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The Honorable Steny H. Hoyer<br>Chairman, Subcommittee on Treasury, Postal<br>Service, and General Government<br>Committee on Appropriations<br>House of Representatives

Dear Mr. Chairman:
This report is in response to your March 11, 1993, request and subsequent discussions with your office. The Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act (OBRA) of 1990 required the Postal Service to reimburse the government for certain cost-of-living adjustments (COLAS) and health benefits Service retirees received during fiscal years 1972 through 1990. As requested, we prepared independent estimates of interest on the amounts the 1990 OBRA required the Service to pay and compared our estimates with the amounts contained in the President's 1994 budget proposal.

## Results in Brief

We estimated a total of $\$ 1,728.5$ million in interest, $\$ 782.5$ million higher than the $\$ 946$ million contained in the President's proposal. The estimates differed because of the choice of interest rates and principal balances used in the calculations. Over the period covered by the calculations, our interest rates averaged about 9 percent annually for colas and about 8 percent annually for health benefits, whereas 5 percent was used in calculating amounts in the President's proposal. We applied our calculated interest rates to the $\$ 2.1$ billion included in the 1990 OBRA, while the amount included in the budget was based on a higher $\$ 3.0$ billion figure calculated by the administration.

## Background

The Postal Reorganization Act, enacted in 1970 (Public Law 91-375), created the U.S. Postal Service, which replaced the Post Office Department. The Postal Service began operations July 1, 1971, the beginning of fiscal year 1972. It was to operate as an independent, business-type entity and was to (1) provide quality service and achieve financial self-sufficiency (that is, break even) over a period of years, (2) set rates based on Postal Rate Commission recommendations, (3) retain and use postal revenues, and (4) borrow for operating and investment capital.

## Retroactive Payments of COLAs and Health Benefits

For the service period ${ }^{1}$ July 1, 1971, through September 30, 1986, the government's share of the Postal Service retirees' colas and health care costs were paid through annual appropriations to the Civil Service Fund and the Federal Employees Health Benefits Plan (fehbP) Fund, respectively. However, as a result of the OBRA of 1990, the Postal Service was required to reimburse those funds retroactively for postretirement COLAS and health care costs paid to or on behalf of Service retirees for that service period. Also, beginning in fiscal year 1991, the 1990 OBRA required the Service to periodically pay the costs related to that service period for all future years. Costs related to post-fiscal year 1986 service periods are covered by other legislation.

The obra of 1990 specified that $\$ 1,685$ million be paid to the Civil Service Retirement and Disability Fund and that $\$ 455$ million be paid to the FEHBP over a 5 -year period beginning in fiscal year 1991. The $\$ 1,685$ million represented colas provided by the Civil Service Fund to Postal Service retirees during fiscal years 1972 through $1990^{2}$ and the $\$ 455$ million represented postretirement health care benefits provided by FEHBP on behalf of Postal Service retirees during the same period.

## Proposed Payments

The President's 1994 budget proposes that the Postal Service reimburse the government additional amounts for COLAS and health benefits paid Postal Service retirees between fiscal years 1972 through 1990, covering the service period of fiscal years 1972 through 1986. Currently, the Service is not required by law to make any payments in addition to the $\$ 1,685$ million or $\$ 455$ million in either interest or principal related to that service period. The administration's proposal specifies an additional $\$ 630$ million to be paid to the Civil Service Fund and $\$ 316$ million to be paid to FeHBP beginning in fiscal year 1995. The proposal assumes that these amounts and the existing legislated reimbursements of $\$ 1,685$ million and $\$ 455$ million represent the full cost of benefits that retirees received applicable to that service period.

## Objectives, Scope, and Methodology

Our objectives were to (1) estimate the amount of interest on the $\$ 1,685$ million related to colas and $\$ 455$ million related to health benefits

[^0]and (2) compare our estimated interest amount with the related amounts contained in the President's 1994 budget proposal. We did not attempt to determine the actual amounts of benefits related to the fiscal years 1972 through 1986 service period paid to Postal Service retirees.

In order to estimate the interest amount, we (1) used interest rates that approximated the prevailing rates earned on the balances of government securities held by the two funds when payments were made by those funds to or on behalf of Postal Service retirees during fiscal years 1972 through 1990 , (2) allocated portions of the $\$ 1,685$ million and $\$ 455$ million, representing the principal amounts of benefits provided to Service retirees, to each fiscal year during that period, based on the relative allocations contained in the estimates originally prepared for consideration during the passage of the 1990 OBRA, (3) calculated the yearly interest on a monthly basis with semiannual compounding of interest, and (4) added all the years' interest to obtain a total estimated amount.

