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Composition of Federal Spending

1966
- 34% Defense
- 7% Social Security
- 15% Net interest
- 1% All other spending

1986
- 29% Defense
- 14% Social Security
- 10% Net interest
- 20% All other spending

2006
- 32% Defense
- 9% Social Security
- 19% Net interest
- 21% All other spending

Source: Office of Management and Budget and the Department of the Treasury.
Note: Numbers may not add to 100 percent due to rounding.
Federal Spending for Mandatory and Discretionary Programs

1966
- Net Interest: 7%
- Discretionary: 67%
- Mandatory: 26%

1986
- Net Interest: 14%
- Discretionary: 44%
- Mandatory: 42%

2006
- Net Interest: 9%
- Discretionary: 38%
- Mandatory: 53%

Source: Office of Management and Budget.
## Fiscal Year 2005 and 2006 Deficits and Net Operating Costs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Fiscal Year 2005 ($ Billion)</th>
<th>Fiscal Year 2006 ($ Billion)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>On-Budget Deficit</td>
<td>(494)</td>
<td>(434)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unified Deficit(^a)</td>
<td>(318)</td>
<td>(248)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net Operating Cost(^b)</td>
<td>(760)</td>
<td>(450)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sources: Office of Management and Budget and Department of the Treasury.

\(^a\) Includes $173 billion in Social Security surpluses for fiscal year 2005 and $185 billion for fiscal year 2006; $2 billion in Postal Service surpluses for fiscal year 2005 and $1 billion for fiscal year 2006.

\(^b\) Fiscal year 2005 and 2006 net operating cost figures reflect significant but opposite changes in certain actuarial costs. For example, changes in interest rates and other assumptions used to estimate future veterans’ compensation benefits increased net operating cost by $228 billion in 2005 and reduced net operating cost by $167 billion in 2006. Therefore, the net operating costs for fiscal years 2005 and 2006, exclusive of the effect of these actuarial cost fluctuations, were ($532) billion and ($617) billion, respectively.
## Major Fiscal Exposures ($ trillions)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2000</th>
<th>2006</th>
<th>% Increase</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Explicit liabilities</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Publicly held debt</td>
<td>$6.9</td>
<td>$10.4</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Military &amp; civilian pensions &amp; retiree health</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Commitments &amp; contingencies</strong></td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E.g., PBGC, undelivered orders</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Implicit exposures</strong></td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>38.8</td>
<td>197</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Future Social Security benefits</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Future Medicare Part A benefits</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Future Medicare Part B benefits</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Future Medicare Part D benefits</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>13.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>$20.4</td>
<td>$50.5</td>
<td>147</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Note: Totals and percent increases may not add due to rounding. Estimates for Social Security and Medicare are at present value as of January 1 of each year and all other data are as of September 30.
### How Big is Our Growing Fiscal Burden?

This fiscal burden can be translated and compared as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total –major fiscal exposures</td>
<td>$50.5 trillion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total household net worth(^1)</td>
<td>$53.3 trillion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burden/Net worth ratio</td>
<td>95 percent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burden(^2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Per person</td>
<td>$170,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Per full-time worker</td>
<td>$400,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Per household</td>
<td>$440,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median household income(^3)</td>
<td>$46,326</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disposable personal income per capita(^4)</td>
<td>$31,519</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: GAO analysis.

Notes:  
(1) Federal Reserve Board, Flow of Funds Accounts, Table B.100, 2006:Q2 (Sept. 19, 2006);  
(2) Burdens are calculated using estimated total U.S. population as of 9/30/06, from the U.S. Census Bureau; full-time workers reported by the Bureau of Economic Analysis, in NIPA table 6.5D (Aug. 2, 2006); and households reported by the U.S. Census Bureau, in Income, Poverty, and Health Insurance Coverage in the United States: 2005 (Aug. 2006);  
(3) U.S. Census Bureau, Income, Poverty, and Health Insurance Coverage in the United States: 2005 (Aug. 2006); and  
Potential Fiscal Outcomes
Under Baseline Extended (January 2001)
Revenues and Composition of Spending as a Share of GDP

Source: GAO's January 2001 analysis.

\(^a\)All other spending is net of offsetting interest receipts.
Potential Fiscal Outcomes
Under Alternative Simulation
Revenues and Composition of Spending as a Share of GDP

Percent of GDP

Fiscal year

2006  2015  2030  2040

Revenue

Net interest  Social Security  Medicare & Medicaid  All other spending

Source: GAO's August 2007 analysis.

