

## COMPTROLLER GENERAL OF THE UNITED STATES WASHINGTON 28

NOV 14 1952

Homorable Laurie C. Battle Humber of Congress k09 Federal Building Birmingham, Alabama

My dear Mr. Battle:

I have your letter of October 16, 1952, in which you refer to an impairy made to you by members of the Vulcan Branch 530 of the Mational Association of Letter Carriers and Local 303 of the Mational Federation of Pest Office Clerks, concerning a recent Office ruling relative to the disposition of proceeds received from vending machines installed on Covernment-owned property.

You state that these organizations purchased and installed three soft drink wending machines in the Federal Building in Birmingham, Alabama, and that it is their plan to pay for the machines from the promoted, which they have done, and to use the profit for recreational and charitable purposes. You request the advice of this Office on their particular situation and information as to the law on which the referred-to Office ruling was based.

The decision to which you refer apparently is that of August 29, 1952, B-11086, 32 Comp. Can./24, to the Atterney General, and had to do with the disposition of funds received by the Federal Bureau of Investigation in connection with the installation of vending machines on Covernment-owned or controlled property. It was held therein to the effect that, in the absence of specific statutory authority to the contrary, funds received by the Federal Bureau of Investigation from the installation and operation of vending machines on Covernment-owned or controlled property are required to be deposited into the Treasury of the United Status as miscellaneous receipts under the provisions of section 3617, Revised Statutos, 31 U.S.C. h8h, and may not be used to finance employee recreation and velfare activities.

This Office has no information as to the statutory or other suthority relied upon by the above employee organisations for the installation and operation of wending machines in the Federal Building, Birmingham, Alabama, and, therefore, is unable to make any specific enswer B-112166

in the matter. However, for your information, I am enclosing two copies of Office decision of August 29, 1952, referred to above. If the employees so desire, it is suggested that the matter be taken up with the Postmaster General through regular channels. If the Postmaster General feels that there is involved a question proper for decision by this Office, the matter can be submitted by him in accordance with established procedure.

Sincerely yours.

Lindsay C. Warren

Comptroller General of the United States

Incloured