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What GAO Found

Almost 40 percent of 401(k) plan participants do not fully understand and have difficulty using the fee information that the Department of Labor (DOL) requires plans to provide to participants in fee disclosures, according to GAO’s analysis of its generalizable survey (see figure). GAO assessed participants’ understanding of samples from several large plans’ fee disclosures and other information about fees, and asked general knowledge questions about fees. For example, GAO found that 45 percent of participants are not able to use the information given in disclosures to determine the cost of their investment fee. Additionally, 41 percent of participants incorrectly believe that they do not pay any 401(k) plan fees. Prior GAO work has shown that even seemingly small fees can significantly reduce participants’ retirement savings over time.

| GAO Estimates of 401(k) Plan Participants’ Score Distribution on Survey’s Fee-Related Assessment Questions |
|--------------------------------------------------|---|---|---|
| Percentage of test questions correct           | Percentage of participants |
| Less than half                                 | 39 |
| Half to 79%                                    | 37 |
| 80% or more                                   | 24 |

Source: GAO survey of 401(k) participants. | GAO-21-357

What GAO Recommends

GAO is making five recommendations to DOL, including to require, in a manner deemed effective, that participants have investment options’ fee benchmarks and available ticker information. DOL neither agreed nor disagreed with our recommendations.

View GAO-21-357. For more information, contact Trancharu (Kris) T. Nguyen at (202) 512-7215 or nguyentl@gao.gov.

Why GAO Did This Study

DOL regulations require 401(k) plans to provide the more than 87 million plan participants with a comprehensive disclosure of the fees they pay. GAO was asked to examine how well participants can understand and use the fee disclosures.

This report (1) assesses the extent to which 401(k) plan participants can understand and use fee information in disclosures; (2) describes disclosure practices used by selected countries to help retirement plan participants; and (3) examines any additional steps that DOL could take to advance participant understanding and use of fee information. GAO conducted a nationally representative survey of 401(k) plan participants to assess their understanding of fee disclosure samples from among 10 large plans and of other fee information. To identify and describe disclosure practices used abroad, GAO interviewed stakeholders and reviewed fee disclosure documents from Australia, Italy, New Zealand, and the European Union, chosen because of their documented practices to improve participants’ understanding of fee disclosures. To identify any additional steps DOL could take, GAO also reviewed disclosures from 10 large plans, as well as relevant federal laws and regulations, and interviewed stakeholders in the U.S.

What GAO Recommends

GAO is making five recommendations to DOL, including to require, in a manner deemed effective, that participants have investment options’ fee benchmarks and available ticker information. DOL neither agreed nor disagreed with our recommendations.

View GAO-21-357. For more information, contact Trancharu (Kris) T. Nguyen at (202) 512-7215 or nguyentl@gao.gov.
plan options but to options outside the plan. Ticker information can help participants identify many plan investments online to evaluate and compare them to options outside the plan. By requiring such information in disclosures, DOL could help participants better understand and compare their 401(k) plan fees when making investment choices that affect their retirement security.
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All 401(k) plan participants pay fees, but it can be challenging for them to identify, understand, and compare fees in their plan and across plans. ¹ Even if participants understand that they are being charged fees, they may not fully understand the effect of fees on their retirement savings. For example, our prior work has shown that even seemingly small fees, such as a 1 percent annual charge can significantly reduce 401(k) plan participants’ retirement savings, even as investment returns may grow the savings overall.² Recognizing the importance of 401(k) plan fees for participants’ retirement savings, the Department of Labor (DOL) issued final regulations, effective in 2012, requiring plan sponsors to provide participants with a comprehensive fee disclosure. The disclosure requirements were meant to help participants make informed decisions about the management of their accounts.

DOL’s fee disclosure regulation has been in place for over a decade. However, little is known about how helpful the fee information has been to participants. You asked us to assess the effectiveness of DOL’s fee disclosure regulations. This report (1) assesses the extent to which 401(k) plan participants can understand and use fee information disclosed by

¹Named after section 401(k) of the Internal Revenue Code, 401(k) plans are private, employer-sponsored pension plans that allow workers to save for retirement by diverting a portion of their pre-tax wages into an investment account that can grow tax-free until withdrawn in retirement.

plans; (2) describes practices used in selected countries that might help participants better understand and use fee information; and (3) examines additional steps, if any, that DOL could take to advance participant understanding and use of fee information.

To assess the extent to which 401(k) plan participants can understand and use fee information in disclosures, we surveyed 401(k) plan participants. The survey questions asked about participants’ awareness of fees and tested their understanding of content pulled from annual disclosures from among 10 of the largest 401(k) plans, a sample quarterly disclosure, as well as other sources. We selected 10 plan disclosures because they were the largest plans for which we could obtain such information. The survey also asked participants about their preferences regarding plan disclosures. The survey results included information on participants’ demographic and financial characteristics. We analyzed survey responses for 1,004 participants, which are generalizable to the population of all 401(k) participants in the U.S. The weighted cumulative response rate was 17 percent. See appendix I for more details about our objectives, scope, and methodology; appendix II for a full technical discussion of the survey methodology; and appendix III for a copy of the survey instrument.

To describe the disclosure practices used in selected countries to help retirement plan participants understand and use fee information, we identified three countries—Australia, Italy, and New Zealand—and the European Union (EU). We selected these locations because they have developed economies, account-based retirement plans in which participants make investment decisions, and documented practices to

---

3Our survey was administered by an independent research institution to a national panel of adults in summer 2020, as discussed in appendix II. The survey asked an eligibility question that identified individuals who are participants in a 401(k) plan. We developed questions that tested participants’ understanding of excerpts from actual plan disclosures as well as related concepts and also asked about consumer preferences. All estimates from the survey are subject to sampling error. In terms of the margin of error at the 95 percent confidence level, the sampling error for estimates based on the total sample is plus or minus 4 percentage points and, for estimates based on subgroups of the sample, is plus or minus 6 percentage points, unless otherwise noted.

4Content we selected from individual plans’ annual fee disclosures was comparable to content in the other disclosures. The quarterly disclosure sampled was from a large service provider and was the only such disclosure we had obtained at the time of our survey development. Other sources included DOL’s Model Comparative Chart for annual disclosures and graphics developed by SEC’s Office of Investor Education and Advocacy depicting the cumulative effect of fees, which DOL requires disclosures to describe.
improve participants’ understanding of fee disclosures.\(^5\) We interviewed stakeholders in Australia, Italy, and New Zealand and the EU—including government officials and regulators, financial industry representatives, consumer advocates, and subject matter experts—to identify strategies and practices that they said help participants in account-based retirement plans understand and use information about fees associated with their retirement accounts and investments.\(^6\)

To examine any additional steps DOL could take to advance participant understanding and use of fee information, we identified subject areas in which about half of our survey participants answered test questions incorrectly and we considered their preferences for receiving fee information. In addition, we reviewed participant disclosures from 10 of the largest 401(k) plans to describe their fee content. We did not evaluate the disclosures for compliance with DOL regulations. In total, those 10 plans had more than 4.5 million participants and more than $181 billion in plan assets in 2019. The disclosures are not representative, but illustrative of what a participant might receive from their plan. We also interviewed DOL and Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) officials, and U.S. stakeholders including individuals from industry groups, financial literacy experts, and consumer advocates, to understand the challenges and limitations of using a legal disclosure to help participants use fee information.

We conducted this performance audit from February 2019 through July 2021 in accordance with generally accepted government auditing standards. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain sufficient, appropriate evidence to provide a reasonable basis for our findings and conclusions based on our audit objectives. We believe that the evidence obtained provides a reasonable basis for our findings and conclusions based on our audit objectives.

\(^5\)Account-based retirement plans are pension plans that allow workers to save for retirement by investing a portion of their income in funds through their employer.

\(^6\)We conducted 21 interviews and refer to representatives of these organizations and entities collectively as “stakeholders” in the report. Stakeholders’ views are not generalizable but offer insights into what may be helpful or useful, based on their experience in their specific locations.
Background

401(k) Plan Investment Options and Fees

Investment options in 401(k) plans

401(k) plan investments can include mutual funds, employer stock, annuities, and other investments. About 63 percent of 401(k) plan assets are invested in mutual funds. According to retirement industry survey data based on the 2019 plan year, mutual funds were the most common investment fund structure across most types of investment assets, including equities and bonds, in both large and small plans. Mutual funds can include different types of assets, such as stock or equity funds, bond funds, and money market funds.

Fees paid in 401(k) plans

401(k) plan participants pay multiple fees that generally fall under two categories: administrative fees and investment-related fees. These fees can be assessed as a flat dollar amount or as a percentage of assets, and can be paid by the plan participant, by the plan sponsor, or a combination of the two.

---

7SEC generally requires mutual funds to disclose fees in a prospectus and to inform investors of products’ potential risks. A mutual fund is a pooled investment in a portfolio of securities, managed by a professional investment advisor. 401(k) plan participants buy shares in the fund through their contributions to a plan.

8Collective investment trusts are another type of investment option, which are increasingly used among large 401(k) plans. According to the Investment Company Institute, 25 percent of assets in large 401(k) plans were in these funds in 2019. Investment Company Institute, 2021 Investment Company Fact Book, 61st Edition, (2021).


10Plan Sponsor Council of America, 63rd Annual Survey of Profit Sharing and 401(k) Plans, (2020).

11ICI reported that 63 percent of 401(k) plan assets, totaling $3.3 trillion, were invested in mutual funds in 2018. Of those investments, 58 percent was invested in equity assets, 11 percent in bonds, 28 percent in a hybrid of equity and bonds, and 3 percent in money market assets. Holden, Duvall, and Chism, “The Economics of Providing 401(k) Plans: Services, Fees, and Expenses, 2018.”
Administrative fees can cover services such as record-keeping for the plan and communications with participants, and individual fees, for example, for processing a participant loan or distribution. When participants pay plan administrative fees, they may do so directly from their account, or indirectly through their investments’ operating expenses.

Investment-related fees are associated with buying, selling, and managing investments, but they can also include embedded costs of plan administration. Sales fees, often called loads, are one type of investment fee and can apply when a participant buys or sells shares in an investment fund. Subsidies and waivers, if offered, can offset sales fees and other investment fees. Sometimes these subsidies and waivers are applied differently for different versions, or share classes, of the same fund. For example, a fund may waive the sales fee for participants investing in a fund’s institutional share class through their retirement plan, but apply a sales fee to the purchases of retail shares in the same fund.

Asset-based investment fees (defined in the regulations as total annual operating expenses), a subset of investment-related fees, are typically the largest fees a participant will pay. Often called the expense ratio, this fee expresses the percentage of assets under management that is deducted each year for fund expenses. These expenses include management fees and all asset-based costs incurred by the fund. The expense ratio provides participants a measure of an investment’s operating cost. The DOL’s fee disclosure regulation points to SEC regulations for formulas for calculating expense ratios for certain investment types. The asset-based investment fee, which is deducted from the fund’s average net assets, is accrued on a daily basis. Participants pay for investment management through their investments’ expense ratios, but plan sponsors may also pay some investment management fees (see fig. 1).

12 Additional investment fees are reflected in measures of investments’ returns. A fund’s gross expense ratio is the percentage of fund assets paid for operating expenses and management fees, including administration, custodial fees, and distribution, but does not include brokerage costs or investor sales charges. The net expense ratio includes equivalent fees to the gross expense ratio, but is collected after fees are waived or reimbursed by an advisor.

Figure 1: Industry Survey Data Show Who Paid Investment Management Fees in 401(k) Plans

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Participants</td>
<td>64%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Both</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plan sponsor (Employer)</td>
<td>22%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Plan Sponsor Council of America (PSCA), 62nd Annual Survey of Profit Sharing and 401(K) Plans (2018 data). | GAO-21-357

Note: The 64 percent paid by participants may include some fees paid through participant forfeitures to the plans, such as when a participant separates from employment before meeting requirements for full vesting of employer contributions.

Accessible Data for Figure 1: Industry Survey Data Show Who Paid Investment Management Fees in 401(k) Plans

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Participants</td>
<td>64.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Both</td>
<td>14.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plan sponsor (Employer)</td>
<td>21.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Plan Sponsor Council of America (PSCA), 62nd Annual Survey of Profit Sharing and 401(K) Plans (2018 data). | GAO-21-357

Oversight of 401(k) Plans

401(k) plans are subject to the requirements of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974, as amended (ERISA), which establishes minimum standards and requirements for employee benefit plans intended to protect the interests of plan participants and beneficiaries.14 DOL generally enforces Title I of ERISA, which establishes requirements that help protect participants, such as requiring plan fiduciaries to act solely in the interest of participants and beneficiaries and requiring plan sponsors to disclose to participants certain information concerning their plan.15 For example, ERISA requires that certain quarterly benefits statements provided to participants are written in a manner intended to be understandable by the average plan participant, and include account balance and investment information and a notice directing a participant to DOL’s website for information on individual investing.16

14See 29 U.S.C. §§ 1001 et seq.

15Within DOL, the Employee Benefits Security Administration is responsible for administering and enforcing the reporting, disclosure, and fiduciary responsibility provisions of Title I of ERISA.

Revenue Code (IRC) also prescribes requirements for 401(k) plans, and the Internal Revenue Service administers and enforces the requirements in the IRC.\(^{17}\)

**Participant fee disclosures**

DOL’s fee disclosure regulation, “Fiduciary Requirements for Disclosure in Participant-Directed Individual Account Plans,” became effective in 2012.\(^ {18}\) The goal of the regulation, as stated in its preamble, is to ensure that all participants have the information they need to make informed decisions about managing their accounts and investing their retirement savings. According to the preamble, the regulation requires “the disclosure of certain plan and investment-related information, including fee information, to participants and beneficiaries in participant-directed individual account plans (e.g., 401(k) plans).”\(^ {19}\) Specifically, it requires plan administrators (plans) to disclose both administrative and individual fees to 401(k) participants on or before the date they can make investment choices and on an ongoing basis. In addition, at least quarterly, plan administrators must inform participants of the administrative and individual fees actually charged to the participant’s account. To meet these requirements, plans may be able to include some of the required information, including fees, as part of a Summary Plan Description or as part of a quarterly benefits statement.\(^ {20}\)

Plan administrators are also required to provide investment-related information to participants. According to the preamble to the fee disclosure regulation, investment-related information should be provided in a form that encourages and facilitates a comparative review among a plan’s investment alternatives. Investment-related information includes:

\(^{17}\)See 26 U.S.C. § 401(k).


\(^{19}\)DOL’s requirements for fee disclosures pertain to both plan participants and beneficiaries of those plans. For ease of reporting, we will refer only to participants in this report. In addition, the regulation refers to fees and expenses in some instances and refers only to fees in other instances. We will use the term fees in this report.

\(^{20}\)As the required disclosure of plan and investment-related fees to participants is the focus of our report, we will refer to these requirements generally as fee disclosure regulations or fee disclosure requirements.
investments’ historical returns and return-benchmarks, which are measures participants can compare to individual funds’ performances. Plans are required to disclose this information on or before the date the participant can begin directing investments and annually thereafter.

Many 401(k) Participants Do Not Understand Information in DOL-Required Fee Disclosures and Do Not Know They Pay Fees, Based on Our Survey

DOL-Required Administrative and Investment Fee Information on 401(k) Plans Is Not Fully Understood by Many Participants

Based on responses from our generalizable national survey, we estimate that many 401(k) plan participants do not fully understand the fee information they might receive from their 401(k) plans. We asked participants a series of questions based on actual disclosure content drawn from among 10 of the largest 401(k) plans, as well as questions about related concepts, to assess participants’ understanding of administrative and investment fee information illustrative of what plans

---

21Our nationally-representative survey of 401(k) plan account participants asked participants’ opinion of how clear certain fee-related information was, tested their understanding of that information, and asked their preferences related to accessing fee information. The questions testing understanding were based on actual disclosure content drawn from among 10 large 401(k) plans, DOL and SEC documents, and other sources. NORC at the University of Chicago, an independent research institution, fielded our survey in summer 2020. The weighted cumulative response rate was 17 percent. Percentages reported are estimates weighted to the population of 74.6 million 401(k) participants in the U.S. All estimates from the survey are subject to sampling error. Specifically, in terms of the margin of error at the 95 percent confidence level, the sampling error for estimates based on the total sample is plus or minus 4 percentage points and, for estimates based on subgroups of the sample, is plus or minus 6 percentage points, unless otherwise noted. Our survey included both participants and beneficiaries who can make investment decisions for a 401(k) plan account, but we refer to participants for clarity. See appendix II for more detail on our survey methodology and appendix III for our survey instrument, including answer choices.
are required to provide to participants (test questions). For example, we tested participants’ ability to identify their investment cost for a fund using an asset-based investment fee (often called expense ratio) expressed in a dollar per $1,000 format, identify an expense ratio when labeled differently, and understand the cumulative effect of fees over time. We found that about a quarter of survey respondents answered 80 percent or more of our survey’s fee-related test questions correctly, while about 40 percent of survey respondents answered fewer than 50 percent of the questions correctly (see fig. 2).

Figure 2: GAO Estimates of 401(k) Plan Participants’ Score Distribution on Survey’s Fee-Related Test Questions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Percentage of test questions correct</th>
<th>Percentage of participants</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Less than half</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Half to 79%</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80% or more</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: All percentage estimates from GAO’s survey are subject to sampling error. For these estimates, the margin of error at the 95 percent confidence level is plus or minus 5 percentage points.

To understand whether participants’ ability to answer the survey’s fee-related test questions differed by their demographic characteristics, we

---

22 When needed, we provided calculations to better test participants’ understanding of concepts rather than their mathematical ability, as shown in appendix III. We also asked participants several questions based on fee disclosure samples from other countries to test their understanding of them relative to U.S. disclosures. We asked participants’ opinions of the clarity of these samples as well.

23 Many questions in the survey are about 401(k) participants’ opinions of different disclosures’ clarity as well as their consumer preferences related to fee disclosure. Those questions are excluded from the test score. We also excluded participants’ responses to questions on disclosure content from other countries from the test score. Each participant generally answered 10 test questions. The average participant’s score was 53 and the median score was 50. Research shows that many 401(k) plan participants struggle with math, which may also affect their ability to understand fee information. See Jill E. Fisch, Annamaria Lusardi, and Andrea Hasler, “Defined Contribution Plans and the Challenge of Financial Illiteracy,” Cornell Law Review, vol. 105, issue 3 (March 2020).
analyzed the percent of participants who answered at least half the test questions incorrectly by their education level, gender, race and ethnicity, total amount of savings and investments, and age group. Based on our survey, we estimate that groups of participants with certain demographic characteristics understand fee information less well than their counterparts. For example, participants with a high school education or less understand fee information less well than participants with a master's/professional degree or higher. In addition, women understand fee information less well than men, and participants with less than $100,000 in savings and investments understand fee information less well than those with savings of $100,000 or more. These differences and others remain when we control for the influence of demographic and other variables. (See fig. 3.) However, the difference by gender was not statistically significant after controlling for demographic and other variables.

We focused our analysis on what participants did not understand well because these areas reflect where they may need additional help. We found no statistically significant differences by age group at the 95 percent confidence level.

All comparisons of survey estimates are significant at the 95 percent confidence level.

We developed a logistic regression model to control for multiple demographic variables simultaneously. The model’s dependent variable shows whether a respondent got at least half of the test questions incorrect, and the model’s independent variables include the respondent’s education level, gender, race and ethnicity, total amount of savings and investments, age group, and response to our survey question asking whether they believe they pay fees for their plan. For more information about our regression model, see appendix II.

In addition, the difference between the Asian non-Hispanic and White non-Hispanic groups was significant at the 95 percent confidence level in the model, but not in figure 3.
Figure 3: Estimated Percent of 401(k) Participants Who Incorrectly Answered at Least Half of Survey’s Fee-Related Test Questions, by Selected Participant Characteristics

Note: Demographic data are self-reported and were collected separately from our survey by the panel administrator. All percentage estimates from GAO’s survey are subject to sampling error. For these estimates, the margins of error at the 95 percent confidence level are shown (the lines overlapping the bars). Lines that do not overlap indicate a statistically significant difference. Regarding race and ethnicity, the percent of Black and White participants who answered at least half the test questions incorrectly are significantly different at the 90 percent confidence level. Because of our sample size, the confidence interval for Asian participants’ test scores is not significantly different from other groups. Regarding total savings and investments, participants were asked to include savings, certificates of deposit, stocks, bonds, mutual funds, employer-sponsored savings plans—including 401(k) plans—and other investments, but not the value of defined benefit plans (pensions).
Overall, our assessment—based on testing of the DOL-required disclosure information and related concepts we presented in our survey—found that 401(k) plan participants have difficulty understanding the effects of many types of fees on account balances. Specifically, we asked about identifying and using asset-based investment fees, formats for asset-based fee information, investment fee subsidies and waivers, investment sales fees, explanation of administrative fees combined with investment fees, loan fees, investment advice fees, and cumulative cost of fees.

**Identifying and Using Asset-based Investment Fees**

Many 401(k) plan participants do not fully understand DOL-required information about asset-based investment fees, we estimate based on our survey. Asset-based fees are often called expense ratios, and a participant may want to identify their investments’ expense ratios to compare them to options outside the plan. However, we found that...

---

28DOL’s fee disclosure regulation uses the term “total annual operating expenses,” but for reporting purposes we use the terms asset-based investment fee and investment fee.
participants have a difficult time identifying an investment’s expense ratio when the fee is labeled differently, which DOL’s regulation does not prohibit. We showed participants a segment of the “investment-related information” section of an annual disclosure, including a table with fee information for one investment fund (see fig. 4). The table labeled the asset-based investment fee as the “total asset-based fee,” but explained in a table note that the total asset-based fee is often called the expense ratio. Fifty-three percent of participants find the disclosure segment unclear and 57 percent cannot identify the expense ratio for the one investment fund listed in the disclosure’s table.29 Forty-seven percent find the disclosure clear or moderately clear and 43 percent can identify the expense ratio as 0.16 percent. We also showed participants a disclosure segment with information for four investment funds, and their responses were similar.

29Our questions about perceived clarity presented a five-point scale: completely clear, very clear, moderately clear, somewhat clear, and not at all clear. For readability, when we report that participants found fee information to be clear, we include completely and very clear and when we report that they found it unclear, we include somewhat clear and not at all clear. We report participants who found information to be moderately clear separately. In reporting results for our questions about understanding, we combine incorrect responses and don’t know responses as responses indicating the participants did not understand.
Figure 4: GAO Estimates of 401(k) Participants’ Ability to Identify the Expense Ratio When Labeled As Something Else in Disclosure Information

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Investment-Related Information</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The following table shows the plan’s investment options, including:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. General information about the type of investment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Fee information, including asset-based fees (often called the expense ratio), plus other stakeholder-type fees or investment restrictions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Historical fund performance and an appropriate benchmark for the same period of time</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>General Information</th>
<th>Fee Information</th>
<th>Historical performance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fund Name/ Benchmark</strong></td>
<td><strong>Investment Manager</strong></td>
<td><strong>Asset Class</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Target Date Funds</td>
<td>Financial Company</td>
<td>Pre- mixed</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1Total asset-based fees are investment management company fees and other plan administrative costs charged to participants provided by the investment management company to cover plan administrative and other costs, administrative costs make up approximately 0.16% of the total asset-based fees for each fund.

2Shareholder-type fees and investment restrictions define any fees paid directly from your investment in this option and any restrictions on trading that might exist for a specific investment option.

For general, 1 year, 5 year, 10 year, and since inception performance is shown. If a full history is not available, simulated returns are shown. Each fund’s returns have been reduced by fund’s fund-level fees.

Based on this information, what is the expense ratio for the Target Date Retirement Fund?

25% Incorrect  
32% Don’t know  
43% Correct

Source: GAO survey of 401(k) participants. | GAO-21-357

Note: Disclosure content shown is an excerpt from a large 401(k) plan’s annual disclosure. All percentage estimates from GAO’s survey are subject to sampling error. For these estimates, the margin of error at the 95 percent confidence level is plus or minus 6 percentage points.

Based on this information, what is the expense ratio for the Target Date Retirement Fund?

