

DOMESTIC VIOLENCE

Improved Data Needed to Identify the Prevalence of Brain Injuries among Victims

Accessible Version

June 2020

GAO Highlights

Highlights of GAO-20-534, a report to congressional committees

Why GAO Did This Study

Research has found brain injuries to be common among victims of intimate partner violence, and that such injuries are under-diagnosed and under-treated.

House Report 115-952 included a provision for GAO to report on the relationship between intimate partner violence and brain injuries. GAO (1) describes efforts to provide education, screen for, or treat brain injuries resulting from intimate partner violence: and (2) examines what is known about the prevalence of brain injuries resulting from intimate partner violence, including HHS efforts to determine prevalence. GAO reviewed peer-reviewed literature, federal websites, and documentation from HHS and DOJ. GAO also interviewed officials from HHS, DOJ, and 11 non-federal stakeholders, such as domestic violence organizations. GAO identified 12 initiatives, though this list may not be exhaustive, and conducted site visits to three of them.

What GAO Recommends

HHS should develop and implement a plan to improve data collected on the prevalence of brain injuries resulting from intimate partner violence and use these data to inform its allocation of resources to address the issue. HHS concurred with our recommendation and is coordinating with its agencies to augment data collection.

View GAO-20-534. For more information, contact Carolyn L. Yocom at (202) 512-7114 or yocomc@gao.gov.

DOMESTIC VIOLENCE

Improved Data Needed to Identify the Prevalence of Brain Injuries among Victims

What GAO Found

According to the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), one in three adults have experienced domestic violence, also known as intimate partner violence. Intimate partner violence includes physical violence, sexual violence, stalking, and psychological aggression. Victims of intimate partner violence may experience brain injury, resulting from blows to the head or strangulation. To address this issue, the Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) and the Department of Justice (DOJ) provide grants to state and local entities that work with victims.

GAO identified 12 non-federal initiatives that provide education, screen for, or treat brain injuries resulting from intimate partner violence. All 12 developed and distributed education and training materials to domestic violence shelter staff, victims, health care providers, and others. Six of the 12 initiatives used screening tools to identify potential brain injuries among intimate partner violence victims, and two included a treatment component. Additionally, eight of the 12 initiatives received HHS or DOJ grant funding, although agency officials told us the funding had no specific requirements to address brain injuries resulting from intimate partner violence.

Excerpt of Educational Materials from Ohio Domestic Violence Network



Source: Ohio Domestic Violence Network. | GAO-20-534

Based on its review of the literature, as well as interviews with HHS officials and other non-federal stakeholders, GAO found that data on the overall prevalence of brain injuries resulting from intimate partner violence are limited. HHS officials acknowledged that the lack of data on the prevalence of these issues is a challenge in addressing the intersection of the issues. However, HHS does not have a plan for how it would collect better prevalence data. HHS agencies have some related efforts underway; however, the efforts are limited and generally do not examine the connection between brain injuries and intimate partner violence. Enhancing the health and well-being of Americans is critical to HHS's public health mission. As part of this mission, CDC, within HHS, uses its Public Health Approach, which includes collecting prevalence data to understand the magnitude of public health issues.

With better data comes a better understanding of the overall prevalence of brain injuries resulting from intimate partner violence. This, in turn, could help ensure that federal resources are allocated to the appropriate areas and used as efficiently and effectively as possible to address this public health issue.

Contents

Letter	1	
Background	6	
Efforts to Provide Education, Screen for, or Treat Brain Injuries Resulting from Intimate Partner Violence Data on the Overall Prevalence of Brain Injuries Resulting from Intimate Partner Violence Are Limited; Improved Data Could	12	
Help Target HHS Public Health Efforts	18	
Conclusions	24	
Recommendation	25	
Agency Comment	25	
Appendix I: Description of Literature Review and Bibliography		
Appendix II: Nonfederal Initiatives Focused on Intimate Partner Violence and Brain Injury		
Appendix III: Comments from the Department of Health and Human Services		
Appendix IV: Staff Acknowledgements and GAO Contact		
Appendix V: Accessible Data	43	
Data Tables	43	
Agency Comment Letter	44	

Table

Table 1: Reported Activities of 12 Nonfederal Initiatives that Take Steps to Address Intimate Partner Violence and Brain Injury33

Figures

Figure 1: Most Common Effects Reported by Victims of Intimate Partner Violence

7

Abbreviations	
Brain Injury from Strangulation	43
Traumatic Brain Injury (TBI) and Anoxic and Hypoxic	
Accessible Data for Figure 2: Examples of Symptoms for Mild	
Victims of Intimate Partner Violence	43
Accessible Data for Figure 1: Most Common Effects Reported by	
Figure 4: Example of Modified HELPS Brain Injury Screening Tool	16
Association of Virginia	14
Brain Injury Tip Card Developed by the Brain Injury	
the Ohio Domestic Violence Network, and the Traumatic	
Figure 3: Excerpt of "Has Your Head Been Hurt," Developed by	
Strangulation	10
(TBI) and Anoxic and Hypoxic Brain Injury from	
Figure 2: Examples of Symptoms for Mild Traumatic Brain Injury	

ACF	Administration for Children and Families
ACL	Administration for Community Living
CDC	Centers for Disease Control and Prevention
HHS	Department of Health and Human Services
DOJ	Department of Justice
HRSA	Health Resources and Services Administration
NIH	National Institutes of Health
NISVS	National Intimate Partner and Sexual Violence
	Survey
TBI	traumatic brain injury

This is a work of the U.S. government and is not subject to copyright protection in the United States. The published product may be reproduced and distributed in its entirety without further permission from GAO. However, because this work may contain copyrighted images or other material, permission from the copyright holder may be necessary if you wish to reproduce this material separately.