To compare the interest amount we estimated and the amounts contained in the President's proposal, we identified the assumptions and techniques used in determining the amounts cited in the President's proposal.

We conducted our study in Washington, D.C., from April through June 1993, in accordance with generally accepted government auditing standards. We met with the Postal Service to discuss our estimates and included their comments, where applicable, in our report.

## Estimated Interest Exceeds the Amount in the President's 1994 Budget Proposal

Table 1: Estimated Interest Amounts Calculated by GAO Compared to the Amounts in the President's 1994 Budget Proposal

As shown in table 1, we estimated the total interest to be $\$ 1,728.5$ million, which exceeds the amounts contained in the President's 1994 budget proposal by $\$ 782.5$ million. Appendix I contains a detailed description of our assumptions and the methodology we used in calculating the interest. Appendix II lists the annual estimated interest.

| Dollars in millions |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | GAO <br> calculations | President's 1994 <br> budget proposal | Difference |

> The two primary reasons for the difference are (1) the interest rates used and (2) the principal amounts representing payments made to or on behalf of Postal Service retirees.

## Lower Interest Rates Were Used in Determining the President's Proposed Amounts

In determining the amount to include in the President's 1994 proposed budget, a 5 -percent interest rate was used for each fiscal year for both the COLAs and health benefits, primarily because the Office of Personnel Management (OPM) uses this rate in long-term actuarial determinations. The interest rates used in our calculations-which were based on the average interest rate on each fund's outstanding balance during the last month of each fiscal year-were significantly higher. We applied the fiscal year-end rates to each year's payments. In essence, our calculations show the amount of interest that would have been earned by the funds had the payment amounts been available for investment. During the 21-year period, the average rate for the Civil Service Fund which financed the colas was about 9 percent and ranged from a low of 6.125 percent to a high of 14.875 percent. For the same period, the average rate for the FEHBP Fund was about 8 percent, with a range of from 3.712 percent to 15.781 percent.

Figure 1 shows the interest rates we used for the 21-year period compared to the 5 percent used in the President's proposal.

Figure 1: Comparison of Interest Rates Used for Fiscal Years 1972 Through 1992


Different Estimated
Principal Amounts Were Used

The principal amounts represent the costs of colas and health benefits received by Postal Service retirees during fiscal years 1972 through 1990 for the service period of fiscal years 1972 through 1986. The principal amounts used in our calculations were the $\$ 1,685$ million representing COLAS and the $\$ 455$ million representing health benefits, both contained in the 1990 Obra. We chose these amounts because they were contained in law.

However, the principal amounts used in determining the President's proposed budget amounts were higher. The President's proposal used amounts originally determined as a basis for legislative consideration during the passage of the 1990 obra. The amounts were determined by the Office of Management and Budget (омв) and the Congressional Budget Office (CBO) with input from OPM. The principal amounts for COLAS was $\$ 1,947$ million and for health benefits, $\$ 1,087$ million. However, numerous adjustments-primarily credits allowed for Postal Service payments pursuant to other obras-reduced those amounts to the $\$ 1,685$ million and $\$ 455$ million in the 1990 OBRA.

As shown in table 2, the amounts contained in the President's 1994 proposal were determined by (1) calculating an approximate annual 5 -percent interest on each year's allocated portion of the total principal amounts of $\$ 1,947$ million for colas and $\$ 1,087$ million for health benefits, and compounding the interest annually, (2) summing the principal and total interest, and (3) subtracting the $\$ 1,685$ million and $\$ 455$ million contained in the 1990 OBRA and other credits and related interest on payments made (or to be made) by the Service pursuant to the 1990 OBRA and other obras.

Table 2: Interest To Be Paid by the Postal Service as Calculated for the President's 1994 Budget Proposal

Dollars in millions

|  | COLAs | Health benefits |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Principal | $\$ 1,947$ | $\$ 1,087$ |
| Interest | 977 | 500 |
| Subtotal | 2,924 | 1,587 |

Less credits:

| 1990 OBRA | $(1,685)$ | $(455)$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Other $^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $(609)$ | $(8+6)$ |
| Amounts contained in the proposal | $\$ 630$ | $\$ 316$ |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ This amount includes credits allowed from the 1986 and 1987 OBRAs and related interest as well as interest related to the reimbursed portions of the $\$ 1,685$ million and $\$ 455$ million, per the 1990 OBRA.