Accessible Data for Figure 4: GAO Estimates of 401(k) Participants’ Ability to Identify the Expense Ratio When Labeled As Something Else in Disclosure Information

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Incorrect</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don’t know</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Correct</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: GAO survey of 401(k) participants. | GAO-21-357

Participants also have a difficult time understanding the effect of asset-based investment fees on their returns, based on our survey. The survey asked participants to read a table note in a disclosure explaining that asset-based fees reduce returns. Survey results show that an estimated 63 percent of participants do not understand that the information in the
note means that the fund’s returns are higher before asset-based investment fees (see fig. 5). However, 37 percent of participants understand that the fees will reduce their returns, which is an important concept to understand because these fees can have a negative effect on returns over time.

Figure 5: GAO Estimates of 401(k) Participants’ Understanding that Asset-based Investment Fees Reduce Returns

Note: Disclosure content shown is an excerpt from a large 401(k) plan’s annual disclosure. All percentage estimates from GAO’s survey are subject to sampling error. For these estimates, the margin of error at the 95 percent confidence level is plus or minus 6 percentage points.
In general, 1-year, 5-year, 10-year and since inception performance is shown. If a full history is not available, simulated returns are shown. Each fund’s returns have been reduced by that fund’s total asset-based fees.

Participants were asked to choose the correct observation about the effect of fees on returns, based on the information above.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Accessible Data for Figure 5: GAO Estimates of 401(k) Participants’ Understanding that Asset-based Investment Fees Reduce Returns</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Category</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incorrect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don’t know</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Correct</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: GAO survey of 401(k) participants. | GAO-21-357

Formats for Asset-based Fee Information

More participants understand similar asset-based investment fee information when presented in a narrative format rather than a table format, and most participants prefer to see the actual cost of their asset-based investment fees, based on our survey. DOL’s fee disclosure regulation requires plans to provide participants with asset-based investment fee information, both as a percentage and as a dollar per $1,000 investment balance, presented in a chart or similar format designed to facilitate a comparison of plan investment options. To assess whether participants understand and can use this information, our survey asked about asset-based investment fees expressed as a dollar per $1,000 (rather than as a percentage or expense ratio), presented (1) in a table from an annual fee disclosure, similar to the format of DOL’s model disclosure template, and (2) in a narrative description. When asked to calculate the cost of asset-based investment fees for a $10,000 investment using a table format, an estimated 55 percent of participants understand what to do (see fig. 6). The correct answer reflected a $10 fee for a $10,000 investment.

Asset-based investment fees are actually deducted from a fund’s average net assets on daily basis, so this type of calculation provides just a rough estimate of the actual cost of asset-based investment fees effectively paid by a participant.
Based on this information, if you have $10,000 invested in the Target Date 2020 Fund, how much did you spend for Total Annual Operating expenses this year, in dollars?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Incorrect</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don’t know</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Correct</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: GAO survey of 401(k) participants. | GAO-21-357

However, the percentage of participants who answered correctly was significantly higher when they were asked to calculate the cost of asset-based investment fees for a $15,000 investment fund balance given fees of $4 each quarter per $1,000 invested, when shown in a narrative format not required by DOL’s fee disclosure regulation. Specifically, we estimate that 88 percent of participants can correctly identify the quarterly $60 investment cost with the fee information presented this way (see fig. 7). Providing narrative fee descriptions for each investment offered in a plan...
with multiple investment options may not be feasible or conducive to a comparative table format, but plans and providers may have other opportunities to explain fee information in a narrative format.

**Figure 7: GAO Estimates of 401(k) Participants’ Understanding of the Cost of Their Asset-based Investment Fees When Given Fee Information in a Narrative Format**

*If your investment fund’s expenses are $4 each quarter per $1,000 invested, how much are your expenses for a $15,000 investment in that quarter, assuming that the amount of the investment doesn’t change?*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Percentage</th>
<th>Category</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6%</td>
<td>Incorrect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7%</td>
<td>Don’t know</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>88%</td>
<td>Correct</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: GAO survey of 401(k) participants. | GAO-21-357

Note: All percentage estimates from GAO’s survey are subject to sampling error. For these estimates, the margin of error at the 95 percent confidence level is plus or minus 5 percentage points. Percentages may not add to 100 due to rounding.

*If your investment fund’s expenses are $4 each quarter per $1,000 invested, how much are your expenses for a $15,000 investment in that quarter, assuming that the amount of the investment doesn’t change?*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Percentage</th>
<th>Category</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6%</td>
<td>Incorrect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7%</td>
<td>Don’t know</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>88%</td>
<td>Correct</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: GAO survey of 401(k) participants. | GAO-21-357

In addition, most participants—83 percent—would prefer for plans to provide the actual cost of their asset-based investment fees, we estimate based on our survey, which DOL’s fee disclosure regulation does not require. Specifically, 61 percent of participants prefer to see both the actual cost and the dollar amount per $1,000, while 22 percent prefer to see only the actual cost (see fig. 8). As our survey results show, many
participants do not understand how to apply an expense ratio to identify their investment cost, even when the calculations are provided.\textsuperscript{31}

Figure 8: GAO Estimates of 401(k) Participants’ Preference for Seeing Their Asset-based Investment Fees

\textit{In a disclosure from your 401(k) plan, would you rather see: the total investment fees that you paid that year; the fees you paid per $1,000 in your account that year; or see both?}

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Don’t know</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Only the rate of the fees paid for every $1,000 in my account</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Both the actual dollar amount, and the rate for every $1,000 in my account</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Only the actual dollar amount of the fees that I paid</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: GAO survey of 401(k) participants. | GAO-21-357

Note: All percentage estimates from GAO’s survey are subject to sampling error. For these estimates, the margin of error at the 95 percent confidence level is plus or minus 5 percentage points.

\textit{In a disclosure from your 401(k) plan, would you rather see: the total investment fees that you paid that year; the fees you paid per $1,000 in your account that year; or see both?}

\textsuperscript{31}Financial literacy experts told us that many people have difficulty understanding percentages.
83 percent of 401(k) participants would like to see the total dollar amount of investment fees they paid
Source: GAO survey of 401(k) participants. | GAO-21-357

Investment Fee Subsidies and Waivers

Many participants do not understand investment fee subsidies and waivers, we estimate, based on our survey. DOL’s fee regulation requires plans to calculate the total annual operating expense before factoring in investment fee subsidies and waivers. In addition, DOL’s fee regulation permits plans to apply subsidies and waivers in the calculation of an investment’s average annual total returns. Our survey assessed participants’ understanding of subsidies and waivers in both a narrative description and an illustrative calculation.

When presented with a disclosure’s narrative explanation of the effect of subsidies and waivers, 65 percent of participants find the information unclear and 35 percent find it clear or moderately clear; 64 percent do not understand that subsidies and waivers increase their net investment return by reducing fees that would otherwise apply (see fig. 9). In addition, 51 percent of participants do not understand that because the investment fee subsidies and waivers can change, their effect on a fund’s returns can change. Investment fee subsidies and waivers are important for participants to consider, for example, when weighing an investment fund’s history of returns against its fees, because even if its returns continue to perform at the same level, the participants’ returns could be reduced if subsidies and waivers that had offset certain fees in the past are not renewed.

32Subsidies and waivers apply to investment fees other than asset-based investment fees, such as sales fees (also known as loads).
Figure 9: GAO Estimates of 401(k) Plan Participants’ Understanding of Investment Fee Subsidies and Waivers

For certain investment options, the returns reflect subsidies and waivers, without which the results would have been lower than noted. These subsidies and waivers may not continue to remain in effect. Please consult the prospectus for more information.

**Based on this information, how can subsidies and waivers affect your return?**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Incorrect</th>
<th>Don’t know</th>
<th>Correct</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>30%</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>36%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Based on this same information, participants were asked to choose the correct statement about the effect of subsidies and waivers on their returns**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Incorrect</th>
<th>Don’t know</th>
<th>Correct</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10%</td>
<td>41%</td>
<td>49%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: GAO survey of 401(k) participants. | GAO-21-357

Note: Disclosure content shown is an excerpt from a sample quarterly disclosure used by a large service provider. All percentage estimates from GAO’s survey are subject to sampling error. For these estimates, the margin of error at the 95 percent confidence level is plus or minus 6 percentage points. Percentages may not add to 100 due to rounding.
For certain investment options, the returns reflect subsidies and waivers, without which the results would have been lower than noted. These subsidies and waivers may not continue to remain in effect. Please consult the prospectus for more information.

Based on this information, how can subsidies and waivers affect your return?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Incorrect</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don’t know</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Correct</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Based on this same information, participants were asked to choose the correct statement about the effect of subsidies and waivers on their returns:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Incorrect</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don’t know</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Correct</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: GAO survey of 401(k) participants. | GAO-21-357

Moreover, because investment fee subsidies and waivers may be applied differently to different share classes within the same fund, it is important for participants to be aware of them when considering rolling over retirement savings from a plan where the subsidies and waivers may apply to another plan or retail option where they do not, resulting in higher fees for the same investment. Most 401(k) plan assets invested in mutual funds are not subject to sales fees: The Investment Company Institute reports 93 percent of 401(k) plan mutual fund assets were invested in shares with no sales fees in 2018, including both institutional shares and retail shares for which sales fees were waived.33

Based on our survey, participants generally understand that they may pay higher fees for an investment fund with a lower account balance than they would with a larger balance for which the annual fee is waived. DOL’s fee disclosure regulation requires plans to disclose fund-level shareholder fees and other investment fees not otherwise included in a fund’s asset-based fee. To assess whether participants can understand the application of an investment fee waiver based on a fund’s account size, we asked participants to identify whether a higher or lower account balance paid more in fees, when an annual fee was waived for a higher balance. In our test question, this added fund-level fee was called an annual fee. Fifty-nine percent of participants understand that they would pay more (both an annual and asset-based fee) to invest the smaller balance (see fig. 10). However, 41 percent of participants do not understand they can pay

additional fees on smaller account balances that would be waived for higher account balances.\textsuperscript{34}

Figure 10: GAO Estimates of 401(k) Participants’ Understanding of How Fees Can Vary by Account Balance

Based on this information, which of the following is correct about how much you would pay in fees?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Incorrect</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don’t know</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Correct</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: All percentage estimates from GAO’s survey are subject to sampling error. For these estimates, the margin of error at the 95 percent confidence level is plus or minus 6 percentage points.

Source: GAO survey of 401(k) participants. | GAO-21-357

\textsuperscript{34}In addition, investment fees that are assessed upon small balances and waived for larger balances affect certain workers more; women, younger workers, newer workers, and lower-income workers had lower median balances than men, older workers, more tenured workers, and higher-income workers, according to Vanguard’s defined contribution plan data as of December 2019. Brian T. Alling, Jeffrey W. Clark, and David Stinnett, "How America Saves: 2020," Vanguard, June 2020.
Investment Sales Fees

Investment sales fees were also unclear to many 401(k) plan participants. Specifically, 61 percent of participants find the disclosure information we presented in our survey about sales fees unclear. Further, 72 percent of participants do not understand that when sales fees do not apply to their plan, investing in the same funds outside the plan could be more expensive (see fig. 11). This is an important factor when considering a rollover from a 401(k) plan to a retail individual retirement account, as industry research has found that investment costs are lower, on average, in 401(k) plans.

Figure 11: GAO Estimates of 401(k) Participants’ Understanding that Investment Fees Can Vary Outside the Plan

Based on this information, if those same funds were offered outside the 401(k) plan, would your returns be higher if you invested in them inside or outside of your plan?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>23% Incorrect</th>
<th>49% Don’t know</th>
<th>28% Correct</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

72%

Source: GAO survey of 401(k) participants | GAO-21-357

Note: Disclosure content shown is an excerpt from a sample quarterly disclosure used by a large service provider. All percentage estimates from GAO’s survey are subject to sampling error. For these estimates, the margin of error at the 95 percent confidence level is plus or minus 6 percentage points.

Accessible Data for Figure 11: GAO Estimates of 401(k) Participants’ Understanding that Investment Fees Can Vary Outside the Plan

All total returns assume the reinvestment of all dividend and capital gain distributions at net asset value when paid and do not reflect the deduction of any sales charge, as these charges are not applicable to eligible retirement plans. Had the sales charge been deducted, results would have been lower than shown.

35 We refer to sale charges as sales fees. These fees may apply to the initial purchase of an investment fund’s shares or to the sale of those shares, particularly if sold within a certain timeframe. An investment fund may waive sales fees for fund shares purchased and sold by retirement plan participants.

36 Holden, Duvall, and Chism, “The Economics of Providing 401(k) Plans: Services, Fees, and Expenses, 2018.” Individual retirement accounts are generally not subject to the requirements of ERISA.
retirement plans. Had the sales charge been deducted, results would have been lower than shown.

Based on this information, if those same funds were offered outside the 401(k) plan, would your returns be higher if you invested in them inside or outside of your plan?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Incorrect</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don’t know</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Correct</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: GAO survey of 401(k) participants.

Explanation of Administrative Fees Combined With Investment Fees

Our survey found that about a third of participants understand they could be paying administrative expenses that are disclosed as investment fees. DOL’s fee disclosure regulation requires 401(k) plans to disclose plan-wide administrative fees to participants quarterly. To assess whether participants can identify how much they pay in plan administrative fees, we asked whether they understand a disclosure’s explanation that some administrative fees can actually be disclosed elsewhere, as investment fees, as permitted by DOL. Many participants do not understand that they could be paying administrative fees in addition to plan-wide administrative fees, we estimate based on our survey. Although 71 percent of participants find a paragraph explaining plan-wide administrative expenses clear or moderately clear, we estimate that 68 percent of participants reviewing this sample paragraph do not understand that they could be paying administrative expenses as part of an investment option’s total operating expenses or individual expenses, in addition to the plan-wide administrative expenses (see fig. 12). Notably, survey results show that participants who feel the information is clear are more likely to choose the wrong answer to the test question.

Participants understand a table of administrative and investment fees used by plans in Australia better than the disclosure content shown in figure 12. Specifically, 48 percent of participants understand that the fees shown in the table from Australia are not complete, and that other fees can be shown in another part of the disclosure document.
Figure 12: GAO Estimates of 401(k) Participants’ Understanding of Where They May Pay Administrative Fees

Plan-wide administrative expenses are expenses for Plan administrative services that are charged to your Plan accounts and which are: (1) not reflected in the total operating expenses of any investment options and (2) not individual expenses. Plan administrative expenses include charges for the Plan's day-to-day operation, such as legal, accounting, recordkeeping, communication, investment advisory services and other administrative expenses associated with maintaining account records, processing investment menu changes, and providing customer service.

As of the date of this notice, the Plan expects to incur the following Plan-wide administrative expenses over the next year:

- Fees for recordkeeping services of approximately $11.14 per participant per year, paid in four quarterly installments.
- Fees for investment advisory and consulting services of approximately $0.06 per participant per quarter ($0.24 per participant per year).

How clear is the information in the box?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Unclear</td>
<td>29%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderately clear</td>
<td>31%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clear</td>
<td>41%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based on this information, how much will you pay this year for TOTAL administrative expenses—not just for plan-wide administrative expenses?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Incorrect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Correct</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don’t know</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: GAO survey of 401(k) participants. | GAO-21-357

Note: Disclosure content shown is an excerpt from a large 401(k) plan's annual disclosure. All percentage estimates from GAO's survey are subject to sampling error. For these estimates, the margin of error at the 95 percent confidence level is plus or minus 6 percentage points. Percentages may not add to 100 due to rounding.

Accessible Data for Figure 12: GAO Estimates of 401(k) Participants’ Understanding of Where They May Pay Administrative Fees

How clear is the information in the box?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Unclear</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderately clear</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clear</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Based on this information, how much will you pay this year for TOTAL administrative expenses— not just for plan-wide administrative expenses?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Incorrect</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don’t know</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Correct</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: GAO survey of 401(k) participants. | GAO-21-357

We also presented participants with a similarly-worded but shorter disclosure and found that participants’ understanding is similar to that of the longer disclosure. It is important for participants to know that they could be paying administrative fees disclosed elsewhere, in their investment fees, otherwise they may not understand the actual cost of their plan administration and compare it in an apples to apples manner with that of another plan when considering a rollover.

**Loan Fees**

Participants generally find DOL-required information about administrative, loan-related fees to be clear; however, about half understand how to calculate what their fees would be for a loan, we estimate based on our survey. Some plans permit participants to borrow from their 401(k) account, including cash in the short-term or to purchase a home. We found 74 percent of participants find the information on potential loan fees in our survey clear or moderately clear, but 51 percent cannot identify the minimum cost of a residential loan ($110), given the fees listed (see fig. 13). A lack of understanding can limit participants’ ability to compare costs for various loan options.
Figure 13: GAO Estimates of 401(k) Participants’ Ability to Identify the Minimum Cost of Taking a Loan

Participant Loan Fees:
- One-time loan origination fee at the time the loan is taken: $50
- Additional fee for review of residential loan paperwork (charged each time paperwork is reviewed): $45
- Fee for rejection of loan payment due to insufficient funds (where paid from individual bank account): $25
- Check Fee (applies to any distribution from the Plan): $15 per distribution
- Overnight Check Service (applies if you request a distribution from the Plan and wish to have the check sent by overnight mail): $25 per check

How clear is the information in the box?
- 26% Unclear
- 28% Moderately clear
- 46% Clear

Based on this information, what were your minimum individual expenses for taking a residential loan from your plan?
- 41% Incorrect
- 11% Don’t know
- 49% Correct

Source: GAO survey of 401(k) participants. | GAO-21-357

Note: Disclosure content shown is an excerpt from a large 401(k) plan’s annual disclosure. All percentage estimates from GAO’s survey are subject to sampling error. For these estimates, the margin of error at the 95 percent confidence level is plus or minus 6 percentage points. Percentages may not add to 100 due to rounding.
Investment Advice Fees

Participants generally understand the cost of investment advice, but fewer participants understand these programs are optional, we estimate based on our survey. DOL’s fee disclosure regulation requires plans to disclose investment advice fees in addition to other administrative fees. Our survey presented participants with a description of fees charged for an optional investment advice program. We estimate that 64 percent of participants find this information clear or moderately clear, and 69 percent understand that the cost of using the program would vary depending on their account balance. Fewer participants (57 percent) understand that the program is optional (see fig. 14).
**Figure 14: GAO Estimates of 401(k) Participants' Understanding of the Cost of Investment Advice and Whether the Advice Program is Optional**

**Professional Management Account Service fees:** If you sign up for the Professional Management program with Financial Advisors, LLC, our vendor for investment advice and investment management service for the Plan, you will be charged a fee based on the size of your account:
- 0.50% per year for the first $100,000 in your account
- 0.45% per year for the next $150,000 in your account
- 0.30% per year for the amount above $250,000 in your account

Fees are assessed quarterly. The fee is calculated based on the average amount of assets under management for the calendar quarter and is debited from your account the following quarter.

| Based on this information, if you use the investment advice and management services program, what will you pay? |
|---|---|---|
| 18% Incorrect | 13% Don’t know | 69% Correct |

| Based on this information, are you required to use investment advice and management services? |
|---|---|---|
| 22% Incorrect | 21% Don’t know | 57% Correct |

Source: GAO survey of 401(k) participants. | GAO-21-357

Note: Disclosure content shown is an excerpt from a large 401(k) plan’s annual disclosure. All percentage estimates from GAO’s survey are subject to sampling error. For these estimates, the margin of error at the 95 percent confidence level is plus or minus 6 percentage points.
Accessible Data for Figure 14: GAO Estimates of 401(k) Participants’ Understanding of the Cost of Investment Advice and Whether the Advice Program is Optional

Professional Management Account Service fees: If you sign up for the Professional Management program with Financial Advisors, LLC, our vendor for investment advice and investment management service for the Plan, you will be charged a fee based on the size of your account:

- 0.50% per year for the first $100,000 in your account
- 0.45% per year for the next $150,000 in your account
- 0.30% per year for the amount above $250,000 in your account

Fees are assessed quarterly. The fee is calculated based on the average amount of assets under management for the calendar quarter and is debited from your account the following quarter.

Based on this information, if you use the investment advice and management services program, what will you pay?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Incorrect</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don’t know</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Correct</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based on this information, are you required to use investment advice and management services?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Incorrect</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don’t know</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Correct</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: GAO survey of 401(k) participants.  | GAO-21-357

Our survey shows that some participants (43 percent) do not understand the opt-in arrangement described and falsely assumed they had to purchase advice services. In a plan where the reverse is true and all participants share the cost, participants who are unclear about the fee arrangement could miss the opportunity to obtain advice services for which they unknowingly pay.38

38According to the Plan Sponsor Council of America Survey of Profit Sharing and 401(k) Plans for 2018, the cost of investment advice is shared by the employer and participants in 15 percent of plans, while the cost is paid by participants alone in 31 percent of plans and employers alone in 55 percent of plans.
Cumulative Cost of Fees

Department of Labor-Required Statement About the Cumulative Effect of Fees
The cumulative effect of fees and expenses can substantially reduce the growth of your retirement savings.
Source: DOL Model Comparative Chart, 29 C.F.R. § 2550.404a-5 app. | GAO-21-357

While participants generally understand the concept that fees can reduce their returns over time, they have a more difficult time understanding the effect of fees on their returns over 5 to 20 years, we estimate based on our survey. DOL’s fee disclosure regulation requires plans to include a statement (effectively a consumer warning) about the cumulative effect of fees in fee disclosures, along with other investment-related information (see sidebar). We estimate based on our survey that 74 percent of participants find DOL’s consumer warning to be clear or moderately clear, while 26 percent find it unclear (see fig. 15).

In addition, our survey found that 74 percent of participants correctly understand DOL’s warning to mean that even if their balance is growing, it will grow less because of fees and expenses (see fig. 15). As expected, participants who find the warning about the cumulative effect of fees clear also understand it significantly better than those who find it unclear.

[39] The DOL statement was better understood by participants than a similar statement from Australia, which states “small differences in both investment performance and fees and costs can have a substantial impact on your long term returns.” An estimated 57 percent feel the statement from Australia is clear or moderately clear and understand it means that even a growing balance will not grow by as much due to fees, based on our survey. Participants’ overall test scores, reported earlier, are based on understanding of U.S. disclosure content only.
Figure 15: GAO Estimates of 401(k) Participants’ Understanding of Department of Labor’s (DOL) Consumer Warning About the Cumulative Effect of Fees

*How clear is the information?*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Unclear</td>
<td>26%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderately clear</td>
<td>28%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clear</td>
<td>47%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based on DOL’s statement, participants were asked to choose the correct statement about the effect of fees on their returns

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Incorrect</td>
<td>13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don’t know</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Correct</td>
<td>74%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: GAO survey of 401(k) participants. | GAO-21-357

Note: All percentage estimates from GAO’s survey are subject to sampling error. For these estimates, the margin of error at the 95 percent confidence level is plus or minus 6 percentage points. Percentages may not add to 100 due to rounding.

Based on our survey, we estimate that more participants understand that fees reduce investment returns over 1 year than understand the cumulative effect of fees on their returns over 5 years. We asked participants to identify the value of a given balance over 1 year and 5 years, given annual growth and a flat annual fee. We found 81 percent of participants understand that $100 earning an annual 5 percent return would be worth less than $105 after 1 year, if there was an annual fee
(see fig. 16). However, half of participants understand that over 5 years, a balance with returns was reduced by more than 5 years of fees because of the compounding value of returns not earned on the fees deducted from an account, our survey found.\textsuperscript{40}

**Figure 16: GAO Estimates of 401(k) Participants’ Understanding of the Effect of Fees on Their Returns After 1 Year and 5 Years**

*Suppose you have $100 in a retirement account earning a 5 percent return a year. You also pay a $1 annual fee. After 1 year, how much would you have?*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Percentage</th>
<th>Incorrect</th>
<th>Correct</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>81%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Percentage</th>
<th>Incorrect</th>
<th>Don’t know</th>
<th>Correct</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>36%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: GAO survey of 401(k) participants. | GAO-21-357

Note: All percentage estimates from GAO’s survey are subject to sampling error. For these estimates, the margin of error at the 95 percent confidence level is plus or minus 6 percentage points. Percentages may not add to 100 due to rounding.