Notes: AMT exemption amount is retained at the 2006 level through 2017 and expiring tax provisions are extended. After 2017, revenue as a share of GDP returns to its historical level of 18.3 percent of GDP plus expected revenues from deferred taxes, i.e. taxes on withdrawals from retirement accounts. Medicare spending is based on the Trustees April 2007 projections adjusted for the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services alternative assumption that physician payments are not reduced as specified under current law.
Social Security, Medicare, and Medicaid Spending as a Percent of GDP

Source: GAO analysis based on data from the Office of the Chief Actuary, Social Security Administration, Office of the Actuary, Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services, and the Congressional Budget Office.

Federal Tax Expenditures Exceeded Discretionary Spending for Half of the Last Decade

Dollars in billions (in real 2005 dollars)


Note: Summing tax expenditure estimates does not take into account interactions between individual provisions. Outlays associated with refundable tax credits are included in mandatory spending.
Revenue Loss Estimates for the Largest Tax Expenditures Reported for Fiscal Year 2006

Revenue loss estimates (dollars in billions)

- **187.5**
  - Exclusion of employer contributions for medical insurance premiums and medical care

- **125**
  - Deductibility of mortgage interest on owner-occupied homes

- **68.3**
  - Net exclusion of pension contributions and earnings: defined benefit plans

- **49^a**
  - Capital gains (except agriculture, timber, iron ore, and coal)

- **48.6**
  - Deductibility of nonbusiness states and local taxes other than on owner-occupied homes

- **43.1**
  - Net exclusion of pension contributions and earnings: 401(k) plans

- **40.8^a**


^a The value of employer-provided health insurance is excluded from Medicare and Social Security payroll taxes. Some researchers have estimated that payroll tax revenue losses amounted to more than half of the income tax revenue losses in 2004, and we use this estimate for 2006. The research we are aware of dealt only with health care, therefore the 50 percent figure may not apply to other items that are excluded from otherwise applicable income and payroll taxes.
The “Status Quo” is Not an Option
- We face large and growing structural deficits largely due to known demographic trends and rising health care costs.
- GAO’s simulations show that balancing the budget in 2040 could require actions as large as
  - Cutting total federal spending by 60 percent or
  - Raising federal taxes to 2 times today’s level

Faster Economic Growth Can Help, but It Cannot Solve the Problem
- Closing the current long-term fiscal gap based on reasonable assumptions would require real average annual economic growth in the double digit range every year for the next 75 years.
- During the 1990s, the economy grew at an average 3.2 percent per year.
- As a result, we cannot simply grow our way out of this problem. Tough choices will be required.
The Way Forward: A Three-Pronged Approach

1. Improve Financial Reporting, Public Education, and Performance Metrics

2. Strengthen Budget and Legislative Processes and Controls

3. Fundamentally Reexamine & Transform for the 21st Century (i.e., entitlement programs, other spending, and tax policy)

Solutions Require Active Involvement from both the Executive and Legislative Branches
Key National Indicators

- **WHAT**: A portfolio of economic, social, and environmental outcome-based measures that could be used to help assess the nation’s and other governmental jurisdictions’ position and progress.

- **WHO**: Many countries and several states, regions, and localities have already undertaken related initiatives (e.g., Australia, New Zealand, Canada, United Kingdom, Oregon, Silicon Valley (California) and Boston).

- **WHY**: Development of such a portfolio of indicators could have a number of possible benefits, including:
  - Serving as a framework for related strategic planning efforts
  - Enhancing performance and accountability reporting
  - Informing public policy decisions, including much needed baseline reviews of existing government policies, programs, functions, and activities
  - Facilitating public education and debate as well as an informed electorate

- **WAY FORWARD**: Consortium of key players housed by the National Academies domestically and related efforts by the OECD and others internationally.
The United States may be the only superpower, but compared to most other OECD countries on selected key economic, social, and environmental indicators, on average, the U.S. ranks

16 OUT OF 28

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OECD Categories for Key Indicators (2006 OECD Factbook)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Population/Migration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macroeconomic Trends</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prices</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: 2006 OECD Factbook.
Demographic Trends Pose Challenges for Employers and Workers

• The combination of increasing life expectancy and declining birth rates is expected to reduce the number of workers per retiree, a trend that will strain the finances of national pension and health programs and may affect productivity and economic growth.