Even though the higher principal amounts increased the amounts contained in the President's proposal, the higher interest rates used in our calculations resulted in a higher overall interest amount. The principal amount related to colas used in the proposal was $\$ 262$ million more than the $\$ 1,685$ million used in our calculations. However, the higher interest rate we used yielded $\$ 835.6$ million more in interest than the amount contained in the proposed President's budget.

The opposite was true for health benefits. The principal used in deriving the amounts in the proposal was $\$ 632$ million higher than the $\$ 455$ million we used. However, even though our average annual interest rate was about 3 percent higher than the 5 percent used in the proposal, the higher principal used in the proposal yielded $\$ 53.1$ million more than our calculations.


#### Abstract

As agreed with your office, unless you publicly announce the contents of this report earlier, we will not distribute it until 30 days from the date of this letter. At that time, we will send copies to the Postmaster General; the Director of the Office of Management and Budget; the Director of the Congressional Budget Office; the Chairmen and Ranking Minority Members of the House and Senate Appropriations Committees, House and Senate Budget Committees, House Post Office and Civil Service Committee, Senate Governmental Affairs Committee, House Committee on Government Operations, Senate Subcommittee on Treasury, Postal Service, and General Government of the Committee on Appropriations, and Senate Subcommittee on Federal Services, Postal Services, and Civil Service of the Committee on Governmental Affairs; the Ranking Minority Member of the House Subcommittee on Treasury, Postal Service, and General Government of the Committee on Appropriations; and other interested congressional committees. Copies will be made available to others on request.


Please contact me at (202) 512-8549 if you or your staff have any questions concerning this report. Major contributors to this report are listed in appendix III.

Sincerely yours,


John W. Hill, Jr.
Director, Audit Support and Analysis Group

## Contents

Letter ..... 1
Appendix I ..... 10
GAO Assumptions andMethodology forInterest Calculation
Appendix II ..... 12
Annual Estimated
Interest
Appendix III ..... 15
Major Contributors to This Report
Tables
Table 1: Estimated Interest Amounts Calculated by GAO ..... 3 Compared to the Amounts in the President's 1994 Budget Proposal
Table 2: Interest To Be Paid by the Postal Service as Calculated ..... 6 for the President's 1994 Budget Proposal
Table II.1: Interest Related to COLAs Paid to or on Behalf of ..... 13
Postal Service Retirees for the Service Period for Fiscal Years 1972 Through 1986
Table II.2: Interest Related to Health Benefits Paid on Behalf of ..... 14
Postal Service Retirees for the Service Period for Fiscal Years 1972 Through 1986Figure 1: Comparison of Interest Rates Used for Fiscal Years 19725
FigureThrough 1992
Abbreviations

CBO Congressional Budget Office
FEHBP Federal Employees Health Benefit Plan OBRA Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act OMB Office of Management and Budget OPM Office of Personnel Management

# GAO Assumptions and Methodology for Interest Calculation 


#### Abstract

The assumptions we made and the methodology we used in calculating the interest amount reflect existing interest rates and principal payments made from fiscal years 1972 through 1992, when the Civil Service Fund and FEHBP made payments to or on behalf of Postal Service retirees. We believe these amounts reasonably approximate the interest.


## Assumptions Made on the Principal

The principal amounts we assumed- $\$ 1,685$ million for coLAs and
$\$ 455$ million for health benefits-were the amounts contained in the 1990
OBRA that the Postal Service was required to pay. We assumed that these
amounts represented the principal amount of payments made to or on
behalf of Postal Service retirees by the two funds. Although these amounts
may actually include interest as well as principal, we chose them because
they had gone through the scrutiny of the legislative process and are
contained in law.
In estimating the principal amounts for consideration during the passage
of the 1990 oBRA, OMB and cBO obtained input from opm (administrators of
both the Civil Service Fund and FEHBP) and employed actuarial techniques
and present value computations. The original amounts estimated were
$\$ 1,947$ million for coLAS and $\$ 1,087$ million for health benefits. However,
the Congress reduced these amounts, primarily by numerous credits
allowed for previous OBRAS, to arrive at the $\$ 1,685$ million and $\$ 455$ million.
The original OMB and CBO principal estimates were calculated on a fiscal year basis. We allocated the $\$ 1,685$ million and $\$ 455$ million to each of the fiscal years based on the same relative annual amounts contained in the original 0 MB and cBo principal estimates. In addition, the allocation of the $\$ 1,685$ million included adjustments for the 15 -year period during which opm normally amortizes the colas.