U.S. GOVERNMENT ACCOUNTABILITY OFFICE

441 G St. N.W. Washington, DC 20548

June 12, 2020

Congressional Committees

Intimate partner violence—abuse or aggression by a current or former intimate partner—is a significant public health issue experienced by about one in three adults in the United States, according to the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC).¹ Intimate partner violence, also referred to as domestic violence, includes physical violence, such as slapping, pushing, hitting with a fist or hard object, slamming against something, strangulation, or using a weapon. It can also involve sexual violence, stalking, and psychological aggression. Intimate partner violence can lead to significant chronic health consequences and pose substantial costs to society. The CDC estimated the lifetime economic costs of intimate partner violence to society at \$3.6 trillion, which includes costs associated with medical services for related injuries, lost productivity from paid work, and help provided by the criminal justice system.² CDC, along with other Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) agencies, works to address this public health issue through, for example, monitoring data on those affected by intimate partner violence and by providing grants to state and local entities to develop and implement prevention programs. Additionally, the Department of Justice (DOJ) provides grant funds to states to support educating individuals on, and improving responses to, intimate partner violence.

¹An intimate partner refers to a spouse, boyfriend or girlfriend, dating partner, or ongoing sexual partner. See M.J. Breiding et al. *Intimate Partner Violence Surveillance: Uniform Definitions and Recommended Data Elements*, Version 2.0. (Atlanta, Ga: National Center for Injury Prevention and Control, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 2015).

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, *National Intimate Partner and Sexual Violence Survey: 2015 Data Brief-Updated Release* (Atlanta, Ga.: November 2018).

²The estimated cost of intimate partner violence over a victim's lifetime was \$103,767 for women and \$23,414 for men. See Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, *Preventing Intimate Partner Violence*, accessed March 16, 2020, https://www.cdc.gov/violenceprevention/intimatepartnerviolence/fastfact.html.

According to researchers, intimate partner violence can result in brain injuries, a major cause of disability in the United States.³ Brain injuries can result from blows to the head or strangulation; victims of intimate partner violence may incur repeated abuse over long periods of time, with such injuries under-diagnosed and under-treated for many reasons.⁴ As one example, individuals who are in abusive relationships may fear to disclose their experiences or seek treatment for their injuries. In addition, health care providers may not recognize that the symptoms experienced by a victim of intimate partner violence could be the result of a brain injury, such as a traumatic brain injury (TBI).

A report accompanying the Department of Defense and Labor, Health and Human Services, and Education Appropriations Act, 2019 and Continuing Appropriations Act, 2019 included a provision for GAO to review the status of research and efforts to promote awareness of the relationship between intimate partner violence and TBI, among other things.⁵ Our report

- 1. describes efforts to provide education, screen for, or treat brain injuries resulting from intimate partner violence; and
- examines what is known about the prevalence of brain injuries resulting from intimate partner violence, including HHS efforts to determine prevalence.

To describe efforts to provide education, screen for, or treat brain injuries resulting from intimate partner violence, we reviewed HHS and DOJ documentation, as well as documentation we obtained from non-federal stakeholders. Specifically, we reviewed documents and interviewed officials from HHS's Administration for Children and Families (ACF),

³Jacquelyn C. Campbell, et al. "The Effects of Intimate Partner Violence and Probable Traumatic Brain Injury on Central Nervous System Symptoms," *Journal of Women's Health*, vol. 27, no. 6 (2018): 761-767; and Gwen Hunnicutt, et al. "Exploring Correlates of Probable Traumatic Brain Injury among Intimate Partner Violence Survivors," *Journal of Aggression, Maltreatment & Trauma*, vol. 28, no. 6 (2019): 677-694.

⁴See Monahan, Kathleen, "Intimate Partner Violence and Traumatic Brain Injury: A Public Health Issue," *Journal of Neurology and Neuromedicine,* 2018.

⁵H.R. Rep. No. 115-952, at 542 (2018). For the purposes of this report, we use the term "brain injury" to refer to traumatic brain injury and anoxic and hypoxic injuries caused by strangulation. We included anoxic and hypoxic injuries because both injuries could be the result of intimate partner violence and have similar symptoms to traumatic brain injury.