• The impending retirement of the baby boom generation and slower labor force growth will result in the loss of many experienced workers and possible skill gaps in certain occupations.

• Many older workers face the possibility of less secure retirements. While longer life spans have increased the number of years individuals spend in retirement, pension plans have increasingly shifted financial and longevity risk to individuals and health care costs have risen rapidly.

• The increasing ratio of the elderly to younger workers will place added pressure on public benefits such as Social Security and Medicare, both of which face long-term financial problems.
Aged Population as a Share of Total U.S. Population Will Continue to Increase

Percent of total population

Population aged 65 and over

Source: Office of the Chief Actuary, Social Security Administration.
Note: Projections based on the intermediate assumptions of the 2007 Trustees’ Reports.
U.S. Labor Force Growth Will Continue to Decline

Percentage change (5-yr moving average)

Source: GAO analysis of data from the Office of the Chief Actuary, Social Security Administration.

Note: Percentage change is calculated as a centered 5-yr moving average of projections based on the intermediate assumptions of the 2007 Trustees Reports.
Personal Savings Rate Became Negative in 2006

Percent of disposable personal income

Source: Bureau of Economic Analysis, Department of Commerce.
Key Obstacles to Engaging and Retaining Older Workers

- Some employers’ perceptions about the cost of hiring and retaining older workers are a key obstacle in older workers’ continued employment
- Total compensation and training costs were seen as primary concerns
- Workplace age discrimination, the mismatch of workers’ skills and availability of jobs because of changes in the economy, as well as the need to keep skills up to date, are all challenges facing older workers
- There is a more limited pool of interested workers because of financial incentives to retire as soon as possible, workers’ desire to retire or change careers, and some jobs’ requirements that make continued work unappealing or unfeasible because of health issues
- Legal and regulatory requirements hinder hiring and retaining older workers
Working Longer May Help Address the Challenges of an Aging Population

- **Impact on the Economy**
  - Larger labor force
  - Additional economic growth

- **Impact on the Federal Budget**
  - Additional tax revenue
  - Reduced expenditures: Social Security & Medicare

- **Impact on Individuals**
  - Enhanced retirement security and quality of life
Seasoned workers are our nation’s most underutilized asset. This must change for their sake and the sake of our country.
Key Elements for Economic Security in Retirement

• **Adequate retirement income**
  - Savings
  - Social Security
  - Pensions
  - Earnings from continued employment (e.g., part-time)

• **Affordable health care**
  - Medicare
  - Retiree health care

• **Long-term care (a hybrid)**

• **Major Players**
  - Employers
  - Government
  - Individuals
  - Family
  - Community
Social Security and Medicare’s Hospital Insurance Trust Funds Face Cash Deficits

![Graph showing Social Security and Medicare's Hospital Insurance Trust Funds' cash deficits from 2005 to 2040.](image)

- **Social Security cash flow**
- **Medicare HI cash flow**
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**Medicare HI cash deficit 2007**
**Social Security cash deficit 2017**


Note: Projections based on the intermediate assumptions of the 2007 Trustees’ Reports. The CPI is used to adjust from current to constant dollars.
## Key Dates Highlight Long Term Challenges of the Social Security System

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Event</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OASI</td>
<td>DI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>2005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2028</td>
<td>2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2042</td>
<td>2026</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Possible Way Forward on Social Security Reform

Make little or no changes to those who are near retirement or already retired and make a number of adjustments that would affect younger workers:

- Phase-in an increase in the normal retirement age and index it to life expectancy
- Consider phasing-in an increase in the early retirement age and index it to life expectancy with a modified disability access provision
- Modify income replacement and/or indexing formulas for middle and upper income earners
- Strengthen the minimum benefit
- Consider a modest adjustment to the COLA formula
- Increase the taxable wage base, if necessary
- Consider supplemental individual accounts and mandatory individual savings on a payroll deduction basis (e.g., a minimum 2 percent payroll contribution and a program designed much like the Federal Thrift Savings Plan with a real trust fund and real investments)
Issues to Consider in Examining Our Health Care System

• The public needs to be educated about the differences between wants, needs, affordability, and sustainability at both the individual and aggregate level.

• Ideally, health care reform proposals will:
  • **Align Incentives** for providers and consumers to make prudent decisions about the use of medical services,
  • **Foster Transparencity** with respect to the value and costs of care, and
  • **Ensure Accountability** from insurers and providers to meet standards for appropriate use and quality.

