## DECISION



## THE COMPTROLLER GENERAL OF THE UNITED STATES

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20548

731

FILE: 8-180666

DATE: JUL 18 1975

MATTER OF:

Dependent transportation upon ratirement - Sergeant Major , USA, Retired

DIGEST:

- Army member was transferred from Hawaii to Port Devens, Massachusetts, for retirement. Hember's dependents traveled at Government expense from Hawaii to San Francisco, California. After visiting his home of record at Winterport, Maine, member designated Ewa Beach, Hawaii, as home of selection for retirement purposes. Dependents then were transported from Sam Francisco to Hawaii at Government expense. There is no entitlement to dependent transportation from Hawaii to San Francisco, as member's assignment to a separation facility for retirement is not regarded as a permanent change of station but is temporary in nature, and there is no authority for dependent transportation to such facility.
- 2. Army member was transferred from Hawaii to Fort Davens, Massachusetts, for retirement. Member's dependents traveled at Government expense from Hawaii, to San Francisco, California. After visiting his home of record at Winterport, Maine, member designated Ewa Beach, Hawaii, as home of selection for retirement purposes. Dependents were then transported from San Francisco to Hawaii at Government expense. There is no entitlement for dependent travel from San Francisco to Hawaii, as it was not required insident to member's selection of a home on retirement, since it was at the same location where member and his dependents resided prior to retirement.

This matter arises from a request for reconsideration of our Transportation and Claims Division determination of July 20, 1971, that Sergeant Major , U.S. Army, Retired, , was not entitled to Government transportation for his wife and daughter from Honolulu, Hawaii, to San Francisco, California, and return, incident to his retirement from the U.S. Army on June 1, 1970.

CARLOS CONTRARES LANGUAGES STORY

By Handquarters, Department of the Army, Special Orders
Number 57, deted March 24, 1970, Sergeant Major was
placed on the retired list effective Juma 1, 1970. Sergeant
Major was transferred by Handquarters, United States
Army, Pacific, Special Orders Number 64, dated March 25, 1970,
from Fort Shafter, Hawaii, to Fort Devens, Hassachusetts, with
a reporting date of May 25, 1970, to be retired on June 1, 1970.
The authorized place of retirement is stated to be U.S. Army
Personnel Center, Oakland, California, with Port Devens the
requested place of retirement. The member's home of record is
stated to be Winterport, Haine, but no home of selection, is
indicated. The orders also state that concurrent travel for
Sergeant Najor wife and daughter is authorized.

The member and his family departed from Hawaii on May 7, 1970. aboard the S.S. Lurling, at Government expense, arriving at San Francisco, California, on May 13, 1970. They arrived at Port Devens on May 25, 1970. Upon retirement at Fort Devens. it appears that they traveled to Winterport, Maine, which Sergeant Major had tentatively, but not officially, selected as his retirement home. Upon erriving there, certain events occurred which led the member to choose a retirement home in Newall instead of Winterport, Maine. Subsequently. Serreant Major and his family proceeded to San Francisco and sailed aboard the S.S. Manterey on July 17, 1970, at Covernment expense. They arrived at Honolulu, Hevail, on July 22, 1970, and traveled to beach, Howaii, where they resided prior to departure from Hawaii. The mamber indicates that he designated Eve Beach, Hawaii, as his bone of selection for retirement purposes.

When Sergeant Major pay record was reviewed by the Finance Ceater, U.S. Army, questions were raised concerning the mileage and per diem for him and the cost of the ocean passage to and from San Francisco for his wife and daughter. These questions were submitted to our Transportation and Claims Division for resolution. By statement accompanying voucher dated July 20, 1971, the Finance Center, U.S. Army, was advised that payment of the cost of dependent travel to and from San Francisco was not authorized. The total round-trip cost of dependents' ocean travel was \$1,260, and the net indebtedness was found to be \$1,199.52.

人名西伯勒 医阿勒内氏病 医牙髓

The member, in essence, contends that since his orders to a place of retirement in the continental United Status provided for concurrent travel of dependents, he was entitled to their transportation at Covernment expense from California to Hewell. transportation from Heunii to California, and upon selection of bome in Hammit, upon retirement, he was antitled to that

station to the new permanent station or between points otherwise unthorized, except for any travel of dependents to a place at which they do not intend to establish a residence. Travel expenses of dependents for pleasure trips or for purposes other than with intent to change the dependents' residence as authorizing not be considered as an obligation of the Covernment. permanent change of station for travel performed from the old vides that members of the uniformed services are entitled to In accord with 37 U.S.C. # 406 (1970), paragraph H7000-12/ residence as authorized

travel and transportation allowances for his travel. expense, to the home switched by the manhait for entitlement to or the place to which they were last transported at Coverment transportation of dependants from his last permanent duty station, duty who is placed on the retired list will be entitled to Paragraph M7010-laN1 JTR, provides that a member on active

station for which transportation of dependents is authorized. There is no sufhority for dependent travel to a separation facility. Danision B-173236, September 30, 1971. Valted States for the purpose of separation processing, in view of the cheracter of the assignment and its limited du where a manher is completely detached from his oversees easignment and he is assigned to a location in the continental processing clearly does not constitute a permanent change of such analgoment is regarded as temporary in nature. 53 Comp. Con. 447(1973). An assignment in connection with separation duration,

efforted to entitlement to transportation of dependents to that location (nor to the appropriate place of retirement at Sakland, retirement processing. Hawaii, to the transfer point at fort Devices for the purpose of California). Sergeont Major Apparently, the number was authorized dependent In such circumstances, this assignment was assigned from Port Shefter, B-180666

transportation to California because it appeared (especially since at his request retirement was to be at Fort Devens) that his home of selection would be in the continental United States, specifically at Winterport, Haine. In that event his dependents properly could be afforded transportation at Government expense to the continental United States.

Consequently, in accord with 1 JTR, paragraph M7010-la, the only authority for dependent transportation was from the member's last permanent duty station, Fort Shafter, Hawaii, or Eva Beach, Hawaii, to a home of selection at another location. Since the selected home was at Eva Beach, no dependent travel to that location was required. Therefore, Sergeant Major:

is not entitled to Government transportation of dependents incident to his retirement at Fort Devens, Hassachusetts, or selection of a home upon retirement, at Eva Beach, Hawaii. See decision B-181977/of this date.

While it is regrettable that unformed circumstances caused Sergeant Major not to choose Winterport, Maine, as his home of selection as originally contemplated, and resulted in his return to his prior residence in Hawaii, such circumstances do not provide a legal basis for Government payment for dependent transportation.

Accordingly, Sergeant Hajor properly is indebted to the United States for the cost of transportation afforded to his dependents in the described circumstances.

PAUL G. DEMBLING

For the Comptroller General of the United States