0 74,218		0 576,619	0 3,689,824
EITC, offset tax liability Other Credits	158,252 458,364	2,073,595 4,038,432	178 5,714,847	0 8,177,382	0 861,275	0 1,200,328
Total Credits	\$685,497	\$6,758,971	\$6,475,480	\$9,487,562	\$1,703,625	\$4,944,798
Total Expenditures – Individual	\$7,292,804	\$20,461,607	\$25,299,959	\$46,411,037	\$25,840,275	\$40,791,922

Greater than \$250,000 9,017 \$9,349,357,603 \$550,484,908 \$143,519,836
\$250,000 9,017 \$9,349,357,603 \$550,484,908
\$250,000 9,017 \$9,349,357,603 \$550,484,908
\$250,000 9,017 \$9,349,357,603 \$550,484,908
\$9,349,357,603 \$550,484,908
\$550,484,908
\$143,519,836
1.54%
\$15,916,584
\$33,335,518
175,965,936
51,957
\$8,636,275,760
\$132,998,647
\$40,960,318
1,998,729
1,041,627
4,494,528
3,501,334
\$51,996,536
\$184,995,183
\$5

I

Material Weaknesses and Management Challenges	During our audit of IRS' fiscal year 2000 financial statements, we identified six material weaknesses in internal controls. Some of these material weaknesses and their related management challenges have allowed inappropriate refunds to be paid, reduced IRS' effectiveness in enforcing the tax code, and resulted in errors in taxpayer accounts and increased taxpayer burden. The issues that we have identified and discuss in this report relate to IRS' controls over (1) the financial reporting process, (2) management of unpaid assessments, (3) refunds, (4) property and equipment, (5) budgetary activities, and (6) computer security. We reported on each of these issues last year. ¹ We highlight these issues in the following sections and plan to provide more details on them, as well as recommendations for corrective actions, in a subsequent report. Less significant matters involving IRS' system of internal controls and its operation will be separately reported in a management letter to IRS. In our previous report on the results of our audit of IRS' fiscal year 1999 financial statements, we reported on a material weakness with respect to IRS' failure to routinely reconcile its fund balance with Treasury. During fiscal year 2000, IRS implemented monthly reconciliation procedures for its fund balance with Treasury that were operating effectively during fiscal year 2000. Accordingly, we no longer consider this to be a material weakness.
Financial Reporting	In fiscal year 2000, as in prior years, IRS did not have adequate internal controls over its financial reporting process. IRS was unable to routinely, reliably, and timely generate the information needed to prepare its financial statements and manage operations on an ongoing basis. IRS does not (1) have an adequate general ledger system for financial reporting and management purposes, (2) record material transactions in its general ledger system in a timely manner, and (3) have adequate detailed supporting records for several material accounts. To compensate for these weaknesses, IRS depended on extensive, labor-intensive ad hoc procedures to enable it to report reliable balances in its financial statements.

¹Financial Audit: IRS' Fiscal Year 1999 Financial Statements (GAO/AIMD-00-76, February 29, 2000).

IRS' general ledger system (1) comprises two independent general ledgers that are not integrated with each other nor with their supporting records for material balances,² (2) is not current or accurate, and (3) is not supported by adequate audit trails for property and equipment, federal tax revenue, federal tax refunds, taxes receivable, or budgetary activity. In addition, IRS' general ledger for its custodial activities does not use the standard federal accounting classification structure. Because of these deficiencies, IRS' general ledger system does not conform with the U.S. *Government Standard General Ledger* (SGL) as required by the Core Financial System Requirements of the Joint Financial Management Improvement Program³ or the requirements of FFMIA. Consequently, IRS continued to be unable to rely on its general ledger system to fully support its financial statements.

IRS often does not record material transactions in its general ledger system until months after they occur. As a result, material balances in the general ledger systems cannot be used by managers as a reliable source of current financial data at interim periods. Consequently, for IRS to use its general ledger system for financial reporting or other management purposes, IRS must first supplement it with extensive analysis and material adjustments to recognize transactions that have not yet been recorded. This approach is costly, labor-intensive, and typically requires several months to complete. For fiscal year 2000, this process was not completed until February 2001, and required billions of dollars in adjustments to recognize fiscal year 2000 transactions that were not yet recorded in the general ledger. This approach is also prone to errors that are not always caught and corrected by management. For fiscal year 2000, substantial additional audit adjustments were necessary to prevent the resultant financial statements from being materially misstated. As a result of these problems, IRS cannot produce reliable financial statements or financial performance information throughout the year as a management tool, as is standard practice in private industry and some federal entities.

²IRS' two independent general ledgers support its administrative and custodial operations.

³The Joint Financial Management Improvement Program (JFMIP) is a cooperative undertaking of the Office of Management and Budget, the Department of the Treasury, the Office of Personnel Management, and GAO working in cooperation with each other and with operating agencies to improve financial management practices.

	We previously reported that IRS commingled customer service and compliance costs on its statement of net costs. ⁴ To address this issue, IRS revised the format of its statement of net cost and significantly expanded and enhanced the related disclosures in fiscal year 2000. The resulting presentation appropriately classified the cost of IRS' programs.
Management of Unpaid Tax Assessments	During fiscal year 2000, we found that serious internal control issues and other management challenges continued to affect IRS' management of unpaid assessments. IRS was able to report amounts for taxes receivable and other unpaid assessments ⁵ in its financial statements and supplemental information that were fairly stated in all material respects, using statistical sampling techniques and estimation procedures. However, the lack of an effective subsidiary ledger; errors and delays in recording taxpayer information, payments, and other activities; and the inability to actively pursue significant amounts in outstanding taxes owed to the federal government continue to hinder IRS' ability to effectively manage unpaid assessments.

⁴ GAO/AIMD-00-76, February 29, 2000.

⁵Unpaid assessments consist of (1) taxes due from taxpayers for which IRS can support the existence of a receivable through taxpayer agreement or a favorable court ruling (federal taxes receivable), (2) compliance assessments where neither the taxpayer nor the court has affirmed that the amounts are owed, and (3) write-offs, which represent unpaid assessments for which IRS does not expect further collections due to factors such as the taxpayer's death, bankruptcy, or insolvency. Of these three classifications of unpaid assessments, only federal taxes receivable are reported on the principal financial statements. As of September 30, 2000, IRS reported \$22 billion (net of an allowance for doubtful accounts of \$59 billion), \$30 billion, and \$129 billion in these three categories, respectively.

IRS continues to lack a detailed listing, or subsidiary ledger, that tracks and accumulates unpaid assessments and their status on an ongoing basis. The lack of such a subsidiary ledger renders IRS unable to promptly identify and focus collection efforts on accounts most likely to prove collectible⁶ and impedes its ability to prevent or detect and correct errors in taxpayers' accounts. As in prior years,⁷ IRS' records contained errors and there were significant delays in IRS recording activity in taxpayer accounts. While these conditions in isolation may not rise to the level of material weakness, collectively they are indications of serious internal control deficiencies. These conditions continued to result in instances of unnecessary taxpayer burden and lost opportunities to collect outstanding taxes owed.

During our testing of statistical samples of 508^8 unpaid tax assessment cases as part of our fiscal year 2000 audit, we found the following:

- Significant delays—of up to 12 years—in recording payments made by taxpayers to related taxpayer accounts. We also found payments that were not recorded at all in related taxpayer accounts. Some of these delayed or unrecorded payments were made in the late 1980s.
- Delays in updating information in taxpayer accounts. In some instances, because IRS delayed entering taxpayer information such as bankruptcy status or codes to prevent the release of refunds, it lost opportunities to offset refunds owed to taxpayers for subsequent tax periods against their outstanding tax liabilities. In two cases, IRS' failure to input or reverse information resulted in refunds that should not have been issued because the taxpayer had other outstanding tax liabilities. In each of these cases, the inappropriate refund was for more than \$4,000.
- Other errors in taxpayer's accounts. We found at least eight cases in our sample in which errors other than those noted above existed in taxpayer accounts. In some cases, the errors directly affected the taxpayer. In one

⁷GAO/AIMD-00-76, February 29, 2000; and *Financial Audit: IRS' Fiscal Year 1998 Financial Statements* (GAO/AIMD-99-75, March 1, 1999).

⁸These statistical samples were selected primarily to substantiate, and in some cases derive, balances and activity reported on IRS' financial statements. Consequently, dollar errors or amounts can and have been statistically projected to the population of transactions from which they were selected.

⁶It should be noted that although certain taxpayer accounts have little likelihood of collection, IRS would generally continue some collection efforts to reinforce continued compliance by taxpayers who appropriately report and pay their tax obligations and to increase compliance by taxpayers who are delinquent in reporting and paying their tax obligations.

case, we found that a deceased individual's estate sent a payment of \$68 million to IRS in January 1999, but IRS recorded the payment to the wrong taxpayer account. The taxpayer's estate was actually owed a refund of almost \$7 million. This input error was not corrected until almost 2 years later. Consequently, IRS did not pay the refund to the estate for nearly 2 years after it was owed.