Administration for Community Living (ACL), CDC, Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA), and National Institutes of Health (NIH), as well as officials from DOJ's Office on Violence Against Women and Office of Justice Programs. We also reviewed documents and interviewed officials from a non-generalizable sample of non-federal stakeholder organizations, as well as researchers who work in the area of intimate partner violence, brain injury, or health care services.⁶ In total, we collected information from the following stakeholders:

- Three national organizations focused on intimate partner violence— National Center for Victims of Crime, National Resource Center on Domestic Violence, and Training Institute for Strangulation Prevention. We selected these organizations based on discussions with HHS or DOJ officials we interviewed. Additionally, we reviewed these organizations' websites for activities related to brain injuries, and selected a mix of organizations that did and did not receive federal funding.
- Three national organizations focused on brain injuries—Brain Injury Association of America, National Association of State Head Injury Administrators, and Pink Concussions. We selected these organizations by reviewing their websites for activities or statements related to intimate partner violence, domestic violence, or training on brain injuries. Additionally, we selected a mix of organizations that did and did not receive federal funding, and those with and without state chapters.
- Two health care provider associations representing providers likely involved in treating victims of intimate partner violence—American College of Emergency Physicians and International Association of Forensic Nurses. We selected these organizations by reviewing websites for activities or statements related to intimate partner violence, domestic violence, or brain injury.
- Three researchers affiliated with Drexel University, Harvard University, and Johns Hopkins University. We selected these researchers, because they work in the area of intimate partner

⁶We did not include organizations with a focus on children or veterans, or those located outside of the United States. We also selected and contacted one other intimate partner violence organization—the National Center on Domestic Violence, Trauma, & Mental Health—and two other health care provider associations—American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologist and American Psychological Association—but they did not have related information.

violence and brain injuries, and were recommended by HHS or DOJ officials. $^{\rm 7}$

In this report, we describe 12 initiatives, which for the purposes of this report are programs or efforts focused on education, screening, or treatment involving individuals with brain injuries resulting from intimate partner violence.⁸ We identified these initiatives based on information collected from interviews with HHS and DOJ officials and the stakeholders identified above, as well as a review of the initiatives' websites. Our list represents initiatives identified during the course of our review and may not be exhaustive.⁹ Of the 12 initiatives, we conducted site visits to three initiatives in two states—the Connect, Acknowledge, Respond, Evaluate Program in Ohio, as well as the Barrow Concussion and Brain Injury Center's Traumatic Brain Injury Domestic Violence Program and the Maricopa County Collaboration on Concussions in Domestic Violence in Arizona-to interview domestic violence shelter staff, health care providers, and individuals who have brain injuries resulting from intimate partner violence.¹⁰ During these visits, we also spoke with researchers affiliated with Ohio State University and University of Arizona College of Medicine-Phoenix to understand how they worked with the initiatives, as well as other work the universities had related to brain injuries and intimate partner violence.

To examine what is known about the prevalence of brain injuries resulting from intimate partner violence, and HHS efforts to determine prevalence,

⁹For example, ACL officials told us of another state-based effort that might focus on education, screening, or treatment involving individuals with brain injuries resulting from intimate partner violence, but as of April 21, 2020, did not provide us any details about the effort.

¹⁰Arizona had two initiatives that looked at education, screening, and one focused on treating brain injuries resulting from intimate partner violence.

⁷We excluded from our selection researchers affiliated with a federal agency, researchers who focused on veterans or addiction, and researchers who were not U.S.-based.

⁸In this report, initiatives refers to efforts related to education, screening, or treatment that involved individuals with brain injuries resulting from intimate partner violence on a regular or scheduled basis and had formal descriptions of their activities. With respect to education, initiatives directed their efforts to individuals or entities outside of their organizations' initiatives, not those organizations that focused primarily on providing internal training to staff members. We excluded programs or efforts that were in the planning stages and those that had not conducted any education, screening, or treatment as of January 31, 2020.

we reviewed relevant HHS documents and published literature, and interviewed HHS and stakeholder officials. Specifically, we reviewed documents associated with CDC's National Intimate Partner and Sexual Violence Survey (NISVS) and CDC's Report to Congress on the Epidemiology and Rehabilitation of Traumatic Brain Injury.¹¹ For the literature review, we searched a variety of databases for relevant articles that focused on brain injuries resulting from intimate partner violence published between January 1, 2009, and August 9, 2019, identifying 57 articles.¹² From these 57 articles, we focused on 28 articles that reported original analysis of information, including data on the prevalence of brain injuries resulting from intimate partner violence. (See app. I for an additional description of our literature review, as well as a bibliography of the articles.) During the interviews, we asked HHS and stakeholder officials about challenges in addressing brain injuries resulting from intimate partner violence. We also asked officials from HHS agencies to describe efforts to determine the prevalence of brain injuries resulting from IPV or related research. Further, we compared their responses about HHS's efforts to CDC's Public Health Approach, which is the agency's approach to addressing public health problems and preventing violence.¹³

We conducted this performance audit from June 2019 to June 2020 in accordance with generally accepted government auditing standards. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain

¹³See Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, *The Public Health Approach to Violence Prevention*, accessed April 10, 2020, https://www.cdc.gov/violenceprevention/publichealthissue/publichealthapproach.html.

