DOCUMENT DESUME

 $02885 - [\lambda 1932983]$

[Temporary Storage of Household Goods and Related Expenses]. R-187366. July 6, 1977. 2 pp.

Decision re: S. D. Van Hoesen; by Robert F. Keller, Deputy Comptroller General.

Issue Area: Personnel Management and Compensation: Compensation (305).

Contact: Office of the General Counsel: Civilian Personnel. Budget Function: General Government: Central Personnel Management (805).

Organization Concerned: Energy Research and Development Administration.

Authority: B-187060 (1976). B-175234 (1974). F.T.R. (PPMR 101-7), para. 2-8.3a(1). GSA Bulletin FPMR A-2, Supp. 56, Attachment A.

Paul T. Marquess, Authorized Certifying Officer, Energy Research and Development Administration, requested a decision on storage expenses of transferred employee. Employee stored household goods in uninhabitable part of temporary rental quarters and requested reimbursement of costs of truck rental, gas, and two furniture movers to offload truck. Retention of goods in residence in lieu of storage in warehouse could not be reimbursed under regulations nor could cost of movers, but commuted rate for moving goods could be reimbursed. (DJM)

Ò

DECISION



THE COMPTROLLER GENERAL OF THE UNITED STATES

WASHINGTON, D.C. 2051

Heitzman Civ. Per

FILE:

B-187366

DATE: JUL 6 1977

MATTER OF:

S.D. Van Hossen - Mousehold Goods -Temporary Storage - Related Expenses

DIGEST:

- 1. Transferred employee who stores household goods in unishabitable portion of his rental unit may not be reimbursed for expenses related to temporary storage since placement of employee's goods at his residence may not serve as the basis for reimbursement for temporary storage. B-187060, October 13, 1976, 56 Comp. Gen. (1976).
- 2. GSA Pulletin FFRR A-X, Supp. 56, Attachment A (May 29, 1975), provides for reinbursement only for expenses incurred in connection with temporary storage of household goods in warshouses and provides that comparison be made with arount peid to carrier.
- Paul T. Marquess, an authorised certifying officer of the United States Emergy Research and Development Administration, by lotter of August 26, 1976, has requested a decision as to whether he may cartify a woucher for payment relating to the storage expenses of Hr. S.D. Van Hoesen incident to a permanent change of station.
- Hr. Van Hoesen was authorised transportation and storage (not to exceed 30 days) of his household goods pursuant to Authorisation For Change of Official Station, Order No. CR 30-76.
- Mr. Van Hoesen moved his household goods from his prior residence in Frederick. Maxyland, to his new permanent residence in Kingston, Temmesee, by renting a truck and moving himself. Upon arrival in Kingston, Temmesee, Mr. Van Hoesen was unable to move into his new permanent residence due to problems with the seller vacating the house.
- Mr. Van Rossen's transfer authorization was amended to allow 30 days temporary quarters, and he was paid for the temporary quarters and the commuted rate for moving his household goods from Frederick, Maryland, to Kingston, Temposses.

804

Mr. Van Mossem ensured a rental unit 1: Anchoused, Temposee, and stored his household goods in an uninhabitable portion of the rental unit. Mr. Van Mossem has requested reinburstwent of \$30 for the hire of two men to unless the truck and news the furniture into the rental unit and reinburstment of \$70 for a subsequent move from the rental unit into his personnel residence. In addition, Mr. Van Mossem has requested reinburstment for \$50 consisting of \$39 for truck rental and \$11 for gas. With the exception of the truck rental, receipts were not furnished by Mr. Van Mossem for the each payments:

We agree with the contention of the excilying efficer that the \$30 claimed to hire two can to initially unload the truck is not reinbursable. The commuted tate facilities drayage incident to transportation and Mr. Van Booren was reinbursed for the enameted rate. See 3-178234, June 18, 1974, and Federal Truvel Regulations, FFME 101-7, page. 2-8,3a(1) (May 1973).

We have specifically held that the placement or retention of an employee's goods in his residence may not nerve as the bisis for reinburgement under the regulations relating to t-speciary storage. B-187060, October 15, 1976, 56 Cosp. Gen. (1976).

In the absence of placing the household goods in temporary storage as provided in the regulations, we are unswere of any authority that would parmit reinbursement of the expenses related to the storage of Mr. Housen's household goods in his wentel unit.

Therefore, for the reasons set forth above, Mr. Van Hossen's voucher may not be cartified for payment.

R. F. RELLER

Deput? Comptroller General of the United States