

United States General Accounting Office Washington, D.C. 20548

Health, Education and Human Services Division

B-261410

June 28, 1995

The Honorable William V. Roth, Jr. Chairman, Committee on Governmental Affairs United States Senate

Dear Mr. Chairman:

This letter responds to your April 13, 1995 request that we elaborate on and clarify information presented in our testimony before the Senate Committee on Governmental Affairs on January 25, 1995. The testimony provided information on the incomes and relative poverty status of families receiving Aid to Families With Dependent Children (AFDC) and a comparison of these families' incomes with those of working poor families not receiving AFDC.

On the basis of subsequent discussions with your staff, this letter provides information on (1) the programs that constitute the nation's welfare system; (2) AFDC families' participation in multiple welfare programs; (3) the maximum potential benefit package from AFDC, food stamps, housing assistance, and Medicaid; and (4) the reasons why our estimate of AFDC families' median income was lower than the average benefit levels cited by administration officials for AFDC, food stamps, and housing. This letter also summarizes the results of our analysis of low-income families' relative poverty status.

#### BACKGROUND

Analyses of families' benefit receipt--especially when families receive multiple benefits--can be done in several ways. In our January 25 testimony, we provided one such analysis of low-income families' benefit receipt and incomes, which was based on data from a national household survey, the Census Bureau's March 1994 Current Population Survey (CPS). The CPS gathers data on families' incomes

Low-Income Families: Comparison of Incomes of AFDC Families and Working Poor Families (GAO/T-HEHS-95-63, Jan. 25, 1995).

and benefit receipt. We analyzed three-person, female-headed AFDC families' receipt of five benefits--AFDC, food stamps, housing assistance, Medicaid, and school lunches. We compared these families' median monthly income with the incomes of working poor families not receiving AFDC.

We relied on the CPS database for our analysis because it is the only national database available that provides cash equivalents for the benefit package you asked us to examine, according to Census Bureau officials. Moreover, using household survey data for our analysis enabled us to use a consistent methodology in comparing AFDC and low-income families' incomes and is similar to the methodology we used in our 1987 report on AFDC families' incomes and relative poverty status, prepared at your request.<sup>3</sup>

In subsequent testimony before the House Committee on Agriculture, Subcommittee on Department Operations, Nutrition, and Foreign Agriculture, we provided an overview of the nearly 80 programs that constitute the welfare system. We are providing you a copy of this testimony today (Means-Tested Programs: An Overview, Problems, and Issues (GAO/T-HEHS-95-76, Feb. 7, 1995). We recently issued a report that expanded on issues presented in that testimony and updated program expenditure data to fiscal year 1993. (See enclosure I for a complete list of the 80 programs and their expenditures for fiscal year 1993.)

In our February 7 testimony, we also identified welfare programs for which AFDC families may be eligible. However, we did not specify the extent to which AFDC families actually participate in each of these programs or the dollar value of the benefits derived from participating in all possible programs because the data were not available to enable us to do so.

The CPS provides information not only on families' earned incomes and cash assistance but also has cash equivalents for Medicaid, food stamps, housing assistance, and school lunches. The Census Bureau calculates cash equivalents for both public housing and Section 8 rental assistance.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Welfare: Income and Relative Poverty Status of AFDC Families (GAO/HRD-88-9, Nov. 4, 1987).

Welfare Programs: Opportunities to Consolidate and Increase Program Efficiencies (GAO/HEHS-95-139, May 31, 1995).

### OVERVIEW OF FEDERAL MEANS-TESTED PROGRAMS

Since the passage of the Social Security Act in 1935, nearly 80 programs have been enacted to help meet the needs of low-income individuals and families. Taken together, these programs constitute the nation's welfare system of means-tested programs. Authorized by different congressional committees at different junctures, these programs were created to help meet the specific needs of various groups of low-income people. The numbers of programs in this system, and the costs involved, have raised concerns, however, that the system is too costly and complex and should be overhauled. In fiscal year 1993 alone, the federal government spent about \$223 billion to assist low-income Americans of all ages. These expenditures accounted for approximately 16 percent of the fiscal year 1993 federal budget.