¹¹NISVS is an ongoing, nationally representative random-digit-dial telephone survey of adult men and women in the United States that measures sexual violence, physical violence, stalking, and intimate partner violence victimization, including sexual, physical, psychological, and stalking forms of intimate partner violence. Noninstitutionalized English- and Spanish-speaking persons aged 18 years and older are surveyed using a dual-frame strategy that includes landline and cell phones. Surveys are conducted in all 50 states and the District of Columbia. The most recent survey data reported by CDC are from 2015.

¹²We selected articles that studied U.S. populations and were not focused exclusively on military or veteran populations. We excluded these military populations because there are different resources available to them compared with the general U.S. population. We excluded articles that examined children or perpetrators of violence, legal system implications, and intimate partner violence-related death. To ensure that we captured articles that focused on brain injuries resulting from strangulation, we conducted a separate search of that topic to identify articles published from January 1, 2009, through mid-October 2019.

sufficient, appropriate evidence to provide a reasonable basis for our findings and conclusions based on our audit objectives. We believe that the evidence obtained provides a reasonable basis for our findings and conclusions based on our audit objectives.

Background

Intimate Partner Violence

Data from CDC's 2015 NISVS indicate that about 43.6 million women (36.4 percent) and 37.3 million men (33.6 percent) in the United States have experienced sexual violence, physical violence, and stalking by an intimate partner.¹⁴ Approximately 21.4 percent of women and 14.9 percent of men in the United States experienced severe physical violence by an intimate partner. About 30 million women (25.1 percent) and 12 million men (10.9 percent) reported experiencing some effect from the violence. (See fig. 1 for the most commonly reported effects of intimate partner violence, as reported by NISVS.)

¹⁴Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, *National Intimate Partner and Sexual Violence Survey: 2015 Data Brief-Updated Release* (Atlanta, Ga.: November 2018).





Women Men

Source: GAO analysis of Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) data. | GAO-20-534

Note: Estimates are based on CDC's National Intimate Partner and Sexual Violence Survey (NISVS) as reported in CDC, *The Impact of Intimate Partner Violence: A 2015 NISVS Research in Brief* (Atlanta, Ga: August 2019). NISVS is an ongoing, nationally representative random-digit-dial telephone survey of victims of contact sexual violence, physical violence, and stalking by an intimate partner among adult women and men in the United States. Noninstitutionalized English- and Spanish-speaking persons aged 18 years and older are surveyed using a dual-frame strategy that includes landline and cell phones. Surveys are conducted in all 50 states and the District of Columbia. The most recent survey data reported by CDC are from 2015.

Intimate partner violence can also result in death. Data from U.S. crime reports suggest that 16 percent of homicide victims (about one in six) are killed by an intimate partner.¹⁵ Strangulation victims, in particular, are at

¹⁵Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, *Preventing Intimate Partner Violence*, accessed March 9, 2020,

https://www.cdc.gov/violenceprevention/intimatepartnerviolence/fastfact.html.

greater risk for being killed, according to the Training Institute on Strangulation Prevention.¹⁶

Research has shown that certain factors increase the risk that someone may experience intimate partner violence. For example, a review of research on risk factors for women who experience intimate partner violence identified younger age, less education, unemployment, pregnancy, childhood victimization, and mental illness as being associated with higher rates of intimate partner violence.¹⁷ Exposure to intimate partner violence between a child's parents or caregivers is also associated with a greater risk of intimate partner violence in adulthood, according to CDC.¹⁸ Adults with disabilities are also at a higher risk of violence than those without disabilities.¹⁹

However, research indicates that victims of intimate partner violence may be less likely than others to obtain medical or other services.²⁰ Even when services are obtained, victims may be less likely than others to identify the source or extent of their injuries out of fear for their safety or reprisal.

Brain Injuries

Brain injuries, including those that may result from intimate partner violence, can have several causes, including physical trauma and

https://www.strangulationtraininginstitute.com/impact-of-strangulation-crimes/important-facts/.

¹⁷Baylor College of Medicine, *Vulnerability for Abuse*, accessed March 9, 2020, https://www.bcm.edu/research/centers/research-on-women-withdisabilities/topics/violence/vulnerability-for-abuse.

¹⁸Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, *Preventing Intimate Partner Violence Across the Lifespan: A Technical Package of Programs, Policies, and Practice* (Atlanta, Ga.: 2017).

¹⁹National Domestic Violence Hotline, *Domestic Violence and People with Disabilities*, accessed March 9, 2020, https://www.thehotline.org/is-this-abuse/domestic-violence-disabilities/; and Matthew J. Breiding and Brian S. Armour, "The association between disability and intimate partner violence in the United States," *Ann Epidemiol*, vol. 25, no. 6 (2015); 455-457.

²⁰Gwen Hunnicutt, et al. "Exploring Correlates of Probable Traumatic Brain Injury 677-694; and Allison Crowe, et al. "Help-Seeking Behaviors and Intimate Partner Violence-Related Traumatic Brain Injury," *Violence and Gender,* vol. 6, no. 1 (2019): 64-71.

¹⁶Training Institute of Strangulation Prevention, *Strangulation in Intimate Partner Violence Fact Sheet*, accessed March 9, 2020,

strangulation; range in severity; and can result in a number of health consequences.