We have found and reported on these issues in previous audits.⁹ IRS has acknowledged the seriousness of these issues and continues to take remedial steps to correct them, but has noted in the past and continues to note that the ultimate solution to many of these issues is modernization of its systems. As in prior years, the unpaid assessment accounts in which we found significant delays in recording payments primarily were those representing unpaid payroll taxes, where separate accounts are established and assessments recorded for a related tax liability.¹⁰ IRS' current systems cannot automatically link each of the multiple assessments made for the one tax liability. Consequently, if the business or an officer pays some or all of the outstanding taxes, IRS' systems are unable to automatically reflect the payment as a reduction in the related account or accounts. In 29 of 68 (43 percent) unpaid payroll tax cases we reviewed involving multiple assessments, we found that payments were not accurately recorded to reflect each responsible party's reduction in tax liability. This is approximately the same rate of occurrence that we identified during our 1999 audit (45 percent). IRS has attempted to compensate for the lack of an automated link between related accounts by manually inputting a code in each account that cross-references it to other related accounts. However, in the 29 cases mentioned above, 28 (96 percent) had cross-references, yet the payments still were not accurately recorded.

⁹GAO/AIMD-00-76, February 29, 2000; GAO/AIMD-99-75, March 1, 1999.

¹⁰When a company does not pay the taxes that have been withheld from employees' wages, such as Social Security or individual income tax withholdings, IRS has the authority to assess the responsible officers individually for the taxes withheld from employees. Thus, IRS may record the assessments against each of several individuals for the employee-withholding component of the payroll tax liability of a given business in an effort to collect the total tax liability of the business. While the assessments made against business officers—known as trust fund recovery penalties—are a necessary enforcement tool, IRS should only collect the unpaid tax once.

As with any large agency, IRS is confronted by the ongoing management challenge of allocating its limited resources among competing priorities. As we reported previously, IRS does not have the management data necessary to prepare reliable cost-benefit analyses to ensure that its resource allocation decisions are appropriate. Consequently, IRS is hindered in its ability to determine whether it is devoting an appropriate level of resources to collecting unpaid taxes relative to the costs and potential benefits involved. During fiscal year 2000, we found potential additional collection opportunities that IRS was not pursuing, according to IRS, due to resource constraints. We continued to identify instances in which IRS was not actively pursuing accounts that appeared to have some collection potential. IRS officially stopped collection efforts on some of these cases¹¹ while other cases remained unworked although collection efforts were not officially stopped. In our review of one of our statistical samples of unpaid tax assessments consisting of 474 cases, we found (1) 9 cases in which IRS officially stopped collection activity due to resource constraints and (2) several other cases for which information in the case files we reviewed indicated some collection potential but on which IRS had not taken collection action. For example, in one case, a taxpayer owed over \$23,000 in taxes, and despite this taxpayer's annual income in subsequent years of over \$110,000, IRS was not actively pursuing collection. There is a point at which pursuing collection on a case ceases to be cost effective, and clearly many cases in our sample provided little or no hope of future collections. IRS' challenge is to determine the appropriate level of resources needed to fulfill its mission and the most appropriate utilization of its existing resources. Without sound management data in which to make these decisions, IRS is hindered in its ability to justify its resource utilization decisions, which could result in billions of dollars in outstanding amounts going uncollected and could affect future compliance.

In fiscal year 2000, we noted substantial improvement in IRS' ability to locate and provide adequate supporting documentation for unpaid assessments. The cases we reviewed in fiscal year 2000 generally contained sufficient detailed information to determine the appropriate classification

¹¹These accounts had been designated by IRS as "currently not collectible." Until recently, the designation "currently not collectible" was typically used for cases in which the taxpayer was experiencing financial difficulties or other hardships that made collection highly unlikely. However, during fiscal year 1999, IRS modified the criteria under which unpaid assessment cases could be designated as currently not collectible in response to an increasing inventory workload and its judgment that resource constraints would not permit the agency to actively pursue the cases.

	of the unpaid assessment and to provide a basis for estimating collectibility for cases determined to be taxes receivable. We identified only one case this year in which IRS' inability to provide documentation resulted in the need to reclassify the case.
Controls Over Refunds	As we have reported in previous audits, weaknesses in IRS' controls over refund disbursements and other management challenges expose the federal government to material losses due to disbursing improper refunds. ¹² During fiscal year 2000, IRS disbursed tax refunds totaling about \$194 billion. IRS has implemented various controls, such as electronic screening, that prevent thousands of improper refunds from being disbursed each year. However, time constraints, ¹³ high volume, and reliance on information supplied by taxpayers affected the options available to IRS in its efforts to prevent improper refunds from being disbursed. Consequently, IRS relies extensively on postrefund (detective) controls, such as automated matching of returns with third-party data such as W-2s (wage and tax statements), to identify for collection improper refunds that have been disbursed. However, each year, IRS does not apply these controls to millions of tax returns it estimates to have billions of dollars of underreported tax liabilities. Consequently they cannot be considered effective detective controls. Inevitably, IRS must balance the cost of all its refund controls against the benefits to be realized through their use. However, as we previously reported, ¹⁴ IRS' financial management systems do not provide the reliable information needed to support such decisions.

¹²An improper refund is defined as any refund of tax payments from IRS to which the taxpayer is not entitled. The taxpayer may or may not have made an intentional misstatement on his or her return.

 $^{^{\}rm 13}{\rm By}$ statute, IRS must pay interest on refunds not paid within 45 days of receipt or due date, whichever is later (26 U.S.C. 6611).

¹⁴Internal Revenue Service: Recommendations to Improve Financial and Operational Management (GAO-01-42, November 17, 2000).

IRS does not always review Earned Income Tax Credit (EITC) claims in time to identify invalid claims before issuing refunds. Historically, EITCs have been vulnerable to high rates of invalid claims,¹⁵ and because most EITCs result in refunds,¹⁶ the risk of disbursing improper refunds is significantly increased. In an effort to minimize this risk, IRS, relying on past experience, screens tax returns claiming EITCs to identify (for detailed examination) those considered most likely to be invalid. During fiscal year 2000, IRS examiners completed the detail examinations of about 257,000 tax returns claiming approximately \$587 million in EITC and found that about 173.000 of these tax returns claiming \$395 million in EITC (67 percent) were invalid. When performed before refunds are disbursed, these examinations are an important control to prevent disbursement of improper refunds. However, because IRS often performs them after any related refunds are disbursed, they are not an effective preventive control overall.¹⁷ The full magnitude of refunds resulting from invalid EITCs is unknown. However, in September 2000, IRS estimated that in tax year 1997, taxpayers filed about \$9.3 billion in invalid EITCs,¹⁸ of which \$1.5 billion (16 percent) either was recovered or was expected to be recovered through compliance efforts. The dollar amount of improper refunds disbursed related to these EITCs is unknown. However, based on the refund rate of about 78 percent of EITC in tax year 1997, IRS may have disbursed approximately \$7.3 billion in improper refunds for EITC in tax year 1997, about \$6.1 billion (84 percent) of which may never be recovered. IRS began implementing a 5-year initiative in fiscal year 1998 to address noncompliance problems with EITCs.

To compensate for the inherent limitations of its preventive controls, IRS performs automated matches between tax returns and related third-party data to identify underreported taxes, improper refunds, and other errors. However, these programs are not run until months after the returns have

¹⁵High-Risk Series: An Update (GAO-01-263, January 2001); Major Management Challenges and Program Risks: Department of the Treasury (GAO-01-254, January 2001); and GAO/AIMD 00-76, February 29, 2000.

¹⁶During fiscal year 2000, IRS processed about \$31 billion in EITCs. Of this amount, about \$26 billion (84 percent) was refunded, and the rest reduced tax assessments.

¹⁷IRS estimated that of the 573,000 EITC tax returns examined in fiscal year 1999, approximately 172,000 (30 percent) were conducted after the refund had been disbursed (GAO/AIMD-00-76, February 29, 2000).

¹⁸Compliance Estimates for Earned Income Tax Credit Claimed on 1997 Returns (Internal Revenue Service, September 2000).

been filed. As a result, they are used too late to prevent improper refunds from being disbursed. There are factors that affect IRS' ability to accelerate the timing of its automated matches, such as the limitations of its current automated systems and the timing of filing requirements for preparers of third-party documents, which are beyond IRS' control. In response to a previous GAO recommendation, IRS indicated that it has incorporated in its systems modernization blueprint the capability to perform automated matching against available data before issuing refunds. However, implementing this feature is still years in the future.

As noted above, IRS does not have the management data necessary to prepare reliable cost-benefit analyses to ensure that its resource allocation decisions are appropriate. Consequently, IRS is hindered in its ability to determine the appropriate level of resources to devote to following up on the underreported taxes and improper refunds identified by its automated matching programs. However, the results of IRS efforts to follow up on the findings of its automated matches in recent years suggests that a substantial amount of additional revenue might be realized if additional resources were devoted to these efforts.¹⁹ For example, for tax year 1998, IRS' screening program for individuals identified 14.1 million individual tax returns that had potential underreported taxes totaling at least \$15.4 billion. IRS investigated about 2.5 million (18 percent) of these cases accounting for about \$6.5 billion (42 percent) of the total potential underreported taxes. Also, IRS did not investigate any of the 636,000 discrepancies its matching program found for employment tax returns filed by employers during tax year 1998. According to IRS, resource constraints prevented it from investigating more of these discrepancies. However, because of the lack of management information discussed above, IRS cannot determine whether it would be cost beneficial to allocate more resources to its automated matching programs and related follow-up.