Many of these programs are also partially funded by the states; when state dollars are included, the total amount of spending in fiscal year 1993 reached \$311 billion for these programs. Federal spending for these programs grew from \$80 billion in fiscal year 1980; in inflation-adjusted dollars, this represents a 58-percent increase. Table 1 highlights the federal spending levels in some of the largest programs in each six areas of need: (1) cash assistance; (2) medical benefits; (3) food and nutrition; (4) housing; (5) education and training; and (6) other services, such as child care.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>Means-tested programs are restricted to individuals or families whose income falls below defined levels and who meet certain other eligibility criteria established for each program. To qualify for assistance, applicants generally must show proof of income and other documentation, which administering agencies must then verify.

# Table 1: Selected Means-Tested Programs, by Type of Assistance

### Dollars in billions

Type of assistance/program	Fiscal year 1993 estimated expenditures
Income support	
Aid to Families With Dependent Children	\$13.8
Supplemental Security Income	21.8
Earned Income Credit	10.9
Medical care	
Medicaid	75.0
Medical Care for Certain Veterans	8.3
Food and nutrition	
Food Stamps	23.7
School Lunch	3.8
Special Supplemental Food Program for Women, Infants and Children	2.9
School Breakfast	0.9
Housing	
Section 8 Housing Assistance	11.2
Low-Rent Public Housing	6.2
Education and training	
Stafford Loans and Pell Grants	11.6
Job Training Partnership Act	3.5
Head Start	2.8
Job Opportunities and Basic Skills Training Program	0.7
Other services	
Social Services Block Grant	2.8
Child Care and Development Block Grant	0.9
Child CareAFDC, Transitional, and At-Risk	0.8
Community Services Block Grant	0.4

Source: Congressional Research Service; the Joint Tax Committee; and the Departments of Health and Human Services, Education, Agriculture (USDA), and Housing and Urban Development (HUD).

Although the nation's welfare system consists of about 80 means-tested programs, a handful of programs accounts for most of the federal spending them programs and has driven much of the spending growth. The five largest programs-Medicaid, Food Stamps, AFDC, Supplemental Security Income (SSI), and Section 8 Housing Assistance--accounted for 65 percent of federal spending for means-tested programs in fiscal year 1993, about \$145 billion. Federal spending on these programs has more than doubled since 1980 in inflation-adjusted dollars.

Four of these programs--Medicaid, Food Stamps, SSI, and AFDC--are entitlement programs. Entitlement programs guarantee assistance to individuals or families as long as they meet the income and eligibility tests. The Section 8 Housing Assistance program, as well as many other meanstested programs, is a nonentitlement program and, as such, does not guarantee assistance to all who qualify but provides qualified applicants support until funds are depleted.

### MANY AFDC FAMILIES RECEIVE ASSISTANCE FROM MULTIPLE PROGRAMS

Low-income families are likely to be eligible for and participate in several means-tested programs, depending on the programs' specific eligibility criteria and availability. Families receiving AFDC, for example, are automatically eligible for Medicaid; most also qualify for food stamps. Because both are entitlement programs, every AFDC family that qualifies and applies will receive benefits. In contrast, housing assistance is not an entitlement program, and its availability is limited. 6

In addition to these four major assistance programs, an AFDC family may be eligible for several other programs and services. A mother receiving AFDC, for example, may volunteer, or in some instances be required, to attend

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>As we noted in our January 25 testimony, all AFDC families participate in Medicaid, while only about 37 percent of AFDC families reported receiving housing assistance in 1993.

school or training, paid for through a federal training program. She may also receive child care assistance so that she can attend training, school, or work. Further, the family could receive other benefits, such as school breakfasts and lunches, cash assistance to pay energy bills, and additional food assistance for pregnant mothers under the Special Supplemental Food Program for Women, Infants and Children. An AFDC family could potentially be eligible for a wide range of means-tested programs, as shown in table 2.