\textsuperscript{40}Research shows that the concept of compound interest—by which a balance can grow by increasing amounts when the same rate of growth applies to a larger and larger amount—is not well understood. Judy T. Lin, Christopher Bumcrot, Tippy Ulicny, Gary Mottola, Gerri Walsh, Robert Ganem, Christine Kieffer, Annamaria Lusardi, “The State of Financial Capability: The 2018 National Financial Capability Survey” (June 2019).
Suppose you have $100 in a retirement account earning a 5 percent return a year. You also pay a $1 annual fee. After 1 year, how much would you have?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Incorrect</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don't know</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Correct</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Suppose you have $100 in a retirement account earning a 5 percent return a year. You also pay a $10 annual fee. After 5 years, how much more would you have had in your account if $50 in fees had not been taken out?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Incorrect</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don't know</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Correct</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: GAO survey of 401(k) participants. | GAO-21-357

Our survey also found strong participant interest in seeing additional DOL information related to the cumulative effect of fees. Specifically, when participants view DOL’s consumer warning about the cumulative effect of fees with a website address for accessing more information, 72 percent of participants report they would open that link to learn more, either right away or later, we estimate based on our survey (see fig. 17).
Based on the information, which one of the following would you do?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Open link later</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Open link right away</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Never open link</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Of the 36% who would open the link right away, respondents said they would if...

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reading a paper copy and link must be typed into browser</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reading online, but link must be copied and pasted</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reading online and hyperlink provided</td>
<td>84</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Of the 28% who would not open the link later, respondents said that was because...

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I do not know how to access the link</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I do not have enough interest</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I do not want to read more</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I do not have time</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I do not feel this is a priority</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: GAO survey of 401(k) participants. | GAO-21-357

Notably, among participants who are motivated by the DOL consumer warning to seek out more fee information, 84 percent report they would do so right away if they were reading the disclosure online and there was a hyperlink to use. Reported likeliness to seek out more information drops if participants must copy and paste the link into another window (35 percent) or type the link into a browser (17 percent).

We also found that participants’ understanding of the effect of fees on their returns over 20 years was mixed. Because participant fee disclosures refer them to SEC documents for more information about investments, our survey asked participants a few questions about a graphic developed by SEC’s Office of Investor Education and Advocacy illustrating the cumulative effect of fees over time to assess whether they understood it. When participants view a figure showing portfolio values over 20 years, with a constant return and varying fee levels, 69 percent find the figure clear or moderately clear (see fig. 18). However, 53 percent of participants do not understand that the balance of an account with higher annual fees was not just lower but lower by a greater proportion over time. Significantly more participants—63 percent—do understand that they should choose investments with lower fees to maximize the growth of their savings, based on the same figure.
Figure 18: GAO Estimates of 401(k) Participants’ Understanding of Graphic Showing the Cumulative Effect of Fees Over Time

How clear is the information?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Unclear</th>
<th>Moderately clear</th>
<th>Clear</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Percentage</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>41%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based on the information in the chart, an account paying a higher annual fee (green line) is less than an account paying a lower fee (red, blue lines) by:
the same proportion; a smaller proportion; or a greater proportion over time?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Incorrect</th>
<th>Don’t know</th>
<th>Correct</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Percentage</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>47%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based on the information, what should you do to maximize the growth of your savings over 20 years?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Incorrect</th>
<th>Don’t know</th>
<th>Correct</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Percentage</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>63%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: All percentage estimates from GAO’s survey are subject to sampling error. For these estimates, the margin of error at the 95 percent confidence level is plus or minus 6 percentage points.
Accessible Data for Figure 18: GAO Estimates of 401(k) Participants’ Understanding of Graphic Showing the Cumulative Effect of Fees Over Time

How clear is the information?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Unclear</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderately clear</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clear</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based on the information in the chart, an account paying a higher annual fee (green line) is less than an account paying a lower fee (red, blue lines) by: the same proportion; a smaller proportion; or a greater proportion over time?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Incorrect</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don’t know</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Correct</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based on the information, what should you do to maximize the growth of your savings over 20 years?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Incorrect</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don’t know</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Correct</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Securities and Exchange Commission’s Office of Investor Education and Advocacy and GAO survey of 401(k) participants. | GAO-21-357

We also showed participants a figure developed by the SEC’s Office of Investor Education and Advocacy showing the effect of fees over 20 years. While the graphic above (fig. 18) compares the difference between three balances with three different fees—0.25, 0.5 and 1.0 percent—this graphic compares a balance if no fee were applied to a balance from which an annual fee is subtracted. Fifty-six percent of participants understand that, because of fees, they have a smaller amount invested that is earning a return—a key concept shown in the chart.
Many Participants Do Not Know They Pay Any Fees in their 401(k) Plan, Based on Our Survey

While our fee-related test questions gauged 401(k) participants’ understanding of specific fee information, our survey also asked participants whether they believe they pay fees for their plan (everyone does), whether they know about how much they pay in fees, and how confident they are in their ability to understand the effect of fees on their retirement savings. Based on responses from our survey, we estimate that 64 percent of participants believe they are either not paying any 401(k) fees—administrative or investment fees—or do not know if they are paying these fees (see fig. 19).

Figure 19: GAO Estimates of 401(k) Plan Participants’ Understanding of Whether They Pay Fees for Their Plan

Do you pay any fees for your 401(k) plan?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don’t know</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: GAO survey of 401(k) participants. | GAO-21-357

Note: All percentage estimates from GAO’s survey are subject to sampling error. For these estimates, the margin of error at the 95 percent confidence level is plus or minus 5 percentage points.

Accessible Data for Figure 19: GAO Estimates of 401(k) Plan Participants’ Understanding of Whether They Pay Fees for Their Plan

Do you pay any fees for your 401(k) plan?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don’t know</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: GAO survey of 401(k) participants. | GAO-21-357

Participants’ knowledge that they pay fees varies by their level of education, gender, and amount of savings and investments. Specifically, we estimate that men and those with savings amounts of $100,000 or more know at higher rates that they pay fees than their counterparts (see fig. 20). In addition, we estimate that those with master’s/professional degrees or higher and those with bachelor’s degrees know at higher rates that they pay fees than those with a high school education or less.
Figure 20: Estimated Percent of 401(k) Participants Who Know They Pay Fees, by Participant Characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Estimated percentage</th>
<th>Lower bound</th>
<th>Upper bound</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>High school/GED (or less)</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Some college (without degree)</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Associates degree</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bachelors degree</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Masters/professional (or higher)</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less than $25,000 (in total savings and investments)</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$25,000 to less than $100,000</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$100,000 or more</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: GAO survey of 401(k) participants.  |  GAO-21-357

Note: All percentage estimates from GAO’s survey are subject to sampling error. For these estimates, the margins of error at the 95 percent confidence level are shown (the lines overlapping the bars). Lines that do not overlap indicate a statistically significant difference. Regarding total savings and investments, participants were asked to include savings, certificates of deposit, stocks, bonds, mutual...
funds, employer-sponsored savings plans—including 401(k) plans—and other investments, but not the value of defined benefit plans (pensions).

We also analyzed the percent of participants who answered at least half of our survey’s fee-related test questions incorrectly by whether they know they pay fees for their plan, and estimate that participants who do not know they pay fees score less well than those who do. Specifically, of participants who know they pay 401(k) fees, 26 percent answered more than half the test questions incorrectly, compared with 47 percent of participants who believe incorrectly that they are not paying fees or do not know if they are paying fees.

Participants also do not know approximately how much they pay in fees for their 401(k) plans (see fig. 21). Based on our survey, we estimate that 81 percent of participants do not know approximately how much they pay in fees or incorrectly believe that they do not pay fees at all. Notably, 17 percent of participants do not believe they pay any fees, even after viewing a description of fees charged in 401(k) plans, as shown below.

Figure 21: GAO Estimates of 401(k) Participants’ Awareness of About How Much They Pay in Plan Fees

Financial service companies that manage 401(k) plans charge fees for administering and managing those plans. The fees are paid by the employer and/or the employees that participate in the plan. Often, the company that manages the 401(k) plan simply deducts the fees from each participant’s 401(k) plan balance, so you rarely receive a bill for the fees.

In general, do you know about how much in fees you are paying for your 401(k) plan(s)?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>17% I don’t pay fees</th>
<th>65% No</th>
<th>19% Yes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Source: GAO survey of 401(k) participants. | GAO-21-357
Financial service companies that manage 401(k) plans charge fees for administering and managing those plans. The fees are paid by the employer and/or the employees that participate in the plan. Often, the company that manages the 401(k) plan simply deducts the fees from each participants' 401(k) plan balance, so you rarely receive a bill for the fees.

In general, do you know about how much in fees you are paying for your 401(k) plan(s)?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I don’t pay fees</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: GAO survey of 401(k) participants. | GAO-21-357

Note: The description of fees charged in 401(k) plans is excerpted from a consumer advocate's national survey. All percentage estimates from GAO's survey are subject to sampling error. For these estimates, the margin of error at the 95 percent confidence level is plus or minus 4 percentage points. Percentages may not add to 100 due to rounding.

Participants' self-assessed knowledge of how much they pay in fees also varied by gender—12 percent of women believe they know approximately how much they pay in fees, while 24 percent of men believe they do.

We also analyzed the percent of participants who answered at least half of our survey's fee-related test questions incorrectly by whether they believe they know approximately how much in fees they pay for their 401(k) plan, and estimate that participants who do not know score less well than those who do. Specifically, of participants who believe they know approximately how much they pay in fees, 21 percent answered more than half the test questions incorrectly, compared to 41 percent of participants who do not know approximately how much they pay in fees and 56 percent of participants who incorrectly believe they do not pay fees at all.

Our survey found participants also lack confidence in their ability to understand the effect of 401(k) fees on their retirement savings. Specifically, 58 percent of participants believe that they are not
knowledgeable about the impact fees can have on their total retirement savings, we estimate based on our survey (see fig. 22).

**Figure 22: GAO Estimates of 401(k) Plan Participants’ Confidence in their Knowledge of Fees’ Impact on Their Savings**

*How knowledgeable are you about the impact that fees can have on your total retirement savings?*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Not knowledgeable</td>
<td>58%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderately knowledgeable</td>
<td>26%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knowledgeable</td>
<td>16%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: GAO survey of 401(k) participants. | GAO-21-357

Note: All percentage estimates from GAO’s survey are subject to sampling error. For these estimates, the margin of error at the 95 percent confidence level is plus or minus 4 percentage points.

**Accessible Data for Figure 22: GAO Estimates of 401(k) Plan Participants’ Confidence in their Knowledge of Fees’ Impact on Their Savings**

How knowledgeable are you about the impact that fees can have on your total retirement savings?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Not knowledgeable</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderately knowledgeable</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knowledgeable</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: GAO survey of 401(k) participants. | GAO-21-357

Participants’ confidence that they understand the effect of fees varied by their level of education and their gender. For example, 6 percent of those with a high school diploma or less and 11 percent with some college feel they are knowledgeable about the impact of fees on their retirement savings, while 25 percent of those with a bachelor’s degree feel that way. In addition, women’s confidence in their understanding of the effect of fees was much lower than that of men. Specifically, 7 percent of women feel knowledgeable about the impact fees can have on their retirement savings compared to 23 percent of men.

In addition, we analyzed the percent of participants who answered at least half of our survey’s fee-related test questions incorrectly by their confidence that they understand the effect of fees. We estimate that participants confident in their knowledge of the impact of plan fees generally perform better on our test questions than participants who say...

---

41The category “not knowledgeable” includes participants who reported they are not at all or slightly knowledgeable and “knowledgeable” includes participants who reported they are very or extremely knowledgeable.
they are not knowledgeable. Specifically, of participants who rate themselves as knowledgeable about the impact of fees on their total retirement savings, 20 percent answered more than half the questions incorrectly, compared to 47 percent of participants who rate themselves as not knowledgeable.

Many Participants Report They Are Unlikely to Review Additional Information but Prefer Accessing Information Electronically

Participants’ Preferences for Accessing Fee Information

Our survey asked participants about their willingness to obtain and review more information about their investments and how they prefer to receive fee information. Many participants report they are unlikely to read additional detailed information about their investments, but that willingness also depends on how easily they can access the additional information. Directing participants to read additional detailed information about their investments is an approach called “layering.” DOL’s fee disclosure regulation permits plans to use layering in fee disclosures, which can reduce disclosure length and limit the information that plan sponsors provide directly to participants. Participants who we surveyed viewed a paragraph—drawn from a sample quarterly disclosure—explaining that they should consult investment prospectuses and comparable documents to learn more about their investment options, including fee information (see fig. 23). An estimated 80 percent of participants report they are unlikely or moderately likely to obtain and review such documents after reading the statement.\textsuperscript{42}

\textsuperscript{42}Responses of “not at all likely” and “somewhat likely” are combined and described as “unlikely.”
Based on this information, how likely are you to obtain and review additional, detailed investment documents outside what is provided in your plan documents?

- **55%** Unlikely
- **26%** Moderately likely
- **20%** Likely

Of the 89% who were unlikely or moderately likely:

Would you be more likely to obtain and review additional, detailed investment documents if you were also told that they described fees and expenses that could reduce the growth of your savings over time?

- **58%** Yes
- **19%** No
- **24%** Don’t know

Source: GAO survey of 401(k) participants. | GAO-21-357

Note: Disclosure content shown in the box is an excerpt from a sample quarterly disclosure used by a large service provider. All percentage estimates from GAO’s survey are subject to sampling error. For estimates in the pie chart on the left, the margin of error at the 95 percent confidence level is plus or minus 4 percentage points, while the margin of error at that level for the pie chart on the right is plus or minus 5 percentage points. Percentages may not add to 100 due to rounding. The “unlikely” and “moderately likely” percentages in the pie chart on the left add to 80 percent rather than 81 percent due to rounding.
Accessible Data for Figure 23: GAO Estimates of 401(k) Participants’ Willingness to Obtain and Review Additional Information about Their Investments After Reading Disclosure Excerpt Encouraging Them to Do So

For more complete information on the investment options that are mutual funds, including their management fees and other charges and expenses, please consult the prospectuses and other comparable documents. Investors should consider the investment objectives, risks, charges and expenses of investment options carefully before investing. This, and additional information about the investment options, can be found in the prospectuses and, if available, the summary prospectuses which can be obtained on SeeMyBenefits at www.benefits.company.com or by calling Company Name at 800.866.401K. Investors should read the prospectuses and, if available, the summary prospectuses carefully before investing.

Based on this information, how likely are you to obtain and review additional, detailed investment documents outside what is provided in your plan documents?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Unlikely</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderately likely</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Likely</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Of the 80% who were unlikely or moderately likely (in the table above)

Would you be more likely to obtain and review additional, detailed investment documents if you were also told that they described fees and expenses that could reduce the growth of your savings over time?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don’t know</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: GAO survey of 401(k) participants. | GAO-21-357

Of the 80 percent of participants who report they are unlikely or moderately likely to obtain and review additional detailed investment documents, 58 percent indicate they would be more likely to do so if told that the documents describe fees and expenses that could reduce the growth of their savings over time. Among the 20 percent of participants who report they are likely to obtain and review additional investment documents, 26 percent report they would obtain and review just one
document and 58 percent report they would obtain and review two to three documents. In addition, participants who are motivated to obtain and review additional fee information still want access to be easy. While most participants would access more information if it was available through a hyperlink, 17 percent would type the web address into a web browser if they were otherwise reading their disclosure on paper.

To understand how participants prefer to receive fee disclosure information, we asked them to identify their preferred distribution methods. We estimate that 51 percent of participants prefer to receive information about fees by email, while 48 percent prefer to receive it by mail, and 40 percent prefer it to be available online.

**Participants’ Preferences for When to Access Fee Information**

Although many participants report being unwilling to research additional investment-related documents, those willing to do so indicate that they would make the effort when making investment choices. Of the 20 percent of participants who report they are likely to obtain and review additional investment documents, 65 percent report they would do so to compare investment options before making their selection and 58 percent would do so to learn more after selecting investment options, based on our survey.

To assess whether current fee disclosure schedules meet participants’ needs, we asked participants at what times they would want to review administrative and investment fee information. About half of participants report they want administrative and investment fee information when comparing investment options (see table 1). In addition, many report they want to know about fees when they open a 401(k) account, at which time DOL generally requires plans to provide fee disclosure information. In addition, about a third want to have administrative and investment fee information when they change their contribution levels or when leaving

---

43Responses of “very likely” and “extremely likely” are combined and reported as “likely.” According to the Plan Sponsor Council of America Survey of Profit Sharing and 401(k) Plans for 2018, 83 percent of plans offer 10 or more investment options and 32 percent offer 21 options or more.

44Participants were asked to choose all answers that apply. We did not ask about administrative and investment fees separately, but asked about plan fees generally.

45Participants were asked to choose all answers that apply.
their job. On-demand access to certain investment information is facilitated by DOL’s fee disclosure regulation, in addition to information provided on prescribed intervals and occasions.

Table 1: GAO Estimates of 401(k) Participants’ Reported Preferences for When to Receive Administrative and Investment Fee Information

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Participants reported it would be helpful to have administrative and investment-related fee information at this time:</th>
<th>Percentage of participants</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>When I start a job</td>
<td>55%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>When I open a 401(k) account</td>
<td>65%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>When I compare investment options available to me</td>
<td>49%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>When I consider rolling over savings from another plan</td>
<td>47%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>When I change my contribution level</td>
<td>36%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>When I leave my job</td>
<td>33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None of these times</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: GAO survey of 401(k) participants. | GAO-21-357

Note: Participants were asked to choose all answers that apply. Choices are listed chronologically, in the order in which they might occur. All percentage estimates from GAO’s survey are subject to sampling error. For these estimates, the margin of error at the 95 percent confidence level is plus or minus 5 percentage points. The table shows percentages for investment-related fee information. Percentages for administrative and investment fee information were similar.

In addition, of participants who report that they want investment fee information for comparing investment options, 61 percent would like the information annually and 34 percent prefer it quarterly, our survey found. DOL requires plans to disclose investment menus with fees before participants make investment choices, and annually thereafter. Investment fees are not required to be disclosed quarterly.

46 These percentages were similar for administrative fees and expenses information.

47 See 29 C.F.R. § 2550.404a-5(c) & (d).

48 The remaining 5 percent of participants selected “Other.”
Selected Countries Use Various Strategies and Tools to Help Retirement Plan Participants Understand and Use Fee Information

Selected Countries Test Consumer Understanding, Simplify Language, Convey Importance of Fees, and Leverage Additional Practices to Help Plan Participants

Consumer Testing

Stakeholders in Australia, New Zealand, and the EU stated that consumer testing is important to ensure that plan participants understand and can use fee disclosures to make investment decisions and they have conducted such testing on disclosure contents. For example, an official said that the EU’s rules for retirement savings accounts require that pension benefit statements are easy to read and written clearly, but there are no guidelines or metrics to measure this, so the EU uses consumer testing to gauge if this principle is being met.

Stakeholders in Australia and the EU said that consumer testing can help them assess participants’ preferences to improve disclosures. For example, during our review, an Australian regulatory agency was working on a project to assess participants’ preferences, how they make investment decisions, and the effect of disclosures on those decisions. The officials were gathering information and wanted to conduct consumer testing. However, subject matter experts in Australia said that asking participants about their preferences and what seems clear is very different from measuring their ability to understand and use fee disclosures.

Consumer testing can also help regulators develop better templates so more participants can benefit from tested content and format. According to an official, it is important that the EU’s financial regulatory agency develops disclosure templates so that member states—including those that have not conducted consumer testing due to resource constraints—can use tools that have been partially tested. During our review, the EU’s

49Consumer testing, also known as product testing, is a process of measuring the properties or performance of products, according to a global research firm.
financial regulatory agency was testing two model pension benefit statements for retirement savings accounts to determine which one was preferred by plan participants, holding one-hour interviews with small groups in four EU member states. The first model statement breaks down fees paid by type or purpose (including, but not limited to, administrative, investment, and transaction costs) and the second breaks down fees by who pays (including participants and employers). In New Zealand, officials with a regulatory agency said that after extensive consumer research and testing, they developed a much shorter disclosure format that was more useful for comparing investment funds.

Simplify Language

Stakeholders in Australia and the EU said that complex language, such as legal jargon, could limit participants’ understanding or use of disclosure documents. To simplify the language, these stakeholders recommended explaining uncommon terms, avoiding jargon by using simple terms, and providing everyday examples to help individuals relate to the information. According to a subject matter expert in Australia, regulators should define the financial terms used in disclosures—including investment fees or administrative costs. While some participants may understand these terms, this expert said, most would find it more helpful to indicate what they should do with them, such as how to compare a fee for a plan to that of another plan or investment product. Additionally, a consumer advocacy group representative in Australia said that disclosure language should be at a lower reading level than typically used. To illustrate this, the representative suggested revisions to terms and statements in Australian disclosures that participants may not understand (see table 2).

50The agency published the two model pension benefit statements in March 2020. We use the broader term retirement savings accounts, instead of the European term pension or Australian and New Zealand term superannuation fund, to avoid confusion. This report is about defined contribution plans and not defined benefit plans, which are more commonly referred to as pensions in the U.S.
Table 2: Examples of Stakeholder Suggestions to Simplify Fee Disclosure Language for Retirement Plans, as Suggested by Australian Consumer Group

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Term or statement in Australian disclosures</th>
<th>Stakeholder suggested revisions to improve understanding</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>You should consider whether features such as superior investment performance or the provision of better member services justify higher fees and costs.</td>
<td>Your fund may put more money into your superannuation fund than another fund, and that might cost you more, so you should think about whether the extra cost is balanced by the extra income.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small differences in both investment performance and fees and costs can have a substantial impact on your long term returns.</td>
<td>Fees might have a big impact on how much money you will have when you retire.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investment fees</td>
<td>What we charge you for managing your money</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Administrative fees</td>
<td>What we charge you to set up and maintain your account</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: GAO presentation of information from an interview with a consumer advocacy group in Australia. | GAO-21-357

According to stakeholders in Australia and the EU, the legal nature of fee disclosures creates a challenge to simplifying their language and making them more readable, but layering data—a technique where information is presented hierarchically—can help participants understand disclosures. Through layering, participants access key information first and have the option to obtain more detailed information. For example, EU officials said for retirement plan investment products participants may first receive a short, standardized, and simplified information document that refers to other sources for more detailed information. Ideally, this first layer of information is a maximum of 2 to 3 pages. This approach can prevent participants from being overwhelmed with information. 51

Stakeholders in Italy, Australia, and the EU said layering can be used with either paper or electronic disclosures, but some cited benefits of layered electronic disclosures that would not be possible with paper. In Italy, plan participants have the option to access layered disclosures electronically or in paper format. For the pre-contractual documentation, potential participants receive a short disclosure of key information (including fees) and a document containing information on the fund’s investments. Additionally, plan participants can request and receive more detailed technical information either online or by mail. They also receive their pension benefit statement—either electronically or in paper format—annually. Stakeholders in Australia and the EU said that layered electronic disclosures can include visual elements to provide key information. For example, the stakeholders suggested layering through

51As previously discussed, DOL permits plans to use layering in fee disclosures to reduce length and limit the information required from plan sponsors.
pop-up boxes, which can help educate participants by explaining financial terms when they scroll over them.

Convey Importance of Fees

Stakeholders in Australia, Italy, and the EU said that disclosures should convey the importance of fees by providing examples of their cumulative impact on the growth of retirement savings over time. For example, EU officials said that disclosures should show consumers the total compounded amount of their costs over time, rather than just costs as a percentage of assets. According to a subject matter expert in Australia, examples that show participants the impact of fees and costs on a hypothetical $50,000 account balance over a period of time are probably helpful for some participants (see fig. 24.) However, this subject matter expert said that these calculations would be more useful if they were based on a participant’s actual balance instead of a hypothetical $50,000 account balance.

Figure 24: Example of Annual Fees and Costs Summary in Australian Retirement Product Disclosure Statement

This table gives an example of how the ongoing annual fees and costs for the [insert name of generic MySuper product or other investment option as required by subclause 220(1)] for this superannuation product can affect your superannuation investment over a 1-year period. You should use this table to compare this superannuation product with other superannuation products.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EXAMPLE—[insert name of generic MySuper product or other investment option as required by subclause 220(1)]</th>
<th>BALANCE OF $50,000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Administration fees and costs</td>
<td>$XX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLUS Investment fees and costs</td>
<td>$XX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLUS Transaction costs</td>
<td>$XX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>EQUALS Cost of product</strong></td>
<td>$XX</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For every $50,000 you have in the superannuation product, you will be charged or have deducted from your investment $XX in administration fees and costs. And, you will be charged or have deducted from your investment $XX in investment fees and costs. And, you will be charged or have deducted from your investment $XX in transaction costs. If your balance was $50,000, at the beginning of the year, then for that year you will be charged fees and costs of $XX for the superannuation product.

