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Composition of Federal Spending

1966
- 34% Defense
- 15% Net interest
- 1% Social Security
- 43% All other spending

1986
- 29% Defense
- 10% Net interest
- 14% Social Security
- 28% All other spending

2006
- 32% Defense
- 9% Net interest
- 19% Social Security
- 21% All other spending

Source: Office of Management and Budget and the Department of the Treasury.
Note: Numbers may not add to 100 percent due to rounding.
Federal Spending for Mandatory and Discretionary Programs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Net Interest</th>
<th>Discretionary</th>
<th>Mandatory</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1966</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>67%</td>
<td>26%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1986</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td>42%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>53%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Office of Management and Budget.
## Fiscal Year 2005 and 2006 Deficits and Net Operating Costs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Fiscal Year 2005 ($ Billion)</th>
<th>Fiscal Year 2006 ($ Billion)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>On-Budget Deficit</td>
<td>(494)</td>
<td>(434)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unified Deficit&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>(318)</td>
<td>(248)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net Operating Cost&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>(760)</td>
<td>(450)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sources: Office of Management and Budget and Department of the Treasury.

<sup>a</sup>Includes $173 billion in Social Security surpluses for fiscal year 2005 and $185 billion for fiscal year 2006; $2 billion in Postal Service surpluses for fiscal year 2005 and $1 billion for fiscal year 2006.

<sup>b</sup>Fiscal year 2005 and 2006 net operating cost figures reflect significant but opposite changes in certain actuarial costs. For example, changes in interest rates and other assumptions used to estimate future veterans’ compensation benefits increased net operating cost by $228 billion in 2005 and reduced net operating cost by $167 billion in 2006. Therefore, the net operating costs for fiscal years 2005 and 2006, exclusive of the effect of these actuarial cost fluctuations, were ($532) billion and ($617) billion, respectively.
# Major Fiscal Exposures ($ trillions)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2000</th>
<th>2006</th>
<th>% Increase</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Explicit liabilities</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Publicly held debt</td>
<td>$6.9</td>
<td>$10.4</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Military &amp; civilian pensions &amp; retiree health</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Commitments &amp; contingencies</strong></td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E.g., PBGC, undelivered orders</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Implicit exposures</strong></td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>38.8</td>
<td>197</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Future Social Security benefits</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Future Medicare Part A benefits</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Future Medicare Part B benefits</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>13.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Future Medicare Part D benefits</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>$20.4</td>
<td>$50.5</td>
<td>147</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Totals and percent increases may not add due to rounding. Estimates for Social Security and Medicare are at present value as of January 1 of each year and all other data are as of September 30.
### How Big is Our Growing Fiscal Burden?

This fiscal burden can be translated and compared as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total –major fiscal exposures</td>
<td>$50.5 trillion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total household net worth¹</td>
<td>$53.3 trillion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burden/Net worth ratio</td>
<td>95 percent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burden²</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Per person</td>
<td>$170,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Per full-time worker</td>
<td>$400,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Per household</td>
<td>$440,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median household income³</td>
<td>$46,326</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disposable personal income per capita⁴</td>
<td>$31,519</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: GAO analysis.

Notes: (1) Federal Reserve Board, Flow of Funds Accounts, Table B.100, 2006:Q2 (Sept. 19, 2006); (2) Burdens are calculated using estimated total U.S. population as of 9/30/06, from the U.S. Census Bureau; full-time workers reported by the Bureau of Economic Analysis, in NIPA table 6.5D (Aug. 2, 2006); and households reported by the U.S. Census Bureau, in Income, Poverty, and Health Insurance Coverage in the United States: 2005 (Aug. 2006); (3) U.S. Census Bureau, Income, Poverty, and Health Insurance Coverage in the United States: 2005 (Aug. 2006); and (4) Bureau of Economic Analysis, Personal Income and Outlays: October 2006, table 2, (Nov. 30, 2006).
Potential Fiscal Outcomes
Under Baseline Extended (January 2001)
Revenues and Composition of Spending as a Share of GDP