### Table 2: AFDC Families' Program Eligibility

	Programs	Generally Eligible and Entitled to Benefits	Generally Eligible, But Availability of Benefits Limited	Eligibility and Availability of Benefits Limited	Generally Not Eligible
Income Support	AFDC SSI Earned Income Tax Gredf Pensions for Needy Veterans Footer Care Adoption Assistance Assistance to Refugees and Cuban/Haitian Entraints Emergency Assistance Selected Compensation for Veterans General Assistance to Indians				
Medical Care	Medical Care for Certain Veterans Invian Health Services Maternal and Child Health Services Block Grant Community Health Centers Title X Family Planning Services Migrant Health Centers Migrant Assistance to Refugees	•	•	:	and the property local
Food & Nutrillon	Food Starras School Lunch Program Special Supplemental Food Program School Breakhast Program Child and Adult Care Food Program Nutrition Program for the Elderly Emergency Food Assistance Program Surgency Food Assistance Program Surgency Food Service Program for Children Commodity Supplemental Food Program Food Distribution on Indian Reservations Special MIR Program Special MIR Program				
Housing	Section 8 Low-Income Housing Assistance Low-Rent Public Housing Rural Housing Loans Section 236 Interest Reduction Payments Low-income Home Energy Assistance Rural Rental Housing Loans Rural Rental Assistance Section 101 Rent Supplements Section 125 Homeownership Farm Labor Housing Loans and Grants Rural Housing Repair Loans and Grants Rural Housing Repair Loans and Grants Indian Housing Improvement Grants Indian Housing Improvement Grants Rural Self-Help Technical Assistance Home Investment Partnerships Homeownership and Opportunity for People	at.		•	
Education and Training	Ediposition Stafford Loans Pell Grants Head Start College Work-Study Programs Supplemental Educational Opportunity Grants Pederal TRIO Programs Citapter I Migrant Education Program Petrints Loans Stule Student Incentive Grant Programs Fellowships for Graduate Study Health Professions Student Loans Follow Through Migrant High School Equivalency Program Elender Fellowships College Assistance Migrant Program Child Development Associate Scholanships Training Le Training to Disadventeiged Adults and Youth Summer Youth Employment and Talming Job Corps Job Opportunities and Basso Sidb Senior Companions Senjor Companions Senjor Companions				
Other Services	Social Services Block Grant Child Care and Development Block Grant AFDC Child Care AF-Risk Child Care Community Services Block Grants Legal Services Emergency Food and Shelter Program Social Services to Refugees		•		•

Many means-tested programs require that low-income families meet specific eligibility criteria in addition to income to qualify. Several means-tested education and training programs, for example, are designed exclusively for migrant workers; several types of housing assistance are directed at rural households. Thus, while AFDC families could be eligible for such assistance, many would not qualify on the basis of these additional requirements. Comprehensive data are not available on the extent to which AFDC families are eligible for means-tested programs with additional eligibility criteria or the extent to which they receive benefits from each of the programs for which they are eligible. Without such data, we cannot place a dollar value on the full array of benefits that AFDC families may be receiving.

### ALTERNATIVE ANALYSIS OF LOW-INCOME FAMILIES' INCOMES

The analysis of low-income families' incomes that we presented in our January 25 testimony focused on the most significant of the means-tested programs available to AFDC families--AFDC, food stamps, housing assistance, and Medicaid. We focused on these benefits, as well as school lunches, because of their significance and because cash equivalents were readily available through the CPS.

In our analysis of AFDC families' incomes, we included all female-headed, three-person AFDC families. As a result, our analysis of AFDC families' median incomes included families who did not receive all five types of benefits, as well as those who did. To estimate the maximum benefits families may receive, we reanalyzed the CPS data, this time including only AFDC families who received all five types of benefits. Nearly one-fourth of AFDC families reported receiving such benefits.

In addition, on the basis of technical clarifications from Census Bureau officials on the methodologies they used to develop cash equivalents, we adjusted upward our cash equivalent calculations for housing assistance and Medicaid. Attaching a dollar value to Medicaid benefits is especially complex and controversial. For that reason, we used two different valuation approaches for Medicaid--

We did not include SSI in our analysis because AFDC recipients are not eliqible for SSI.

fungible and market value. The Census Bureau has adopted the fungible value approach.

To measure the dollar value of this benefit package, we estimated the median monthly income of the AFDC families who received such benefits. The median monthly income for these families was \$903 when Medicaid was valued using the fungible valuation approach; the median monthly income increased to \$1,090 when Medicaid benefits were valued at As stated earlier, the estimates presented in our market. testimony--\$633 (fungible) and \$767 (market)--represent the median incomes of all families receiving AFDC, whereas our revised estimates include only those families who received all five types of benefits. All income amounts represent medians; individual families may have benefits valued considerably higher, or lower, than the median. example, about 5 percent of the AFDC families who received all five types of benefits had monthly incomes totaling at least \$1,524.

We also calculated the median dollar value for each individual benefit to compare our estimates with the average benefit amounts cited in your letter. Table 1 shows a breakdown of the median incomes from each of the five benefits.