Note: * Additional fees may apply.

Source: Australian Securities and Investments Commission Regulatory Guide 97 | GAO-21-357

According to officials with an Australian regulatory agency, Product Disclosure Statements are required to include a “consumer advisory warning” box, which contains an illustrative example of the effect of fees...
and expenses on a participant’s account (see fig. 25). According to the officials, they decided to require the consumer advisory warning because it is important that participants understand the long-term impact of fees.\footnote{In our participant survey, we asked participants to review this “consumer advisory warning” to assess whether they can understand it. We estimate that 28 percent of participants find the information clear and 57 percent understand, if an account balance is growing, it will not grow as much because of fees and costs.}

**Figure 25: Consumer Advisory Warning Box in Australian Retirement Product Disclosure Statement**

**DID YOU KNOW?**

Small differences in both investment performance and fees and costs can have a substantial impact on your long-term returns.

For example, total annual fees and costs of 2% of your account balance rather than 1% could reduce your final return by up to 20% over a 30-year period (for example, reduce it from $100,000 to $80,000).

You should consider whether features such as superior investment performance or the provision of better member services justify higher fees and costs.

You or your employer, as applicable, may be able to negotiate to pay lower fees. Ask the fund or your financial adviser.

**TO FIND OUT MORE**

If you would like to find out more, or see the impact of the fees based on your own circumstances, the Australian Securities and Investments Commission (ASIC) Moneysmart website (www.moneysmart.gov.au) has a superannuation calculator to help you check out different fee options.

Additionally, officials in Italy and New Zealand said that consumers do not usually understand investment fees shown as a percentage, and showing the fees in monetary terms is more helpful. According to officials with a New Zealand regulatory agency, the most successful element of the country’s disclosure requirements is providing the fee amount in dollars. Furthermore, allowing participants to see the fee amount in dollars was a significant improvement to help them understand the consequences of fees.
According to stakeholders in Australia, New Zealand, and the EU, cost information in disclosures should be individualized to the recipient. Individualized cost information, including contributions made and fees deducted, can help participants understand the rate of growth of their retirement savings. One reason for this is that fixed costs are more significant for lower balances, so a hypothetical projection could be misleading for a participant investing a significantly different balance. For example, a flat fee has a much bigger negative effect on a small balance than a larger balance, while a percentage-based fee is much more costly over time for a larger balance. As part of its testing of two model pension benefit statements for retirement savings accounts, the EU’s financial regulatory agency included a table in one model showing contributions to and deductions from an individual’s account balance during the year (in actual dollar amounts).53 (See fig. 26.)

53To determine whether participants understand how to use a table where individualized fees are disaggregated and separate from investment returns, our survey asked participants to consider an EU pension benefit statement excerpt showing contributions to and deductions from an individual’s account balance during the year (in actual dollar amounts), as shown in figure 26. The fees shown are comprehensive, including both administrative and investment fees. We estimate that 45 percent of participants find this disclosure format clear; and 68 percent understand how to use the information presented, based on our question. Specifically, participants understand—with calculations provided in the survey—that the investment return is greater than the total costs for the year shown.
Australian retirement plan participants receive periodic statements that provide the dollar amount of fees deducted from their account and investments. However, a subject matter expert in Australia cautioned that showing participants the amounts they paid in the past—such as in the previous year or quarter—could confuse them. Additionally, the subject matter expert stated that participants probably would not understand that this money did not come directly out of their accounts, but typically reduced the investment income before it was added to their balance. However, such individualized information could trigger participants to consider switching to an investment option with lower fees.

**Additional Practices**

Stakeholders discussed additional practices that could improve disclosures and help participants use and understand fee information (see table 3). For example, the annual fees and cost summary table in Australian Product Disclosure Statements include a breakdown of administrative and investment fees and costs, which could help individuals compare investment options. According to officials in Australia,
they conducted consumer testing to assess individuals’ preferences regarding the format of the summary table.  

Table 3: Additional Practices that Could Improve Disclosures and Help Participants, as Described by Stakeholders in Australia, Italy, New Zealand, and the EU

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Practice</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Financial literacy education</td>
<td>Provide education on basic financial math and concepts, such as compound interest, to help individuals better understand their retirement plan information. For example, Italy has a government committee on financial education that works on improving financial literacy in the country.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visual elements</td>
<td>Use visual elements such as histograms and icons to convey cost information to help individuals understand fee information. For example, an EU regulator conducted consumer testing and found that simple icons facilitate individuals’ understanding and retention of cost information.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breakdown costs</td>
<td>Provide a breakdown of costs (e.g., administrative, investment) in disclosures rather than just one consolidated cost figure to help individuals compare investment options. For example, Australian Product Disclosure Statements include a summary table that shows administrative and investment costs separately.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Performance and fee information</td>
<td>Provide information on potential performance (returns) of the funds in individuals’ retirement plans. For example, an EU regulator was examining whether individuals were not investing because they thought it was too costly and did not consider the returns. According to an EU official with a regulatory agency, disclosures should show fee information and returns together to enable better comparisons.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Page limits</td>
<td>Clarify disclosures by limiting the number of pages so that they do not overload individuals with information. For example, in Australia, most retirement product providers are required to use a shorter Product Disclosure Statement that cannot exceed eight pages.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standardized format</td>
<td>Standardize information about retirement plans and products to help individuals compare investment options. For example, the EU’s key information documents are standardized to only include required information.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Promote fee awareness</td>
<td>Increase individuals’ awareness of fees through advertisements on social media and television, among others. For example, a marketing and lobbying group of the largest industry funds in Australia aired a series of advertisements titled, Compare the Pair, that included information about fees.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: GAO analysis of interviews with stakeholders in Australia, Italy, New Zealand and the EU.  

54In our survey, we asked 401(k) participants to review a version of Australia’s annual fees and cost summary table that did not include fee values. We estimate that 22 percent of participants find the information on annual fees and costs clear and 57 percent understand that administrative fees and transaction costs increase the cost of an investment product.
Stakeholders in Selected Countries Stated that Online Tools and Fee Benchmarks Can Help Participants Compare Investment Options

Comparison Tools

Stakeholders in Australia, Italy, New Zealand, and the EU stated that a centralized, government-provided, tool can help participants compare fees to make more informed investment decisions. According to government officials in Australia, a fund comparison tool could help individuals overcome the effects of complex situations on their decision making. For example, officials said that most individuals will not compare fee information if it is presented in multiple locations because they will not be able to find all the information they need. To help participants more easily access key information—including fees and costs—on retirement products online, officials with an Australian regulatory agency said that previously proposed draft legislation for certain retirement products would have limited the number of clicks needed to access that information from individual fund websites. Furthermore, a subject matter expert in Australia suggested that the government could use centralized data to create efficiency, so participants do not have to enter their own account information or go to multiple sources to compare fee information.

According to officials in Italy and New Zealand, their respective governments provide fund comparison tools. In Italy, the Pension Funds’ Supervisory Commission provides a comparative cost data tool, according to officials. The Commission collects a custom fee benchmark—called a synthetic cost indicator (SCI)—for all plan investment lines. The SCI-benchmark provides a cost comparison for each investment line by showing returns for an investment without fees, which can be compared to the investment’s returns with fees. To compile these comparative fees for the benchmark, the Commission uses standardized reporting data it collects from retirement plans. According to officials, the cost retirement plan data are organized according to investment type and aggregated—over 2-, 5-, 10-, and 35-year time periods—and consolidated in an interactive spreadsheet of SCIs for all pension plans (about 200) and each of their investment lines.

According to an official, New Zealand’s government provides fund comparison tools and a fee calculator through a public educational web

55According to the officials, this requirement in the draft legislation did not advance.
Individuals can use this suite of tools to obtain independent information to compare plans and funds across the market and select the plan that best fits their needs. One tool allows participants to compare fund fee information and other important characteristics to help them choose funds and service providers. Additionally, a fee calculator enables participants to determine the amount they will pay in fees over their working life (see fig. 27). According to officials, a New Zealand regulatory agency collects information from disclosure documents, among others, submitted by investment product providers through a national database called the Disclose Register to populate the suite of tools.

Figure 27: Example of New Zealand Fees Calculator for a Retirement Plan

Fee Benchmarks

Stakeholders in Australia, Italy, New Zealand, and the EU stated that fee benchmarks help participants compare investment options. A subject
matter expert in Australia noted that any tool that helps participants compare investment options should include fee benchmarks, in addition to performance benchmarks for returns. For example, New Zealand’s government calculates fee benchmarks for different fund categories that reflect a range of investment strategies, from defensive to aggressive, which it categorizes based on their percentage of growth assets. New Zealand’s tool is designed to help participants compare retirement plan fees and returns for a given fund category. The tool presents both actual and average fees as a percentage and a dollar figure. In addition, the tool uses doughnut-shaped graphics that are designed to help participants quickly compare a specific fund’s fees to the average for that fund category, and a specific fund’s returns to average returns in the respective fund category (see fig. 28).

Figure 28: Example of New Zealand’s Online Investment Comparison Tool for Retirement Plans

In Italy, a private organization with membership that includes all private pension funds created a tool to develop a different fee benchmark. Subscribing retirement plans can provide the tool to their participants for comparing plans’ investment options. The organization’s tool uses information collected from the government’s standardized key information document to calculate a fee benchmark. The tool reports the dollar (Euro) value of the average investment fee by applying it to a certain balance, for
With this benchmark, both plan providers and participants can compare a plans’ investment fees to the market average.

According to a representative from an investment management industry association in the EU, it is not currently possible to create fee benchmarks across EU member states because complete fee information is not aggregated. Though some fee information is aggregated by third-party companies, it is expensive for participants to access. The representative also said that the industry association has recommended that the European Commission create and maintain a public database of all financial products that includes fee information to help calculate fee benchmarks.

### DOL Can Help 401(k) Participants Better Understand and Use Fee Information by Adding Specific Information to Disclosure Requirements

#### DOL Regulations Do Not Specify How to Label Investment Fees, Which Can Make it Difficult for Participants to Identify and Compare Costs

DOL’s fee disclosure regulation requires 401(k) plans to disclose investments’ asset-based investment fees (investment fees). Investment fees are important to identify and compare because they are typically the largest fee that a 401(k) participant will pay to save and invest in a plan, as we have previously reported. The terms annual operating expense and expense ratio in a plan disclosure can both identify a fund’s investment fee, which a participant can use to compare their investment funds’ cost to that of other funds. However, participants can have difficulty identifying investment fees when plans label them with different terms. We estimate based on our survey that 57 percent of 401(k) participants cannot identify the expense ratio in a disclosure excerpt that used the:

---

56 In this section when we refer to investment fees, we are referring to asset-based investment fees (often called the expense ratio).

term total asset-based fee, even when the disclosure contained a table note saying that these terms refer to the same thing.

### Among 10 Disclosures, GAO Found 11 Different Terms Used for Investment Fees

Our review of 10 large 401(k) plans’ annual disclosures found that they used 11 different terms for investment fees, introducing variation that makes it more difficult for plan participants to know what to compare. Terms plans used were:

- total annual operating expenses
- annual operating expenses
- total annual expenses
- total operating expenses as a %
- total asset-based fees
- gross annual expense ratio
- annual gross expense ratio
- gross expense ratio
- annual expense ratio
- annual net expense ratio
- net expense ratio

Source: GAO review of ten large 401(k) plans’ participant fee disclosures. | GAO-21-357

Because different plans do not use consistent terms for investment fees, identifying investment fees across multiple sources—for example, to compare investment fees in two different 401(k) plans’ disclosures as part of a rollover decision—may be especially challenging for participants. Our review of 10 disclosures from among the largest 401(k) plans found that 11 different terms were used for the investment fee.58 (See sidebar.) Four of the 10 plans used variations of the term expense ratio.59

Our survey shows that variations of terms for investment fees negatively affect participants’ ability to identify such fees in a plan disclosure. Moreover, variations of terms for investment fees can convey subtle differences in the measurement, making them less appropriate for apples to apples comparison of fees inside and outside plans, unless participants understand the differences in the terms used. For example, a gross expense ratio is calculated before fee waivers are applied, while the net

---

58 Based on the amount of plan assets reported on the Form 5500 for plan year 2016. The plans remained among the largest in 2019.

59 A gross expense ratio reflects fees before any applicable waivers are applied, while net expense ratio reflects the actual fees after waivers are applied.
expense ratio is collected after fee waivers are applied. Two of the 10 plans’ disclosures used both gross and net expense ratios. The total asset-based fee and total annual operating expense, reported by other plans, should reflect the gross expense ratio, but that may not be transparent to a participant.

Expense ratio is commonly used in the retail space, outside of formal fund prospectuses, our review found. For example, among Fund Facts sheets for the 20 largest mutual funds, we found 19 used a variation of this term. In addition, companies that offer and market mutual funds often use expense ratio to identify investment fees in their online fund descriptions. Of the 20 largest mutual funds, 19 used the term expense ratio. A mutual fund analysis tool to which SEC refers investors also uses the term expense ratio, as do three other private-sector, online investment comparison tools that we reviewed. SEC officials told us that FINRA requires a mutual fund that shows performance information in marketing materials to include its gross expense ratio. Furthermore, SEC officials noted the agency has a pending rule proposal that would require fund advertisements that present fees and expense information to disclose the gross expense ratio—the expense ratio before waivers.

DOL regulations require that plans provide investment fee information in a chart or similar format that helps participants compare investment options, and that disclosures are written in a way that can be understood by the average plan participant. Federal Plain Language Guidelines, which do not apply to fee disclosures but outline guiding principles for federal agencies to use when communicating with the public, state that clear writing uses the same term consistently for a specific object,

---

60 Based on MarketWatch.com analysis of data provided by Lipper, as of December 2020. The one other fund used the terms gross and net expenses but omitted ratio.

61 The Financial Industry Regulatory Authority’s (FINRA) Fund Analyzer is located online, https://tools.finra.org/fund_analyzer/. FINRA is a self-regulating group for the broker-dealer industry, supervised by the Securities and Exchange Commission.

62 SEC officials told us that FINRA also requires that mutual fund materials show the maximum sales load fees. See FINRA Rule 2210, available at https://www.finra.org/rules-guidance/rulebooks(finra-rules)/2210.

cautioning that using a different term may cause a reader to wonder whether the different terms refer to the same thing.\textsuperscript{64}

However, DOL’s fee disclosure regulation does not require all disclosures to use the same term, thereby permitting variation in terms used for investment fees.\textsuperscript{65} The regulation generally requires that plans provide participants the “total annual operating expenses of the investment expressed as a percentage (i.e., expense ratio)” and specifies how that fee is calculated, among other things.\textsuperscript{66} In addition, DOL’s optional template for participant disclosure, called the Model Comparative Chart in the regulation, uses the term total annual operating expenses, not expense ratio, without stating that the term means the same thing as an expense ratio.\textsuperscript{67} In the preamble accompanying the final regulation, DOL did not state the reason for using the term total annual operating expense in its optional template instead of expense ratio. Officials said they relied on the SEC’s definition of total operating expense, because investment options did not calculate expense ratios uniformly at that time. Even today, there are different types of investments offered in a plan that may have difficulty calculating an expense ratio in a manner comparable to a mutual fund. Nevertheless, mutual funds are the investment type most used by investors in 401(k) plans today.\textsuperscript{68} Requiring a consistent term across plans for asset-based investment fees for like-investment types, such as gross expense ratio, could make it easier for participants to compare plan investments to alternatives outside their plan and thereby better advocate for their savings with their plan.

\textsuperscript{64}Federal Plain Language Guidelines, March 2011.

\textsuperscript{65}DOL’s regulation also requires plans to provide a general glossary of terms to assist participants and beneficiaries in understanding the plan’s investment options, or an Internet website address that is sufficiently specific to provide access to such a glossary along with a general explanation of the purpose of the address. See 29 C.F.R. § 2550.404a-5(d)(1)(vi). However, the extent to which these glossaries may clarify different terms used to describe the asset-based fee is unclear. For example, one plan disclosure’s glossary that we reviewed describes the asset-based fee, but does not state that it corresponds to a gross expense ratio.


\textsuperscript{67}See 29 C.F.R. § 2550.404a-5 app.

\textsuperscript{68}Sarah Holden, James Duvall, and Elena Barone Chism, “The Economics of Providing 401(k) Plans: Services, Fees, and Expenses, 2019.” ICI Research Perspective 26, no.5 (July 2020).
DOL Does Not Require Plans to Provide Participants with the Actual Cost of their Asset-Based Investment Fees

DOL’s fee disclosure regulation requires that 401(k) plan administrators provide participants with information regarding their plan investment options and the fees for those options so participants can make informed decisions on the management of their individual account. The regulation also requires that all fee information disclosed be presented in a manner calculated for the average participant to understand. To use fee information to assess investment options’ value and to make optimal choices between alternatives, participants have to understand the actual costs for the investments they hold.\(^6\) Plans are required by DOL to provide participants with quarterly statements that inform them of the actual cost of administrative fees and fees for individual, participant-elected transactions, such as a loan, but those statements are not required to disclose the actual cost of the investment fees a participant has paid for each investment.\(^7\) For example, when we reviewed one example of a quarterly disclosure, it showed the beginning balances of funds held, the ending balances, and the overall change in those balances, but it did not show the actual cost of investment fees applied to each fund’s balance over the quarter.\(^8\) (See fig. 29.) The “gain” shown in the figure reflects the amount added to a fund’s balance after the investment fee was deducted from gains, but the amount deducted—that is, the actual cost of the fee—is not shown.

---

\(^6\) The actual cost of an investment could be complemented by the current measures, the cost per $1,000 investment and expense ratio, which facilitate a standardized comparison of fees across investment options regardless of the amount invested. Investments’ fees are only one aspect that participants should consider when assessing the relative value of an investment compared to alternatives. An investment’s historical return, asset-type, risk-profile, and other factors are also important to consider, according to investor education resources on DOL’s website.

\(^7\) We requested sample quarterly disclosures for all 10 plans for which we obtained annual disclosures and obtained three. In addition, a large record keeper shared its template for quarterly disclosures and we reviewed samples shared by a retirement policy expert.
Note: The Department of Labor’s fee disclosure regulation does not require plan administrators to furnish actual asset-based investment fees charged to a participant’s account. See 29 C.F.R. § 2550.404a-5(d)(1)(iv).

Plans are also required to annually provide participants the names of the plans’ investment options, and their total annual operating expenses (i.e., expense ratios), expressed as both a percentage and a dollar amount per $1,000 investment for a one-year period, but that annual disclosure does not provide actual participant costs. DOL also does not require plans’ quarterly disclosures to provide participants with the actual cost of their investment fees. Our survey found that many participants have difficulty using the required total annual operating expense information to identify approximately what they paid for their investments. For example, based on our survey, even with the calculations shown, an estimated 45 percent of participants cannot select the correct actual cost of the investment fee for a $10,000 investment fund balance, given the fee in a “Per $1,000” and “As a %” format—the formats DOL’s fee disclosure regulation requires.72 Our survey also found that an estimated 83 percent of participants would prefer plans to provide the actual cost of the investment fees they paid.73

DOL officials explained that the fee disclosure regulations do not require plans to provide participants with the actual cost of their asset-based

---

72As shown earlier, 88 percent of participants selected the correct answer when asked to identify the actual cost of investment fees, using the dollar per $1,000 format, when described in a sentence rather than shown in a table. Such calculations would only estimate the actual cost of investment fees to an individual, because the expense ratio is typically applied on a daily basis, not applied to quarter-end returns.

73See 29 C.F.R. § 2550.404a—5(e)(5). Relatedly, New Zealand officials told us that changing fee disclosures to allow participants to see the actual cost of fees is a significant improvement that can help participants understand the consequences of fees they pay.
investment fees. While they acknowledged that the actual investment cost for a given fund would be good data for a participant to know, officials said the information may not help with comparing in-plan investment fees, and such a requirement would need to be weighed against the cost to record keepers. DOL officials recalled that investment industry stakeholders commented, in connection with the final rule, that it would be burdensome and expensive to determine actual investment fees paid on a participant-by-participant basis and that, correspondingly, fees may be increased to cover this additional disclosure. For example, a flat, one-time plan loan fee is easily calculated while the costs of asset-based fees are more complex. However, officials also stated that current technology may have reduced the cost of calculating and providing this individualized investment fee data to participants since the regulations were issued. Without being provided the actual cost of each investment’s fees in quarterly disclosures, many 401(k) plan participants will likely continue to be unaware of how much they pay for their investment fees—typically the largest fee they pay to save and invest in a 401(k) plan—and ill-equipped to assess the extent to which fees may impact the value of those investments. Given that investment fees are typically the largest fees paid, requiring provision of that information to participants on investment fees actually charged to their 401(k) accounts is important to help them manage the investment of their savings for retirement.

Participants Lack Access to DOL’s Information on the Cumulative Effects of Fees

DOL has developed various materials designed to help 401(k) plan participants understand the cumulative effect of investment fees, and requires plans to facilitate participant access to the materials by including information about them in participant disclosures. Specifically:

- DOL’s fee disclosure regulation requires disclosures to include a consumer warning that explains the cumulative effect of fees and expenses can substantially reduce the growth of your retirement savings.
- DOL’s fee disclosure regulation also requires disclosures to inform participants that they can visit DOL’s website “for an example demonstrating the long-term effect of fees[...]”74 To that end, DOL’s optional template for annual fee disclosure (the Model Comparative

Chart included in the regulation) suggests a web address for plans to use in directing participants to DOL’s online information about fees. Many participants (72 percent) report they would open the link if it was provided to them, we estimate based on our survey.

- DOL’s website has several educational resources to help participants better understand the fees associated with investing in a 401(k) plan, including a video on the cumulative effect of fees over time. For example, a publication called “A Look at 401(k) Plan Fees” includes a narrative example of the cumulative effect of fees, and an educational video with the same name offers dynamic images contrasting the growth over time of two balances, each with the same return but different fees. DOL’s website page “Understanding Your Retirement Plan Fees” provides a well-labeled link to the video.

However, we found accessing the resources could be difficult. In particular, we found that the web address that DOL provides in its disclosure template was not working during our evaluation. (See fig. 30.) Agency officials said that the agency cannot update the web address in the Model Comparative Chart to match the current web address of the information, but it did update the link imbedded in a copy of the Model Comparative Chart posted to DOL’s website (this working web address is shown in the footnote).75

Figure 30: DOL’s Suggested Website Address for its Example of the Long-term Effect of Fees on 401(k) Retirement Plan Investments

The cumulative effect of fees and expenses can substantially reduce the growth of your retirement savings. Visit the Department of Labor’s Web site for an example showing the long-term effect of fees and expenses at http://www.dol.gov/ebsa/publications/401k_employee.html. Fees and expenses are only one of many factors to consider when you decide to invest in an option. You may also want to think about whether an investment in an particular option, along with your investments, will help you achieve your financial goals.

Source: Department of Labor Model Comparative Chart, 29 C.F.R. § 2550.404a-5 app. | GAO-21-357

When we reviewed 10 large plan disclosures, we found that four disclosures used the web address suggested in DOL’s Model Comparative Chart to refer participants to DOL’s publication “A Look at 401(k) Plan Fees” which contains a narrative description of the cumulative effect of fees, but not DOL’s graphic illustration or a web address for the DOL video. Another four disclosures cited different DOL website addresses. For example, one disclosure cited

www.savingmatters.dol.gov, which has valuable educational information for participants, but does not show a DOL graphic illustrating the cumulative effect of fees and no link to the DOL video was evident. Another disclosure provided a separate web address for DOL’s publication “A Look at 401(k) Plan Fees.”

Without direction from their disclosure, participants may have difficulty locating DOL’s educational material on their own. Our search for the phrase long-term effect of fees from DOL’s homepage produced 7,935 results, and a search for the same phrase on the Employee Benefits Security Administration homepage produced 380 results.

Since DOL posted its video about understanding 401(k) fees to YouTube in 2012, it has been viewed, on average, fewer than 2,500 times per year, which is a small figure compared to the more than 87 million 401(k) participants.

DOL’s fee disclosure regulations state that plans should ensure participants have sufficient information to help them make informed investment decisions in managing their accounts. In requiring that participant disclosures include a consumer warning and refer participants to EBSA for more educational information about the cumulative effect of fees, DOL established that this is information that participants should understand when making investment decisions. DOL officials told us that public commenters indicated that many participants are unlikely to read longer disclosures, so practical space limitations require decisions about what is excluded, such as DOL’s educational, graphic illustration of the cumulative effect of fees.

