087248



COMPTROLLER GENERAL OF THE UNITED STATES WAIHINGTON 28

June 26, 1959

B-139261

Honorable Dante B. Fascell House of Representatives

Dear Mr. Fascall:

In your letter of April 3, 1959, you asked whether legislation is necessary in order to permit the Air Force to accept the services offered by members of the former Ground Observer Corps at Mismi, Florida.

Tour inquiry was prompted by a letter addressed to you by Mrs. Marion G. Collins and a number of other individuals. The letter states that after inactivation of the Ground Observer Corps, which occurred on January 31, 1959, a group of civilian volunteers offered their services to the "Air Reserve Training Center"-2677th Air Reserve Center. They performed such duties as operating mailing and duplicating machines, typing form letters, and doing miscellaneous filing. Before performing such duties they signed a valver precluding them from presenting any claim against the Government for any purpose whatever with respect to such services.

The letter than states that after about a month they no longer were permitted to perform such services. The basis for this refusal is stated as being the prohibition contained in section 3679, Revised Statutes, as exceeded, 31 U.S.C. 665(b) which provides as follows:

"No officer or exployee of the United States shall accept voluntary service for the United States or employ personal service in excess of that anthorized by law, except in cases of emergency involving the safety of human life or the protection of property."

Legislation similar to that quoted above first was enacted into law by the act of May 4, 1884, 23 Stat. 17. Concerning that provision, Mr. Justice Field, in concurring in the opinion handed down in the case of United States v. San Jacinto Tin Co., 125 U.S. 27), 305, decided March 19, 1888, stated as follows:

"" " " It would seen that Congress designed to put its mark of condemnation upon the practice of obtaining services from private parties, without incurring liabilities for them, such as was adopted in this case, when, on May 4, 1884, it declared that 'Hereafter no department or officer of the United States shall accept valuntary service for the government, or exploy personal service in access of their anthorized by law, accept in cases of sudden assrgency involving loss of human life or the destruction of property.' 23 Stat. 17, c. 37. The language here used clearly indicates that the government shall not, except in the emergencies mentioned, place itself under obligations to any one. The principle condenned is the same, whether the party rendering the service does so without any charge or because paid by other parties. The government is forbidden to accept the service in either case."

In an opinion dated February 7, 1913, which appears in 30 Op. Atty. Opn. 51, the Attenney General referred to the statement by Mr. Justice Field but noted that his language was explainly addressed to the "practice of obtaining services from private parties without incurring liabilities for them." He then concluded that the words "voluntary service" as employed in section 3679, Revised Statutes, as avended, were not intended to cover services rendered in an affisial separity under regular appointment to an office otherwise paraitted by law to be mensalaried.

In an opinion dated Hareh 14, 1913, this prevision of law was again considered by the Attorney General, 30 Op. Atty. Gen. 129, 131, and the statement is made that---

"The provision of section 3679, Revised Statutes, as manded by section 3 of the set of February 27, 1906 (34 Stat. 48), prohibiting the acceptance of volumery service for the Covernment, has no application to the performance of additional service by a clark in encoutive departments without additional compensation, but refers to volumery services rendered by private persons without azihority of law. * * **

Section 3679, Revised Statutes, as anomical, consistently has been construed by the courts, by the Atterney General, and by our Office as prohibiting the acceptance by the United States of voluntary servicesthat is, services furnished on the initiative of the persons rendering them without a proper request from or agreement with the United States.

That isgislation does not purport, however, to prevent the acceptance of grataitous services, if otherwise landal, when the services are rendered by one who, upon his being appointed as a Government exployee without componsation, agrees in writing and in advance that he valves any and all claims against the Government on account of such services. It is only when the compensation for a particular perition is fixed by or pursuant to law that the exployee of the position may not write his ordinary right to such compensation. But even in these situations when the compensation is fixed by law, if there be some applicable provision of law enthorising the acceptance of zervices without compensation, an employee of the position may waive his ordinary right to the compensation attached to the position and thereafter be estopped from claiming and receiving the salary previously waived.