Percent of GDP

Revenue

2005  2015\textsuperscript{a}  2030\textsuperscript{a}  2040\textsuperscript{a}

\begin{itemize}
  \item 2005: Net interest  Social Security  Medicare & Medicaid  All other spending
  \item 2015\textsuperscript{a}: Net interest  Social Security  Medicare & Medicaid  All other spending
  \item 2030\textsuperscript{a}: Net interest  Social Security  Medicare & Medicaid  All other spending
  \item 2040\textsuperscript{a}: Net interest  Social Security  Medicare & Medicaid  All other spending
\end{itemize}

Source: GAO's January 2001 analysis.
Notes: In addition to the expiration of tax cuts, revenue as a share of GDP increases through 2017 due to (1) real bracket creep, (2) more taxpayers becoming subject to the AMT, and (3) increased revenue from tax-deferred retirement accounts. After 2017, revenue as a share of GDP is held constant—implicitly assuming action to offset the impact of bracket creep and to modify or offset the AMT.
\textsuperscript{a}All other spending is net of offsetting interest receipts.
Discretionary Spending Grows with GDP After 2007 and Tax Provisions Extended through 2017
Thereafter Revenue Returns to Historical Average of 18.3% of GDP Plus Deferred Revenue

Source: GAO’s April 2007 analysis.
Current Fiscal Policy Is Unsustainable

• The “Status Quo” is Not an Option
  • We face large and growing structural deficits largely due to known demographic trends and rising health care costs.
  • GAO’s simulations show that balancing the budget in 2040 could require actions as large as
    • Cutting total federal spending by 60 percent or
    • Raising federal taxes to 2 times today’s level

• Faster Economic Growth Can Help, but It Cannot Solve the Problem
  • Closing the current long-term fiscal gap based on reasonable assumptions would require real average annual economic growth in the double digit range every year for the next 75 years.
  • During the 1990s, the economy grew at an average 3.2 percent per year.
  • As a result, we cannot simply grow our way out of this problem. Tough choices will be required.
The Way Forward: A Three-Pronged Approach

1. Improve Financial Reporting, Public Education, and Performance Metrics

2. Strengthen Budget and Legislative Processes and Controls

3. Fundamentally Reexamine & Transform for the 21st Century (i.e., entitlement programs, other spending, and tax policy)

Solutions Require Active Involvement from both the Executive and Legislative Branches
Key National Indicators

- **WHAT**: A portfolio of economic, social, and environmental outcome-based measures that could be used to help assess the nation’s and other governmental jurisdictions’ position and progress

- **WHO**: Many countries and several states, regions, and localities have already undertaken related initiatives (e.g., Australia, New Zealand, Canada, United Kingdom, Oregon, Silicon Valley (California) and Boston)

- **WHY**: Development of such a portfolio of indicators could have a number of possible benefits, including
  - Serving as a framework for related strategic planning efforts
  - Enhancing performance and accountability reporting
  - Informing public policy decisions, including much needed baseline reviews of existing government policies, programs, functions, and activities
  - Facilitating public education and debate as well as an informed electorate

- **WAY FORWARD**: Consortium of key players housed by the National Academies domestically and related efforts by the OECD and others internationally
The United States may be the only superpower, but compared to most other OECD countries on selected key economic, social, and environmental indicators, on average, the U.S. ranks 16 OUT OF 28

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OECD Categories for Key Indicators (2006 OECD Factbook)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Population/Migration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Energy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Environment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Quality of Life</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Macroeconomic Trends</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Labor Market</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Education</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Economic Globalization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Prices</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Science &amp; Tech.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Public Finance</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: 2006 OECD Factbook.
Moving the Debate Forward

• The Sooner We Get Started, the Better
  • The miracle of compounding is currently working against us
  • Less change would be needed, and there would be more time to make adjustments
  • Our demographic changes will serve to make reform more difficult over time

• Need Public Education, Discussion, and Debate
  • The role of government in the 21st Century
  • Which programs and policies should be changed and how
  • How government should be financed
These Challenges Go Beyond Numbers and Dollars—
It’s About VALUES & PEOPLE
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