As previously discussed, we also continued to find instances in which inappropriate refunds were issued as a result of delays in recording information in taxpayers' accounts. IRS also continued to be vulnerable to issuing duplicate refunds allowed by gaps in its internal controls. IRS' manual and automated systems are not properly coordinated to prevent identical refunds from being processed through both systems, and controls designed to compensate for this are not always effective. All the above-

¹⁹GAO-01-42, November 17, 2000.

	mentioned conditions expose the government to potentially significant losses due to inappropriate refund disbursements.
Property and Equipment	During fiscal year 2000, IRS continued to work to compensate for serious deficiencies in systems and controls over its property and equipment (P&E). Specifically, IRS worked hard to improve the reliability of its P&E inventory records by conducting physical inventories at its headquarters and its field offices, and used the results of these physical inventories to update its P&E inventory records. Also, IRS worked to sustain a reliable balance for P&E on its fiscal year 2000 financial statements by engaging the services of a contractor to extract and compile P&E transaction data for year-end financial reporting. IRS' efforts resulted in it being able to report a balance for P&E on its financial statements at September 30, 2000, that was fairly stated in all material respects. Nonetheless, serious weaknesses in its P&E systems and controls continue to prevent it from having P&E information available on an ongoing basis for management purposes and from having reasonable assurance that its assets are properly safeguarded and used only in accordance with management policy. IRS has reported a material weakness in its controls over P&E in its assurance statement to Treasury under 31 U.S.C. §3512 (c), (d) (Federal Managers' Financial Integrity Act (FIA)) every year since 1983.
	In prior years, IRS' procedures were not effective in ensuring that acquisitions, disposals, and transfers were promptly and accurately recorded in its P&E inventory records. As a result, IRS' P&E records were not adequate to maintain accountability over its property. While we noted progress in IRS' efforts to improve the timeliness and accuracy of recording P&E activity, we nonetheless continued to find a significant number of errors in IRS' property records. Specifically, IRS was unable to locate 35 of 220 sample items (16 percent) selected from P&E records, including computers, monitors, printers, and computer software. IRS later determined that 23 of these 35 items had been disposed of months earlier, but P&E records had not been updated to reflect the disposal. In addition, we found that 14 of 219 sample items (6 percent) selected at 22 sites we visited could not be traced to IRS' P&E records. At 18 of the 22 IRS buildings we visited, we found inaccurate P&E records consisting of either items not at the site as recorded or items at the site but not on the records. Accurate records are essential for maintaining control over P&E to ensure that assets are properly accounted for and safeguarded.

	As we have previously reported, IRS does not have an integrated property management system that appropriately records P&E additions and disposals as they occur and links cost recorded in the accounting records to property records. Instead, IRS expenses property purchases during the year, and then records adjustments at year-end to remove property purchases from expenses and capitalize them as P&E based on analyses of expense records. For its September 30, 1999, P&E balance, IRS recorded a balance based primarily on a statistical estimation process developed and implemented by a contractor. ²⁰ While the effort resulted in a reasonable estimate of the September 30, 1999, P&E balance, it did nothing to address the fundamental deficiencies in IRS' accounting and property management systems. Consequently, for fiscal year 2000, IRS hired a contractor to develop and implement an interim process to enable IRS to continue to report a reliable P&E balance in its financial statements until it has an integrated accounting and property system. ²¹
	Although we determined through detailed tests of transactions and analyses of the contractor's work that IRS' reported September 30, 2000, P&E balance was fairly stated, longstanding weaknesses in IRS' property and accounting systems continue to affect IRS' ability to account for its property and report a reliable P&E balance. In addition, these weaknesses result in a recurring annual expense of hiring a contractor to develop a P&E balance at fiscal year-end.
Budgetary Controls	During fiscal year 2000, IRS devoted substantial effort to addressing the budgetary control weaknesses that we reported in fiscal year 1999. ²² For example, during the fiscal year, IRS significantly reduced the number of employees with authority to override automated spending controls. Also, IRS substantially reduced the dollar amount and duration of transactions held in suspense. Finally, IRS issued numerous policy memoranda and implemented procedures to deobligate funds no longer required for a specific purpose. However, IRS' internal controls continued to be inadequate in providing reasonable assurance that its budgetary resources
	²⁰ GAO/AIMD-00-76, February 29, 2000.
	²¹ IRS plans to acquire and install, in October 2003, an integrated financial system that will

²¹IRS plans to acquire and install, in October 2003, an integrated financial system that will include recording P&E as assets when purchased and generating detailed records for P&E that reconcile to the financial records.

 $^{22}\mathrm{GAO}/\mathrm{AIMD}\text{-}00\text{-}76,$ February 29, 2000.

were routinely accounted for, reported, and controlled. These weaknesses significantly affect the reliability of key budgetary information IRS needs on an ongoing basis to effectively manage its operations and ensure that its resources do not exceed budgetary authority.

We found that undelivered orders²³ were not always reduced to reflect goods and services received or were understated due to inappropriate deobligating of funds. For example, in our testing of statistical samples of both the beginning and ending balances of undelivered orders, we found error rates of 32 and 25 percent, respectively, for the transactions tested. These errors were primarily due to goods and services having been received but not deducted from undelivered orders. For example, in testing one fiscal year 2000 undelivered order totaling \$7.9 million for computer equipment, we found that approximately \$3.4 million of the equipment had been delivered but had not been removed from the undelivered orders balance. We also found instances in which IRS incurred costs before the obligations were recorded in the accounting system. In one case tested in our sample of beginning year undelivered orders, an obligation for over \$9 million for software and maintenance was not recorded in the accounting system until September 30, 1999, although the software was received and maintenance commenced by the end of July 1999. Incurring costs without timely recording obligations in the accounting system creates the risk that IRS personnel could rely on an overstatement of available budget authority to enter into additional obligations for which there is inadequate budget authority to cover. We also found that IRS' efforts to address the need to deobligate amounts no longer needed resulted in instances in which the amounts were prematurely deobligated. In testing a separate statistical sample of deobligations, we found that in 17 percent of the cases, IRS later had to reobligate funds in whole or in part. These errors resulted in misstatements of IRS' beginning and ending undelivered orders balances and its records of outstanding obligations.

Also, we found significant errors in IRS' accrued expenses recorded at the end of fiscal year 1999, which resulted in misstating the beginning balance of undelivered orders for fiscal year 2000. In testing a statistical sample of these accruals, we identified errors in 36 percent of the sample cases. For example, IRS allocated \$209,500 of the total invoice cost of \$260,130 related to an information systems service contract to fiscal year 1999, and the

²³Undelivered orders represent the value of goods and services ordered that have been obligated but have not been received.

	remaining \$50,630 to fiscal year 2000. However, based on the terms of the service contract, we determined that only \$1,724 of the invoice cost related to services for fiscal year 1999, while the remaining \$258,406 related to services to be rendered in fiscal year 2000. This resulted in an overstatement of the expense for fiscal year 1999 and an understatement of the beginning undelivered order balance for fiscal year 2000. We identified errors throughout IRS' \$121 million of accruals that resulted in both understatements and overstatements of the beginning undelivered orders balance.
	We also found that, during fiscal year 2000, IRS recorded incorrect activity as adjustments to obligations. ²⁴ IRS recorded a total of \$277 million in adjustments to obligations in fiscal year 2000. However, in reviewing statistical samples of these adjustments, we found that 61 percent of the items we reviewed were not valid adjustments and, in fact, many were simply changes in internal accounting codes. For example, in one case we reviewed, IRS recorded a change in an internal accounting code as a new \$15 million obligation and erroneously adjusted the original \$15 million obligation downward, thereby misstating its reported level of adjustments to obligations. While adjustments were made to correct the amounts recorded in the financial statements, errors such as these affect the accuracy and reliability of routine information on both total budgetary resources and obligations.
Computer Security	IRS has corrected a significant number of the computer security weaknesses identified in our previous reports and is implementing a servicewide computer security planning and management program that should, when fully implemented, help IRS effectively manage its computer security risks. However, IRS has not yet fully implemented its security program across the service.
	Much remains to be done to resolve the significant control weaknesses that continue to exist within the IRS computing environment. IRS places extensive reliance on computer information systems to perform basic functions such as processing tax returns and payments, maintaining sensitive taxpayer data, calculating interest and penalties, and generating refunds. These computer control weaknesses could impair IRS' ability to
	²⁴ An adjustment to an obligation is recorded when the dollar amount previously recorded is affected by a subsequent event, such as a change in the price of goods or services.

GAO-01-394 IRS' Fiscal Year 2000 Financial Statements

perform these vital functions and increase the risk of the unauthorized disclosure, modification, or destruction of taxpayer data.

We found that IRS continued to have serious weaknesses with general controls designed to protect computing resources such as networks, computer equipment, software programs, data, and facilities from unauthorized use, modification, loss, and disclosure. IRS did not always

- effectively implement physical controls to prevent or detect individuals from gaining unauthorized access to its data processing facilities,
- adequately restrict logical access to its computer networks and systems,
- appropriately segregate system administration and security administration responsibilities,
- optimally configure system software to ensure the security and integrity of system programs, files, and data,
- sufficiently plan or test the activities required to restore critical business systems when unexpected events occur, and
- adequately monitor key networks and systems to identify unauthorized activities and inappropriate system configurations.