- TBI refers to a brain injury caused by external physical force, such as a blow to the head or shaking of the brain.
- Anoxic (a complete disruption of oxygen to the brain) or hypoxic (a partial disruption of oxygen to the brain) brain injury may result from strangulation or other pressure applied to the neck that restricts blood flow and air passage.

TBIs and anoxic or hypoxic brain injuries may result in irreversible psychological and physical harm.²¹ Specifically, people who suffer from TBI and anoxic or hypoxic brain injuries may experience cognitive symptoms, including depression and memory loss, as well as behavioral symptoms, such as changes in mood, or difficulty sleeping, among others.²² The symptoms individuals experience can also vary. The signs and symptoms of an anoxic or hypoxic brain injury from strangulation can be similar to those of mild TBI, which is often referred to as a concussion. (See fig. 2.)

²¹TBI can be classified as mild, moderate, or severe based on specific criteria, such as the length of time an individual is unconscious following their injury. For example, an individual would meet the criteria for mild TBI—often referred to as concussion—if they suffered a loss of consciousness for 30 minutes or less.

²²Department of Health and Human Services, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Report to Congress, *Traumatic Brain Injury in the United States: Epidemiology and Rehabilitation*. (Atlanta, Ga.: 2015.); and Shepherd Center, *Anoxic and Hypoxic Brain Injury*, accessed March 17, 2020, https://www.shepherd.org/patient-programs/braininjury/about/types-of-brain-injury/anoxic-hypoxic-brain-injury.





O Symptoms are not shown

Source: GAO analysis of documentation from Centers for Disease Control and Prevention and Training Institute for Strangulation Prevention. | GAO-20-534

^aMild TBI can be classified based on specific criteria, such as the length of time an individual is unconscious following their injury. For example, an individual would meet the criteria for mild TBI— often referred to as concussion—if they suffered a loss of consciousness for 30 minutes or less.

^bAnoxic (a complete disruption of oxygen to the brain) or hypoxic (a partial disruption of oxygen to the brain) brain injury may result from strangulation.

According to the Brain Injury Association of America, a severe brain injury can be clearly identified by reviewing an individual's symptoms, but when the brain injury is mild or moderate, providers may need to conduct further assessments or screening to diagnose the brain injury. According to NIH, providers have several options for assessing brain injury that can help determine the severity of the injury. For example, providers may evaluate a person's level of consciousness and the severity of brain injury by attempting to elicit body movements, opening of the eyes, and verbal responses. Providers may also evaluate an individual's speech and language skills or cognitive capabilities.

Role of HHS and DOJ in Addressing Intimate Partner Violence

Both HHS and DOJ support activities for individuals affected by intimate partner violence through several of their agencies. Within HHS, for example, ACF provides federal funding to support emergency shelter and services for the victims of domestic violence and their dependents, as well as the National Domestic Violence Hotline. CDC provides grants to state and local entities to develop programs aimed at preventing intimate partner violence. Additionally, HRSA—which provides funding to federally qualified health centers—provides funding to develop educational materials for health care workers, in partnership with ACF, to increase the number of individuals screened for intimate partner violence and referred to treatment services, among other things.²³

DOJ, through its Office of Justice Programs and Office on Violence Against Women, conducts research and provides funding to help states, local governments, and nonprofit organizations' develop programs to reduce violence against women. Many DOJ programs aim to strengthen responses at the local, state, tribal, and federal levels to domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, and stalking. Further, the Violence Against Women Reauthorization Act of 2013 amended federal laws to establish criminal penalties for strangulation or suffocation.²⁴ Additionally, DOJ increased its support of activities focused on training to recognize and prosecute strangulation.

Role of HHS in Addressing TBI

HHS agencies also conduct work related to recognizing and responding to TBI. For example, NIH funds research aimed at developing knowledge about the brain and nervous system in order to reduce the effect of brain-related diseases on individuals. In addition, CDC conducts research on the prevention of TBIs, and ACL provides grants to states to help them to support individuals with brain injuries and to promote the rights of, and provide advocacy support to, those living with TBI.²⁵

²³Federally qualified health centers provide a comprehensive set of primary health care services to individuals regardless of their ability to pay.

²⁴Pub. L. No. 113-4, § 906(a)(1), 127 Stat. 54, 124 (2013) (codified at 18 U.S.C. § 113(a)(8)). According to the Training Institute of Strangulation Prevention, most states have made strangulation a felony and many have done so within the past 10 years.

²⁵Prior to October 2015, HRSA administered the TBI State Implementation Partnership Program, which is now administered by ACL and called the TBI State Partnership Program.

Efforts to Provide Education, Screen for, or Treat Brain Injuries Resulting from Intimate Partner Violence

We identified 12 initiatives led by non-federal entities that focused on (1) education on brain injuries resulting from intimate partner violence by developing materials or offering training; (2) screening victims of intimate partner violence for potential brain injuries; or (3) treatment involving individuals with brain injuries resulting from intimate partner violence.²⁶ Our list represents initiatives identified during the course of our review and may not be exhaustive. Some of these initiatives focus on only TBI or strangulation, while others focused on both. See appendix II for additional information on the initiatives.