• Ultimately, we need to address four key dimensions: access, cost, quality, and personal responsibility.
### Key Dates Highlight Long Term Challenges of the Medicare Program

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Event</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>Medicare Part A outlays exceed cash income</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>Estimated trigger date for “Medicare funding warning”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>Projected date that annual “general revenue funding” for Part B will exceed 45 percent of total Medicare outlays</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Part A trust fund exhausted, annual income sufficient to pay about 80% of promised Part A benefits</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Number of Non-elderly Uninsured Americans, 1999-2006

![Bar chart showing the number of non-elderly uninsured Americans from 1999 to 2006.

Population in millions:

- 1999: 38.8 million
- 2000: 38.4 million
- 2001: 39.8 million
- 2002: 42.0 million
- 2003: 43.4 million
- 2004: 43.5 million
- 2005: 44.8 million
- 2006: 47.0 million

Notes: Estimates for 1999-2005 were revised to reflect the results of a change to the survey process that assigns insurance coverage to dependents.
Growth in Health Care Spending:
Health Care Spending as a Percentage of GDP

Source: The Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services, Office of the Actuary.
Note: The figure for 2015 is projected.
Growth in Health Care Spending: Cumulative Growth in Real Health Care Spending Per Capita and Real GDP Per Capita, 1960-2005

Average annual growth rate of 4.9%

Average annual growth rate of 2.3%

Source: GAO analysis of data from the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services, Office of the Actuary, and the Bureau of Economic Analysis.

Note: The most current data available on health care spending per capita are for 2005.
Where the United States Ranks on Selected Health Outcome Indicators

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>Rank</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Life expectancy at birth</td>
<td><strong>23 out of 30 in 2004</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>U.S. = 77.8 years in 2004</em></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infant Mortality</td>
<td><strong>26 out of 30 in 2004</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>U.S. = 6.8 deaths in 2004</em></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potential Years of Life Lost</td>
<td><strong>23 out of 26 in 2002</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>U.S. = 5,066 in 2002</em></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: OECD Health Data 2006 and 2007
Notes: Data are the most recent available for all countries. Life expectancy at birth for the total population is estimated by the OECD Secretariat for all countries, as the unweighted average of the life expectancy of men and women. Infant mortality is measured as the number of deaths per 1,000 live births. Potential years of life lost (PYLL) is the sum of the years of life lost prior to age 70, given current age-specific death rates (e.g., a death at 5 years of age is counted as 65 years of PYLL).
# Selected Potential Health Care Reform Approaches

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reform Approach</th>
<th>Short-term action</th>
<th>Long-term action</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Revise the government’s payment systems and leverage its purchasing authority to foster value-based purchasing for health care products and services</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consider additional flexibility for states to serve as models for possible health care reforms</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consider limiting direct advertising and allowing limited importation of prescription drugs</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foster more transparency in connection with health care costs and outcomes</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Create incentives that encourage physicians to utilize prescription drugs and other health care products and services economically and efficiently</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foster the use of information technology to increase consistency, transparency, and accountability in health care</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Encourage case management approaches for people with chronic and expensive conditions to improve the quality and efficiency of care delivered and avoid inappropriate care</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reexamine the design and operational structure of the nation’s health care entitlement programs—Medicare and Medicaid, including exploring more income-related approaches</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Selected Potential Health Care Reform Approaches

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reform Approach</th>
<th>Short-term action</th>
<th>Long-term action</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Revise certain federal tax preferences for health care to encourage more efficient use of health care products and services.</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foster more preventative care and wellness services and capabilities, including fighting obesity and encouraging better nutrition</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Promote more personal responsibility in connection with health care</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Limit spending growth for government-sponsored health care programs (e.g., percentage of the budget and/or economy)</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Develop a core set of basic and essential services. Create insurance pools for alternative levels of coverage, as necessary</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Develop a set of evidence-based national practice standards to help avoid unnecessary care, improve outcomes, and reduce litigation</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pursue multinational approaches to investing in health care R&amp;D</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Three Key Illnesses

- Myopia
- Tunnel Vision
- Self-Centeredness
Four National Deficits

- **Budget**
- **Balance of Payments**
- **Savings**
- **Leadership**
Five Leadership Attributes Needed for These Challenging and Changing Times

- **Courage**
- **Integrity**
- **Creativity**
- **Stewardship**
- **Partnership**
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