The fungible value approach counts Medicaid benefits as income only to the extent that they free up resources that could have been spent on medical care. For example, if family income is not sufficient for the family's basic food and housing requirements, the fungible value methodology treats Medicaid as having no income value.

The market value is calculated by dividing actual expenditures by the number of people enrolled in a given risk class.

Table 1: AFDC Families' Median Monthly Income, by Type of Assistance

Type of assistance/ program	Median monthly income
AFDC	\$283
Food stamps	175
Housing	213
School lunch	52
Medicaid (market value)	\$329

Source: March CPS, 1994.

## COMPARISON OF MEDIAN INCOMES AND AVERAGE BENEFIT LEVELS

The median incomes in table 1 are lower than the benefit amounts that administration representatives cited for AFDC, food stamps, and housing assistance. As cited in your correspondence, these benefit levels were as follows: \$370 per month for AFDC, \$300 per month for food stamps, and \$500 per month for housing assistance for a family of three. We have identified several factors to help explain why the incomes estimated using the CPS were lower than those obtained using administrative program data, as noted below.

One factor is that the benefit level cited for the Food Stamp Program--\$300--approximates the maximum monthly potential benefit available to a three-person family as of January 1994, assuming the family has no countable income. Defect are counted as income, however, to determine food stamp benefit levels. Under Food Stamp Program rules, a family's food stamp benefit is reduced by \$.30 for each dollar of countable cash income; certain amounts are

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup>The maximum food stamp benefit for a three-person household as of September 1994 was \$295 in the 48 contiguous states and the District of Columbia; food stamp maximum benefits are higher in Alaska and Hawaii.

excluded from consideration as cash income. A family receiving assistance from both AFDC and the Food Stamp Program may thus not be eligible for the maximum food stamp benefit. AFDC households that also received food stamps had monthly food stamp benefits averaging \$232 in 1993, according to recently published USDA data.

Other factors that contributed to our per program estimates being lower than those cited by administration officials are associated with the data source we used. The CPS is an annual household survey; as a result, households that reported receiving AFDC or other benefits at some time the previous year may not have been receiving such assistance the whole year. For example, about 30 percent of the AFDC families included in our revised analysis did not receive AFDC benefits the whole year; about 20 percent of AFDC families received food stamps for less than the whole year. As a result, these households' benefits, as recorded on the CPS, are lower than they would have been had the households received benefits the whole year. In addition, the CPS generally relies on self-reported data from households; research indicates that survey respondents generally underreport incomes and benefit receipt.

Moreover, the housing assistance amounts in the CPS for families residing in public housing or receiving section 8 rental assistance are not amounts that survey respondents reported. Rather, Census imputed these amounts to

<sup>11</sup>For AFDC households, food stamp benefits are calculated by deducting from the family's AFDC benefit the maximum deductions allowed under the Food Stamp Program for a nonaged, nondisabled family: a total of \$338. This consists of a standard deduction of \$131, given in all households, plus a deduction of \$207 for excess shelter costs.

<sup>12</sup>According to Food Stamp Program administrative data, AFDC households receiving food stamps averaged 3.3 household members. In comparison, three-person food stamp households received food stamp benefits averaging \$215 per month. (See Characteristics of Food Stamp Households, Summer of 1993, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Food and Consumer Service, Office of Analysis and Evaluation (Washington, D.C.: May 1995.)

individual families in the survey. 13 In the CPS data we used for our analysis, the highest possible monthly housing assistance was \$336. Thus, the housing benefit amounts in the CPS do not reflect housing subsidies actually paid by HUD or the costs of public housing.

## LOW-INCOME FAMILIES' RELATIVE POVERTY STATUS

Using our revised analysis of AFDC families' incomes, we compared AFDC families' relative poverty status with that of working poor families not receiving AFDC. We found that the median monthly income for AFDC families receiving all five types of benefits was below the poverty threshold of \$1,027 for a family of three when Medicaid was valued using the fungible approach (\$903). When valued at market, Medicaid benefits increased these families' median incomes to a level slightly above the poverty threshold (\$1,090).

In comparison, working poor non-AFDC families had a median monthly income of \$905 (fungible) or \$936 (market). Thus, when comparing AFDC and working poor families' incomes, the method used to value Medicaid determines both whether AFDC families' median income is above or below the poverty threshold, as well as whether it is higher than or comparable with that of working poor families.

