3-161229-0.M. Apr., 20,1967

The Comptroller General APR 20 Relianced

Gregory J. Ahart Director CD - A. T. Samuelson

Request for instructions concerning request for relief of cashier, National Bureau of Standards. Department of Commerce

The attached letter dated March 16, 1967, from the Chief Disbursing Officer. Treasury Department, transmitted a letter dated Harch 9, 1967, from the Acting Secretary of Commerce, requesting that relief be authorised on behalf of James E. Miller for a shortage of funds of \$1,374.79. The relief is requested under the provisions of 3 GAO 30.2 and therefore is based on the set of August 1, 1947, as amended by the act of August 9, 1955. 31 U.S.C. 82a-1. The facts in the case do not clearly establish & basis for our granting relief under authority delegated in Comptroller General's Order No. 3.8 and the case is therefore submitted for instructions.

The relief requested is due to a physical loss of funds, by reason of theft by an unidentified person. The theft resulted in a shortage of \$1.374.79 in an advance to James E. Miller, Class "B" Cashier. Mational Bureau of Standards. Gaithersburg, Maryland. The cashier is bonded in the amount of \$10,000 under a Position Schedule Bond of the United Bonding Insurance Company covering employees of the Department of Commerce.

The Treasury has issued a Manual of Procedures and Instructions for Cashiers Operating under Executive Order No. 6166 which, in part. prevides:

"Section 3-0305. * * * Since all cash is carried at his own risk, each cashier will see * * * that facilities are available for proper safeguarding it from loss or theft."

"Saction 4-0402. Cashiers are personally liable for all moneys coming into their possession and are bonded to insure faithful performance of their duties."

Section 4-0401 of the above cited Cashier's Manual quotes from 31 U.S.C. 521, which provides in part that:

769878/087512.

are required to keep safely * * * all the public money collected by them, or etherwise at any time placed in their possession and custody * * *,"

The pertinent facts in respect to the subject loss, as derived from statements made in documents transmitted with the request for relief dated Hareh 9, 1967, and from United States Secret Service reports on its investigation of the loss, file No. 1-15-15,935-8, are as follows:

The theft occurred at appreximately 12:36 p.m. on July 11, 1966.

It occurred in a locked effice which was empty at the time of the theft as the ceshier was esting lunch in a room adjacent to the cashier's effice.

The first Secret Service report, dated July 26, 1966, contained the following description of the cashier's office.

"This office contains two entrances; one entrance is a door with the upper half containing a security screen and an opening for the passing through of funds, the second doorway is made of a security screen and located in a wall of the office which is also composed of tecurity screen. This screen well also contains an opening used for the disturbing of funds and immediately below this opening is a metal drawer containing a lock to be used for containing the cashier's funds."

The report also states that "At the time of the theft the funds were not kept in this locked drawer but were kept in an unlocked center deak drawer." It further states that "* * at the time of the theft a screen partition located in the one decreay was left open approximately eight inches and it is possible to reach through this opening and open the deer from the outside. Hembers of the Guard Force and Hontgomery the door from the outside. Hembers of the Guard Force and Hontgomery County Police Department were able to open the screened door by manipulating the lock with a wire."

while esting his lunch, Mr. Miller heard a noise and entered his effice to investigate. No one was in the office, but he encountered the suspect in the corridor outside of the acreened wall. He asked the individual if he could help and the man answered "No" and walked off showing only a portion of his face. Mr. Miller did not notice anything eniss in his office and therefore left the office and completed his lunch. He discovered the theft when he returned to his office after lunch.

ACCOUNTABLE OFFICERS
Physical losses, etc., of funds, vouchers, etc.
Cashiers, etc.
Relief denied

In the Secret Service report dated July 26, 1966, it was stated in the symposis, that "The funds were not placed in the locked drawer provided for these funds and the administrative officers of the Mational Bureau of Standards believe that the agent cashier was negligent."

Because of the foregoing facts and statements, the question arises whether the cashier may be considered to have been without fault or negligence and entitled to relief under 31 U.S.C. 82a-1.

Advice is requested as to whether we may on the present record concur in the administrative determination that the loss occurred without fault or negligence on the part of the cashier and authorize relief on his behalf.

Attachment As stated

APR 2 0 1967

3-161229-0.M.

Director, Civil Division

Returned. According to the Secret Service report, the "locked office" was not reasonably secure against theft. If that was the case, failure to lock the money in the drawer provided for that purpose was a negligent emission which made the theft possible. Accordingly, relief should be denied.

FRANK H. WEITZEL

Assistant Comptroller Concrel

Attachment