Education. All 12 initiatives provided education on brain injuries resulting from intimate partner violence. These initiatives developed and distributed educational materials for shelter staff and advocates, health care providers, law enforcement, or those affected by intimate partner violence. The initiatives also provided training to domestic violence shelter staff and others. (See fig. 3 for an excerpt of materials developed by two of the initiatives.) For example:

The Ohio Domestic Violence Network—as a part of its Connect, Acknowledge, Respond, Evaluate (CARE) initiative—trained staff at five domestic violence programs on brain injuries, and developed educational materials for shelter staff to share with intimate partner violence victims, according to network officials. For example, we spoke to staff at a domestic violence program in Ohio who told us how the education they received from the network helped them identify the signs and symptoms of brain injury in their clients. Staff from another domestic violence program in Ohio told us as a result of CARE training they now suggest strategies to clients to assist them with their memory issues, such as writing appointment information on a whiteboard or in a planner.

The Swedish Hospital Violence Prevention Program, in Illinois, provided education to physicians, medical residents, and hospital staff to increase health care provider and staff awareness of and ability to respond to

Training for Domestic Violence Program Staff

Domestic violence program advocates we spoke to told us that before they participated in the Ohio Domestic Violence Network training, they knew their clients were having a hard time remembering things or getting their thoughts across; however, they did not know this could be the result of a brain injury. The training helped advocates identify signs and symptoms in their clients and make others aware of these symptoms. For example, advocates told us they may inform a prosecutor that a client may have a brain injury and may have difficulty remembering or sharing their experiences.

Source: GAO (interview with domestic violence program staff). | GAO-20-534

²⁶We identified these initiatives based on information collected from interviews with HHS, DOJ, and other stakeholders and a review of organization websites.

brain injuries among victims of intimate partner violence, according to officials with the initiative.

• The Safe Futures initiative, in Connecticut, developed strangulation training materials for emergency medical personnel, law enforcement, prosecutors, and providers, as well as hosted trainings throughout Connecticut on intimate partner violence and brain injuries, according to officials with the initiative.

Figure 3: Excerpt of "Has Your Head Been Hurt," Developed by the Ohio Domestic Violence Network, and the Traumatic Brain Injury Tip Card Developed by the Brain Injury Association of Virginia

"Has Your Head Been Hurt?" brochure by the Ohio Domestic Violence Network HAS YOUR HEAD Are you having Are you having trouble. . physical problems? **BEEN HURT?** Headaches? It can affect your life in many different ways. Rest and time help, but you might Fatigue, feeling dazed, · Remembering things? need additional care, especially if your head confused, or in a fog? Paying attention or focusing? ത has been hurt more than once. Changes in your vision? · Getting things done? • Ringing in your ears? Has your partner. . Organizing things? Dizziness or balance Following conversations? problems? Feeling motivated? Hit you in the face, neck or head? Seizures? · Controlling your emotions? • Tried to choke or strangle you? ۱ Pain in your head, face Made you fall and you hit your head? or neck? Shaken you severely? IF YOU SAID YES, YOU MIGHT Done something that made you had HAVE A HEAD INJURY. trouble breathing or black out? Traumatic Brain Injury (TBI) tip card by the Brain Injury Association of Virginia Help, Hope & Healina Help, Hope & Healing **Facts About** Causes Signs & Symptoms Traumatic Brain Injury (TBI) Persistent headache Punched in the face or head Domestic violence can cause TBI as a result of being Confusion choked or hit in the head or face. Studies have •Hit in the head with an object Neck pain estimated blows to the head or face occur in 50% ·Slowed thinking, acting, speaking or reading to 90% of assauts. (1,2) •Pushed against the wall or other surface •Short - term memory loss Shaken violently There may not be physical signs that a TBI has Trouble paying attention, concentrating, making decisions, occurred. Many mild injuries do not require a hospital •Falling and hitting the head solving problems stay, yet the effects of the injury can change someone's life forever Fatigue Strangled/choked Loss of balance TBI can result in physical, cognitive and emotional Shot in the face or head Blurred vision impairments. Ringing in the ears An individual who experiences multiple brain injuries may experience more problems and symptoms and/or take longer to recover. Also, once the brain is injured, it leaves the person at risk of a second, more serious son, H., Philp, E., Nutter, R., and Diller, L. (2002) Traumatic Brain Injury: A Hidden Conseque ttered Women, Professional Psychology: Research and Practice, 33(1), p. 39-45. nfield, L., and Rand, M., Violence by Intimates, NCJ-167237, US Department of Justice, Bu tice Statistics, March, 1998. Signs of TBI resulting from assault may show up t of Justice. Bureau immediately or may appear days or weeks after an attack. injury.