Thus, working poor families had median monthly incomes comparable with--or lower than--AFDC families, depending on

<sup>13</sup>The characteristics that determine the housing assistance income amount are region of the country, annual family income, and the number of bedrooms in the dwelling. Under Census' imputation scheme, there are four regions of the country, three income ranges, and three bedroom number categories.

<sup>14</sup>For our analysis, we defined working poor as non-AFDC female-headed families of three, in which the family head worked full time for a whole year and earned up to 1-1/4 times the minimum wage or \$921 per month.

<sup>15</sup> Working poor non-AFDC families may be eligible for and receive other types of assistance, including food stamps, housing assistance, and Medicaid. The median incomes we cite here are somewhat higher than those we reported in our testimony--\$819 (fungible) and \$926 (market)--due to the adjustments we made in our cash equivalent calculations for housing assistance and Medicaid.

the method used to value Medicaid benefits. Moreover, as we noted in our testimony, working poor families may incur significant work-related costs, such as paid child care. Working poor families with child care costs spent on average \$260 a month, according to a Census Bureau survey. Deducting such costs from working poor families' median monthly income would increase the number of such families that are financially worse off than some AFDC families.

If you or your staff have any questions concerning this letter, please contact me at (202) 512-7215.

Sincerely yours,

Jane L. Ross

Jane L. Ross

Director, Income Security Issues

Enclosure - 1

# ESTIMATED EXPENDITURES FOR MEANS-TESTED ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS (Fiscal Year 1993)

Table I.1: Summary of Welfare Programs (Fiscal Year 1993)

		Estimated expenditures (in millions)			
Benefit category	Federal	State	Total		
Income support	\$53,325	\$20,356	\$73,681		
Medical care	86,293	61,493	147,786		
Food and nutrition	33,185	1,544	34,729		
Housing	22,757	64	22,821		
Education	16,690	632	17,322		
Training	4,733	562	5,295		
Services	5,536	3,866	9,402		
Total	\$222,519	\$88,517	\$311,036		

Table I.2: Income Support Programs (Fiscal Year 1993)

	Estin			
Program	Federal	State	Total	Average monthly recipients (in thousands)
Aid to Families With Dependent Children	<b>\$</b> 13, <b>7</b> 57	\$11,302	\$25,059	14,144 <sup>b</sup>
Supplemental Security Income <sup>a</sup>	21,801	3,908	25,709	6,021°
Earned Income Credit <sup>a, d</sup>	10,883	6	10,883	14,004
Pensions for Needy Veterans, Their Dependents, and Survivors <sup>a</sup>	3,477	0	3,477	896
Foster Care*	2,524	1,171	3,695	233
General Assistance (nonmedical care component)	0	3,350	3,350	1,168
Adoption Assistance <sup>f</sup>	272	231	503	78
Assistance to Refugees and Cuban/Haitian Entrants (cash components)	74	0	74	26
Emergency Assistance	394	394	788	165 <sup>t</sup>
Dependency and Indemnity Compensation and death compensation for parents of veterans	60	0	60	30
General Assistance to Indians	83	0	83	56
Total	\$53,325	\$20,356	\$73,681	8

<sup>\*</sup>Congressional Research Service.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup>Children and/or parents.

Annual number.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>d</sup>Data are from the Joint Tax Committee and refer to the calendar year in which the credit was received.

Benefits exclude tax expenditures (reductions in taxes owed), which totalled \$2.3 billion in 1993.

Not available.

Department of Health and Human Services.

Families or households.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>h</sup>Because of overlap, and in some cases because of mixture of monthly and annual numbers and mixture of dwelling units, loans, grants, children, families, and households, recipient totals are not shown.

Table I.3: Medical Care Programs (Fiscal Year 1993)

	Estima	Estimated expenditures (in millions)			
Program	Federal	State	Total	Average monthly recipients (in thousands)	
Medicaid*	<b>\$7</b> 4,953	\$55,886	\$130,839	33,432 <sup>b</sup>	
Medical Care for Veterans Without Service- Connected Disability <sup>c</sup>	8,349	0	8,349	601 <sup>d</sup>	
General Assistance (medical care component)	0	5,189	5,189		
Indian Health Services <sup>c</sup>	1,525	0	1,525	1,300 <sup>b</sup>	
Maternal and Child Health Services Block Grant	558	418	976	11,600	
Community Health Centers <sup>c</sup>	559	•	559	6,200 <sup>b</sup>	
Title X Family Planning Services <sup>c</sup>	173	0	173	4,000 <sup>b</sup>	
Migrant Health Centers	57	ė.	57	550 <sup>b</sup>	
Medical Assistance to Refugees and Cuban/Haitian Entrants*	119	0	119	37	
Total	\$86,293	\$61,493	\$147,786	1	

<sup>\*</sup>Department of Health and Human Services.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup>Annual number.