By taking steps to provide 401(k) plan participants with DOL’s educational materials illustrating the cumulative effects of investment fees over time, beginning with a functional web address in the agency’s template, DOL

76Two disclosures we reviewed did not provide any web address.

77DOL website sources on 401(k) fees: https://www.dol.gov/agencies/ebsa/about-ebsa/our-activities/resource-center/publications/understanding-your-retirement-plan-fees. Participant data are from EBSA’s most recent bulletin, on 2018 data, published January 2021. Not all educational videos about retirement plan fees have low viewership. A retirement industry group in Australia aired a series of television ads titled “Compare the Pair” to promote educational material about the compounding effect of fees. The ad, which shows an image of a speedometer turning to show different retirement savings outcomes for two people in comparable funds with different fees, had more than 429,000 views in the six months after it was posted in November 2020.
could better ensure that participants have the important educational information they need to consider fees when making investment decisions. Based on our survey, we estimate that 76 percent of participants who see information from the same DOL video are motivated to more thoroughly research their investment fees.\textsuperscript{78} Lastly, recently finalized DOL regulations that allows for plans to default to using electronic disclosures\textsuperscript{79} may lead to the wider use of electronic disclosures, and this may create an opportunity for DOL’s graphic on the cumulative effect of fees to be included in electronic disclosure content, including through the layering of resources.

**DOL Does Not Require Plans to Provide Fee Benchmarks and Ticker Symbols in Disclosures to Help Participants Compare Investment Options**

We found that fee benchmarks and ticker information in disclosures can facilitate participants’ comparison of investment options, both among in-plan options as well as with options outside the plan. A fee benchmark shows an investor if a fund’s expense ratio is high or low relative to that of comparable investments. Ticker symbols are short groups of letters that identify a particular security, such as mutual funds or other publicly traded investments. DOL’s fee disclosure regulation requires plans to provide participants with certain investment-related information, including investment fee information, in a format designed to facilitate participants’

\textsuperscript{78}Participants’ preferences and willingness to do further reading are self-reported. From ratings on a five-point scale, we report responses of extremely likely and very likely, the two highest ratings of likeliness, as “likely.” Moderately likely was the middle option on the rating scale. The low rates of participants that know they pay any fees for their 401(k) plan suggests this willingness is low, in practice.

\textsuperscript{79}See 29 C.F.R. §2520.104b-31. DOL estimates these regulations will make participant fee disclosures less expensive for plans. For more information on cyber-security concerns for plans using electronic disclosures, see GAO, Defined Contribution Plans: Federal Guidance Could Help Mitigate Cybersecurity Risks in 401(k) and Other Retirement Plans, GAO-21-25 (Washington, D.C.: Feb.11, 2021).
comparison of investment options. The regulation does not require provision of fee benchmarks and ticker symbols.

Based on our survey, we found that participants want to compare in-plan fees to those outside their plan. For example, we estimate that 55 percent of participants want investment fee information when they start a job and are considering whether to participate in a new 401(k) plan, and 65 percent want it when considering how to invest in the plan. Those choices depend not only on the investment options in the current plan, but also on how they compare to options outside that plan. In addition, we previously reported that rollover decisions, which millions of participants make each year, should include a comparison of fees, as well as other factors, for in-plan options and those outside the plan.

### Investment Fee Benchmarks

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Investment Share Class</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Share classes are different types of shares offered by a single fund. While each class of a fund holds identical investments and has the same investment objectives and policies, each class has different services for shareholders and fees and expenses, which results in different performance results.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Our review of 10 of the largest 401(k) plan disclosures found that none included benchmarks for investment fees. In the absence of fee benchmarks in disclosures, participants are not able to easily and

---

80 See 29 C.F.R. § 2550.404a-5(d)(2). This is a part of the more general requirement of ensuring that participants “are provided sufficient information regarding the plan, including its fees and expenses and designated investment alternatives, to make informed decisions about the management of their individual accounts.” See Fiduciary Requirements for Disclosure In Participant-Directed Individual Account Plans, 75 Fed. Reg. 64,910, 64,910 (Oct. 20, 2010).

81 DOL’s regulation requires a website that provides participants access to certain investment information, but fee benchmarks and tickers are not among the list of information that must be accessible. See 29 C.F.R. § 2550.404a-5(d)(v).

82 Based on GAO analysis of DOL’s Form 5500 data, more than 2.6 million participants separated from their employer during the 2019 plan year. All those participants can make a choice about whether to roll over their savings to another qualified account.

appropriately compare fees for investment options in their plan, as observed by two consumer rights advocacy groups responding to DOL’s proposed disclosure rules. Other in-plan investment options are often not comparable because they are different types of investments. For example, all but one of the 10 plans’ annual disclosures offer target date funds, and those nine plans offer one target date fund series, so without a fee benchmark, a participant cannot see if the target date fund series offered in their plan is well priced compared to other series.

Investment fee benchmarks are already available outside 401(k) plan disclosures. For example, a self-regulatory group for the broker-dealer industry provides an online tool that shows how an investment’s expense ratio compares to the averages for its product and share class peers—those averages serve as fee benchmarks. Similarly, a prominent online investment analysis platform for retail investors provides a fee benchmark for individual funds, called the “all funds average,” which is an average of expense ratios for comparable funds. DOL officials told us that plan administrators may face added costs to provide investment fee benchmarks in disclosures. Stakeholders must consider if the potential added cost to plans and perhaps to participants of including fee benchmarks for investments may be reasonable given the help it would provide to participants trying to understand their fees. DOL officials also told us that existing retail benchmarks may not be appropriate for all types of investment options available in a 401(k) plan. However, because the majority of 401(k) assets remain in mutual funds, for which fee benchmarks are often available, providing this information for investments when practicable would help participants better manage a significant portion of their invested savings.

---

84 A target date fund is a product that takes into account the individual’s age or retirement date and invests in a mix of investments that become more conservative as the participant approaches his or her retirement date.

85 One plan offers two target date funds from another fund provider, one for a target year now passed, but not a second full series. One plan offers no target date fund.

86 This is FINRA’s Fund Analyzer tool.

87 There are different approaches to calculating fee benchmarks. One investment research group calculates both a simple average and asset-weighted average expense ratio for different types of mutual funds, which could be used as fee benchmarks for individual funds. James Duvall, “Trends in the Expenses and Fees of Funds, 2018.” ICI Research Perspective 25, no.1 (March).
Investment Ticker Information

Our review of 10 of the largest 401(k) plan disclosures found that one included ticker symbols for the plan investment options. Ticker symbols are a unique identifier available for many types of investments, including mutual funds, and can help participants compare their investment fees by making it easier to identify their investments for research and evaluation outside of their plan. For example, when we entered a fund name provided in a large plan’s participant disclosure into an online investment research website, the research website did not provide a match. When we searched for the name of the company, we found 611 funds. But when we searched for one of the fund’s possible tickers, the website identified a specific fund and share class. Without ticker information for plan investment options, a participant may not be able to identify the correct fund, which means they may not have correct information on investment fees as costs often vary with share class. For example, without ticker information, it can be difficult for participants to use online investment comparison tools, such as research websites, to help them make investment decisions. Further, without share class information, which tickers identify, populating such a tool with accurate plan-level investment information could be challenging, one industry official explained, because it would be difficult to identify the fees for a plan’s investment options. DOL officials also noted that not all investment types have ticker symbols, such as insurance products. Nevertheless, tickers are available for the investment types most widely used by 401(k) participants, including mutual funds.

DOL’s fee disclosure regulation requires that plans provide participants with investment-related information, including fees, that is sufficient for and presented in a format that allows participants to compare their investment options in the plan and make informed investment decisions. In its rulemaking for those regulations, DOL noted the burden was on participants when investment information does not facilitate comparison. While some consumer interest stakeholders encouraged fee benchmarks during public comment on the proposed regulations, DOL officials told us that the public record did not adequately support a fee benchmark mandate at that time. DOL staff had concerns about the availability,

---

88Knowing a fund’s share class may help to avoid confusion when researching a fund’s fees, because, according to one retirement expert, mutual fund providers sometimes offer the same fund with different share classes, which have different costs. A ticker symbol differs for each share class.

89See 29 C.F.R. § 2550.404a-5(a) & (d)(2).
reliability, applicability, and transparency of fee benchmarking services, techniques, and methodologies when the rules were promulgated. However, such benchmarks are now available on several online platforms for retail investment and are found in investment data resources. Fee benchmarks promote DOL’s goal of facilitating participants’ apples to apples comparison of investments, and enable participants to compare specific types of in-plan options to comparable options outside the plan.90

By requiring both investment fee benchmarks and ticker information in disclosures, DOL could further advance participants’ understanding and use of fee information, and reduce the burden of making informed choices that promote the growth of their retirement savings.

Conclusions

By establishing regulations for participant fee disclosures, DOL ensured that 401(k) plan participants would be given important information about those administrative and investment expenses. However, our survey found that many 401(k) participants do not understand fee information or that they pay fees. Many Americans have limited financial literacy. Given that, and the technical nature of fee information, 401(k) plan and investment fees may be inherently hard to understand. Our interviews with officials in selected other countries about participant fee disclosure found that there is no one, easy way to make fees understandable to plan participants. However, by requiring that participants have additional, basic information in existing disclosures, and by taking added steps to educate participants, DOL could make fee information easier to understand and use. Specifically:

- requiring a consistent term for investment fees in fee disclosures would better position participants to be sure that they are comparing the right figures when looking at investment options outside the plan;
- requiring participants’ individualized quarterly statements to show the actual cost of the investment fees paid would help participants better understand the value of their investments;
- providing DOL’s educational information about the cumulative effect of fees to participants would help them better understand that even small fees can result in significantly lower balances over time, and may motivate them to consider fees when comparing investment options;

90“Fact Sheet: Final Rule to Improve Transparency of Fees and Expenses to Workers in 401(k)-Type Retirement Plans”, Department of Labor (February 2012).
requiring disclosures to include fee benchmarks would help participants better gauge if their investments’ costs are competitive; and

requiring disclosures to include investment options’ ticker information would help participants more easily research and compare their investments to options outside the plan.

As the primary way that plans explain fee information to participants, fee disclosures are a good step toward helping participants take on the critical task of managing their investments, but these additional steps can help participants better understand and compare their 401(k) plan fees, which can help optimize their retirement security.

Recommendations for Executive Action

We are making the following five recommendations to DOL:

The Assistant Secretary of the Employee Benefits Security Administration should require, in a manner deemed effective, that fee disclosures for participant-directed individual retirement accounts use a consistent term for asset-based investment fees (e.g. gross expense ratio). (Recommendation 1)

The Assistant Secretary of the Employee Benefits Security Administration should require, in a manner deemed effective, that quarterly fee disclosures for participant-directed individual retirement accounts provide participants the actual cost of asset-based investment fees paid. (Recommendation 2)

The Assistant Secretary of the Employee Benefits Security Administration should take steps to provide participants important information concerning the cumulative effect of fees on savings over time. For example, steps could include ensuring disclosures cite a working, specific DOL web address for where such information is shown and requiring that fee disclosures include the agency’s graphic illustration on the cumulative effect of fees. (Recommendation 3)

The Assistant Secretary of the Employee Benefits Security Administration should require, in a manner deemed effective, that participant fee disclosures for participant-directed individual retirement accounts include fee benchmarks for in-plan investment options. (Recommendation 4)
The Assistant Secretary of the Employee Benefits Security Administration should require, in a manner deemed effective, that participant fee disclosures for participant-directed individual retirement accounts include ticker information for in-plan investment options, when available. (Recommendation 5)

Agency Comments and Our Evaluation

We provided a draft of this report to the Department of Labor (DOL) and the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) for review and comment. Both agencies provided technical comments on the report, which we incorporated as appropriate. DOL provided formal comments, which are reproduced in appendix IV.

In its formal comments, DOL stated that our report demonstrated and reinforced the complexities the agency faces in trying to help pension plan participants understand the fees and costs they pay, directly or indirectly, to participate in employer-sponsored retirement plans. DOL also stated that while it would not commit to pursuing our recommendations at this time, as it continues to evaluate the format and delivery of information furnished to ERISA retirement plan participants, DOL officials will engage with stakeholders to get their input on our report and will carefully consider each of our recommendations with a focus on the potential practical impact of mandating such disclosures.

DOL noted in its letter, and we state in our report, that plan and investment fee information can be complicated, even for financially sophisticated investors. They added that additional information, in and of itself, is not certain to make a measurable difference. We agree that fee information is complicated for all participants to understand. Our survey results show the difficulties participants have in trying to understand the fee information disclosed to them. Nonetheless, disclosures are the primary way in which 401(k) participants receive investment fee information. Until another format or delivery option for disclosing fee information to participants is put forth by DOL, participants will continue to depend on the required participant fee disclosures, and making changes to improve those disclosures will to be necessary to help ensure participants have the information they need to make informed decisions.

DOL also stated that our recommendations pose significant technical and feasibility challenges, which it noted may limit the efficacy of participant fee disclosures. In addition, DOL stated that implementing our
recommendations would require lengthy and resource-intensive notice and comment rulemaking and that such an initiative would require the Department to forgo other regulatory initiatives. Yet our survey shows the magnitude of participants' lack of understanding of fee information, which argues for the agency to prioritize this initiative. Our recommendations are necessary to help address the issues we found participants struggled with when trying to understand the fee information provided to them. Without improving fee information, fees will continue to have a large impact on participants' retirement savings and continue to be a risk to their retirement security.

We commend DOL's efforts to proceed thoughtfully in making additions and revisions to its participant fee disclosure regulations, and encourage the agency to consider how it might do so in any manner it deems effective. DOL's multiple ongoing efforts to reconsider its approach to disclosure are encouraging, and the consideration of potential improvements going forward may benefit from additional consumer testing—which the agency noted it benefited from in developing the participant fee disclosures regulations over a decade ago. As we reported, several stakeholders in countries included in our review noted that ongoing consumer testing was an important tool to help ensure that information in disclosures is understood and used as intended.

As agreed with your offices, unless you publicly announce the contents of this report earlier, we plan no further distribution until 30 days from the report date. At that time, we will send copies to the appropriate congressional committees, the Secretary of Labor, the Chair of the Securities and Exchange Commission, and other interested parties. In addition, the report will be available at no charge on the GAO website at https://www.gao.gov.

If you or your staff have any questions about this report, please contact me at (202) 512-7215 or nguyentt@gao.gov. Contact points for our Offices of Congressional Relations and Public Affairs may be found on the last page of this report. GAO staff who made key contributions to this report are listed in appendix V.
Letter

Tranchau (Kris) T. Nguyen
Director
Education, Workforce, and Income Security
Appendix I: Objectives, Scope, and Methodology

This report (1) assesses the extent to which 401(k) plan participants can understand and use fee information disclosed by plans; (2) describes practices used in selected countries that might help participants better understand and use fee information; and (3) examines additional steps, if any, that the Department of Labor (DOL) could take to advance participant understanding and use of fee information.

To assess the extent to which 401(k) plan participants can understand and use fee information in plan-provided disclosures, we conducted a nationally representative survey of 1,004 401(k) plan participants, which is generalizable to the population of all 401(k) participants in the U.S. The survey questions asked about participants’ awareness of fees and tested their understanding of select content based on annual disclosures drawn from among 10 of the largest 401(k) plans, a sample quarterly disclosure, DOL and Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) Office of Investor Education and Advocacy documents, and other sources. The survey also assessed how clear participants found certain fee-related information, asked participants about their preferences regarding plan disclosures, and included questions on their demographic and financial characteristics. See appendix II for a full technical discussion of the survey methodology and appendix III for a copy of the survey instrument.

To describe practices used in select countries that might help participants better understand and use fee information, we first identified three countries—Australia, Italy, and New Zealand—and the European Union (EU). To determine the locations for our review, we reviewed publicly available research on 37 locations with developed economies, as defined by the United Nations.\(^1\) We then assessed these countries on other characteristics relevant to our review, such as whether retirement plan participants made investment decisions for their accounts. We selected the three countries and the EU because they have account-based retirement plans in which participants make investment decisions and

documented practices to improve participants’ understanding of fee disclosures.²

For each of the four selected locations, we identified key strategies and practices designed to improve retirement plan participants’ understanding and use of fee information by reviewing non-legal research and other available documentation and interviewing stakeholders.³ Specifically, we interviewed stakeholders—including government officials and regulators, financial industry representatives, consumer advocates, and subject matter experts—in Australia, Italy, New Zealand, and the EU to identify strategies and practices they said help account-based retirement plan participants understand and use information about fees and costs associated with their retirement accounts and investments. We obtained broad perspectives on the benefits and drawbacks of the strategies and practices to improve participants’ understanding and use of fee disclosures. We also reviewed background materials provided by those stakeholders—reports, white papers, websites, and disclosure examples—on communicating fee information to retirement plan participants to better understand the locations’ approaches to disclosures. We did not conduct an independent legal analysis to verify the information provided about the laws or regulations of the locations selected for this review. Instead, we relied on appropriate secondary sources and interviews to support our work. Following our interviews, we submitted key statements of facts for review and verification by stakeholders in each location and incorporated technical corrections as necessary.

To examine what additional steps, if any, DOL could take to advance 401(k) plan participant understanding and use of fee information, we identified topics in which about half of participants answered our survey’s test questions incorrectly, and we considered participants’ preferences for receiving fee information. In addition, we reviewed disclosures provided to participants by 10 of the largest 401(k) plans to describe their content on fees, such as whether they labeled asset-based investment fees as the expense ratio. The list of largest plans was derived from analysis of data plans provide annually to DOL on Form 5500. These plans were among the largest plans in terms of the number of participants in plan year 2019.

²Account-based retirement plans are pension plans that allow workers to save for retirement by investing a portion of their income in funds through their employer.

³We conducted 21 interviews and refer to representatives of these organizations and entities collectively as “stakeholders” in this document.
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We obtained examples of DOL-required fee disclosures for these 10 plans. Specifically, we obtained:

- Samples of annual disclosures—those that contain the information DOL requires plans provide to participants on an annual basis and at other times. We obtained these annual disclosures from the internet or directly from the plan sponsor. We were able to collect 10 large plans’ annual disclosures. In total, the 10 plans we reviewed have 4.5 million participants and more than $181 billion in plan assets. We did not evaluate the disclosures for compliance with DOL regulations. The annual disclosures are not representative of all disclosures, but are samples that are illustrative of what a participant might receive from their plan.

- Quarterly disclosures—those that contain participant-specific account information DOL requires plans to provide to participants quarterly. These are, by their nature, made up of individuals’ personal account information, so these are not publicly available online and actual disclosures are difficult to share. Therefore, we relied on sample quarterly disclosures provided by two large 401(k) plans and one large service provider, as well as several actual disclosures, with personal information redacted, shared by a retirement policy expert for our research purposes.

In addition, we interviewed DOL and SEC officials as well as representatives of eight other stakeholders, including a consumer advocacy group, an online investment analysis company, an investment industry and research group, and a financial literacy expert. Interviews with government officials and stakeholders in other select countries, discussed in greater detail above, also helped us to identify challenges faced in common by retirement plan participants, which may transcend national borders. We also reviewed several studies of consumer understanding of fees, how investors make decisions—especially in consideration of fee information, and financial literacy challenges facing Americans. These studies were identified by stakeholders whom we interviewed as well as through our own assessment of relevant, recent, and peer-reviewed research on these topics. Finally, we reviewed relevant federal laws and regulations.

We conducted this performance audit from February 2019 to July 2021 in accordance with generally accepted government auditing standards. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain sufficient, appropriate evidence to provide a reasonable basis for our findings and conclusions based on our audit objectives. We believe that
the evidence obtained provides a reasonable basis for our findings and conclusions based on our audit objectives.
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We conducted a questionnaire survey with 401(k) plan participants to estimate the nature and extent of their understanding and use of select fee information provided in disclosures to participants, as required under Department of Labor (DOL) regulations.¹ We designed the survey questions, which were administered by NORC at the University of Chicago in July and August 2020 to a nationally representative sample of 1,004 plan participants drawn from their pre-recruited AmeriSpeak web survey panel.

Questionnaire Design

The primary purpose of our survey was to measure 401(k) plan participants’ level of understanding of typical cost and fee information found in actual disclosure materials provided by plans. Because financial literacy research shows that people may overestimate how well they understand financial information, our survey tested participants’ ability to identify the correct answer to questions about fee information, rather than ask if they felt they understood it.² We interpret selecting the correct answer to mean a participant understood the fee disclosure content or related fee information.

We constructed 25 multiple-choice test questions, 12 of which were based on administrative and investment-related fee disclosure content drawn from among 10 large 401(k) plans’ annual disclosures and a sample quarterly disclosure shared by a large service provider. We redacted information that was specific to the plan or to its providers or their services or products, but otherwise presented the information in its original narrative, tabular, or graphic form. We also included two questions adapted from the National Financial Capability Study, commissioned by the FINRA Investor Education Foundation to study the

¹See 29 C.F.R. § 2550.404a-5.
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financial capability of American adults, as well as three questions based on publicly-available information from DOL and three questions based on publicly-available graphics from the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) Office of Investor Education and Advocacy that concern the cumulative effect of fees. In addition, five questions were based on disclosure excerpts taken from the select locations we reviewed for our second research objective. To help develop test questions that would result in valid measures of participant understanding, we drew from questionnaires previously used to measure financial literacy and consulted with two financial literacy experts and GAO analysts with extensive audit experience in the financial markets and income security areas.

We did not test participants’ understanding of their own 401(k) plans’ fee information, therefore, it is possible that with exposure, education, and repetition, their understanding of their own plan’s specific information could be better than their understanding of the sample fee information. Similarly, among correct responses, prior knowledge could help some participants pick the correct answer even when they might not fully understand the excerpted text. Nevertheless, we think it is reasonable to equate correct answers with understanding the information on which the question was based.

Because research has found that many 401(k) plan participants have limited financial literacy and limited ability to perform calculations, participants’ incorrect answers could reflect these limitations instead of their lack of understanding of fee information. For appropriate survey questions, and to minimize math ability as a barrier, we provided answer choices with calculations in parentheses. It is also possible that because we provided the mathematical calculations for questions where some math was needed, some respondents were able to identify correct answers who would not be able to do so in a typical setting, when calculations are not provided. On balance, we decided the greater risk was incorrect answers that reflected not a lack of understanding but simply an inability to perform the calculation needed to find the answer.

Also, in part to minimize the extent to which guessing skews correct and incorrect answers, we provided a Don’t Know answer option. That answer option could mean participants did not understand the question,

understood the question but not how to answer it, or that survey fatigue prevented them from understanding either.

In addition to directly assessing respondent understanding of specific materials through test questions, we also measured concepts related to understanding. Specifically, we asked respondents a) to rate the clarity of each of the disclosure materials used in the test questions, b) to rate how knowledgeable they felt they were about the impact of fees, c) whether they knew that they paid fees, and d) if they felt that they knew the general amount of those fees. Our survey also asked participants about their preferences for the content and timing of fee information they might receive, and their likelihood of using that information or seeking out additional information under different conditions. The survey questionnaire is reproduced in its entirety in appendix III.

To test and revise draft versions of the questions in the survey, we conducted 13 pretests with 401(k) account holders selected to generally reflect a range of familiarity with the subject matter. Pretest participants answered the questions in an unaided, realistic setting, with GAO analysts asking follow-up questions to determine how participants interpreted the questions and arrived at their answers. A GAO methodologist’s review suggested that certain test questions could be answered based on the logic—or lack thereof—of the answer options, and did not necessarily require understanding of the fee information shown. This could result in correct answers based on logic and general understanding of fees, even when given fee information itself was not understood and was unclear. On balance, we decided the greater risk was to provide answer options too complex to understand, so we designed our answers to be simple. Based on results from pretests of successive versions of the questionnaire, we made changes to improve the clarity and validity of the questions, and to reduce the burden of the survey.

