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American Recovery & Reinvestment Act

- Signed February 17, 2009
- Purpose:
  - preserve and create jobs and promote recovery
  - assist those most impacted by the recession
  - invest in science and health-care technology
  - invest in infrastructure
  - stabilize state and local government budgets
- Total cost (tax and spending): $787 billion, including over $580 billion in additional spending (CBO Estimate)
GAO responsibilities include:

- conducting bimonthly reviews of selected states’ and localities’ use of funds
- commenting on recipient reports on the number of jobs created or preserved
- reviewing areas such as trade adjustment assistance, new education incentive grants, and efforts to increase small business lending
- monitoring downturn’s long-term effect on states (health care costs)
American Recovery & Reinvestment Act

State and Local Reporting Strategy for Bimonthly Reviews

- Longitudinal study of 16 states and the District of Columbia
  - About two-thirds of population and two-thirds of the intergovernmental assistance funds
  - Localities sampled within selected states
American Recovery & Reinvestment Act

1. Arizona
2. California
3. Colorado
4. Florida
5. Georgia
6. Illinois
7. Iowa
8. Massachusetts
9. Michigan
10. Mississippi
11. New Jersey
12. New York
13. North Carolina
14. Ohio
15. Pennsylvania
16. Texas
17. Washington, D.C.
Reporting Objectives

Describe states’ and localities

- Use of funds
- Up-front safeguards and ongoing monitoring, audits, and evaluations
- Plans to evaluate the impact of funds
Programs in GAO Review:
87% of estimated Fiscal Year 2009 Federal Recovery Act Outlays to States and Localities

Source: GAO analysis of data from CBO and Federal Funds Information for States.
Projected versus Actual Federal Outlays to States and Localities under the Recovery Act

Outlays in billions of dollars

- Estimated
- $37.2 billion in Actual Federal Outlays as of July 24, 2009

Fiscal year

Source: GAO analysis of data from CBO, Recovery.gov and Federal Funds Information for States.
Composition of State and Local Recovery Act Funding, Fiscal Year 2009 versus Fiscal Year 2012

Fiscal year 2009
- 64% Energy and environment
- 18% Community development
- 8% Transportation
- 6% Income security

Fiscal year 2012
- 30% Energy and environment
- 19% Community development
- 17% Transportation
- 17% Health
- 16% Education and training

Source: GAO analysis of CBO and FFIS data.
GAO Recommendations Overview

- **Accountability and Transparency**
  - Leverage Single Audit as an effective oversight tool
    - Move to earlier reporting on internal controls
    - Focus on Recovery Act programs
    - Give relief for low-risk programs
    - Fund more timely, effective Single Audits

- **Reporting on Impact**
  - Provide examples of reporting on jobs created and retained
  - Clarify new or existing program performance measures

- **Communications and Guidance**
  - Clarify data quality and reconciliation requirements
  - Specify data certification and approval requirements
  - Ensure more direct communication on funds flowing to each state

Source: GAO.
Challenges for federal, state and local officials:

- Expectations for “an unprecedented level of transparency and accountability”
- Qualified personnel need to implement proper controls and accountability at all levels of government
- Close and ongoing coordination needed among federal, state, and local governments
- Accountability community: special responsibility to ensure collective efforts are well-coordinated
Financial Institutions and Markets

GAO Role in Financial Rescue

- Troubled Asset Relief Program (TARP) oversight
- Auditors of FDIC’s Deposit Insurance Fund, FHFA, TARP, and U.S. Government Financial Statements
- Financial Regulatory System
- INTOSAI Task Force on Global Financial Crisis
Financial Institutions and Markets

- GAO given statutory oversight role
- GAO’s TARP reports’ recommendations focus on accountability and transparency and follow 3 themes:
  - Monitoring the use of funds to meet the Act’s objectives
  - Articulating a better communication strategy
  - Ensuring effective Treasury management structure
Troubled Asset Relief Program (TARP) Initiatives and Projected Combined Assistance as of June 2009 (TARP: $643.1B)
Financial Institutions and Markets: FDIC’s Deposit Insurance Fund