In addition, internal controls over IRS' key computer applications that IRS personnel used to process tax returns, research and adjust taxpayer records, generate notices, and detect and investigate fraudulent tax returns do not provide adequate assurance that only authorized personnel have access to the application and related taxpayer data.

These weaknesses increased the risk that data processed by IRS' computer systems were not reliable and were vulnerable to unauthorized disclosure. For example, IRS did not promptly revoke the access privileges of separated employees to a key system used to view and adjust taxpayer records. Weak physical security at one of IRS' data processing facilities could have allowed separated employees to enter the facility without challenge, gain physical access to the system's terminals, and use their unrevoked system access privileges to make unauthorized changes to taxpayer records. If IRS does not adequately mitigate these weaknesses, unauthorized individuals could gain access to critical hardware and software where they may intentionally or inadvertently add, alter, or delete sensitive data or computer programs. Such individuals could also obtain personal taxpayer information and use it to commit financial crimes in the taxpayer's name (identity fraud), such as fraudulently establishing credit and running up debts.

Reportable Conditions	In addition to the material weaknesses and management challenges discussed above, we identified two reportable conditions. These conditions concern weaknesses in IRS' (1) internal controls over manually processed tax receipts and taxpayer information and (2) revenue reporting and distribution process. We reported on both issues in prior years. ²⁵
Manual Tax Receipts and Taxpayer Information	IRS has acted to address some of its control deficiencies related to safeguarding cash, checks, and related hardcopy taxpayer data it manually receives from taxpayers. For example, IRS has significantly reduced the average amount of time it takes to obtain the results of employee applicant fingerprint checks, now requires the use of two bonded or insured couriers to transport service center deposits, and has limited courier access within service center premises. However, weaknesses that we have reported in prior years continue to exist. To address these issues, IRS issued new policies and procedures regarding handling of taxpayer receipts and data at sites that collect and process tax revenue. However, we found that some of these sites were not aware of the new policies, other sites did not adhere to them, and some sites were not covered by the new policies and procedures because they were not contractually bound to IRS.
	We previously reported that IRS was hiring individuals and allowing them access to cash, checks, and other taxpayer data before it received satisfactory results of their fingerprint checks. ²⁶ In response, IRS issued a new policy in April 2000 prohibiting the hiring of applicants in any IRS office until fingerprint checks were completed. However, we found that throughout the rest of the fiscal year, some new employees began working before the hiring office received the results of fingerprint checks. Because most of IRS' hiring occurs in the several months leading up to the April peak processing period, the policy was not yet in place to affect most of its fiscal year 2000 hiring. However, we found that 83 of the 2,526 staff hired from the time the policy was issued through September 30, 2000, began working before IRS received the results of their fingerprint checks.

²⁵GAO/AIMD-00-76, February 29, 2000; GAO/AIMD-99-75, March 1, 1999.

²⁶GAO/AIMD-00-76, February 29, 2000.

Appendix I
Material Weaknesses and Management
Challenges, Reportable Conditions, and
Compliance Issues

	most sites we visited. The new policy's requirements include that the courier services use two bonded or insured couriers, that all courier service employees with IRS access pass a limited background investigation and have the courier company be insured for \$1 million.
	We did continue to find other weaknesses in controls over taxpayer receipts and taxpayer data that have not yet been adequately addressed. For example, we continued to find field office receipts and discovered remittances stored in open, unlocked containers, contrary to IRS policy. Also, we noted that certain problem areas have been addressed at service centers but not at other field offices that handle taxpayer receipts. For example, although IRS installed lockers at service centers for employees that handle receipts to store their personal belongings, we continued to find personal belongings such as handbags stored in receipt processing areas at several field offices.
	These inconsistencies by type of location are further illustrated by weaknesses found at commercial lockbox banks that process tax receipts on behalf of IRS. Since these lockbox banks operate under contract with Treasury's Financial Management Service (FMS), many of IRS policies are not applicable to their operations. For example, under their contract with FMS, the lockbox banks are not required to follow IRS' policy prohibiting the hiring of any new employee before the results of fingerprint checks are received and reviewed. Consequently, at the lockbox banks we visited, we found that fingerprint checks were not required for either permanent or temporary employees. Similarly, IRS' new courier policy that applies to all IRS locations has not been extended to the lockbox banks.
	These weaknesses increase IRS' vulnerability to theft or loss and expose taxpayers to increased risk of losses from financial crimes committed by individuals who inappropriately gain access to confidential information entrusted to IRS. Although we do not consider these to be material weaknesses for financial reporting purposes, it is important that IRS continue efforts to address these matters because they are critical to IRS' successfully meeting its customer service goals.
Revenue Reporting and Distribution	IRS continues to be unable to determine the specific amount of revenue it actually collects for three of the federal government's four largest revenue sources—Social Security, Hospital Insurance, and individual income taxes. In addition, IRS continues to be unable to determine, at the time payments are received, collections for the Highway Trust Fund or other trust funds

that receive excise tax receipts. This is primarily because the accounting information needed to validate the taxpayer's liability and record the payment to the proper trust fund is provided on the tax return, which is received months after the payment is submitted. Further, the information on the return pertains only to the amount of the tax liability, not to the distribution of the amount previously collected. As a result, IRS cannot report the specific amount of revenue it actually collected for these large revenue sources without first requiring taxpayers to submit the needed information at the time of payment. IRS believes that imposing such a requirement could create an additional burden to taxpayers.

Because collection data are not available to allocate excise taxes to the appropriate trust funds when deposits are made, Treasury's Office of Tax Analysis uses economic models to estimate the initial distribution of excise tax receipts. Six months later, to prepare adjustments to the initial distribution, IRS certifies the amounts that should have been distributed to the excise-tax-related trust funds using its records of payments received and the subsequently provided tax returns. This certification process is complex, cumbersome, and error-prone. Because of continued weaknesses in fundamental internal controls, such as inadequate reviews, undetected errors in the certification process occurred that directly affected the distribution of revenue to the trust funds. Although IRS had implemented additional review procedures over its certification process in response to our previous reports, these reviews have not been fully effective. For example, IRS' failure to follow required review procedures at the service center resulted in unsubstantiated credits or reductions made to the tax liability of taxpayers who had not submitted required documentation with their return.

	Also, we continued to find delays in posting tax returns that resulted in IRS omitting collections from certifications for a given quarter. For example, the amount IRS certified to the Highway Trust Fund for the quarter ended September 30, 1999, ²⁷ included nearly \$346 million in collections from previous quarters, and the amount it certified for the Airport and Airway Trust Fund for the quarter ended June 30, 2000, included nearly \$34 million in collections from previous quarters. Delays by IRS in processing tax returns and late filing of returns by taxpayers, the latter of which is outside IRS' control, are factors that contributed to delays in posting return information.
	Taxpayers are not required to provide detailed information on the type of tax when they pay their taxes. Also, IRS officials stated that their systems cannot capture the additional detailed information. Consequently, IRS is working on systems improvements to accommodate this type of information in the future. In addition, IRS plans to initiate in 3 to 4 years a follow-up study to a previous study to gauge taxpayer ability and readiness to provide detailed information by type of tax at the time of payment without imposing an additional burden on taxpayers. Until IRS has the systems capability to record, and makes a decision with respect to whether taxpayers should provide, specific information on the type of taxes being paid at the time of payment, it will continue to be unable to report revenue actually collected for three of the federal government's four largest revenue sources and continue using a process for distributing excise tax revenue to trust funds that is susceptible to errors.
Noncompliance With Laws and Regulations and FFMIA Requirements	Our tests of compliance with selected provisions of laws and regulations disclosed two instances of noncompliance that are reportable under U.S. generally accepted government auditing standards and OMB guidance. These relate to IRS' structuring of installment agreements to collect delinquent taxes and the timing of the release of federal tax liens against taxpayers' property. We also found that IRS' financial management systems do not substantially comply with the requirements of FFMIA.

²⁷Since certifications usually are not completed until 6 months after the end of the quarter, the certification for the quarter ended September 30, 1999, was actually performed in fiscal year 2000 and thus affected excise tax distributions in fiscal year 2000.

