

## COMPTROLLER GENERAL OF THE UNITED STATES WASHINGTON, D.C. 2014

31043

B-178399

June 13, 1973

Hr. Robert J. Schullery Authorized Certifying Officer Federal Aviation Administration Department of Transportation

Dear Mr. Schullery:

We refer further to your letter of April 6, 1973, which transmitted for advance decision a voucher in behalf of Morris B. Earle in the amount of \$375 for certain claimed real estate expenses incident to a change of duty station from Kansan City, Missouri, to Des Plaines, Illinois, under trevel orders dated January 10, 1972. The items reclaimed are an association assessment fee and a portion of the attorneys fee previously disallowed.

Hr. Barle purchased a home in the vicinity of his new duty etation. The purchase contract in partinent part provided in its section 11 as follows:

A. In order to enable the Association to commente its operations in a sound financial condition, Euger agrees to pay or contribute to the Association, at cleains, or its predecessors the sum of Three Hundred Dollars (\$3.5.00), which sum shall be in addition to and not in lieu of any and all wonthly assessments and may be held and used by the Association or its predecessor for any purpose for which any funds derived by assessment could be used pursuant to the legislatation.

You state Mr. Harla paid the above described \$300 at sattlument on July 8, 1972, and now claims reimbursement on the basis that the "fee" is a customary charge in the locality. You have dicullowed his claim since the applicable regulations make no provision for its reimbursement. On his reclaim for the item, Mr. Earle urges that the purchase of his home was contingent upon payment of the "fee". We agree with your conclusion that the fae is not a reimbursable item of expense within the provisions of section 4.2 of Office of Hanngement and Eudget (0.43) Circular No. A-56 (as revised August 17, 1971). The Peclaration referred to in the above quote was not submitted. However, sections 4 and 11 of the purchase agreement indicate that the assessment may be used, as are monthly assessments "to provide for

720107-

091486

all work, cervices and other activities which shall appear to be necessary or desirable by the Association or its predecessors to provide for the benefit of said Fairfer Village or residents thereof." It is reasonable to conclude that such assessment is for operating or maintenance costs, and as such specifically excluded as a reimbursable item. See section 4.2d of ONB Circular No. A-56.

In regard to logal services, Mr. Earle had claimed \$382 for professional legal services, \$75 of that amount identified as a fee for "attendance at closing" had been disallowed administratively and is reclaimed on the basis of the attorney statement that the item represents "actual active parformance" rather than "passive advisory service." In this connection Mr. Earle submitted a copy of a letter from his attorney concerning the attendance at closing which in partinent part states:

On the day of closing, you will recall that we mot with representatives of the Seller and the Title Company in the lattor's office. We computed the pro-ration exedits due the respective parties for real estate taxes, title charges, State Documentary Tax Sterna and the like. Because the celler still ored a balance to the original owner of the land, we required that a letter bu propered and executed by the seller's representative authorizing the Title Company to pay a portion of the processis directly to the original owner to entirely that obligation. He arranged for extended coverage under the title Company's (wher's Title Insurance Policy to protect you against the possibility of unrecorded machanic lien claims. This is not a part of the usual title insurance coverage and requires special amangement with the title company and the execution of certain affidavits and examination of valvers of lien as to all new construction. Incidentally, in order to expedite closing and to arrange for immediate occupancy, we personally delivered to the office of the Attorney for the lender, certain documents which we had expected to be completed beforehend, but which had been overlooked.

The applicable regulation, OMB Circular No. A-56, section 4.2c, provides:

e. Legal and related conts. To the extent such costs have not been included in brokers' or similar services for

D-178399

which reinbursement is claimed under other categories, the following expenses are reinbursable with respect to the sale and purchase of residences if they are customarily paid by the sellar of a residence at the old official station or if customarily paid by the purchaser of a residence at the new official station, to the extent they do not exceed amounts customarily charged in the locality of the residence; costs of (1) scarching title, preparing abstract, and legal fees for a title opinion, or (2) where customarily furnished by the sellar, the cost of a title insurance policy; costs of preparing conveyences, other instruments, and contracts; related notary fees and recording fees; costs of making curveys, preparing drawings or plats when required for le, all or financing purpose; and sicilar expenses. Costs of litigation are not reinburgable.

We have consistently held that under the above-quoted regulation no reinbursement is to be allowed for legal convices which are all an advisory nature. B-175710, July 17, 1972, copy enclosed, and decisions cited therein. The services randered at settlement as described shows appear to be advicery and for the sole benefit of the purchaser, as distinguished from a charge for the conducting of the election. Accordingly, there is no sutherity for allowance of any part of the \$75 for an elained.

The voucher which is returned borewith may not be certified for payment.

bincerely yours,

Paul G. Dembling

For the Comptroller Ceneral of the United States