

Colonial Baking Company P.O. Box 1493 Augusta, Georgia 30901

## Attention: Mr. J. Robert Boott Fresident

## Gentlemen (

Beforeince is made to your letter of October 31, 1973, requesting elvice as to what recourse is available to avoid substantial losses resulting from the rise in the cost of raw agricultural products caused by higher food ingredient costs.

You state that your company had been awarded a VA hospital contract for bakery products for delivery during the period July 1, 1973, to June 30, 1974, inclusive. This contract was bid under the then existing prices for raw agricultural products. However, you state that the Cost of Living Council has now permitted you to pass through, on a dollar basis, increases caused by the rise in the cost of such raw products. It is based upon this set of circumstances that you request advice as to relief that may be available to avoid such unforeseen losses.

The granting of cumulative pass-throughs by the Cost of Living Council is an action that is attributable to the Government in its sovereign capacity. Soe B-175674, May 30, 1972. As was stated in 53 Comp. (en. \_\_\_\_\_ B-179255, September 4, 1973, copy herewith:

Isble as a contractor for the consequences of its acts as a sovereign. See <u>Horowitz</u> v. United States, 267 U.S. 458 (1925); The Sumswick Corp. v. United States, 75 F. Supp. 221, 109 Ct. Cl. 772 (1948). Also, where a Government contract contains an express stipulation as to the amount of compensation to

be reid, and no provision is made for any increase in the event performance becomes more expensive or difficult, the fact that the cost of performance is increased by factors which do not constitute undue interference by the Government as a centractor does not entitle the contractor to additional compensation. See B-175674, supra, and cases cited therein. As was stated in Fann Bridge Co. v. United Eletes, 59 Ct. Cl. 8/2, 896 (1924)-[Request for Increase in Contrad Price] 1.18471 691784

"# # # Contractual rights once fixed in a proper contract executed by authority are inviolate. They may be forfeited by one party or the other, construction is permissible if the terms are ambiguous, but in the absence of arbiguity or forfeiture of rights by conduct, such a contract cannot but be enforced as written." (Emphasis supplied.)"

12 NY9997

In view of the foregoing, there would appear to be no legal authority for granting your company an increase in contract price because of the increases allowed by the Cost of Living Council.

## Sincerely yours,

Paul G. Dembling

or the Comptroller General of the United States