<sup>\*</sup>Congressional Research Service.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>d</sup>Annual number of episodes.

Not available.

Because of overlap, and in some cases because of mixture of monthly and annual numbers and mixture of dwelling units, loans, grants, children, families, and households, recipient totals are not shown.

Table I.4: Food and Nutrition Programs (Fiscal Year 1993)

	Estim	ated expenditu (in millions)		
Program	Federal	State	Total	Average monthly recipients (in thousands)
Food Stamps*	\$23,698	\$1,544	\$25,242	26,983
School Lunch Program (free and reduced-price segments)*	3,791	ь	3,791	4,800
Special Supplemental Food Program for Women, Infants, and Children"	2,928	ь	2,928	5,921
School Breakfast Program (free and reduced price segments)	877	ь	877	4,844
Child and Adult Care Food Programa	697	b	697	2,119
Nutrition Program for the Elderly (no income test, but preferences for those with greatest economic or social needs) <sup>c</sup>	607	ъ	607	3,200 <sup>d</sup>
The Emergency Food Assistance Program <sup>a</sup>	207	b	207	7,759°
Summer Food Service Program for Children	228	b	228	2,057
Commodity Supplemental Food Program	82	ь	82	371
Food Distribution Program on Indian Reservations*	68	b	68	116
Special Milk Program (free segment)	2	b	2	61
Total	\$33,185	\$1,544	\$34,729	f

<sup>\*</sup>Department of Agriculture.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup>Not available.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup>Congressional Research Service.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>d</sup>Annual number.

Families or households.

Because of overlap, and in some cases because of mixture of monthly and annual numbers and mixture of dwelling units, loans, grants, children, families, and households, recipient totals are not shown.

### ENCLOSURE I

Table 1.5: Housing Programs

	Estimated (ir			
Program	Federal	State	Total	Families or units during the year (in thousands)
Section 8 Low-Income Housing Assistance	\$11,158	<b>\$</b> 0	\$11,158	2,812 <sup>b</sup>
Low-Rent Public Housing®	6,180	0	6,180	1,408 <sup>b</sup>
Low-Income Home Energy Assistance <sup>c</sup>	1,346	64	1,410	5,600
Rural Housing Loans <sup>d</sup>	1,831	0	1,831	31
Section 236 Interest Reduction Payments	635	0	635	510 <sup>b</sup>
Rural Rental Housing Loans <sup>d</sup>	574	0	574	15
Rural Rental Assistance <sup>d</sup>	404	0	404	34 <sup>b</sup>
Weatherization Assistance <sup>c</sup>	186	0	186	107
Section 101 Rent Supplements	55	0	55	19 <sup>b</sup>
Section 235 Homeownership Assistance	62	0	62	95 <sup>b</sup>
Farm Labor Housing Loans and Grants <sup>d</sup>	32	0	32	1
Rural Housing Repair Loans and Grants <sup>d</sup>	26	0	26	6°
Rural Housing Preservation Grants <sup>d</sup>	23	0	23	6
Indian Housing Improvement Grants <sup>c</sup>	20	0	20	J <sub>p</sub>
Rural Self-Help Technical Assistance Grants and Site Loans <sup>d</sup>	19	0	19	ſ
Home Investment Partnerships*	206	ſ	206	ſ
Total	\$22,757	\$64	\$22,821	2

<sup>\*</sup>Department of Housing and Urban Development.

bUnits.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup>Congressional Research Service.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>d</sup>Department of Agriculture.

Loans or grants.

Not available.

<sup>8</sup>Because of overlap, and in some cases because of mixture of monthly and annual numbers and mixture of dwelling units, loans, grants, children, families, and households, recipient totals are not shown.