IRS' Structuring of Installment Agreements Did Not Always Comply With the Internal Revenue Code	Section 6159 of the Internal Revenue Code authorizes IRS to enter into installment agreements with taxpayers to fully satisfy the taxpayer's liability. During two previous audits, ²⁸ we identified instances in which IRS entered into installment agreements with payments and terms that would not be sufficient to satisfy the taxpayers' outstanding tax liability, including future interest accruals, before the statutory collection period for these tax liabilities expires. ²⁹ In March 1998, IRS issued a memorandum requiring that installment agreements provide for the full payment of a taxpayer's outstanding tax liability. However, as in our fiscal year 1999 audit, we continued to find that these guidelines were not consistently followed in fiscal year 2000. Consequently, IRS continued to be noncompliant with Section 6159 of the Internal Revenue Code.
	In fiscal year 1999, we found that of 40 unpaid tax cases involving new installment agreements, 8 (20 percent) did not comply with the Internal Revenue Code. In our testing of 86 unpaid assessments involving taxpayers who entered into installment agreements to pay their outstanding tax liabilities in fiscal year 2000, we found 2 cases (2 percent) that contained payment terms that will be insufficient to satisfy the full tax liability before the statutory collection period for these tax liabilities expires. The presence of such cases in fiscal year 2000 indicates that IRS continues to be noncompliant with this provision of the Internal Revenue Code.
IRS Did Not Always Release Federal Tax Liens in Accordance With the Internal Revenue Code	The Internal Revenue Code grants IRS the power to file a lien against the property of any taxpayer who neglects or refuses to pay all assessed federal taxes. The lien becomes effective when it is filed with a designated office, such as a courthouse in the county where the taxpayer's property is located. The lien serves to protect the interest of the federal government and serves as a public notice to current and potential creditors of the government's interest in the taxpayer's property. For example, federal tax liens are disclosed in credit reports of individuals. Under Section 6325 of the Internal Revenue Code, IRS is required to release a federal tax lien within 30 days after the date the tax liability is satisfied or has become

²⁸GAO/AIMD-00-76, February 29, 2000 and *Financial Audit: IRS' Fiscal Year 1998 Financial Statements* (GAO/AIMD-99-75, March 1, 1999).

²⁹The statutory collection period for taxes is generally 10 years from the date of the tax assessment. However, this period can be extended by agreement with the taxpayer.

legally unenforceable or the Secretary of the Treasury has accepted a bond for the assessed tax.

	During our fiscal year 1999 audit, we found that IRS did not release the applicable federal tax lien within the 30-day requirement stipulated in the Internal Revenue Code for 26 percent of the cases we reviewed where the tax liability was either paid off or abated. While we noted significant improvement, we found that this condition continued to exist during fiscal year 2000. Specifically, in our testing of 38 tax cases with liens in which the taxpayers' total outstanding tax liabilities were either paid off or abated during fiscal year 2000, we found 3 instances (8 percent) in which IRS did not release the applicable federal tax lien within the 30-day statutory requirement. The time between satisfaction of the liability and release of the lien ranged from about 100 to over 500 days. In one case, we found that although the taxpayer had paid off the outstanding tax liability by August 1998, IRS did not formally release the lien against the taxpayer's property until March 2000—583 days later. The failure to promptly release tax liens could cause undue burden to taxpayers who are attempting to sell property or apply for commercial credit.
IRS' Financial Management Systems Are Not in Compliance With FFMIA Requirements	In fiscal year 2000, we continued to find that IRS' financial management systems did not substantially comply with the requirements of the Federal Financial Management Improvement Act of 1996. Specifically, IRS' systems did not comply with Federal Financial Management Systems Requirements, federal accounting standards (U.S. generally accepted accounting principles), and the SGL at the transaction level. We found that IRS (1) cannot rely on information from its general ledger to prepare its financial statements, (2) does not have a general ledger that conforms to the SGL, (3) lacks a subsidiary ledger for its unpaid assessments, (4) lacks a reliable subsidiary ledger for its P&E, and (5) lacks an effective audit trail from its general ledger back to subsidiary detailed records and transaction source documents for material balances. Other material weaknesses we discussed earlier—controls over refunds, P&E, budget, and computer security—are also conditions indicating that IRS' systems do not comply with FFMIA. As a result, IRS' financial management systems cannot produce auditable financial statements and related disclosures in conformance with federal accounting standards (U.S. generally accepted accounting principles) without substantial compensating processes and significant adjustments. These weaknesses also indicate that IRS' systems cannot routinely

accumulate and report the full cost of its activities. Since IRS' systems do not comply with FFMSR, federal accounting standards (U.S. generally accepted accounting principles), and the SGL, they also do not comply with OMB Circular A-127, *Financial Management Systems*. In its FIA assurance statement to Treasury, IRS reported that its financial management systems did not substantially comply with FFMIA in fiscal year 2000.

IRS' FFMIA remediation plan issued on September 30, 2000, did not always describe the resources devoted to address the issues that prevent IRS' financial management systems from complying with the requirements of the act. FFMIA requires that if the head of an agency determines that its financial management systems do not substantially comply with the act, a remediation plan must be developed, in consultation with OMB, that describes the resources, remedies, and intermediate target dates for achieving substantial compliance. The act also requires OMB concurrence with any plan not expected to bring the agency's systems into substantial compliance with the act no later than 3 years after a determination of noncompliance is made. IRS structures its FFMIA remediation plan to respond to the recommendations of GAO and other auditors, such as the Treasury Inspector General for Tax Administration (TIGTA), who review various aspects of IRS' operations. However, of the 16 GAO recommendations that IRS and GAO considered open at the time this remediation plan was issued, 8 (50 percent) did not specify the resources to be devoted to the actions planned. These include recommendations related to IRS' weaknesses in controls over P&E, operating funds, and refunds. In addition, IRS' remediation plan did not specify steps to ensure that adequate security is built into new systems and networks before they are placed in operation to prevent future recurrence of the computer security weaknesses in its existing systems that we have been reporting. Also, although some of IRS' remedial actions were not scheduled for completion within the 3-year statutory time frame, IRS had requested but not yet received OMB concurrence with the extended time frames of these plans as of the end of our fieldwork.

These findings are consistent with those of TIGTA, which reported similar deficiencies in IRS' December 31, 1999, FFMIA remediation plan.³⁰ When IRS updated its remediation plan on December 31, 2000, we noted marked

³⁰Improvements Are Needed in the Internal Revenue Service's Federal Financial Management Improvement Act Remediation Plan (Reference Number 2000-10-105, August 2000).

improvement, and IRS considered two additional recommendations to have been closed. However, of the 14 remaining recommendations, IRS' plans to address 2 (14 percent) still did not indicate the resources to be devoted to implementing them. To fulfill our responsibilities as the auditor of IRS' financial statements, we

- examined, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements,
- assessed the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management,
- evaluated the overall presentation of the financial statements,
- obtained an understanding of internal controls related to financial reporting (including safeguarding assets and compliance with laws and regulations, including the execution of transactions in accordance with budget authority), and performance measures reported in Management's Discussion and Analysis,
- tested relevant internal controls over financial reporting (including safeguarding assets) and compliance, and evaluated the design and operating effectiveness of internal controls,
- considered compliance with the process for evaluating and reporting on internal controls and financial management systems under FIA,
- tested compliance with selected provisions of the following laws and regulations: Anti-Deficiency Act, as amended (31 U.S.C. §1341 (a)(1)); Agreements for Payment of Tax Liability in Installments (26 U.S.C. §6159); Use of Appropriations (31 U.S.C. §1301); Release of Lien as Discharge of Property (26 U.S.C. §6325); Interest on Underpayment, Nonpayment, or Extension of Time for Payment of Tax (26 U.S.C. §6601); Interest on Overpayments (26 U.S.C. §6611); Determination of Rate of Interest (26 U.S.C. §6621); Failure to File Tax Return or to Pay Tax (26 U.S.C. §6651); Failure by Individual to Pay Estimated Income Tax (26 U.S.C. §6654); Failure by Corporation to Pay Estimated Income Tax (26 U.S.C. §6655); Civil Service Reform Act of 1978 (5 U.S.C. §§5332, 5303, 5304); Fair Labor Standard Act of 1938, as amended (29 U.S.C. §206); Civil Service Retirement Act of 1930, as amended (5 U.S.C. §8334); Federal Employees' Retirement System Act of 1986, as amended (5 U.S.C. §8423); Social Security Act, as amended (26 U.S.C. §§3101, 3121 and 42 U.S.C. §430); Federal Employees Health Benefits Act of 1959, as amended (5 U.S.C. §§8905, 8906, and 8909); and Federal Employees' Group Life Insurance Act of 1980 (5 U.S.C. §§8701, 8702, 8704, 8707, and 8708), and
- tested whether IRS' financial management systems substantially comply with FFMIA requirements, using the implementation guidance and OMB guidance.

Comments From the Internal Revenue Service

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY	
INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE WASHINGTON, D.C. 20224	
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20224	
DEPUTY COMMISSIONER	
February 21, 2001	
Mr. David M. Walker	
Comptroller General U.S. General Accounting Office	
441 G Street, N.W.	
Washington, D.C. 20548	
Dear Mr. Walker:	
Thank you for the opportunity to review and comment on your draft report entitled, IRS' Fiscal	
Year 2000 Financial Statements. We are very pleased that we were able to work with GAO to	
obtain an unqualified opinion on both our revenue and administrative accounts. To us, it is a	
significant accomplishment that GAO recognizes that the IRS could fairly account for our total revenue collections of over \$2 trillion, refunds of over \$190 billion, and our total appropriated	
dollars of over \$8.3 billion. We also recognize, that to retain a clean opinion we must maintain	
the same momentum for our future audits, continue to address identified problems, and focus on	
our modernization efforts.	
As stated in your report, our success can be attributed to extraordinary efforts of our staff and we	
would also add, to our GAO auditors who provided us with excellent advice. We also note that	
we have made significant improvements in several areas that we concentrated on in FY 2000.	
Specifically, we:	
Implemented reconciliation procedures for IRS fund balances and ensured prompt	
 Implemented reconciliation procedures for inco fund balances and ensured prompt review/reconciliation was performed; 	
 Revised our reporting and disclosure for the statement of net cost to properly classify 	
IRS programs;	
 Improved management of property and equipment (P&E) inventories; 	
 Improved our review and management of suspense accounts; Deduced the number of computer acquitter unalprocess; 	
 Reduced the number of computer security weaknesses; Addressed issues related to safeguarding taxpayer data; and 	
 Improved our ability to substantiate unpaid assessments. 	
Following are a number of specific comments with references to the pages in the report where	
they apply. For the most part, we believe we need to add to the information in the report, rather than challenge the findings. The exception is to the commentary found on page 11.	
than chancinge the mindings. The exception is to the commentary found on page 11.	