## Assumptions Made on the Interest Rates

We selected two interest rates, one applicable to the Civil Service Fund and one to FEHBP, rather than one rate applicable to overall government borrowings. We used the rates applicable to both funds because they financed the benefits received by the Postal Service retirees.

In operation, these funds invest in various Treasury securities when payments are received and redeem the securities back to Treasury to acquire cash for required benefit payments. Consequently, the interest rates applicable to the $\$ 1,685$ million and the $\$ 455$ million represent, in
effect, the interest earnings lost because these amounts were unavailable for investment.

The interest rate used on the $\$ 1,685$ million applicable to the Civil Service Fund was the rate applicable for its investments. All excess Civil Service Fund receipts are required to be invested in nonmarketable Treasury securities, commonly referred to as par value specials, and can be redeemed at any time at their face, or "par." The interest rate for these securities is based on the average rate for comparable marketable securities, as defined by Treasury, with 4 or more years to maturity. This rate is determined monthly and all investments during the month must bear the same rate. Although the interest rate is subject to monthly fluctuations, we used the fiscal year-end rate, because at fiscal year-end ${ }^{3}$ the Civil Service Fund receives from the Postal Service a lump-sum payment for certain specified liabilities in accordance with 39 U.S.C. 1005(d) and 5 U.S.C. 8348(m). Also, we compounded the interest semiannually on June 30 and December 31, because interest on the trust fund investments is compounded on those dates.

Unlike the Civil Service Fund, FEHBP is not allowed to invest in par value specials. Instead, its excess funds are invested in nonmarketable Treasury securities that correspond to securities that Treasury has issued to the public. The investments available to FEHBP carry several interest rates and maturity periods. The three main types of investments are Treasury bills which mature in 1 year or less, notes which mature between 1 year and 10 years, and bonds with maturity dates beyond 10 years. We assumed fehbp would have purchased Treasury bills.

Treasury bills have three basic maturities: 91 days, 182 days, and 364 days. However, we selected the average yield rate during the last month of the fiscal year on the Treasury bills. This rate is based on an average yield of the three maturities. Also, over the 21-year period covered by our calculations, the Treasury bill rate was lower than the yields on (1) 3-year bonds and notes and (2) 10-year-and-beyond bonds, resulting in the lowest calculated interest amount. Since all investments, except 91 -day and 364-day bills, pay interest semiannually, we compounded the interest semiannually. Although the semiannual payment date may vary, we used June 30 and December 31 for consistency with the Civil Service Fund.

[^1]
## Annual Estimated Interest

> We calculated each fiscal year's interest, on a monthly basis, separately for the colas and health benefits and then added the monthly amounts to arrive at a total for each year. Each month's calculation involved dividing the related year's interest rate by 12 and multiplying it by the sum of three figures. The first figure was one-twelfth of the annual allocated amount of principal. ${ }^{4}$ For simplicity, we assumed that payments were made from the Civil Service Fund and FEHBP in equal monthly amounts. The second figure was the accumulated amounts of all prior periods' allocated principal less any related repayments made in accordance with the 1990 obra. The third figure was the amount of the monthly accrued interest compounded semiannually.

Because (1) interest is calculated monthly and compounded semiannually and (2) the prior periods' principal is added to each period's principal for the interest calculation, interest amounts in these tables cannot be derived by simply multiplying the annual allocated principal by the related years interest rate. The estimated interest related to colas and health benefits appears in tables II. 1 and II.2, respectively.
${ }^{4}$ There were two exceptions. Fiscal year 1976 covered a 15 -month period, making the fraction one-fifteenth. Fiscal year 1973 covered only a 6 -month period (for COLAs only), making the fraction one-sixth.

