DECISION PROLLER GENTLE STATE OF THE CONTROLLER GENTLE STATE O

THE COMPTROLLER GENERAL OF THE UNITED STATES

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20548

AUG 6 1976

FILE:

B-185497

DATE:

MATTER OF:

- Claims Under

the Hilitary and Civilian Employees' Claims Act

DIGEST: of 1964

Determination of an Agency's Claims Officer, who has been delegated authority to settle claims under Military and Civilian Employees' Claims Act of 1964, as smended, 31 U.S.C. 88 240-243, is final and conclusive. There is no duty upon certifying officers to question such determination or to request advance decision from CAO.

By letter of November 25, 1975, Nr. John J. Ryan, Jr., an authorized certifying officer of the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), requested an advance decision as to the propriety of paying the claims of and .

The claims were forwarded to Mr. Ryan after they had been approved by EPA's Claims Officer pursuant to the Military and Civilian Employees' Claims Act of 1964, as smended, 31 U.S.C. 88 240-243, and part 14Vof title 40, Code of Federal Regulations (1975). In addition, the certifying officer also requested a decision on three questions pertaining to the Act which are as follows:

- "1. Is the Agency's Claims Officer the final authority on claims under the Act, (i.e., the Certifying Officer must pay all approved claims submitted to him)?
- "2. Is the phrase 'incident to service' whatever the Claims Officer determines it to be or is there a standard definition?
- "3. Where there is a difference of opinion between the Certifying Officer and the Claims Officer on a claim should the claim be submitted for edvance decision or to the GAO Claims Division for settlement?"

Section 3(a) of the Military Personnel and Civilian Employees' Claims Act of 1964, Pub. L. No. 88-558, approved August 31, 1964,

通过发生基

78 Stat. 767, as emended, 31 U.S.C. \$ 241(b), Supp. IV (1974), sutherizes the head of each agency or his designee to pay claims up to \$15,000 for damages to, or loss of, personal property incident to an employee's service. In addition, 31 U.S.C. \$ 242 states:

"Notwithstanding any other provision of law, the settlement of a claim under sections 240 to 243 is final and conclusive."

In view of the above statutory provisions we stated, in B-180994, June 12, 1974, the following:

" * * it is not within the jurisdiction of our office to consider claims for damages for loss of, or damage to, personal property of employees of the Environmental Protection Agency. In the absence of any overall policies prescribed by the President pursuent to section 3(s) of the act, any such claim is for consideration by the head of the agency or his designee, and, in accordance with the terms of the act, settlement of a claim under its provisions would be final and conclusive."

In his submission the certifying officer indicated that the questions on which a decision is requested resulted from uncertainty as to whether our decision 8-184145, September 30, 1975, published at 55 Comp. Gen. 297, in effect, modifies the above-quoted statement from 8-180994, supra. In 55 Comp. Gen. 297, we stated at page 300:

" * * * where there is doubt as to the legality of a payment, the certifying officer's only complete protection from liability for an erroneous payment is to request and follow the Comptroller General's advance decision under 31 U.S.C. 82d (1970). Moreover in view of the certifying officer's statutory right to request and obtain an advance decision from the Comptroller General regarding the lawfulness of any payment to be certified we can see no reason for concluding that the agency's general counsel's conclusions of law regarding such payment are 'binding' on the agency's certifying officers."

The answer to the certifying officer's inquiry is that 55 Comp. Gen. 297) was not intended to modify the position stated in B-180994, supra, concerning the finality of settlements made under the Military Personnel and Civilian Employees' Claims Actx of 1964. That Act gives the head of an agency, or his designee, authority to settle any claim of an agency employee "for damage to, or loss of, personal property incident to his service," and makes such settlement "final and conclusive." Thus, If EPA's claims officer, to whom authority to settle claims under the Act has been delegated, makes the necessary determination for settlement of a claim under the Act, that settlement is final and conclusive on the certifying officer. There is no duty upon the certifying officer to question such settlement, and the certifying officer would not be held liable for any erroneous determination made by the Claims Officer. Accordingly, questions 1 and 2 are answered in the affirmative, and question 3 is answered in the negative.

In light of the foregoing, the claims of and are returned to the certifying officer without action.

B. F. KELLER

Deputy) Comptroller General of the United States