<section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header>		
Financial Reporting We generally agree with many of the characterizations of problems and issues in this section. However, the statement on page 12 that the general ledger systems are "always inaccurate" may mislead readers. We believe the statement should read: "IRS does not record certain material adjustments in its general ledger system until the end of the fiscal year, such as reclassifying property and equipment initially recorded as expenses. To that extent, certain material balances are inaccurate at interim periods, and cannot be used by managers as a reliable source of current financial data." Page 12 Management of Unpaid Tax Assessments Trust Fund Recovery Penalty (TFRP) To address the issues related to TFRP processing (e.g., delays in posting, related tax liabilities, etc.) a task group was established to review and recommend necessary changes. This group developed programming requirements to design an automated TFRP system (that allows for systemic links). Phase I initial programming has been completed. Phase II will be implemented in FY 2002 to systematically accept downloads of data and cross-reference payments received for assessments made. Allocation of Resources We have commented earlier concerning GAO's specific recommendations that the IRS compare the incremental tax revenue with the incremental cost of expanding specific tax administration programs. Because tax revenues collected are so vastly greater than the budgetary cost of IRS programs, nearly all IRS tax administration programs, not just those noted by GAO in its reports, could be expanded to produce more incremental tax revenue than incremental costs. Yet, here, should be expanded to produce more incremental tax revenue than incremental costs. Set, which considers the IRS budget in comparison with other government programs. Thus, the calculations recommended by the GAO would not produce more resources in total, nor would they preessarily indicate that these specific programs should b		2
We generally agree with many of the characterizations of problems and issues in this section. However, the statement on page 12 that the general ledger systems are "always inaccurate" may mislead readers. We believe the statement should read: "IRS does not record certain material adjustments in its general ledger system until the end of the fiscal year, such as reclassifying property and equipment initially recorded as expenses. To that extent, certain material balances are inaccurate at interim periods, and cannot be used by managers as a reliable source of current financial data." Page 12 Management of Unpaid Tax Assessments Trust Fund Recovery Penalty (TFRP) To address the issues related to TFRP processing (e.g., delays in posting, related tax liabilities, etc.) a task group was established to review and recommend necessary changes. This group developed programming requirements to design an automated TFRP system (that allows for systemic links). Phase I initial programming has been completed. Phase II will be implemented in FY 2002 to systematically accept downloads of data and cross-reference payments received for assessments made. Allocation of Resources We have commented earlier concerning GAO's specific recommendations that the IRS compare the incremental tax revenue with the incremental cost of expanding specific tax administration programs. Because tax revenues collected are so vastly greater than the budgetary cost of IRS programs, nearly all IRS tax administration programs, not just those noted by GAO in its reports, could be expanded to produce more incremental tax revenue than incremental costs. Yet, the President and Congress limit the IRS budget in total through the budgetary process, which considers the IRS budget in comparison with other government programs. Thus, the calculations recommended by the GAO would not produce more resources in total, nor would they necessarily indicate that these specific programs should be expanded in relation to many other tax administration programs. The IRS is a	Pag	ge 11
However, the statement on page 12 that the general ledger systems are "always inaccurate" may mislead readers. We believe the statement should read: "TRS does not record certain material adjustments in its general ledger system until the end of the fiscal year, such as reclassifying property and equipment initially recorded as expenses. To that extent, certain material balances are inaccurate at interim periods, and cannot be used by managers as a reliable source of current financial data." Page 12 Management of Unpaid Tax Assessments Trust Fund Recovery Penalty (TFRP) To address the issues related to TFRP processing (e.g., delays in posting, related tax liabilities, etc.) a task group was established to review and recommend necessary changes. This group developed programming requirements to design an automated TFRP system (that allows for systemic links). Phase I initial programming has been completed. Phase II will be implemented in FY 2002 to systematically accept downloads of data and cross-reference payments received for assessments made. Allocation of Resources We have commented earlier concerning GAO's specific recommendations that the IRS compare the incremental tax revenue with the incremental cost of expanding specific tax administration programs. Because tax revenues collected are so vastly greater than the budgetary cost of IRS programs, nearly all IRS tax administration programs, not just those noted by GAO in its reports, could be expanded to produce more incremental tax revenue than incremental costs. Yet, the President and Congress limit the IRS budget in total through the budgetary process, which considers the IRS budget in comparison with other government programs. Thus, the calculations recommended by the GAO would not produce more resources in total, nor would they necessarily indicate that these specific programs should be expanded in relation to many other tax administration programs. The IRS is addressing this broad problem through our newly established strategic planning and budgeting pr	Fin	ancial Reporting
 Management of Unpaid Tax Assessments Trust Fund Recovery Penalty (TFRP) To address the issues related to TFRP processing (e.g., delays in posting, related tax liabilities, etc.) a task group was established to review and recommend necessary changes. This group developed programming requirements to design an automated TFRP system (that allows for systemic links). Phase I initial programming has been completed. Phase II will be implemented in FY 2002 to systematically accept downloads of data and cross-reference payments received for assessments made. Allocation of Resources We have commented earlier concerning GAO's specific recommendations that the IRS compare the incremental tax revenue with the incremental cost of expanding specific tax administration programs. Because tax revenues collected are so vastly greater than the budgetary cost of IRS programs, nearly all IRS tax administration programs, not just those noted by GAO in its reports, could be expanded to produce more incremental tax revenue than incremental costs. Yet, the President and Congress limit the IRS budget in total through the budgetary process, which considers the IRS budget in comparison with other government programs. Thus, the calculations recommended by the GAO would not produce more resources in total, nor would they necessarily indicate that these specific programs should be expanded in relation to many other tax administration programs. The IRS is addressing this broad problem through our newly established strategic planning and budgeting process should be allocated to achieve the best information and judgment on how our scarce resources should be allocated to achieve the best 	Ho mis adj pro are	wever, the statement on page 12 that the general ledger systems are "always inaccurate" may lead readers. We believe the statement should read: "IRS does not record certain material ustments in its general ledger system until the end of the fiscal year , such as reclassifying perty and equipment initially recorded as expenses. To that extent, certain material balances inaccurate at interim periods, and cannot be used by managers as a reliable source of current
Trust Fund Recovery Penalty (TFRP) To address the issues related to TFRP processing (e.g., delays in posting, related tax liabilities, etc.) a task group was established to review and recommend necessary changes. This group developed programming requirements to design an automated TFRP system (that allows for systemic links). Phase I initial programming has been completed. Phase II will be implemented in FY 2002 to systematically accept downloads of data and cross-reference payments received for assessments made. Allocation of Resources We have commented earlier concerning GAO's specific recommendations that the IRS compare the incremental tax revenue with the incremental cost of expanding specific tax administration programs. Because tax revenues collected are so vastly greater than the budgetary cost of IRS programs, nearly all IRS tax administration programs, not just those noted by GAO in its reports, could be expanded to produce more incremental tax revenue than incremental costs. Yet, the President and Congress limit the IRS budget in total through the budgetary process, which considers the IRS budget in comparison with other government programs. Thus, the calculations recommended by the GAO would not produce more resources in total, nor would they necessarily indicate that these specific programs should be expanded in relation to many other tax administration programs. The IRS is addressing this broad problem through our newly established strategic planning and budgeting process which has the objective of using our best information and judgment on how our scarce resources should be allocated to achieve the best	Pag	<u>e 12</u>
To address the issues related to TFRP processing (e.g., delays in posting, related tax liabilities, etc.) a task group was established to review and recommend necessary changes. This group developed programming requirements to design an automated TFRP system (that allows for systemic links). Phase I initial programming has been completed. Phase II will be implemented in FY 2002 to systematically accept downloads of data and cross-reference payments received for assessments made. Allocation of Resources We have commented earlier concerning GAO's specific recommendations that the IRS compare the incremental tax revenue with the incremental cost of expanding specific tax administration programs. Because tax revenues collected are so vastly greater than the budgetary cost of IRS programs, nearly all IRS tax administration programs, not just those noted by GAO in its reports, could be expanded to produce more incremental tax revenue than incremental costs. Yet, the President and Congress limit the IRS budget in total through the budgetary process, which considers the IRS budget in comparison with other government programs. Thus, the calculations recommended by the GAO would not produce more resources in total, nor would they necessarily indicate that these specific programs should be expanded in relation to many other tax administration programs. The IRS is addressing this broad problem through our newly established strategic planning and budgeting process should be allocated to achieve the best	Ma	nagement of Unpaid Tax Assessments
 etc.) a task group was established to review and recommend necessary changes. This group developed programming requirements to design an automated TFRP system (that allows for systemic links). Phase I initial programming has been completed. Phase II will be implemented in FY 2002 to systematically accept downloads of data and cross-reference payments received for assessments made. Allocation of Resources We have commented earlier concerning GAO's specific recommendations that the IRS compare the incremental tax revenue with the incremental cost of expanding specific tax administration programs. Because tax revenues collected are so vastly greater than the budgetary cost of IRS programs, nearly all IRS tax administration programs, not just those noted by GAO in its reports, could be expanded to produce more incremental tax revenue than incremental costs. Yet, the President and Congress limit the IRS budget in total through the budgetary process, which considers the IRS budget in comparison with other government programs. Thus, the calculations recommended by the GAO would not produce more resources in total, nor would they necessarily indicate that these specific programs should be expanded in relation to many other tax administration programs. The IRS is addressing this broad problem through our newly established strategic planning and budgeting process should be allocated to achieve the best 	Tru	st Fund Recovery Penalty (TFRP)
We have commented earlier concerning GAO's specific recommendations that the IRS compare the incremental tax revenue with the incremental cost of expanding specific tax administration programs. Because tax revenues collected are so vastly greater than the budgetary cost of IRS programs, nearly all IRS tax administration programs, not just those noted by GAO in its reports, could be expanded to produce more incremental tax revenue than incremental costs. Yet, the President and Congress limit the IRS budget in total through the budgetary process, which considers the IRS budget in comparison with other government programs. Thus, the calculations recommended by the GAO would not produce more resources in total, nor would they necessarily indicate that these specific programs should be expanded in relation to many other tax administration programs. The IRS is addressing this broad problem through our newly established strategic planning and budgeting process which has the objective of using our best information and judgment on how our scarce resources should be allocated to achieve the best	etc. dev syst in F) a task group was established to review and recommend necessary changes. This group eloped programming requirements to design an automated TFRP system (that allows for temic links). Phase I initial programming has been completed. Phase II will be implemented Y 2002 to systematically accept downloads of data and cross-reference payments received
the incremental tax revenue with the incremental cost of expanding specific tax administration programs. Because tax revenues collected are so vastly greater than the budgetary cost of IRS programs, nearly all IRS tax administration programs, not just those noted by GAO in its reports, could be expanded to produce more incremental tax revenue than incremental costs. Yet, the President and Congress limit the IRS budget in total through the budgetary process, which considers the IRS budget in comparison with other government programs. Thus, the calculations recommended by the GAO would not produce more resources in total, nor would they necessarily indicate that these specific programs should be expanded in relation to many other tax administration programs. The IRS is addressing this broad problem through our newly established strategic planning and budgeting process should be allocated to achieve the best	Alle	ocation of Resources
	the prog cou Pres con recc nec tax esta info	incremental tax revenue with the incremental cost of expanding specific tax administration grams. Because tax revenues collected are so vastly greater than the budgetary cost of IRS grams, nearly all IRS tax administration programs, not just those noted by GAO in its reports, Id be expanded to produce more incremental tax revenue than incremental costs. Yet, the sident and Congress limit the IRS budget in total through the budgetary process, which siders the IRS budget in comparison with other government programs. Thus, the calculations ommended by the GAO would not produce more resources in total, nor would they essarily indicate that these specific programs should be expanded in relation to many other administration programs. The IRS is addressing this broad problem through our newly blished strategic planning and budgeting process which has the objective of using our best rmation and judgment on how our scarce resources should be allocated to achieve the best
·		