Source: Ohio Domestic Violence Network and the Brain Injury Association of Virginia. | GAO-20-534

Screening. Six of the 12 initiatives used screening tools to identify potential brain injuries among intimate partner violence victims, according to officials. Based on our review of documentation from these initiatives, we found that the screening tools generally had a series of questions about injuries to the head, the loss of consciousness, or behavior

changes—symptoms that may indicate a potential brain injury. For example:

- Officials from three initiatives that screened victims for potential brain injuries reported using a version of the HELPS screening tool.²⁷ (See fig. 4 for an example of a modified version of this screening tool used by one initiative.) Officials from one initiative told us that screening typically occurred at domestic violence shelters where staff and advocates receive training on how to screen intimate partner violence victims.
- Officials from the other three initiatives told us they developed their own screening methods. For example, staff at the Maricopa County Collaboration on Concussions in Domestic Violence in Arizona screen victims using a tool that measures near point of convergence, which refers to an individual's ability to focus both eyes on a target, an approach that can be used to detect a concussion. Police officers from two participating departments in Arizona have used this tool to screen individuals when they respond to a domestic violence call, according to officials with the collaboration.

²⁷HELPS is a brief TBI screening tool designed for use by professionals who are not TBI experts. Some organizations, such as the Brain Injury Alliance of Nebraska and the Iowa Department of Public Health, ask about strangulation. Some modified versions of the HELPS tool do not ask about significant sicknesses experienced.





Source: Brain Injury Alliance of Nebraska. | GAO-20-534

Note: The Brain Injury Alliance of Nebraska created a modified version of the HELPS tool, which includes strangulation, and does not ask individuals about any significant sicknesses they experienced. For each of the four major questions, there are follow up questions, which ask about event occurrence, symptoms, and treatment received, among other things.

Treatment. Two of the 12 initiatives included a treatment component. Officials with the Barrow Concussion and Brain Injury Center in Arizona and the Northside Hospital Duluth Concussion Institute in Georgia told us they provided treatment to victims who were referred by local domestic violence shelters. Providers affiliated with one of these initiatives told us that treatment for brain injuries resulting from intimate partner violence does not differ from treatment for other brain injuries. A provider with one of these initiatives said that treatment could include exercises and movements that decrease dizziness, vertigo, and imbalance; occupational, physical, or speech therapies; or treatment for pain management.

An Intimate Partner Violence Victim's Brain Injury Treatment

Jane Doe was abused by her partner. An advocate at a domestic violence shelter

screened Jane for a brain injury and referred her for assessment. She was diagnosed and began treatment for a brain injury. Jane Doe told us that the treatments she received, which included nerve blockers—often used by neurologists to lessen chronic pain—helped to relieve the persistent headaches and debilitating migraines she experienced in the aftermath of her abuse. She told us that as a result of the treatment she received, she feels better able to function.

Source: GAO (interview with an intimate partner violence victim). | GAO-20-534

Officials from the Barrow Concussion and Brain Injury Center told us that individuals with brain injuries resulting from intimate partner violence may face a longer period of recovery compared to others with brain injuries, in part, because of living in unsafe home environments. As a result, special considerations are sometimes needed due to additional barriers faced by domestic violence victims. For example:

Victims may need safety planning and housing. As a part of the Barrow Concussion and Brain Injury Center's domestic violence initiative, a social worker will help ensure that victims' other needs are met.

- Officials from the Northside Hospital Duluth Concussion Institute noted that transportation could also be a barrier for victims of intimate partner violence. As such, the Georgia Department of Public Health's Injury Prevention Program, which partnered with the Northside Hospital Duluth Concussion Institute, planned to use CDC grant funding to provide domestic violence victims transportation from area shelters to the concussion institute for treatment.²⁸
- Officials from the Barrow Concussion and Brain Injury Center also told us about other considerations, such as the need to have a flexible appointment policy to account for the possibility of victims missing or canceling appointments.

Of the 12 initiatives we identified, eight received federal grants from HHS or DOJ, while officials from the other four initiatives told us they were funded with state, local, or private dollars. According to HHS and DOJ officials, the grants did not have specific requirements to address the intersection of brain injuries and intimate partner violence. However, based on our review of documentation, the eight initiatives used the federal funds to focus on the intersection of these two issues. Six of these eight initiatives received funding from HHS. Of them, four were funded by HRSA or ACL grants that focused on TBI-related services and activities, and two were funded by CDC grants focused on injury and violence prevention activities. The other two initiatives were funded by DOJ's Office of Justice Programs through grants that provide funds to support victims of crime.

In addition to the federal funding received by some of the 12 initiatives, we identified other efforts and grants funded by HHS and DOJ. These efforts made educational materials on intimate partner violence and brain

²⁸The Georgia Coalition Against Domestic Violence is also a partner in this initiative.

injuries accessible online, made ad-hoc or internal trainings available to external parties, or provided education that touched on the connection between intimate partner violence and brain injury, according to HHS and DOJ officials. For example:

- ACF has funded the National Resource Center on Domestic Violence and Futures Without Violence's National Health Resource Center on Domestic Violence, which provide information related to intimate partner violence and brain injuries via websites.
- ACF, in collaboration with HRSA, funded an effort led by Futures Without Violence, which includes some information on TBI and strangulation in trainings for select state leadership teams working to address intersections of health, intimate partner violence, and human trafficking.²⁹
- DOJ's Office on Violence Against Women provided grant funds to support the Training Institute on Strangulation Prevention, which offers training to individuals and outside entities to help them understand, recognize, and appropriately serve strangulation victims, as well as investigate and prosecute strangulation cases.
- DOJ's Office on Violence Against Women has also provided grant funds used by local organizations, such as police departments, to provide adhoc or internal training activities on brain injuries and to serve victims with brain injuries, including those caused by strangulation.