L

From the description of the positions referred to in the lotter from Mrs. Collins and her co-petitioners to you, we conclude that normally the compensation of such positions would be fixed by the Classification Act. The Department of the Air Force has no general authority to appoint comployees of the nature here involved to serve without compensation.

Accordingly, it is our view that the acceptance of the voluntary services referred to in your latter are prohibited by the provisions of 31 U.3.C. 665b; and that, in order to de so, it would be necessary for the Department to obtain sutherising legislation. This conclusion is supported by the fact that the Congress, when it believed the use of voluntary services to be desirable, specifically provided for the acceptance of those services.

Jone examples of such specific aethority are as follows:

Section h(b) of the act of June 25, 1938, 52 Stat. 1061, authorizes the Administrator of the Wage and Neur Division, Department of Labor, to "establish and utilize such regional, local, or other agundes, and utilize such voluntary and uncompensated services, as may from time to time be nonded."

The act of June 25, 1940, 54 Stat. 570, anended section 210 of the Communications Act of 1934 by adding therete the following paragraphs

"(b) Nothing in this Act or in any other provision of Law shall be construed to prohibit common carriers from rendering to any agency of the Government free service in connection with the proparation for the national defense * * *."

Jection 10(c) of the Selective Training and Service Act of 1940, 54 Stat. 894, provides that "In the Atministration of this act voluntary services may be accepted."

A provision identical to the above is contained in section 6(b) of the act of March 31, 1947, 61 Stat. 32, relating to the Office of Selvotive Service Reports.

Section 8(2) of the Post Office Department Financial Control Act of 1950, 64 Stat. 462, status that the Perimeter may "accept gifts and donations of services, and of property (whether real, personal, or mixed, and

3-139261

whether tangible or intangible), in aid of any of the activities of the Department."

In view of the foregoing and in specific response to your question, it is our opinion that legislation similar to that contained in the examples cited above is needed to permit the Department of the Air Force to accept the voluntary services referred to in your letter.

Relative to the voluntary services here involved, the Administrative Assistant to the Secretary of the Air Force in a report dated May 20, 1959, indicated that the acceptance of these services, even if specifically authorized by law, would be contrary to Air Force policy. He stated that acceptance of voluntary services might give rise to a number of administrative problems. That portion of his letter concerning these problems reads as follows:

"* * For example, my similatentive orthorization to accept gratuitous fearvices on a bread scale would raise such problems as the antisipated unforwarable reaction of organized exployee groups to any system of the Government's securing services at lass than regularly established yay rates. There is also the possibility that rendering such services might give rise to claims for pay, empenses incurred, if the waivers signed by the individuals were later determined to be invalid of if relief legislation were proposed for the individuals concerned.

'Many other problems could arise from such administrative authorization since, in the absence of specific legislition, it would not be clear whether such individuals would compy the usual employee relationship with the Government. Examples of problems which could arise includes

"a. The question as to whether the actions of such a person giving gratuitous service might result in claims against the Covernment (such as under the Federal Tort Claims Ast or 10 USC 2733), even though the person might not be under the control and surveillance of Air Feree personnel in the same memor as personnel who are regularly explored.

"b. The difficulty of applying certain of the socalled conflict of interest laws and policies to such persons in certain cases.

"c. Questions as to the applicability of Executive Grier Number 10150, which prescribes security requirements of Governmental personnel." 3-139261

. . . .

•

While our views on this quantion are as stated above, we should point out that a violation of the probletion against the acceptance of voluntary services is punishable by as much as two years in prison or a fine of \$5,000, or both, < 31 U.C.C. 665(1); and yea may therefore wish also to obtain the views of the Attorney General in the matter.

ł.

The enclosure with your letter is returned herodith.

Sinearely yours,

Joseph Campbell

Comptroller General of the United States

Inclosure