<text><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><text><text></text></text></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></text>		
Control over Refunds The GAO cites that the IRS does not always review Earned Income Tax Credit (ETIC) claims in time to identify invalid claims. We have been successful in several of our efforts to prevent erroneous EITC refunds from being issued. Specifically, we (1) used the IRS' doepndent database to identify questionable issues relating to ETIC (2) we implemented the new legislation requiring re-certification before the taxpayer can claim EITC on the carrent tax year due to improperly claiming ETIC in the previous year (Since 1999, we have worked over 55,000 re-certification cases). (3) hounded taxpayers from claiming EITC for either two or ten years after the tax year where there was a determination that the taxpayer's claim was due to intentional disregard of the rules and regulation or fraud (This has resulted in 7,680 two-year bans and 62 ten-year bans for processing year 2000, and 331 two-year bans and two ten-year bans for processing year 2000, and 331 two-year bans and two ten-year bans for processing year 2000, and 331 two-year bans and two ten-year bans for processing year 2000, and 331 two-year bans and two ten-year bans for processing year 2000, prevent extra the bottom of the first full paragraph on page 18. Page 17 Worlet world full comments in this section; however, we take exception to the conclusion reached in the two sentences at the bottom of the first full paragraph on page 18. "While the effort resulted in a reasonable estimate of the September 30, 1999, P&E balance, it did nothing to address the fundamental deficiencies in IRS' accounting and property management systems. Consequently for fiscal year 2000, IRS bired a contractor to develop and implement an interim process to enable IRS to ontime to report a reliable P&E balance in its financial statement until it has an integrated accounting and property yestem." We don to Helive the report and the conclusion above, put into proper perspective the substantial progress that habs ben achieved during P	3	
Control over Refunds The GAO cites that the IRS does not always review Earned Income Tax Credit (ETIC) claims in time to identify invalid claims. We have been successful in several of our efforts to prevent erroneous EIIC refunds from being issued. Specifically, we (1) used the IRS' doepndent database to identify questionable issues relating to ETIC; (2) we implemented the new legislation requiring re-certification before the taxpayer can claim EIIC on the current tax year due to improperly claiming ETIC in the previous year (Since 1999, we have worked over 55,000 re-certification coses); (3) banned taxpayers from claiming EIIC for either two or ten years after the tax year where there was a determination that the taxpayer's claim was due to intentional disregard of the rules and regulation or fraud (This has resulted in 7,608 two-year bans and 62 ten-year bans for processing year 2000, and 337 two-year bans and two ten-year bans for processing year 2000, and 337 two-year bans and two ten-year bans for processing year 2000, and 337 two-year bans and two ten-year bans for processing year 2000, and 337 two-year bans and two ten-year bans for processing year 2000, prevent ensulted in 7,608 two-year bans and 62 ten-year bans for processing year 2000, prevent ensulted in a reasonable estimate of the sonclusion reached in the two sentences at the bottom of the first full paragraph on page 18:	Page 15	
time to identify invalid claims. We have been successful in several of our effors to prevent erroneous EITC refunds from being issued. Specifically, we (1) used the IRS' dependent database to identify questionable issues relating to EITC; (2) we implemented the new legislation requiring re-certification before the taxpayer can claim EITC on the current tax year due to improperly claiming EITC in the previous year (Since 1999, we have worked over 55,000 re- certification cases.); (3) banned taxpayers from claiming EITC for either two or ten years after the tax year where there was a determination that the taxpayer's claim was due to intentional disregard of the rules and regulation or fraud (This has resulted in 7,680 two-year bans and 62 ten-year bans for processing year 2000, and 337 two-year bans and two ten-year bans for processing year 2001, mid-February.); and (4) implemented an automatic freeze on refunds where there is an open examination. <u>Page 17</u> Property and Equipment (P&E) We agree with most of the comments in this section; however, we take exception to the conclusion reached in the two sentences at the bottom of the first full paragraph on page 18: "While the effort resulted in a reasonable estimate of the September 30, 1999, P&E balance, it did nothing to address the fundamental deficiencies in IRS' accounting and property management systems. Consequently for fiscal year 2000, IRS hired a contractor to develop and implement an interim process to enable IRS to continue to report a reliable P&E balance in its financial statement uutil it has an integrated accounting and property system." We do not believe the report and the conclusion above, put into proper perspective the substantial progress that has been achieved during FY 2000. We believe it is important to highlight the fundamental changes in the P&E management of IRS. Prior to October 1999, there were multiple information systems organizations in IRS besides the Chief Information Officer (ClO). This was a major contributing factor to the lac		
 Property and Equipment (P&E) We agree with most of the comments in this section; however, we take exception to the conclusion reached in the two sentences at the bottom of the first full paragraph on page 18: "While the effort resulted in a reasonable estimate of the September 30, 1999, P&E balance, it did nothing to address the fundamental deficiencies in IRS' accounting and property management systems. Consequently for fiscal year 2000, IRS hired a contractor to develop and implement an interim process to enable IRS to continue to report a reliable P&E balance in its financial statement until it has an integrated accounting and property system." We do not believe the report and the conclusion above, put into proper perspective the substantial progress that has been achieved during FY 2000. We believe it is important to highlight the fundamental changes in the P&E management of IRS. Prior to October 1999, there were multiple information systems organizations in IRS besides the Chief Information Officer (CIO). This was a major contributing factor to the lack of accountability and commitment in maintaining an accurate and complete Information Technology (IT) inventory, thus the longstanding property material weakness. Today, the IRS has one information Systems organization has made significant progress in improving 	The GAO cites that the IRS does not always review Earned Income Tax Credit (EITC) claims in time to identify invalid claims. We have been successful in several of our efforts to prevent erroneous EITC refunds from being issued. Specifically, we (1) used the IRS' dependent database to identify questionable issues relating to EITC; (2) we implemented the new legislation requiring re-certification before the taxpayer can claim EITC on the current tax year due to improperly claiming EITC in the previous year (Since 1999, we have worked over 55,000 re-certification cases.); (3) banned taxpayers from claiming EITC for either two or ten years after the tax year where there was a determination that the taxpayer's claim was due to intentional disregard of the rules and regulation or fraud (This has resulted in 7,680 two-year bans and 62 ten-year bans for processing year 2000, and 337 two-year bans and two ten-year bans for processing year 2001, mid-February.); and (4) implemented an automatic freeze on refunds	-
 We agree with most of the comments in this section; however, we take exception to the conclusion reached in the two sentences at the bottom of the first full paragraph on page 18: "While the effort resulted in a reasonable estimate of the September 30, 1999, P&E balance, it did nothing to address the fundamental deficiencies in IRS' accounting and property management systems. Consequently for fiscal year 2000, IRS hired a contractor to develop and implement an interim process to enable IRS to continue to report a reliable P&E balance in its financial statement until it has an integrated accounting and property system." We do not believe the report and the conclusion above, put into proper perspective the substantial progress that has been achieved during FY 2000. We believe it is important to highlight the fundamental changes in the P&E management of IRS. Prior to October 1999, there were multiple information systems organizations in IRS besides the Chief Information Officer (CIO). This was a major contributing factor to the lack of accountability and commitment in maintaining an accurate and complete Information Technology (IT) inventory, thus the longstanding property material weakness. Today, the IRS has one information Systems organization has made significant progress in improving 	Page 17	
 conclusion reached in the two sentences at the bottom of the first full paragraph on page 18: "While the effort resulted in a reasonable estimate of the September 30, 1999, P&E balance, it did nothing to address the fundamental deficiencies in IRS' accounting and property management systems. Consequently for fiscal year 2000, IRS hired a contractor to develop and implement an interim process to enable IRS to continue to report a reliable P&E balance in its financial statement until it has an integrated accounting and property system." We do not believe the report and the conclusion above, put into proper perspective the substantial progress that has been achieved during FY 2000. We believe it is important to highlight the fundamental changes in the P&E management of IRS. Prior to October 1999, there were multiple information systems organizations in IRS besides the Chief Information Officer (CIO). This was a major contributing factor to the lack of accountability and commitment in maintaining an accurate and complete Information Technology (IT) inventory, thus the longstanding property material weakness. Today, the IRS has one information Systems organization with total responsibility for the IT inventory. Since October 1999, the Information Systems organization has made significant progress in improving 	Property and Equipment (P&E)	
 September 30, 1999, P&E balance, it did nothing to address the fundamental deficiencies in IRS' accounting and property management systems. Consequently for fiscal year 2000, IRS hired a contractor to develop and implement an interim process to enable IRS to continue to report a reliable P&E balance in its financial statement until it has an integrated accounting and property system." We do not believe the report and the conclusion above, put into proper perspective the substantial progress that has been achieved during FY 2000. We believe it is important to highlight the fundamental changes in the P&E management of IRS. Prior to October 1999, there were multiple information systems organizations in IRS besides the Chief Information Officer (CIO). This was a major contributing factor to the lack of accountability and commitment in maintaining an accurate and complete Information Technology (IT) inventory, thus the longstanding property material weakness. Today, the IRS has one information systems organization has made significant progress in improving 		
substantial progress that has been achieved during FY 2000. We believe it is important to highlight the fundamental changes in the P&E management of IRS. Prior to October 1999, there were multiple information systems organizations in IRS besides the Chief Information Officer (CIO). This was a major contributing factor to the lack of accountability and commitment in maintaining an accurate and complete Information Technology (IT) inventory, thus the longstanding property material weakness. Today, the IRS has one information systems organization with total responsibility for the IT inventory. Since October 1999, the Information Systems organization has made significant progress in improving	September 30, 1999, P&E balance, it did nothing to address the fundamental deficiencies in IRS' accounting and property management systems. Consequently for fiscal year 2000, IRS hired a contractor to develop and implement an interim process to enable IRS to continue to report a reliable P&E balance in its financial statement	-
Chief Information Officer (CIO). This was a major contributing factor to the lack of accountability and commitment in maintaining an accurate and complete Information Technology (IT) inventory, thus the longstanding property material weakness. Today, the IRS has one information systems organization with total responsibility for the IT inventory. Since October 1999, the Information Systems organization has made significant progress in improving	substantial progress that has been achieved during FY 2000. We believe it is important to	
	Chief Information Officer (CIO). This was a major contributing factor to the lack of accountability and commitment in maintaining an accurate and complete Information Technology (IT) inventory, thus the longstanding property material weakness. Today, the IRS has one information systems organization with total responsibility for the IT inventory. Since October 1999, the Information Systems organization has made significant progress in improving	
		-