We also conducted pilot testing of the live web questionnaire with 76 AmeriSpeak panelists who qualified for the survey. In debriefing interviews with five pilot test respondents, we assessed their experience with the questions and answers when operationalized as an AmeriSpeak survey and when completed by respondents on their own devices and browsers, to determine if changes to question wording and to how the disclosure material was displayed was warranted.
Test Scores

To assess participants’ general understanding of fee disclosure content and related fee information, we calculated an overall test score using participants’ responses to test questions based on U.S. disclosure content and fee-related information. There were 20 such test questions, with each participant generally answering 10 questions.\(^4\) We summed each participant’s number of correct responses and divided by the number of questions answered.\(^5\)

To analyze what demographic groups may be more or less likely to score poorly on the questions that tested understanding of fee disclosure content, we estimated a logistic regression model in which the dependent variable was a binary variable indicating whether the respondent answered at least half of the test questions incorrectly. The model included the following explanatory variables for respondents’ demographics: age group, highest level of education, gender, race and ethnicity, and total amount of savings and investments. We also included as an explanatory variable whether respondents knew they pay fees for their 401(k) plan. Table 4 presents the odds ratios we estimated from our logistic regression model.

### Table 4: Estimated Odds Ratios from Logistic Regression Model of Whether Survey Respondents Incorrectly Answered At Least Half of GAO Test Questions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Estimated Odds Ratio</th>
<th>95% Confidence Interval</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age: 18-29 vs. 60+</td>
<td>1.277</td>
<td>0.540 – 3.020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age: 30-44 vs. 60+</td>
<td>0.974</td>
<td>0.538 – 1.761</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age: 45-59 vs. 60+</td>
<td>1.099</td>
<td>0.625 – 1.931</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education: HS diploma/GED or less vs. Masters/professional/doctorate degree</td>
<td>3.943**</td>
<td>1.891 – 8.221</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education: Some college with no degree vs. Masters/professional/doctorate degree</td>
<td>3.330**</td>
<td>1.816 – 6.106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education: Associate’s degree vs. Master’s/professional/doctorate degree</td>
<td>2.462**</td>
<td>1.216 – 4.986</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^4\)Participants generally answered 10 U.S.-based test questions instead of all 20 in order to reduce burden on respondents. For more information, see the Fieldwork section of this appendix.

\(^5\)Participants had the option to skip test questions. For the few participants that skipped one or more test questions, we removed the number of skipped questions from their test score’s denominator.
Appendix II: Technical Description of GAO’s Survey of 401(k) Participants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Estimated Odds Ratio</th>
<th>95% Confidence Interval</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Education: Bachelor’s degree vs. Master’s/professional/doctorate degree</td>
<td>1.256</td>
<td>0.708 – 2.226</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender: Female vs. male</td>
<td>1.524</td>
<td>0.984 – 2.360</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race and Ethnicity: Black non-Hispanic vs. White non-Hispanic</td>
<td>1.216</td>
<td>0.596 – 2.482</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race and Ethnicity: Hispanic vs. White non-Hispanic</td>
<td>2.358**</td>
<td>1.227 – 4.531</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race and Ethnicity: Asian non-Hispanic vs. White non-Hispanic</td>
<td>2.752**</td>
<td>1.147 – 6.602</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race and Ethnicity: Other non-Hispanic vs. White non-Hispanic</td>
<td>1.641</td>
<td>0.415 – 6.480</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race and Ethnicity: Two or more races non-Hispanic vs. White non-Hispanic</td>
<td>1.243</td>
<td>0.360 – 4.296</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Savings and Investments Amount: &lt; $25,000 vs. $100,000+</td>
<td>2.917**</td>
<td>1.648 – 5.162</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Savings and Investments Amount: $25,000-$99,999 vs. $100,000+</td>
<td>1.961**</td>
<td>1.133 – 3.394</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Know Pay Fees: No/Don’t Know vs. Yes</td>
<td>1.602**</td>
<td>1.023 – 2.507</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: GAO survey of 401(k) participants | GAO-21-357

Note: The sample size for the model is 963 survey respondents; 41 responses were removed due to missing values for the dependent or explanatory variables.

** indicates the odds ratio estimate is statistically significant at the 95% confidence level.

Sample Design

The survey was designed to make generalizable estimates to a target population of adults residing in the U.S. who had a 401(k) retirement savings plan account at the time of the survey, from either a current or former employer, or as the beneficiary of an account inherited from someone else. Therefore, our target population included both “active” 401(k) plan participants who at the time of the survey worked at the job where the plan was sponsored, and “inactive” participants who had left their job or retired but retained one or more accounts in the 401(k) plan, or were beneficiaries of a 401(k) plan account whose original account holder had died.

We contracted with NORC at the University of Chicago to administer our questionnaire to a statistically generalizable sample that would be as representative of our target population as practicable. DOL data estimate there were about 85 million 401(k) plan participants in 2017. The actual study population (the portion of the target population that can be identified and potentially contacted, and about which estimates can ultimately be made) is constrained by the population coverage afforded by the sampling frame (the list of population members that can be surveyed, and from which the sample is actually drawn) used in the survey. NORC operationalized our study population by using a segment of their
AmeriSpeak probability-based household survey panel as our sampling frame. While our frame and subsequent sample were restricted to a segment of AmeriSpeak panelists, as described in the next paragraph, the AmeriSpeak Panel as a whole was designed to be representative of the U.S. household population in the 50 states and the District of Columbia. The panel was constructed in multiple stages, first by random selection of U.S. households using area probability and address-based sampling, primarily from the NORC National Sample Frame, which was itself constructed in multiple earlier stages. These sampled households were then recruited into the panel through contacts by U.S. mail, telephone, face-to-face visits from field interviewers, and the use of incentives. Although some households were excluded during panel construction, including those with Post Office Box-only addresses, some with addresses not listed in the United States Postal Service’s Delivery Sequence File, and some in newly constructed dwellings, the resulting panel provides sample coverage of approximately 97 percent of the U.S. household population. As of June 2020, the panel was comprised of 48,900 members age 13 and older residing in over 40,000 households.

The segment of AmeriSpeak panelists that formed our sampling frame, and from which we drew our sample, was defined by the following characteristics, which were known to NORC for each member of the panel in advance of the survey. Sampling frame members:

- were adults 18 years of age or older residing in the U.S.,
- had a 401(k), 403(b), or other employment sponsored retirement account,
- were able to complete AmeriSpeak surveys through the self-administered web mode (phone interview mode-only panelists were excluded), and
- had responded to at least 45 percent of the studies they were invited to during the past six months, but had not been selected for a survey in the previous week.

At the time of our survey, 9,463 AmeriSpeak panelists had these characteristics. Our survey sample was drawn from these panelists across 48 categories, or strata, of age, race and ethnicity, education, and

---

6Using this characteristic to narrow the sampling frame increased the efficiency of the eligibility screening question asked at the start of the survey session. That question selected only the 401(k) plan participants meeting the specifications of our target population, as described in the Fieldwork section below.
gender. The number sampled from each stratum was roughly proportional to how the U.S. population was distributed across them, but also took into account expected differences in survey completion rates by demographic groups, so that the set of responses received would more closely approach the U.S. population distribution, and therefore require less statistical adjustment, or weighting. Based on experience with eligibility and completion rates in previous AmeriSpeak surveys and the results of our pilot test, NORC estimated the sample size needed to yield the required 1,000 completed responses, and finalized the sample size at 1,832.

Fieldwork

The panelists from the AmeriSpeak segment qualifying for and being drawn into our sample as described above were then invited to begin the survey. Those invitees who started the survey session were first screened on the specific eligibility criterion of 401(k) plan participation. To proceed to the rest of the survey questionnaire, a “yes” answer was required to this eligibility screening question:

“A 401(k) plan is a type of private-sector employer-based retirement savings plan where you can save and invest money. If your employer is a government entity or a not for profit organization, your retirement plan is not a 401(k) plan. Do you have 401(k) plan account—from either your current employer, a former employer, or that you inherited from someone else as their beneficiary?”

NORC began emailing survey invitations to the sample and collecting responses on July 16, 2020, and ended the survey on August 10, 2020. NORC emailed up to four reminders to those sample members not yet responding during this field period. A $5 incentive was also offered to survey respondents. The questionnaire was offered only in English and only accessible through the web mode of the AmeriSpeak survey platform. Of those completing the questionnaire, 59 percent did so using a smartphone, and 41 percent used a desktop computer or tablet.

Of the 1,832 initially sampled panelists, 1,615 (88 percent) began the survey session and answered the eligibility screening question. Of those answering the screening question, 1,053 (65 percent) were determined to be eligible 401(k) plan participants. At the end of fieldwork, 1,004 (95 percent) of those determined to be eligible had submitted a completed survey response. For a response to have been considered completed, the respondent must have:
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- reached the end of the questionnaire before submitting the response,
- answered at least half of the questions, and
- submitted the questionnaire in no less than 30 percent of the median completion duration.  

(See table 5 for a breakdown of sample and survey fieldwork outcomes.)

To properly measure the extent of successful data collection from the sample, a response rate has to account for all sources of non-response at each stage of the panel recruitment, management, and survey administration process. The weighted cumulative response rate of 16.8 percent best accounts for the outcomes of all the sample selections and data collection attempts made across these stages, by multiplying the rates of:

- successful recruitment of households into the AmeriSpeak Panel,
- retention of those households as still available for sampling at the time of our survey,
- completion of our survey’s eligibility screening, and
- submission of a completed survey response.

These component rates are weighted (see description of response weighting below) to account for each stage’s sample design and the differential inclusion probabilities of sample members.

Because questionnaire response requires time to read and comprehend questions, make judgments, and select answers, very short response times may be an indicator of low effort and inattention, and removing those responses may increase data quality.
Table 5: Sample and Survey Fieldwork Outcomes for GAO Survey on 401(k) Plan Fee Disclosures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stage</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Rates</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Panelists in AmeriSpeak households as of June 2020</td>
<td>48,900</td>
<td>23.6% weighted household recruitment rate X 84.8% weighted household retention rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Panelists in the AmeriSpeak segment comprising the sampling frame</td>
<td>9,463</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Panelists sampled and invited to our survey</td>
<td>1,832</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Panelists answering the survey's eligibility screening question</td>
<td>1,615</td>
<td>X 88.1% screener completion rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Panelists eligible for the survey questions*</td>
<td>1,053</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Panelists submitting completed survey responses</td>
<td>1,004</td>
<td>X 95.3% survey completion rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n/a</td>
<td></td>
<td>n/a = 16.8% cumulative weighted response rate</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: GAO analysis of survey administration data. | GAO-21-357

*Of the 562 panelists answering the eligibility screening question who were not eligible, 530 answered that they did not have a 401(k) plan account, while 32 did not know or gave an invalid answer.

To reduce the length of the survey session and burden on respondents, the 25 test questions were split across two versions of the questionnaire. Approximately half of the sampled respondents were randomly assigned to receive only the first 13 of the test questions, and the other half received only the remaining 12 questions. While all other questions in the survey were asked of all 1,004 respondents, the first set of test questions were asked of 481 respondents, and the second set of test questions were asked of the other 523 respondents. The median duration of the self-administered survey was 19 minutes.

Some of the demographic and financial characteristics we obtained on our respondents and used for analysis purposes were not collected through our survey questionnaire, but previously collected by NORC upon recruitment into the AmeriSpeak Panel, and updated approximately annually thereafter, on a rolling basis. Any missing values for demographic characteristics were assigned, or imputed, by NORC using hot-deck imputation, a process that substitutes non-missing values from similar respondents. For the key demographic characteristics used in our survey’s sampling and weighting processes (age, race and ethnicity, education, gender, and Census Division), the rate of imputation was less than 0.5 percent.

Response Weighting

Because our sample was the cumulative result of systematic selections – across the stages of AmeriSpeak Panel creation, the creation of our
sampling frame, and the selection of our sample itself – each member of our sample had a known, nonzero probability of being selected from the entire study population into our survey. Once each of our survey responses was multiplied by a final weight that reflected those probabilities and that made up for sample members who did not respond, the aggregate of these weighted responses became our estimates of the percentages and totals that would be found in the entire study population.

The final survey weights began with the base sampling weights for the AmeriSpeak Panel, calculated as the inverse of the probability of selection of each panelist’s household from the NORC National Sample Frame. These base weights were further adjusted in the following sequential steps:

- a subsample of households not initially responding during panel recruitment received in-person follow up; their base weights were inflated by the inverse of that subsampling rate,
- adjustment to account for unknown eligibility and nonresponse among eligible households,
- a post-stratification adjustment so that response totals in several categories, or strata, of households match population totals from the U.S. Census Bureau’s Current Population Survey, and
- adjustment at the panelist level to account for nonresponse among adults within a recruited household.

To arrive at the final panel weights, the panel’s base weights were then raked (repeatedly adjusted for each response until the distribution of response totals aligned with the distribution of population totals across several characteristics) to population totals associated with age, race and ethnicity, education, gender, housing tenure, telephone status, and Census Division. As in the post-stratification adjustment, these population totals were obtained from the Current Population Survey.\(^8\)

The final panel weights were then multiplied by the inverse of the probabilities of selection for each of our specific survey’s sample

\(^8\)Post-stratification and raking adjustment of weights are done to make the demographic characteristics of the set of survey responses more closely align with those of the study population, and by extension, the target population. This is done under the assumption that the answers to survey questions are generally associated with the demographic characteristics of the members of the population.
members from our sampling frame. This combination resulted in the base sampling weights for our specific survey.

As with the base weights for the panel, the survey-specific base weights were then adjusted in the following steps:

- adjustment to account for those sample members who did not answer the eligibility screening question,
- adjustment for those who gave a qualifying answer to the eligibility screening question, but did not respond to the rest of our questionnaire and submit a completed survey response, and
- a post-stratification adjustment so that response totals would match those in the segment of the AmeriSpeak Panel used as our sampling frame, after that segment had been weighted to Current Population Survey totals in 46 strata of age, race and ethnicity, education, gender, and Census Division.

These survey-specific base weights were then raked to produce totals aligned with Current Population Survey totals across the same 46 strata used in the post-stratification adjustment. During the raking process, extreme weights were trimmed according to a formula that minimized the variability, as measured by the mean squared error, of key survey estimates. The final survey-specific weights were the result of this last step.

Because some of our survey questions were asked of all respondents, while the test questions were split into two randomly-assigned sets which were asked of approximately half of those responding, we calculated three versions of the final survey-specific weights to account for that subsampling. When the number of responses to a question is multiplied by the applicable version of the final survey-specific weight, the sum of those responses is 74,553,593. This is the estimated size of the study population of 401(K) owners our survey represents.

Survey Exclusions, Quality, and Error

Due to the practical difficulties of conducting any survey, estimates from surveys are subject to a variety of errors, not all of which can be measured. In developing, administering, and analyzing the results from the survey, we took steps to minimize the five types of errors that may affect survey results—population coverage, sampling, measurement, nonresponse, and data processing errors.
Population coverage error may result to the extent that our study population, as operationalized by the sample frame we used, did not cover all members of the target population. While some types of households were excluded during the construction of the AmeriSpeak Panel, the estimated coverage of 97 percent of U.S. households remains high. Our questionnaire was offered only in English and through the web mode, which likely had a small but unmeasurable impact on coverage. We conclude that the differences between the study population we covered and the target population were minor.

Sampling error is present in our estimates because our probability-based sample is only one of a large number of samples that we might have drawn. Since each sample could have provided different estimates, we express our confidence in the precision of our particular sample’s results as a 95 percent confidence interval (e.g., plus or minus 7 percentage points, also referred to as a “margin of error”) around an estimate. This is the interval that would contain the actual population value for 95 percent of the samples we could have drawn. The width of confidence intervals can vary for estimates made from different questions, because they are a function of not only the overall design of our sample (or the subsamples, for test questions), but also the number of answers received to a question, and the distribution, or variability, of those answers.

We calculate that the confidence intervals, or margins of error, for estimates from questions asked of all 1,004 respondents are no larger than plus or minus 4.4 percentage points. For the first set of 13 test questions asked of a subsample of 481 respondents, the confidence intervals are no larger than plus or minus 6.1 percentage points, and for the second set of 12 test questions asked of 523 respondents, the confidence intervals are no larger than plus or minus 5.7 percentage points.

Upon recruitment, AmeriSpeak panelists are offered a choice of preferred mode—web or phone—for future participation in AmeriSpeak surveys. Panelists predominantly prefer web over phone mode—as of February 2020, 85 percent of the active panelists preferred to do web surveys. However, the remaining 15 percent of panelists—those without internet access, those with access only through a smartphone, and those with access but who are unwilling to share an email address—were not included in the AmeriSpeak Panel segment used for our sampling frame. To the extent that non-internet households or “net averse” persons are different from the rest of the target population on the topics measured in our survey, their absence from our study population may impact the representativeness of our estimates.
Caution should be taken when comparing estimates (for example, of the answers of different demographic subgroups to a question) in the presence of sampling error. Apparent differences between point estimates that are within the confidence intervals, or margins of error, of those estimates may not represent actual differences in the target population.

Measurement error is the difference between reported and actual values, and may arise in respondents’ answers to our self-administered questionnaire due to problems of question comprehension, information recall, making judgments, and reporting answers. We undertook the questionnaire development and testing activities described above to improve our questions and reduce their contribution to these problems. In addition, NORC generally manages and maintains its AmeriSpeak Panel to limit respondent burden, minimize respondent fatigue from repeated surveys, and field surveys that provide an appropriate online user experience. For our survey, NORC also cleaned the data by marking as ineligible 85 completed survey responses from participants who finished the survey in under 30 percent of the median survey completion time or skipped over half of the questions shown to them. We did not find that it was necessary to exclude from our analysis the data from any of the 1,004 eligible and complete surveys.

Nonresponse error can occur when a survey fails to collect any information from an eligible member of the sample (unit nonresponse), or when respondents do not provide a usable answer to an individual question (item nonresponse). In our survey, the main risk of nonresponse is the potential for nonresponse bias – to the extent that those who did not answer would have answered differently from those who did, our estimates will depart from the true values for the study population as a whole.

In the weighting process described above, adjustments were incorporated to compensate for the effects of nonresponse occurring in different stages of our survey, from AmeriSpeak Panel creation through fieldwork for our specific survey. In addition, post-stratification and raking adjustment of weights was done to make the demographic characteristics of our set of survey respondents more closely align with those of the study population, under the assumption that the answers to survey questions like ours are generally associated with common demographic characteristics. To the extent this assumption is true, increasing or decreasing the weights of responses with demographic characteristics that are under- or over-represented, respectively, may mitigate bias from nonresponse. To gauge
the success of this alignment, we compared some key characteristics of our set of respondents to those of a benchmark group that approximated our study population: the 9,463 panelists in the segment of AmeriSpeak panelists (our sampling frame) who were previously known to have a 401(k), 403(b), or other employment sponsored retirement account. Even after weighting, some demographic groups were slightly underrepresented in our response data. Homeowners (77 percent among our respondents, compared to 79 percent in the benchmark group) and those currently married (62 percent, compared to 63 percent) were the most underrepresented characteristics of those we compared. Finally, the rate of item nonresponse to individual questions in our survey was low, and can be discounted as a potential source of nonresponse error. Given the weighted cumulative response rate of 16.8 percent, however, we cannot rule out the possibility of nonresponse error in our estimates.

Data processing error may result after survey responses are collected, during the data management, editing, coding, and analysis to produce our estimates. To limit the possibility of such errors, NORC took steps to clean the response data, and all data processing and analysis we conducted was verified by a second analyst. We found the data to be reliable for the purposes of our report.
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This is an approximate reproduction of the survey administered to 401(k) plan participants by the National Opinion Research Center (NORC) to its national survey panel, AmeriSpeak. The actual survey was web-based and formatting accordingly. We have briefly excluded some additional standard disclosures used by NORC for the sake of brevity and their proprietary nature.

Thank you for agreeing to participate in our new AmeriSpeak survey! This survey is about retirement finances.

This survey is being conducted for the U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO). GAO is an independent, nonpartisan agency that supports the United States Congress. Often called the “congressional watchdog,” GAO provides Congress and federal agencies with objective, reliable information to help the government save money and work more efficiently.

By taking this survey you could learn more about 401(k) fees and other information that could help you better manage your retirement savings. If you are a 401(k) retirement plan participant, you receive regular statements about the rules and features of your plan. One type of statement provides information about fees and costs associated with your 401(k) plan and the investment options offered.

This survey will first collect information about you, then assess your understanding and ask your preferences about receiving fee information from your plan. The survey may take 25 to 30 minutes to complete.

Q5. Is English your preferred/primary language?
   • Yes
   • No

Q5a. Please select your preferred language.
   • Spanish
   • Chinese (incl. Cantonese, Mandarin, other Chinese languages)
   • Tagalog
   • Vietnamese
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Part A. Demographic questions

Q1. A 401(k) plan is a type of private-sector employer-based retirement savings plan where you can save and invest money. If your employer is a government entity or a not for profit organization, your retirement plan is not a 401(k) plan. Do you have 401(k) plan account—from either your current employer, a former employer, or that you inherited from someone else as their beneficiary?

- Yes
- No
- Don’t know

Q1A. How many 401(k) plan accounts would you estimate that you currently have? If you have rolled multiple 401(k) accounts into a single account, this is considered having one account.

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4 or more

Q2. In total, about how much money do you currently have in savings and investments, not including the value of your primary residence (your home)? Please include savings, certificates of deposit (CDs), stocks, bonds, mutual funds, employer-sponsored savings plans—like 401(k) plans—and other investments. Do not include the value of defined benefit plans (pensions). Choose one:

- Less than $1,000
- $1,000 to less than $10,000
- $10,000 to less than $25,000
- $25,000 to less than $50,000
- $50,000 to less than $100,000
- $100,000 to less than $150,000
- $150,000 to less than $250,000
- $250,000 to less than $1 million
- $1 million or more
- Don’t know

- French (incl. Patois, Cajun)
- French Creole
- Korean
- German (incl. Luxembourgian)
- Arabic
- Russian
- Other
Q3. Do you pay any fees for your 401(k) plan? If you have more than one 401(k) plan, please answer based on the plan to which you most recently contributed.

- Yes
- No
- Don’t know

Q4. How knowledgeable are you about the impact that fees can have on your total retirement savings?

- Extremely knowledgeable
- Very knowledgeable
- Moderately knowledgeable
- Slightly knowledgeable
- Not at all knowledgeable

Part B. 1.

You will now see a set of questions regarding various fees and expenses associated with a 401(k) plan. We’ll provide you with some information and ask you to rate its clarity. Then we’ll ask you a question based on that same information. You may find some answers difficult to determine. That’s okay. If you do not know an answer, please feel free to indicate that you don’t know. Some questions require basic calculations, which have been provided.

Q6. Suppose your 401(k) plan sends you an account statement with this information:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable return investments</th>
<th>Fees and expenses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable return investments</td>
<td>Average annual total return as of 03/31/2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>1 year</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Target Date 2020 Fund (USD) (inception date 01/31/2011)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable return investments</th>
<th>Fees and expenses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Target Date 2020 Fund (USD) (inception date 01/31/2011)</td>
<td>7.46%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

How clear is the information in the box?

- Completely clear
- Very clear
- Moderately clear
- Somewhat clear
- Not at all clear

Q6a. Now please answer a question based on the same information.
Based on this information, if you have $10,000 invested in the Target Date 2020 Fund, how much did you spend for Total Annual Operating expenses this year, in dollars? (Calculations in parentheses)

- $0.10 ($1.00 * $0.10)
- $10 ($1.00 * 10)
- $100 ($1.00 * 100)
- $1,000 ($1.00 * 1,000)
- Don't know

Q7. Suppose your 401(k) plan sends you an account statement with this information about investment funds offered in the plan:

All total returns assume the reinvestment of all dividend and capital gain distributions at net asset value when paid and do not reflect the deduction of any sales charge, as these charges are not applicable to eligible retirement plans. Had the sales charge been deducted, results would have been lower than shown.

How clear is the information in the box?

- Completely clear
- Very clear
- Moderately clear
- Somewhat clear
- Not at all clear

Q7a. Now please answer a question based on the same information.

All total returns assume the reinvestment of all dividend and capital gain distributions at net asset value when paid and do not reflect the deduction of any sales charge, as these charges are not applicable to eligible retirement plans. Had the sales charge been deducted, results would have been lower than shown.

Based on this information, if those same funds were offered outside the 401(k) plan, would your returns be higher if you invested in them inside or outside of your plan?