## Appendix II <br> Annnal Estimated Interest

Table II.1: Interest Related to COLAs Paid to or on Behalf of Postal Service Retirees for the Service Period for Fiscal Years 1972 Through 1986

Dollars in thousands

| Fiscal year | Annual allocated principal | Interest rate (percent) | Interest |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1972 | \$ ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | a | \$ |
| $1973^{6}$ | 168.5 | 6.125 | 3.0 |
| 1974 | 1,516.5 | 7.625 | 76.6 |
| 1975 | 4,381.0 | 7.375 | 309.3 |
| 1976 | 8,762.0 | 7.125 | 1,015.1 |
| 1977 | 14,322.5 | 7.000 | 1,706.2 |
| 1978 | 20,388.5 | 8.250 | 3,643.9 |
| 1979 | 29,487.5 | 9.000 | 6,644.9 |
| 1980 | 47,180.0 | 11.125 | 13,485.3 |
| 1981 | 69,759.0 | 14.875 | 29,453.9 |
| 1982 | 94,023.0 | 12.250 | 38,188.2 |
| 1983 | 120,646.0 | 11.875 | 54,943.0 |
| 1984 | 125,532.5 | 12.750 | 82,612.9 |
| 1985 | 142,214.0 | 10.375 | 89,806.1 |
| 1986 | 148,617.0 | 7.250 | 79,610.5 |
| 1987 | 156,031.0 | 9.000 | 120,914.0 |
| 1988 | 187,709.0 | 9.250 | 152,067.7 |
| 1989 | 231,013.5 | 8.375 | 168,335.4 |
| 1990 | 283,248.5 | 8.875 | 217,536.6 |
| 1991 | a | 7.875 | 220,326.2 |
| 1992 | a | 6.625 | 184,966.6 |
| Total | \$1,685,000.0 |  | ,465,645.4 |

${ }^{\text {a We did }}$ not calculate this interest amount because the fiscal year 1972 Postal Service Retirees' COLA was not included in the OBRA of 1990.

Interest was calculated for only 6 months in fiscal year 1973 since at that time COLAs were paid on the calendar year basis, and the calendar year began in January.
${ }^{\text {cBeginning in fiscal year 1991, the } 1990 \text { OBRA required the Service to pay the costs related to the }}$ service period 1972-86, periodically, in all future years.

Table II.2: Interest Related to Health Benefits Paid on Behalf of Postal Service Retirees for the Service Period for Fiscal Years 1972 Through 1986

Dollars in thousands

| Fiscal year | Annual allocated <br> principal | Interest rate <br> (percent) | Interest |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1972 | $\$ 45.5$ | 4.188 | $\$ 1.0$ |
| 1973 | 227.5 | 6.591 | 11.3 |
| 1974 | 500.5 | 8.416 | 47.6 |
| 1975 | 864.5 | 6.253 | 82.5 |
| 1976 | $1,456.0$ | 5.784 | 188.7 |
| 1977 | $1,547.0$ | 5.656 | 244.4 |
| 1978 | $4,368.0$ | 7.668 | 592.2 |
| 1979 | $6,097.0$ | 10.110 | $1,396.8$ |
| 1980 | $8,372.0$ | 10.436 | $2,375.3$ |
| 1981 | $12,421.5$ | 15.781 | $5,770.7$ |
| 1982 | $17,017.0$ | 12.089 | $6,932.1$ |
| 1983 | $23,569.0$ | 9.560 | $8,138.8$ |
| 1984 | $32,077.5$ | 11.069 | $13,607.9$ |
| 1985 | $39,903.5$ | 8.113 | $14,005.6$ |
| 1986 | $45,136.0$ | 6.324 | $14,518.2$ |
| 1987 | $47,729.5$ | 6.338 | $18,496.2$ |
| 1988 | $59,696.0$ | 7.329 | $26,878.4$ |
| 1989 | $76,667.5$ | 8.527 | $39,707.4$ |
| 1990 | $77,304.5$ | 7.976 | $46,548.5$ |
| 1991 |  | $a$ | 5.954 |
| 1992 | $\$ 455,000.0$ | 3.712 | $23,503.8$ |
| Total |  |  | $\$ 262,910.4$ |

abeginning in fiscal year 1991, the 1990 OBRA required the Service to pay the costs related to the service period 1972-86, periodically, in all future years.
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[^0]:    'Service period refers to the period worked by employees in which postretirement benefits (in this case, COLAs and health care) were earned or to which benefits are attributable.
    ${ }^{2}$ This report refers to three periods: (1) the service period described in footnote 1 relates to fiscal years 1972 through 1986, (2) the period during which benefits were paid, fiscal years 1972 through 1990, and (3) the period for which we estimated interest, fiscal years 1972 through 1992.

[^1]:    ${ }^{3}$ Fiscal years 1972 through 1975 were 12-month periods ending June 30; fiscal year 1976 was a 15 -month period ending September 30; and fiscal years 1977 through 1992 were 12 -month periods ending September 30.