	6
Page 12	
Manual Tax Receipts and Tax	payer Information
Subcommittee provides an agenc policies and priorities. Its focus	on Security under the FMC ESC on October 30, 2000. The y-wide senior executive forum to address and decide on security is on efficiently and effectively implementing and d priorities throughout the Service. Our Director, of the Office abcommittee.
weaknesses from several sources performance plan requiring action	implementing corrective actions to mitigate the security , we added an executive commitment in the campus director's ns to correct weaknesses. Furthermore, the Director, Office of surity reviews at each of the campuses to ensure that corrective institutionalized.
Page 23	
Revenue Reporting and Distrib	pution
To address this issue, we have im of million-dollar returns. We hav	re are continued weaknesses in fundamental internal controls. aplemented procedural changes to ensure expedited processing e also provided procedures to monitor timely posting of returns ve not yet filed their returns. This will ensure processing prior to l.
Airway Trust Fund in the amount there are three reasons why amou (1) Late filed returns; (2) Process activities. In the cases above, we	delay in posting to the Highway Trust Fund and the Airport and ts of \$346 and \$34 million, respectively. We need to clarify that ants received in a quarter are certified in a subsequent quarter: sing delays (lack of documentation, etc); and (3) Subsequent e needed additional documentation and returns were filed late. It enalty for late filing provided the taxpayer has submitted their
Page 24	
IRS' Structuring of Installment Revenue Code	t Agreements Did Not Always Comply With the Internal
cases examined were non-compli dropped to 2 percent this year. T guidance to its employees. We h	onsiderable improvement. In FY 1999, 20 percent of the unpaid iant with the code. In FY 2000, the non-compliant percentage o ensure that agreements are compliant, the IRS has issued iave begun development of a training segment on processing a continued professional education class.

7 We are also revising our guidelines to reemphasize the necessity of ensuring that installment agreements cover all outstanding taxes. If this cannot be accomplished, the case will then be handled in accordance with Offer-in-Compromise procedures. The IRS will also continue to monitor and enforce the guidelines on installment agreements. Page 25 IRS Did Not Always Release Federal Tax Liens in Accordance With the Internal Revenue Code In the IRS' processing of federal tax liens, the GAO has noted significant improvement. To better refine the processing of liens, the IRS has: 1) Issued guidance to its employees and provided additional training; 2) Instituted monitoring and enforcement of the processing guidelines for liens; and 3) Centralized the control of the federal tax lien process to one site (Previously, the process had been decentralized to each of the 33 district locations). This completes our specific comments. In closing, I wish to state that the Internal Revenue Service is committed to improving financial management. We view all of these actions as necessary to build upon current processes and systems to provide the best financial information possible that meets statutory and policy requirements. Additionally, it must be noted, that the long-term solution to many of the issues identified through the audit process can only be addressed by the design and implementation of new or enhanced automated systems that will be developed over the next several years. Sincerely, Sohnlenge Bob Wenzel

Ordering Information	The first copy of each GAO report is free. Additional copies of reports are \$2 each. A check or money order should be made out to the Superintendent of Documents. VISA and MasterCard credit cards are accepted, also.
	Orders for 100 or more copies to be mailed to a single address are discounted 25 percent.
	Orders by mail: U.S. General Accounting Office P.O. Box 37050 Washington, DC 20013
	Orders by visiting: Room 1100 700 4th St. NW (corner of 4th and G Sts. NW) U.S. General Accounting Office Washington, DC
	Orders by phone: (202) 512-6000 fax: (202) 512-6061 TDD (202) 512-2537
	Each day, GAO issues a list of newly available reports and testimony. To receive facsimile copies of the daily list or any list from the past 30 days, please call (202) 512-6000 using a touchtone phone. A recorded menu will provide information on how to obtain these lists.
	Orders by Internet: For information on how to access GAO reports on the Internet, send an e-mail message with "info" in the body to:
	info@www.gao.gov
	or visit GAO's World Wide Web home page at:
	http://www.gao.gov
To Report Fraud,	Contact one:
Waste, or Abuse in Federal Programs	 Web site: http://www.gao.gov/fraudnet/fraudnet.htm e-mail: fraudnet@gao.gov 1-800-424-5454 (automated answering system)



United States General Accounting Office Washington, D.C. 20548-0001

Official Business Penalty for Private Use \$300

Address Correction Requested

Presorted Standard Postage & Fees Paid GAO Permit No. GI00