- Outside my plan
- Inside my plan
- The return would be the same
- Don’t know

Q8. If your investment fund’s expenses are $4 each quarter per $1,000 invested, how much are your expenses for a $15,000 investment in that quarter, assuming that the amount of the investment doesn’t change? (Calculations in parentheses)

- Nothing, because the account is growing
- $4
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- $15 ($1 x 15)
- $60 ($4 x 15)
- Don’t know

Q9. Suppose you have $100 in a retirement account earning a 5 percent return a year. You also pay a $1 annual fee. After 1 year, how much would you have?
Math help: $100 * 5 percent = $5 return
- Less than $105
- Exactly $105
- More than $105
- Don’t know

Q10. Suppose you have $100 in a retirement account earning a 5 percent return a year. You also pay a $10 annual fee. After 5 years, how much more would you have had in your account if $50 in fees had not been taken out:
- Less than $50
- More than $50
- Exactly $50
- Don’t know

Q11. Suppose your 401(k) plan sends you this information:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Investment-Related Information</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The following table shows the plan’s investment options, including:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. General information about the type of investment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Fee information, including asset-based fees (often called the expense ratio), plus other stakeholder-type fees or investment restrictions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Historical fund performance and an appropriate benchmark for the same period of time</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### General information

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fund Name/Benchmark</th>
<th>Investment Manager</th>
<th>Asset Class</th>
<th>Total Asset-Based Fees¹</th>
<th>Annual Cost per $1,000 of Investment</th>
<th>Shareholder-Type Fees and Investment Restrictions²</th>
<th>Average Annual Total Return as of 12/31/2018 ³</th>
<th>Fund Inception Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Target Date Funds</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Target Date Retirement Fund</td>
<td>Financial Company</td>
<td>Premixed</td>
<td>0.16%</td>
<td>$1.60</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>-3.60%</td>
<td>8/1/2005</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹Total asset-based fees are investment management company fees and other plan administrative costs charged to participants provided by the investment management company to cover plan administrative and other costs. Administrative costs make up approximately 0.09% of the total asset-based fee for each fund.

²Shareholder-type fees and investment restrictions outline any fees paid directly from your investment in this option and any restrictions on trading that might exist for a specific investment option.

³In general, 1-year, 5-year, 10-year, and since inception performance is shown. If a full history is not available, simulated returns are shown. Each fund’s returns have been reduced by that fund’s total asset-based fees.

How clear is the information in the box?
- Completely clear
- Very clear
- Moderately clear
- Somewhat clear
- Not at all clear

Q11a. Now please answer a question based on the same information.

### Investment-Related Information

The following table shows the plan’s investment options, including:

1. General information about the type of investment
2. Fee information, including asset-based fees (often called the expense ratio), plus other stakeholder-type fees or investment restrictions
3. Historical fund performance and an appropriate benchmark for the same period of time
### General information

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fund Name/ Benchmark</th>
<th>Investment Manager</th>
<th>Asset Class</th>
<th>Total Asset-Based Fees¹</th>
<th>Annual Cost per $1,000 of Investment</th>
<th>Shareholder-Type Fees and Investment Restrictions²</th>
<th>Average Annual Total Return as of 12/31/2018 ³</th>
<th>Fund Inception Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Target Date Retirement Fund</td>
<td>Financial Company</td>
<td>Premixed</td>
<td>0.16%</td>
<td>$1.60</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>-3.60%</td>
<td>6.03%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹Total asset-based fees are investment management company fees and other plan administrative costs charged to participants provided by the investment management company to cover plan administrative and other costs. Administrative costs make up approximately 0.09% of the total asset-based fee for each fund.

²Shareholder-type fees and investment restrictions outline any fees paid directly from your investment in this option and any restrictions on trading that might exist for a specific investment option.

³In general, 1-year, 5-year, 10-year, and since inception performance is shown. If a full history is not available, simulated returns are shown. Each fund’s returns have been reduced by that fund’s total asset-based fees.

Based on this information, what is the expense ratio for the Target Date Retirement Fund?

- 0.16%
- 16%
- $1.60
- It is not shown
- Don’t know
Q12. Now please answer an additional question based on the same information (please read the information in table note 3).

**Investment-Related Information**

The following table shows the plan’s investment options, including:

1. General information about the type of investment
2. Fee information, including asset-based fees (often called the expense ratio), plus other stakeholder-type fees or investment restrictions
3. Historical fund performance and an appropriate benchmark for the same period of time
### General information

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fund Name/ Benchmark</th>
<th>Investment Manager</th>
<th>Asset Class</th>
<th>Total Asset-Based Fees¹</th>
<th>Annual Cost per $1,000 of Investment</th>
<th>Shareholder-Type Fees and Investment Restrictions²</th>
<th>Average Annual Total Return as of 12/31/2018 ³</th>
<th>Fund Inception Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Target Date Retirement Fund</td>
<td>Financial Company</td>
<td>Premixed</td>
<td>0.16%</td>
<td>$1.60</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>-3.60%</td>
<td>3.10%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹Total asset-based fees are investment management company fees and other plan administrative costs charged to participants provided by the investment management company to cover plan administrative and other costs. Administrative costs make up approximately 0.09% of the total asset-based fee for each fund.

²Shareholder-type fees and investment restrictions outline any fees paid directly from your investment in this option and any restrictions on trading that might exist for a specific investment option.

³In general, 1-year, 5-year, 10-year, and since inception performance is shown. If a full history is not available, simulated returns are shown. Each fund’s returns have been reduced by that fund’s total asset-based fees.

Based on the information in table note 3, which of the following observations about the Average Annual Total Return of the Target Date Retirement Fund is correct?

- It was lower before fees
- It was not affected by the fees
- It was higher before fees
- Don’t know
Q13. Suppose your plan provides the following graph, called “Portfolio Value From Investing $100,000 Over 20 Years”:

In 20 years, 0.50% annual fees reduce portfolio value (red line) by $10,000 compared to a portfolio with a 0.25% annual fee (blue line).

In 20 years, 1.00% annual fees reduce portfolio value (green line) by nearly $30,000, compared to a portfolio with a 0.25% annual fee (blue line).

How clear is the information in the box?
• Completely clear  • Very clear  • Moderately clear  • Somewhat clear  • Not at all clear

Q13a. Now please answer a question based on the same information.

Based on this information, which of the following is correct?
An account paying a higher annual fee (green line) is less than an account paying a lower fee (red, blue lines):
• by the same proportion over time.
Q14.

Suppose your 401(k) plan provides you with the same graph, called “Portfolio Value From Investing $100,000 Over 20 Years”:

Based on the information in the box, what should you do to maximize the growth of your savings over 20 years?

- Invest for more than 20 years to avoid annual fees
- Invest for fewer than 20 years to avoid annual fees
- Choose investments with lower annual fees
- Choose investments with higher annual fees
- Don’t know
Q15. Suppose your plan provides you with the following graph, called “Illustration of Ongoing Fees Over 20 Years:”

How clear is the information in the box?
• Completely clear  • Very clear  • Moderately clear  • Somewhat clear  • Not at all clear

Q15a. Now please answer a question based on the same information. Based on this information, which of the following is correct?
• Because of the fees, I have a smaller amount invested that is earning a return
• Because of investment returns, the 1% fee does not impact my account balance
• Because of the fees, I have a larger amount invested that is earning a return
• Don’t know

Q16. Suppose you receive the consumer warning advisory below:

Small differences in both investment performance and fees and costs can have a substantial impact on your long term returns. For example, total annual fees and costs of 2% of your account balance rather than 1% could reduce your final return by up to 20% over a 30 year period (for example reduce it from $100,000 to $80,000). You should consider whether features such as superior investment performance or the provision of better member services justify higher fees and costs.

How clear is the information in the box?
Q16a. Now please answer a question based on the same information.

Small differences in both investment performance and fees and costs can have a substantial impact on your long term returns. For example, total annual fees and costs of 2% of your account balance rather than 1% could reduce your final return by up to 20% over a 30 year period (for example reduce it from $100,000 to $80,000). You should consider whether features such as superior investment performance or the provision of better member services justify higher fees and costs.

Based on this information, which one of the following statements is correct?

- If my account balance is growing, it will decrease because of fees and costs.
- If my account balance is growing, it will not grow as much because of fees and costs.
- Fees and costs can increase my investment performance.
- Don’t know
Q17. Suppose your 401(k) plan sends you this information about fees and costs:

**Fees and other costs**
This section shows fees and other costs that you may be charged. These fees and other costs may be deducted from your money, from the returns on your investment, or from the assets of the retirement plan as a whole. Other fees, such as activity fees, advice fees for personal advice, and insurance fees may also be charged, but these will depend on the nature of the activity, advice, or insurance chosen by you. Taxes, insurance fees, and other costs relating to insurance are set out in another part of this document.

**Fees and Costs Summary**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your Retirement Plan</th>
<th>Type of fee or cost</th>
<th>Amount</th>
<th>How and when paid</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ongoing annual fees and costs: Administration fees and costs</td>
<td>$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ongoing annual fees and costs: Investment fees and costs</td>
<td>$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ongoing annual fees and costs: Transaction costs (net)</td>
<td>$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Member activity related fees and costs: Buy-sell spread</td>
<td>$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Member activity related fees and costs: Switching fee</td>
<td>$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Member activity related fees and costs: Exit fee</td>
<td>$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Member activity related fees and costs: Other fees and costs</td>
<td>$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

How clear is the information in the box?
- Completely clear
- Very clear
- Moderately clear
- Somewhat clear
- Not at all clear
Q17a. Now please answer a question based on the same information.

Fees and other costs
This section shows fees and other costs that you may be charged. These fees and other costs may be deducted from your money, from the returns on your investment, or from the assets of the retirement plan as a whole. Other fees, such as activity fees, advice fees for personal advice, and insurance fees may also be charged, but these will depend on the nature of the activity, advice, or insurance chosen by you. Taxes, insurance fees, and other costs relating to insurance are set out in another part of this document.

Fees and Costs Summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of fee or cost</th>
<th>Amount</th>
<th>How and when paid</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ongoing annual fees and costs: Administration fees and costs</td>
<td>$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ongoing annual fees and costs: Investment fees and costs</td>
<td>$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ongoing annual fees and costs: Transaction costs (net)</td>
<td>$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Member activity related fees and costs: Buy-sell spread</td>
<td>$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Member activity related fees and costs: Switching fee</td>
<td>$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Member activity related fees and costs: Exit fee</td>
<td>$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Member activity related fees and costs: Other fees and costs</td>
<td>$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based on this information, which of the following is correct?
- This table summarizes all the fees and costs you may pay for your retirement plan
- This table summarizes all ongoing annual fees and costs, but member activity related fees and costs are not shown
- This table summarizes both ongoing annual fees and costs and some member activity related fees and costs, but other costs—such as taxes—are excluded
- Don’t know
Q18. Suppose your 401(k) plan sends you this information about fees and costs:

**Example of annual fees and costs**

This table gives an example of how the ongoing annual fees and costs for [Sample Investment Product] can affect your 401(k) plan savings over a 1 year period. You should use this table to compare this investment option with other investment options.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Example [name of investment product]</th>
<th>Dollar amount</th>
<th>Balance of $50,000 with a contribution of $5,000 during the year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Investment fees and costs</td>
<td>$[X]</td>
<td>For every $50,000 you have in the investment you will be charged or have deducted from your investment $[ X ] each year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLUS Administration fees and costs</td>
<td>$[X]</td>
<td>And, you will be charged $[ X ] in administration fees and costs regardless of your balance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLUS Transaction costs (net)</td>
<td>$[X]</td>
<td>And, you will be charged $[ X ] in transaction costs (net)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>_EQUALS Cost of product</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>If your balance was $50,000 at the beginning of the year and you put in an additional $5,000 on the last day of that year, then for that year you will be charged fees of $[ X ] for the product.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

How clear is the information in the box?

- Completely clear
- Very clear
- Moderately clear
- Somewhat clear
- Not at all clear

Q18a.

Now please answer a question based on the same information.

**Example of annual fees and costs**

This table gives an example of how the ongoing annual fees and costs for [Sample Investment Product] can affect your 401(k) plan savings over a 1 year period. You should use this table to compare this investment option with other investment options.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Example [name of investment product]</th>
<th>Dollar amount</th>
<th>Balance of $50,000 with a contribution of $5,000 during the year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Investment fees and costs</td>
<td>$[X]</td>
<td>For every $50,000 you have in the investment you will be charged or have deducted from your investment $[ X ] each year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLUS Administration fees and costs</td>
<td>$[X]</td>
<td>And, you will be charged $[ X ] in administration fees and costs regardless of your balance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLUS Transaction costs (net)</td>
<td>$[X]</td>
<td>And, you will be charged $[ X ] in transaction costs (net)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>_EQUALS Cost of product</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>If your balance was $50,000 at the beginning of the year and you put in an additional $5,000 on the last day of that year, then for that year you will be charged fees of $[ X ] for the product.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based on this information, which one of the following statements is correct? Administrative fees and costs and transaction costs:
Part B. 2.

You will now see a set of questions regarding various fees and expenses associated with a 401(k) plan. We'll provide you with some information and ask you to rate its clarity. Then we'll ask you a question based on that same information. You may find some answers difficult to determine. That's okay. If you do not know an answer, please feel free to indicate that you don’t know. Some questions require basic calculations, which have been provided.

Q19. Suppose your 401(k) retirement plan sends you a statement with this information:

Plan-wide administrative expenses are expenses for Plan administrative services that are charged to your Plan accounts and which are: (1) not reflected in the total operating expenses of any investment option and (2) not individual expenses. Plan administrative expenses include charges for the Plan’s day-to-day operation, such as legal, accounting, recordkeeping, communication, investment advisory services and other administrative expenses associated with maintaining account records, processing investment menu changes, and providing customer service.

As of the date of this notice, the Plan expects to incur the following Plan-wide administrative expenses over the next year:

- Fees for recordkeeping services of approximately $11.14 per participant per year, paid in four quarterly installments.
- Fees for investment advisory and consulting services of approximately $0.06 per participant per quarter ($0.24 per participant per year).

How clear is the information in the box?

- Completely clear
- Very clear
- Moderately clear
- Somewhat clear
- Not at all clear

Q19a.

Now please answer a question based on the same information.
Plan-wide administrative expenses are expenses for Plan administrative services that are charged to your Plan accounts and which are: (1) not reflected in the total operating expenses of any investment option and (2) not individual expenses. Plan administrative expenses include charges for the Plan’s day-to-day operation, such as legal, accounting, recordkeeping, communication, investment advisory services and other administrative expenses associated with maintaining account records, processing investment menu changes, and providing customer service.

As of the date of this notice, the Plan expects to incur the following Plan-wide administrative expenses over the next year:

- Fees for recordkeeping services of approximately $11.14 per participant per year, paid in four quarterly installments.
- Fees for investment advisory and consulting services of approximately $0.06 per participant per quarter ($0.24 per participant per year).

Based on this information, how much will you pay this year for total administrative expenses—not just for plan-wide administrative expenses? (Calculations in parentheses)

- $11.14
- $11.38 (which is $11.14 + $0.24)
- Could be more than $11.38 because of investment options and individual expenses
- Don’t know

Q20.

Suppose you take out a loan from your 401(k) plan account. Your 401(k) retirement plan sends you a quarterly statement with this information:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CONTRIBUTIONS AND ACTIVITIES FOR THIS PERIOD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fees</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loan Initiation Fee -40.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recordkeeping Fee -5.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Fees -45.00</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Some plan administrative expenses may be covered through indirect revenue received from the annual operating expenses of the investments offered through the plan.

How clear is the information in the table?

- Completely clear  •  Very clear  •  Moderately clear  •  Somewhat clear  •  Not at all clear

Q20a.

Now please answer a question based on the same information.
CONTRIBUTIONS AND ACTIVITIES FOR THIS PERIOD

Fees

- Loan Initiation Fee - $40.00
- Recordkeeping Fee - $5.00
- Total Fees - $45.00

Some plan administrative expenses may be covered through indirect revenue received from the annual operating expenses of the investments offered through the plan.

Based on this information, which of the following best explains what you pay in fees, including plan administrative expenses, for this period?

- I paid no administrative fees and expenses
- I paid $45 in administrative fees and expenses
- I paid at least $45 in administrative fees and expenses, but with the information shown I can’t tell exactly how much I paid
- Don’t know

Q21.

Suppose you took out a loan for a down payment on a home—a residential loan—from your 401(k) plan account. Your 401(k) retirement plan sends you a statement with this information:

Participant Loan Fees:

- One-time loan origination fee at the time the loan is taken $50
- Additional fee for review of residential loan paperwork (charged each time paperwork is reviewed) $45
- Fee for rejection of loan payment due to insufficient funds (where paid from individual bank account) $25
- Check Fee (applies to any distribution from the Plan) $15 per distribution
- Overnight Check Service (applies if you request a distribution from the Plan and wish to have the check sent by overnight mail) $25 per check

How clear is the information in the box?

- Completely clear
- Very clear
- Moderately clear
- Somewhat clear
- Not at all clear

Q21a.
Now please answer a question based on the same information.

Participant Loan Fees:

- One-time loan origination fee at the time the loan is taken $50
- Additional fee for review of residential loan paperwork (charged each time paperwork is reviewed) $45
Based on this information, what were your minimum individual expenses for taking a residential loan from your plan?

- $15
- $50
- $110
- More than $110
- Don’t know

Q22.

Suppose your 401(k) plan sends you a statement with this information about expenses for investment advice and management services:

Professional Management Account Service fees: If you sign up for the Professional Management program with Financial Advisors, LLC, our vendor for investment advice and investment management service for the Plan, you will be charged a fee based on the size of your account:

0.50% per year for the first $100,000 in your account
0.45% per year for the next $150,000 in your account
0.30% per year for the amount above $250,000 in your account

Fees are assessed quarterly. The fee is calculated based on the average amount of assets under management for the calendar quarter and is debited from your account the following quarter.

How clear is the information in the box?
- Completely clear
- Very clear
- Moderately clear
- Somewhat clear
- Not at all clear

Q22a. Now please answer a question based on the same information.

Professional Management Account Service fees: If you sign up for the Professional Management program with Financial Advisors, LLC, our vendor for investment advice and investment management service for the Plan, you will be charged a fee based on the size of your account:

0.50% per year for the first $100,000 in your account
0.45% per year for the next $150,000 in your account
0.30% per year for the amount above $250,000 in your account

Fees are assessed quarterly. The fee is calculated based on the average amount of assets under management for the calendar quarter and is debited from your account the following quarter.

Based on this information, if you use the investment advice and management services program, what will you pay?
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- I would pay nothing if there is less than $100,000 in my account
- I would pay nothing, because fees and expenses are taken out of my investment returns
- My costs would vary depending on my account balance
- My costs would vary depending on my investments
- Don’t know

Q23. Suppose your 401(k) plan sends you this same information about your individual expenses for investment advice and management services:

Professional Management Account Service fees: If you sign up for the Professional Management program with Financial Advisors, LLC, our vendor for investment advice and investment management service for the Plan, you will be charged a fee based on the size of your account:
- 0.50% per year for the first $100,000 in your account
- 0.45% per year for the next $150,000 in your account
- 0.30% per year for the amount above $250,000 in your account
Fees are assessed quarterly. The fee is calculated based on the average amount of assets under management for the calendar quarter and is debited from your account the following quarter.

Based on this information, are you required to use investment advice and management services?

- No, but I must opt out of the Professional Management Account Service if I choose not to use it
- No, I can opt in to the Professional Management Account Service, but otherwise I make my own investment choices
- Yes, my account is invested automatically using the Professional Management Account Service
- Don’t know

Q24. Suppose your 401(k) plan sends you an account statement with this information:

For certain investment options, the returns reflect subsidies and waivers, without which the results would have been lower than noted. These subsidies and waivers may not continue to remain in effect. Please consult the prospectus for more information.

How clear is the information in the box?
- Completely clear
- Very clear
- Moderately clear
- Somewhat clear
- Not at all clear

Q24a. Now please answer a question based on the same information.

For certain investment options, the returns reflect subsidies and waivers, without which the results would have been lower than noted. These subsidies and waivers may not continue to remain in effect. Please consult the prospectus for more information.

Based on this information, how can subsidies and waivers affect your return?

- They increase my investment return
- They lower my investment return
Appendix III: Reproduction of 401(k) Plan Participants Web Survey

- They have no effect on my investment return
- Don’t know

Q25a. Suppose your 401(k) plan sends you an account statement with this information:

For certain investment options, the returns reflect subsidies and waivers, without which the results would have been lower than noted. These subsidies and waivers may not continue to remain in effect. Please consult the prospectus for more information.

Based on this same information, assuming that your investments’ returns currently reflect subsidies and waivers, which of the following is correct?

- Investment returns are not affected by these subsidies and waivers
- Subsidies and waivers are permanent so their effects on investment returns won’t change
- Subsidies and waivers may not be permanent so their effects on investments could change
- Don’t know

Q26. Suppose your plan provides the following information.

**Investment-Related Information**
The following table shows the plan’s investment options, including:
1. General information about the type of investment
2. Fee information, including asset-based fees\(^1\) (often called the expense ratio), plus other stakeholder-type fees or investment restrictions\(^2\)
3. Historical fund performance and an appropriate benchmark for the same period of time\(^3\)

Keep in mind, however, that past performance does not guarantee how the investment option will perform in the future. Your investment in these options could lose money. Information about an option’s principal risks is available on companypayandbenefits.com. Click on Plan Documents from the resources section of the homepage. Go to Other Retirement Documents and click on Fund Fact Sheets.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>General Information</th>
<th>Fee Information</th>
<th>Historical Performance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fund Name/ Benchmark</td>
<td>Investment Manager</td>
<td>Asset Class</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Target Date Funds</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Target Date Retirement Fund Benchmark: Russell 1000 Index</td>
<td>Financial Company</td>
<td>Pre-mixed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Target Date 2020 Fund Benchmark: Russell 1000 Index</td>
<td>Financial Company</td>
<td>Pre-mixed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Target Date 2025 Fund Benchmark: Russell 1000 Index</td>
<td>Financial Company</td>
<td>Pre-mixed</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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How clear is the information in the box?
- Completely clear
- Very clear
- Moderately clear
- Somewhat clear
- Not at all clear

Q26a. Now please answer a question based on the same information.

Investment-Related Information
The following table shows the plan’s investment options, including:
1. General information about the type of investment
2. Fee information, including asset-based fees1 (often called the expense ratio), plus other stakeholder-type fees or investment restrictions2
3. Historical fund performance and an appropriate benchmark for the same period of time3

Keep in mind, however, that past performance does not guarantee how the investment option will perform in the future. Your investment in these options could lose money. Information about an option’s principal risks is available on companypayandbenefits.com. Click on Plan Documents from the resources section of the homepage. Go to Other Retirement Documents and click on Fund Fact Sheets.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fund Name/ Benchmark</th>
<th>Investment Manager</th>
<th>Asset Class</th>
<th>Total Asset-Based Fees1</th>
<th>Annual Cost per $1,000 of Investment</th>
<th>Shareholder-Type Fees and Investment Restrictions2</th>
<th>Average Annual Total Return as of 12/31/2018 (Fund and Benchmark)3</th>
<th>Fund Inception Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Target Date Funds</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Target Date Retirement Fund</td>
<td>Financial Company</td>
<td>Pre-mixed</td>
<td>0.16%</td>
<td>$1.60</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>-3.60%</td>
<td>8/1/2005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benchmark: Russell 1000 Index</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.01%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benchmark: Custom4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-3.98%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Target Date 2020 Fund</td>
<td>Financial Company</td>
<td>Pre-mixed</td>
<td>0.16%</td>
<td>$1.60</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>-4.78%</td>
<td>8/1/2005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benchmark: Russell 1000 Index</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.01%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benchmark: Custom4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-4.88%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Target Date 2025 Fund</td>
<td>Financial Company</td>
<td>Pre-mixed</td>
<td>0.16%</td>
<td>$1.60</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>-4.94%</td>
<td>7/5/2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benchmark: Russell 1000 Index</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.01%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benchmark: Custom4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-5.00%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Target Date 2030 Fund</td>
<td>Financial Company</td>
<td>Pre-mixed</td>
<td>0.16%</td>
<td>$1.60</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>-4.75%</td>
<td>8/1/2005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benchmark: Russell 1000 Index</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.01%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 Total asset-based fees are investment management company fees plus any other plan administrative costs charged to participants provided by the investment management company to cover plan administrative and other costs. Administrative costs make up approximately 0.09% of the total asset-based fee for each fund.
2 Shareholder-type fees and investment restrictions outline any fees paid directly from your investment in this option and any restrictions on trading that might exist for a specific investment option.
3 In general, 1-year, 5-year, 10-year, and since inception performance is shown. If a full history is not available, simulated returns are shown. Each fund’s returns have been reduced by that fund’s total asset-based fees. The benchmarks’ returns have not been reduced by fees.
4 The custom benchmark is calculated using blended returns of third-party indices that reflect the weightings of the fund’s asset classes.
5 In late 2019, the Target Date 2020 Fund will be merged into the Target Date Retirement Fund. You will receive additional information about the merger closer to its effective date.
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Benchmark: Custom*  
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Benchmark: Custom*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-5.76%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8.29%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. Total asset-based fees are investment management company fees plus any other plan administrative costs charged to participants provided by the investment management company to cover plan administrative and other costs. Administrative costs make up approximately 0.09% of the total asset-based fee for each fund.