Most recent audit of financial statements completed May 2009. Clean opinion, but…

- Reported losses from actual and anticipated failures of $42 billion
- Reported Fund reserves of $17 billion were well below statutory minimum level
- Highlighted additional exposures to Fund from potential financial institution failures and systemic risk initiatives

Through August 7th, 72 federally insured financial institutions have failed in 2009

- 305 institutions were on the problem institution list (as of March 31, 2009)

- Explains the origins of the current financial regulatory system
- Describes market developments and changes that pose challenges to the current system
- Presents an evaluation framework that Congress and others can use to craft or evaluate potential regulatory reform efforts

(GAO-09-216, Jan. 8, 2009)
Outdated Regulatory System

Risks posed by:

- Emergence of large, complex, and interconnected financial conglomerates
- Less-regulated entities are playing increasingly critical roles in the financial system
- New and complex products pose challenges to system stability and consumer protection
For Crafting or Assessing Regulatory Reform Proposals: GAO Framework—9 Essential Characteristics

- Clearly defined regulatory goals in statute
- Appropriately comprehensive
- Systemwide focus
- Flexible and adaptable
- Efficient and effective
- Consistent consumer and investor protections
- Regulators provided with independence, prominence, authority, and accountability
- Consistent financial oversight
- Minimal taxpayer exposure
Global Financial Crisis Task Force

- Created by International Governing Board in November 2008
- Purpose:
  - Enhance the knowledge base of NAOs on financial and economic matters related to the crisis
  - Provide a source of information to help governments and the global community respond to the crisis
- Membership: U.S.A. is lead, other countries include:

- Austria
- Cyprus
- Canada
- Chile
- China
- Denmark
- Estonia
- Hungary
- Indonesia
- Italy
- Japan
- Korea
- Mexico
- Morocco
- Netherlands
- Poland
- Russia
- Slovakia
- Spain
- Sweden
- United Kingdom
- Venezuela
Long-Term Challenges

• Today’s focus—understandably—is on:
  • Dealing with financial system stress
  • Addressing the economic downturn

• BUT…. Underlying issues still need to be addressed:
  • Long-term fiscal challenge: GAO will update its long-term simulations this fall
Some Measures

Impact on Debt held by the public:

- FY 2005 actual: $4.6 trillion (37.5% of GDP)
- FY 2008 actual: $5.8 trillion (40.8% of GDP)
- FY 2009 projected: $8.5 trillion (59.9% of GDP)
- FY 2010 projected: $9.9 trillion (67.1% of GDP)

Impact on Social Security:

- Projected gap between expected outlays & expected revenue widened from $6.6 trillion in 2008 to $7.7 trillion in 2009
- Negative cash flow 2016 (compared to 2017)
- Trust fund exhaustion 2037 (compared to 2041)
Debt Held by the Public Under the President’s Fiscal Year 2010 Budget

Percent of GDP

Fiscal year

Source: OMB.
Marketable Securities by Year of Maturity, as of June 30, 2009 (Total Outstanding—$6,612 billion)

Source: GAO analysis of Treasury data.
Long-Term Fiscal Challenge

Debt Held by the Public as a Share of GDP
Under Two Fiscal Policy Simulations

Source: GAO. March 2009 simulations
Notes: Data from GAO’s simulations based on the 2008 Trustees’ assumptions for Social Security and Medicare. We also run simulations using CBO’s projections for Social Security and Medicare; the results are not materially different.

Historical High
109 percent in 1946
Revenues and Composition of Spending as a Share of GDP Under GAO’s Alternative Simulation Simulation

Percent of GDP

2008 | 2019 | 2030 | 2040

Fiscal year

Net interest | Social Security | Medicare & Medicaid | All other spending

Source: GAO's March 2009 analysis.