ENCLOSURE I

Table I.6: Education Programs (Fiscal Year 1993)

	Estimat			
Program	Federal	State	Total	Annual Number of recipients (in thousands)
Stafford Loans*	\$5,825	\$0	\$5,825	5,300
Pell Grants <sup>a</sup>	5,788	\$0	5,788	3,808
Head Start <sup>b</sup>	2,800	560	3,360	714
College Work-Study Program*	617	0	617	713
Supplemental Educational Opportunity Grants*	583	0	583	991
Federal TRIO Programs <sup>b</sup>	385	0	385	648
Chapter 1 Migrant Education Program <sup>b</sup>	303	0	303	402
Perkins Loans	181	0	181	697
State Student Incentive Grant Programs*	72	72	144	241
Fellowships for Graduate and Professional Study <sup>b</sup>	63	0	63	6
Health Professions Student Loans and Scholarships*	49	0	49	36
Follow Through	9	0	9	c
Migrant High School Equivalency Program <sup>b</sup>	8	0	8	3
Ellender Fellowships <sup>b</sup>	4	0	4	6
College Assistance Migrant Program <sup>b</sup>	2	0	2	0
Child Development Associate Scholarships <sup>b</sup>	1	0	1	f
Total	\$16,690	\$632	\$17,322	e

<sup>\*</sup>Department of Education.

bCongressional Research Service.

Not available.

<sup>d</sup>Because of overlap, and in some cases because of mixture of monthly and annual numbers and mixture of dwelling units, loans, grants, children, families, and households, recipient totals are not shown.

Table 1.7: Training Programs (Fiscal Year 1993)

	Estimated expenditures (in millions)			
Program	Federal	State	Total	Average monthly recipients (in thousands)
JTPA (Training Services for Disadvantaged Adults)*	\$1,015	<b>\$</b> 0	\$1,015	358
JTPA (Training Services for Disadvantaged Youth)	677	0	677	281
JTPA (Summer Youth Employment and Training Program)*	849	0	849	569
JTPA (Job Corps)*	966	0	966	102
Job Opportunities and Basic Skills Training Program <sup>a</sup>	735	471	1,206	542
Senior Community Service Employment Program*	396	44	440	65
Foster Grandparents*	65	30	95	22
Senior Companions <sup>a</sup>	30	17	47	13
Total	\$4,733	\$562	\$5,295	

<sup>\*</sup>Congressional Research Service.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup>Because of overlap, and in some cases because of mixture of monthly and annual numbers and mixture of dwelling units, loans, grants, children, families, and households, recipient totals are not shown.

Table I.8: Services (Fiscal Year 1993)

	Estimat	Estimated expenditures (in millions)			
Program	Federal	State	Total	Annual number of recipients (in thousands)	
Social Services Block Grant (Title XX)	\$2,800	\$3,332	\$6,132	b	
Child Care and Development Block Grant	893	ь	893	b	
AFDC and Transitional Child Care	583	420	1003	474°	
"At Risk" Child Care"	264	114	378	219°	
Community Services Block Grant*	441	0	441	ь	
Legal Services*	357	0	357	ъ	
Emergency Food and Shelter program	129	0	129	Ъ	
Social Services for Refugees and Cuban/Haitian Entrants <sup>d</sup>	69	0	69	114	
Total	\$5,536	\$3,866	\$9,402	•	

<sup>\*</sup>Congressional Research Service.

Not available.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup>Children and/or parents.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>d</sup>Department of Health and Human Services.

Because of overlap, and in some cases because of mixture of monthly and annual numbers and mixture of dwelling units, loans, grants, children, families, and households, recipient totals are not shown.

-
9
9 1 1 1 1
one and the second seco
A D
To Company
, v
0.0

### **Ordering Information**

The first copy of each GAO report and testimony is free. Additional copies are \$2 each. Orders should be sent to the following address, accompanied by a check or money order made out to the Superintendent of Documents, when necessary. Orders for 100 or more copies to be mailed to a single address are discounted 25 percent.

Orders by mail:

U.S. General Accounting Office P.O. Box 6015 Gaithersburg, MD 20884-6015

or visit:

Room 1100 700 4th St. NW (corner of 4th and G Sts. NW) U.S. General Accounting Office Washington, DC

Orders may also be placed by calling (202) 512-6000 or by using fax number (301) 258-4066, or TDD (301) 413-0006.

Each day, GAO issues a list of newly available reports and testimony. To receive facsimile copies of the daily list or any list from the past 30 days, please call (301) 258-4097 using a touchtone phone. A recorded menu will provide information on how to obtain these lists.

United States General Accounting Office Washington, D.C. 20548-0001

Bulk Mail Postage & Fees Paid GAO Permit No. G100

Official Business Penalty for Private Use \$300

**Address Correction Requested**