2. Shareholder-type fees and investment restrictions outline any fees paid directly from your investment in this option and any restrictions on trading that might exist for a specific investment option.

3. In general, 1-year, 5-year, 10-year, and since inception performance is shown. If a full history is not available, simulated returns are shown. Each fund’s returns have been reduced by that fund’s total asset-based fees. The benchmarks’ returns have not been reduced by fees.

4. The custom benchmark is calculated using blended returns of third-party indices that reflect the weightings of the fund’s asset classes.

5. In late 2019, the Target Date 2020 Fund will be merged into the Target Date Retirement Fund. You will receive additional information about the merger closer to its effective date.

Based on this information, what is the expense ratio for the Target Date 2025 Fund?

- 0.16%
- 16%
- $1.60
- It is not shown
- Don’t know

Q27. Suppose your 401(k) plan provides you with this information:

The cumulative effect of fees and expenses can substantially reduce the growth of your retirement savings.

How clear is the information in the box?

• Completely clear  • Very clear  • Moderately clear  • Somewhat clear  • Not at all clear

Q27a. Now please answer a question based on the same information.

The cumulative effect of fees and expenses can substantially reduce the growth of your retirement savings.

Based on this information, which of the following is correct?

- If my balance is growing, my savings balance will not be effected by fees and expenses
- If my balance is growing, it will grow less because of fees and expenses
- Fees and expenses can increase my investment performance
- Don’t know

Q28. Suppose an investment fund has a $20 annual fee for balances under $10,000 and a 0.2% fee on all accounts, as shown in the box.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>First transaction</th>
<th>Second transaction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Starting balance</td>
<td>$5,000</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$20 fee for small balances</td>
<td>- $20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2% fee</td>
<td>- $9.96</td>
<td>- $20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ending balance</td>
<td>$4,970.04</td>
<td>$9,980</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based on this information, which of the following is correct about how much you would pay in fees?
- You would pay more to invest $10,000
- You would pay more to invest $5,000
- You can’t determine that with this information
- Don’t know

**Q29.** Suppose your 401(k) plan sends you this information about the value of your account:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value at the beginning of 2018 (+)</th>
<th>$16,138</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Contributions by you (+)</td>
<td>$600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contribution by your employer (+)</td>
<td>$900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Return on your investment (+)</td>
<td>$204</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Administrative costs (-)</td>
<td>$38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investment and transaction fee (-)</td>
<td>$20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taxes (-)</td>
<td>$44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Death coverage premium (-)</td>
<td>$30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL SUM in 2018</strong> (+)</td>
<td>$1,572</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your account balance on 12/31/2018</strong></td>
<td>$17,710</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

How clear is the information in the box?
- Completely clear
- Very clear
- Moderately clear
- Somewhat clear
- Not at all clear

**Q29a.** Now please answer a question based on the same information.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value at the beginning of 2018 (+)</th>
<th>$16,138</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Contributions by you (+)</td>
<td>$600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contribution by your employer (+)</td>
<td>$900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Return on your investment (+)</td>
<td>$204</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Administrative costs (-)</td>
<td>$38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investment and transaction fee (-)</td>
<td>$20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taxes (-)</td>
<td>$44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Death coverage premium (-)</td>
<td>$30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL SUM in 2018</strong> (+)</td>
<td>$1,572</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your account balance on 12/31/2018</strong></td>
<td>$17,710</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based on this information, which of the following is correct?
Math help: total of all deductions (-) is $132.
- In 2018, your investment return is greater than your total costs
- In 2018, your account balance decreased
- In 2018, you earned a $600 return on your investment
• Don't know
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Q30. Suppose your 401(k) plan sends you this information about the potential costs of your investment:

What are the costs?
The reduction in yield (RIY) shows what impact the total costs you pay will have on the investment return you might get. The total costs take into account one-off, ongoing and incidental costs. The amounts shown here are the cumulative costs of the product itself, for three different holding periods. The monetary figures shown assume you invest $10,000 and investments perform in line with a moderate scenario. The figures are estimates and may change in the future.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scenarios</th>
<th>If you withdraw your investment after 1 year</th>
<th>If you withdraw your investment after 5 years</th>
<th>If you withdraw your investment at 10 years</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total costs</td>
<td>$232</td>
<td>$1,024</td>
<td>$2,183</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impact on return (RIY) per year</td>
<td>2.26%</td>
<td>1.84%</td>
<td>1.79%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

How clear is the information in the box?
- Completely clear
- Very clear
- Moderately clear
- Somewhat clear
- Not at all clear

Q30a. Now please answer a question based on the same information.

What are the costs?
The reduction in yield (RIY) shows what impact the total costs you pay will have on the investment return you might get. The total costs take into account one-off, ongoing and incidental costs. The amounts shown here are the cumulative costs of the product itself, for three different holding periods. The monetary figures shown assume you invest $10,000 and investments perform in line with a moderate scenario. The figures are estimates and may change in the future.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scenarios</th>
<th>If you withdraw your investment after 1 year</th>
<th>If you withdraw your investment after 5 years</th>
<th>If you withdraw your investment at 10 years</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total costs</td>
<td>$232</td>
<td>$1,024</td>
<td>$2,183</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impact on return (RIY) per year</td>
<td>2.26%</td>
<td>1.84%</td>
<td>1.79%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based on this information, when should you withdraw your money to optimize your return on this investment?
- After 1 year
- After 5 years
- After 10 years
- Don’t know

Part C: Consumer experience and preferences

This part of the survey asks about your opinions, experiences, and preferences related to receiving and using fee information for a 401(k) plan or plans. In this question, “your 401(k) plan” refers to the 401(k) plan(s) provided by your current or former employer in which you currently have money saved. “Your
401(k) plan” does not refer to any 401(k) plans provided by your spouse’s employer, and it does not refer to savings in other types of retirement accounts.

Q30b. Financial service companies that manage 401(k) plans charge fees for administering and managing those plans. The fees are paid by the employer and/or the employees that participate in the plan. Often, the company that manages the 401(k) plan simply deducts the fees from each participants’ 401(k) plan balance, so you rarely receive a bill for the fees.

In general, do you know about how much in fees you are paying for your 401(k) plan(s)?

- Yes
- No
- Not applicable, I do not pay fees

Q31. A 401(k) plan disclosure might encourage you to locate and review additional, detailed investment documents—the prospectus or summary prospectus for the investment options in the plan—to learn more information about the investments than is provided in the disclosure itself. Such as this statement:

> For more complete information on the investment options that are mutual funds, including their management fees and other charges and expenses, please consult the prospectuses and other comparable documents. Investors should consider the investment objectives, risks, charges and expenses of investment options carefully before investing. This, and additional information about the investment options, can be found in the prospectuses and, if available, the summary prospectuses which can be obtained on SeeMyBenefits at www.benefits.company.com or by calling Company Name at 800.866.401K. Investors should read the prospectuses and, if available, the summary prospectuses carefully before investing.

Based on this information, how likely are you to obtain and review additional, detailed investment documents outside what is provided in your plan documents?

- Extremely likely
- Very likely
- Moderately likely
- Slightly likely
- Not at all likely

Q32. How many additional, detailed investment documents would you be likely to obtain and review?

- 1
- 2-3
- 4-6
- More than 6

Q33. Why would you obtain and review additional, detailed investment documents?
(Choose all that apply)

- To compare investment options, before making my selection
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Q34. Would you be more likely to obtain and review additional, detailed investment documents if you were also told that they described fees and expenses that could reduce the growth of your savings over time?

- Yes
- No
- Don’t know

Q35. Suppose that you read this statement in the middle of a document with other information about your 401(k) plan:

“The cumulative effect of fees and expenses can substantially reduce the growth of your retirement savings. Visit the Department of Labor’s Web site for an example showing the long-term effect of fees and expenses at https://www.dol.gov/ebsa/publications/401k_employee.html.”

Based on the information, which one of the following would you do?

- I would open the link right away
- I would open the link later
- I would not open the link later

Q36. Under what conditions would you open it right away?
(Choose all that apply)

- If I’m already reading online and there’s a hyperlink
- If I’m already reading online, even if I have to manually copy and paste the link into a web browser
- If I’m reading a paper copy and have to use a device to type the link into a web browser

Q37. Which of the following are reasons you would not open the link?
(Choose all that apply)

- I do not feel this is a priority
- I do not have time
- I do not want to read more
- I do not have enough interest
- I do not know how to access the link
- Other
Q38. When would it be helpful to receive plan-related information about administrative fees and expenses (including recordkeeping expenses) and individual fees and expenses (including loan fees)? (Choose all that apply)

- When I start a job and am considering whether to participate in the plan
- When I open a 401(k) plan account and consider how to invest in it
- When I consider rolling over savings from another employer plan or IRA into the 401(k) plan
- When I change my contribution level
- When I compare investment options available to me
- When I leave my job, to compare my plan to options available in another employer’s plan or IRA.
- None of these times
- Other
- Don’t know

Q39. When would it be helpful to receive investment-related information about fees and expenses (including an expense ratio)? (Choose all that apply)

- When I start a job and am considering whether to participate in the plan
- When I open a 401(k) plan account and consider how to invest in it
- When I consider rolling over savings from another employer plan or IRA into the 401(k) plan
- When I change my contribution level
- When I compare investment options available to me
- When I leave my job, to compare my plan to options available in another employer’s plan or IRA.
- None of these times
- Other
- Don’t know

Q39b. How often would you like to receive information about investment fees for the purpose of comparing investment options?

- Every 3 months
- Every year
- Other

Q40. How do you prefer to receive information about your 401(k) plan’s fees? (Choose all that apply)

- By phone
- Paper materials sent by mail
- Online
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- By email
- In-person, group information setting
- In-person, one-on-one counseling
- Other – describe:

Q41.

Suppose you see the following illustration about retirement savings. The large number in bold is the Account Balance.

Here we presented three color screenshots. The first image shows a projected balance of $227,000 assuming a 7.0% return and a 0.5% fee, the next image shows a lower projected balance of $163,000 assuming a 7.0% return and a 1.5% fee. In each image, a growing green segment on the graph is accompanied by a smaller, red segment showing the potential savings lost to fees. The last image summarizes, in bold and all caps: “1% DIFFERENCE IN FEES REDUCES YOUR ACCOUNT BALANCE BY 28 PERCENT.” We omit the image here due to copyright protection.

Based on this information, how likely are you to research your investment fees and compare them to other investments?

- Extremely likely
- Very likely
- Moderately likely
- Slightly likely
- Not at all likely

Q42. In a disclosure from your 401(k) plan, would you rather see the total investment fees that you paid that year, see the fees you paid per $1,000 in your account that year, or see both?

- Just the actual dollar amount of the fees that I paid
- Just the amount of the fees that I paid for every $1,000 in my account
- Both the actual dollar amount and the amount for every $1,000 in my account
- Don't know
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U.S. Department of Labor
Assistant Secretary for Employee Benefits Security Administration
Washington, DC 20210

Tran Chau (Kris) Nguyen
Director, Education, Workforce, and Income Security
United States Government Accountability Office
Washington, DC 20548

Dear Ms. Nguyen:

Thank you for the opportunity to review the Government Accountability Office (GAO) draft report entitled “401(k) Retirement Plan: Many Participants Do Not Understand Fee Information, but DOL Could Take Additional Steps to Help Them” (GAO-21-357). The draft report contains five recommendations for the Department of Labor (Department):

- **Recommendation 1**: The Assistant Secretary of the Employment Benefits Security Administration should require, in a manner deemed effective, that fee disclosures for participant-directed individual retirement accounts use a consistent term and measure for asset-based investment fees (e.g., gross expense ratio).

- **Recommendation 2**: The Assistant Secretary of the Employee Benefits Security Administration should require, in a manner deemed effective, that quarterly fee disclosures for participant-directed individual retirement accounts provide participants the actual cost of asset-based investment fees paid.

- **Recommendation 3**: The Assistant Secretary of the Employee Benefits Security Administration should take steps to provide participants important information concerning the cumulative effect of fees on savings over time. For example, steps could include ensuring disclosures cite a working specific DOL web address for where such information is shown and requiring that fee disclosures include the agency’s graphic illustration on the cumulative effect of fees.

- **Recommendation 4**: The Assistant Secretary of the Employee Benefits Security Administration should require, in a manner deemed effective, that participant fee disclosures for participant-directed individual retirement accounts include fee benchmarks for in-plan investment options.

- **Recommendation 5**: The Assistant Secretary of the Employee Benefits Security Administration should require, in a manner deemed effective, that participant fee disclosures for participant-directed individual retirement accounts include ticker information for in-plan investment options, when available.

In 2010, after a rigorous multi-year rulemaking initiative with commentary from a diverse group of stakeholders, the Department of Labor’s Employee Benefits Security Administration (EBSA) published a final rule with a goal of helping America’s workers manage and invest the money they contribute to their 401(k)-type pension plans. The rule was intended to ensure: (1) that workers in these plans are
given, or have access to, the information they need to make informed decisions, including information about fees and expenses, (2) that the delivery of investment-related information is in a format that enables workers to meaningfully compare the investment options under their pension plans; (3) that plan fiduciaries use standard methodologies when calculating and disclosing expense and return information so as to achieve uniformity across the spectrum of investments that exist among and within plans, thus facilitating “apples-to-apples” comparisons among their plan’s investment options; and (4) that participant disclosures meet a new level of fee and expense transparency. See 29 CFR 2550.404a-5; 75 FR 64910 (Oct. 20, 2010).

That rule, and other ERISA initiatives, evidence our shared interest in fee transparency and in ensuring that ERISA plan participants are effectively informed about the costs of participating in and saving for retirement through their employer-sponsored plan, including cost and performance information of designated investment alternatives under the plan. For example, we have endeavored in recent years to obtain input from a broad set of stakeholders on changes that EBESA could make to enhance participants’ disclosure experiences and financial literacy. A series of Requests for Information were published in the 2010 to 2014 timeframe that explored how to improve participant disclosures, both in general and to take advantage of technological advances. Those efforts also focused on steps we could take to improve participants’ understanding of financial concepts and potential costs associated with a variety of common investment options in defined contribution plans, including target date funds, annuity products and features which offer lifetime income and other guarantees; and brokerage windows. See Request for Information Regarding Lifetime Income Options for Participants and Beneficiaries in Retirement Plans, 75 FR 5253, (Feb. 10, 2010); Target Date Disclosure, 75 FR 73987 (Nov. 30, 2010); Request for Information Regarding Electronic Disclosure by Employee Benefit Plans, 76 FR 19285 (April 7, 2011); Request for Information Regarding Standards for Brokerage Windows in Participant-Directed Individual Account Plans, 79 FR 49469 (Aug 1, 2014). In addition, more recently EBESA issued a Request for Information soliciting information, data, and ideas on measures the Department could take to improve the effectiveness of ERISA disclosures more generally, especially with respect to design, content, and delivery of disclosures under required under title I of ERISA. See 84 FR 56894, 08 (Oct. 23, 2019).

We also published a proposal in 2016 to improve employee benefit plan Form 5500 reporting by modernizing financial information filed regarding plans, updating fee and expense information on plan service providers, and enhancing mine-ability of investment and other data filed on annual return/reports. See Proposed Revision of Annual Information Return/Report, 81 FR 47534 (July 21, 2016). Data usability and availability in particular fosters third party data collection and evaluation efforts that in turn help protect and inform retirement plan participants. Although that proposal was published under the Obama Administration and not finalized by the Trump Administration, another set of efforts to improve the Form 5500 was just added to the Department’s semi-annual regulatory agenda. See www.reginfo.gov.

Your study demonstrates and reinforces the complexities that we have faced, and continue to face, in trying to help pension plan participants understand the fees and costs they pay, directly or indirectly, to participate in employer-sponsored retirement plans. Plan and investment fee information can be quite complicated, even for financially sophisticated investors. The EBESA Advisory Council, in its 2017 report, observed the challenge inherent in providing effective and valuable communication to a diverse population of plan participants—some of whom read disclosures in detail, some who struggle to understand what they read, and some who ignore plan communications altogether. Additional
information, in and of itself, is not certain to make a measurable difference. For that reason, as the Department moves forward with any new disclosure or reporting requirements, it expects to pay careful attention to the likelihood that the disclosures will improve participant decision-making and retirement savings' outcomes.

With all the considerations above in mind, we note that your recommendations pose significant technical and feasibility challenges, which may limit the efficacy of the disclosures. Implementation of the recommendations would also require the Department to undertake a lengthy, resource-intensive, and demanding notice and comment rulemaking process. Such a major rulemaking initiative would necessarily require the Department to forego other regulatory initiatives, including other disclosure and reporting initiatives that may be important to plans and plan participants. Before undertaking such a demanding project, we would have to carefully consider other EBIA regulatory priorities and the limits of EBIA’s resources, as well as the likelihood that the project would appreciably change retirement investor understanding and outcomes.

We appreciate the study you conducted, which certainly will educate our ongoing efforts in this area. Although we are not prepared at this point to commit to pursuing the individual recommendations in your report, as we continue to evaluate the specific information furnished to ERISA retirement plan participants, as well as the format and fashion of delivery, we will engage with stakeholders for their input on your study and will carefully consider each of your recommendations with a focus on the potential practical impact of mandating such disclosures.

Sincerely,

ALI KHAWAR

Ali Khawar
Acting Assistant Secretary
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Tranchau (Kris) Nguyen  
Director, Education, Workforce, and Income Security  
United States Government Accountability Office  
Washington, DC 20548

Dear Ms. Nguyen:

Thank you for the opportunity to review the Government Accountability Office (GAO) draft report entitled “401(k) Retirement Plan: Many Participants Do Not Understand Fee Information, but DOL Could Take Additional Steps to Help Them” (GAO-21-357). The draft report contains five recommendations for the Department of Labor (Department):

- **Recommendation 1**: The Assistant Secretary of the Employment Benefits Security Administration should require, in a manner deemed effective, that fee disclosures for participant-directed individual retirement accounts use a consistent term and measure for asset-based investment fees (e.g. gross expense ratio).

- **Recommendation 2**: The Assistant Secretary of the Employee Benefits Security Administration should require, in a manner deemed effective, that quarterly fee disclosures for participant-directed individual retirement accounts provide participants the actual cost of asset-based investment fees paid.

- **Recommendation 3**: The Assistant Secretary of the Employee Benefits Security Administration should take steps to provide participants important information concerning the cumulative effect of fees on savings over time. For example, steps could include ensuring disclosures cite a working specific DOL web address for where such information is shown and requiring that fee disclosures include the agency’s graphic illustration on the cumulative effect of fees.

- **Recommendation 4**: The Assistant Secretary of the Employee Benefits Security Administration should require, in a manner deemed effective, that participant fee disclosures for participant-directed individual retirement accounts include fee benchmarks for in-plan investment options.
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Recommendation 5: The Assistant Secretary of the Employee Benefits Security Administration should require, in a manner deemed effective, that participant fee disclosures for participant-directed individual retirement accounts include ticker information for in-plan investment options, when available.

In 2010, after a rigorous multi-year rulemaking initiative with commentary from a diverse group of stakeholders, the Department of Labor’s Employee Benefits Security Administration (EBSA) published a final rule with a goal of helping America’s workers manage and invest the money they contribute to their 401(k)-type pension plans. The rule was intended to ensure: (1) that workers in these plans are given, or have access to, the information they need to make informed decisions, including information about fees and expenses; (2) that the delivery of investment-related information is in a format that enables workers to meaningfully compare the investment options under their pension plans; (3) that plan fiduciaries use standard methodologies when calculating and disclosing expense and return information so as to achieve uniformity across the spectrum of investments that exist among and within plans, thus facilitating “apples-to-apples” comparisons among their plan’s investment options; and (4) that participant disclosures meet a new level of fee and expense transparency. See 29 CFR 2550.404a-5; 75 FR 64910 (Oct. 20, 2010).

That rule, and other EBSA initiatives, evidence our shared interest in fee transparency and in ensuring that ERISA plan participants are effectively informed about the costs of participating in and saving for retirement through their employer-sponsored plan, including cost and performance information of designated investment alternatives under the plan. For example, we have endeavored in recent years to obtain input from a broad set of stakeholders on changes that EBSA could make to enhance participants’ disclosure experiences and financial literacy. A series of Requests for Information were published in the 2010 to 2014 timeframe that explored how to improve participant disclosures, both in general and to take advantage of technological advances. Those efforts also focused on steps we could take to improve participants’ understanding of financial concepts and potential costs associated with a variety of common investment options in defined contribution plans, including target date funds, annuity products and features which offer lifetime income and other guarantees; and brokerage windows. See Request for Information Regarding Lifetime Income Options for Participants and Beneficiaries in Retirement Plans, 75 FR 5253, (Feb 2, 2010); Target Date Disclosure, 75 FR 73987 (Nov. 30, 2010); Request for Information Regarding Electronic Disclosure by Employee Benefit Plans, 76 FR 19285 (April 7, 2011); Request for Information Regarding Standards for Brokerage Windows in Participant-Directed Individual Account Plans, 79 FR 49469 (Aug 21, 2014). In addition, more recently EBSA issued a Request for Information soliciting information, data, and ideas on measures the Department could take to improve the effectiveness of ERISA disclosures more generally, especially with
respect to design, content, and delivery of disclosures under required under title I of ERISA. See 84 FR 56894, 08 (Oct. 23, 2019).

We also published a proposal in 2016 to improve employee benefit plan Form 5500 reporting by modernizing financial information filed regarding plans; updating fee and expense information on plan service providers, and enhancing mine-ability of investment and other data filed on annual return/reports. See Proposed Revision of Annual Information Return/Report, 81 FR 47534 (July 21, 2016). Data usability and availability in particular fosters third party data collection and evaluation efforts that in turn help protect and inform retirement plan participants. Although that proposal was published under the Obama Administration and not finalized by the Trump Administration, another set of efforts to improve the Form 5500 was just added to the Department’s semi-annual regulatory agenda. See www.reginfo.gov.

Your study demonstrates and reinforces the complexities that we have faced, and continue to face, in trying to help pension plan participants understand the fees and costs they pay, directly or indirectly, to participate in employer-sponsored retirement plans. Plan and investment fee information can be quite complicated, even for financially sophisticated investors. The EBSA Advisory Council, in a 2017 report, observed the challenge inherent in providing effective and valuable communication to a diverse population of plan participants – some of whom read disclosures in detail, some who struggle to understand what they read, and some who ignore plan communications altogether. Additional information, in and of itself, is not certain to make a measurable difference. For that reason, as the Department moves forward with any new disclosure or reporting requirements, it expects to pay careful attention to the likelihood that the disclosures will improve participant decision-making and retirement savings’ outcomes.

With all the considerations above in mind, we note that your recommendations pose significant technical and feasibility challenges, which may limit the efficacy of the disclosures. Implementation of the recommendations would also require the Department to undertake a lengthy, resource-intensive, and demanding notice and comment rulemaking process. Such a major rulemaking initiative would necessarily require the Department to forego other regulatory initiatives, including other disclosure and reporting initiatives that may be important to plans and plan participants. Before undertaking such a demanding project, we would have to carefully consider other EBSA regulatory priorities and the limits of EBSA’s resources, as well as the likelihood that the project would appreciably change retirement investor understanding and outcomes.

We appreciate the study you conducted, which certainly will educate our ongoing efforts in this area. Although we are not prepared at this point to commit to pursuing the individual recommendations in your report, as we continue to evaluate the
specific information furnished to ERISA retirement plan participants, as well as the format and fashion of delivery, we will engage with stakeholders for their input on your study and will carefully consider each of your recommendations with a focus on the potential practical impact of mandating such disclosures.

Sincerely,

Ali Khawar

Acting Assistant Secretary
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