DOCUMENT RESUME

02372 - [A1452469]

[Small Business Offeror Found to Be Monresponsible]. B-188885. Hay 19, 1977. 2 pp.

Decision re: Volare, Inc.; by Paul G. Dembling, General Counsel.

Issue Area: Pederal Procurement of Goods and Services: Reasonableness of Prices Under Negotiated Contracts and Subcontracts (1904).

Contact: Office of the General Counsel.

Budget Punction: National Defense: Department of Defense - Procurement & Contracts (058).

Organization Concerned: Defense Logistics Agency; Small Business Administration.

Authority: 15 U.S.C. 637(b)(7); B-186751 (1976). A.S.P.R. 1-705.4(c).

A small-business concern was found to be nonresponsible due to financial difficulties. As the low bidder, it protested the award of a contract to any other bidder, as ic had merged to correct the problems. The Small Business Administration (SBA) was asked by the procuring agency to determine if a certificate of competency could be issued. GAO cannot review a SBA determination of competency. Protest was dismissed. (SS)

DECISION



THE COMPTROLLER GENERAL OF THE UNITED STATES WASHINGTON, D.C. 20548

090

FILE: B-188885

DATE: May 19, 1977

MATTER OF: Volare, Inc.

DIGEST:

Where contracting officer has found small business offeror to be nonresponsible and has referred matter to SBA for possible issuance of GOC, protest to GAO is dismissed. SBA alone has statutory authority to issue or deny a COC and GAO has no power to review such determinations.

Volare, Inc. (Volare) protests the award to any other bidder of any contract resulting from Invitation for Bids (IFB) DSA100-77-B-0827, issued by the Defense Logistics Agency (DLA). Volare, apparently a small business and the low responsive bidder, was found non-responsible because of financial difficulties and was thus ineligible for award. Volare states that in order to correct these problems it has merged with another firm.

The matter has been referred by DLA to the Small Business Administration (SBA), pursuant to Armed Services Procurement Regulation \$ 1-705.4(c), for review and possible issuance of a certificate of competency (COC), which would render Volare eligible for award by certifying its competency as to capacity and credit. Under 15 U.S.C. \$ 637(b)(7) (1970), the SBA has the authority to issue or deny a COC and our Office has no cuthority to review such an SBA determination, to require issuance of a COC, or to reopen a case when a COC has been denied. Precision Electronics Labs, B-186751, October 29, 1976, 76-2 CPD 369, and cases cited therein. There is no indication that the SBA has not considered or will not consider the request for a COC.

B-188885

Accordingly, further consideration of Volare's protest by this Office will serve no useful purpose and the protest is dismissed.

Paul G. Dembling General Counsel