Data on the Overall Prevalence of Brain Injuries Resulting from Intimate Partner Violence Are Limited; Improved Data Could Help Target HHS Public Health Efforts

Based on our review of the literature, as well as interviews with HHS officials and other non-federal stakeholders, we found that data on the

²⁹As a part of this effort known as Project Catalyst, the selected state leadership teams prepared HRSA-supported health centers and ACF-supported domestic violence programs to form partnerships to address intimate partner violence, human trafficking, and health. For example, the Colorado team developed training materials and online resources that included information to assist advocates and providers in identifying and addressing brain injuries among intimate partner violence victims. Futures Without Violence is a nonprofit public benefit organization that develops strategies to end violence against women and children.

overall prevalence of brain injuries resulting from intimate partner violence are limited.

Specifically, available data do not provide an overall estimate of the prevalence of brain injuries resulting from intimate partner violence nationwide. While there are studies that estimate the prevalence of these injuries, these studies are also limited. Specifically, among the 28 articles we reviewed, six included an objective to estimate the prevalence of brain injuries resulting from intimate partner violence, while the remaining 22 articles examined other areas, such as health effects or awareness of brain injuries resulting from intimate partner violence, but did not have an objective to estimate prevalence. The six articles are also specific to a certain subpopulation or certain geographic locations and used different approaches to identify individuals with brain injuries. As a result, the range of reported prevalence rates on victims of intimate partner violence with brain injuries (brain injuries caused by trauma or strangulation) varied greatly (from 11 percent to about 79 percent) and were based on a range of sample sizes, from 95 people to about 1,000 people.

HHS agencies also have some data collection and research efforts related to this issue; however, these efforts are limited as well. For example, CDC and NIH have efforts that may assist in better understanding the connection between brain injuries and intimate partner violence, but CDC's efforts do not account for all causes of brain injuries and NIH has only one study focused on this connection. Further, HHS agencies treat brain injuries and intimate partner violence as separate public health issues and pursue their efforts separately—which limits their ability to better understand the connection between the issues and the overall prevalence of brain injuries that result from domestic violence.

<u>CDC</u>

CDC officials told us that the agency's data on the connection between brain injuries and intimate partner violence are limited, but the agency plans to address some of the limitations. For example, the officials said CDC analyzes health care claims data from emergency department visits to determine the causes of TBI. However, CDC officials told us that these data likely underestimate TBI among victims of intimate partner violence, because many do not seek medical care; for domestic violence victims who seek care, providers are unlikely to designate the individual as a victim of intimate partner violence. CDC also collects data on intimate partner violence through its NISVS. According to CDC reports, NISVS data are a key source of information on intimate partner violence, but the survey does not collect data on all types of brain injuries related to intimate partner violence. For example, the NISVS estimates the prevalence of victims of intimate partner violence who have been "knocked out after getting hit, slammed against something, or choked."³⁰ However, published estimates are based on responses to a survey question that asks individuals about being "knocked out," which is a colloquial term commonly used to indicate a loss of consciousness. CDC officials stated that in most known incidents of mild brain injury, people do not lose consciousness. As a result, NISVS data likely understate the number of intimate partner violence victims who may have brain injuries.

In order to better estimate TBIs resulting from intimate partner violence, CDC officials told us they plan to add a survey question to the NISVS to ask respondents about whether they have experienced a concussion—a common term for mild forms of TBI—due to a current or ex-partner.³¹ CDC officials told us that they have begun initial testing on several aspects of the survey, including on the additional question with the goal to begin data collection by the end of 2022, plans which are pending approval. Once the NISVS data are collected and analyzed, CDC officials said the data could help them provide a nationally representative prevalence estimate of intimate partner violence victims' who experienced a TBI in their lifetimes.

However, adding the question to the NISVS may not ensure that these data can provide a comprehensive estimate of the prevalence of brain injuries resulting from intimate partner violence. In particular,

³¹NISVS defines concussion as a blow to the head that caused the individual to have one or more symptoms such as blurred or double vision, sensitivity to light or noise, headaches, dizziness or balance problems, nausea, being dazed or confused, difficulty remembering, difficulty concentrating, or being knocked out.

³⁰Based on the 2010 NISVS, CDC found that 6.2 million women (5.2 percent) and 581,000 men (0.5 percent) had been "knocked out, slammed against something, or choked." Although more recent data are available for women, CDC officials told us that they believe the NISVS 2010 results were more comprehensive than the results from the 2015 survey, because the 2010 results include stable prevalence estimates for both men and women. The 2015 survey only produced stable estimates for women, because of the smaller sample size. Based on the 2015 survey, 5.1 million women had been "knocked out, slammed against something, or choked," and CDC did not report estimates for men.

The NISVS question will focus on TBIs, and will not account for individuals with brain injuries caused by strangulation.³² According to educational materials developed by the Training Institute of Strangulation Prevention and used by HRSA in the training of providers and advocates, more than two-thirds of intimate partner violence victims are strangled at least once.³³ CDC officials told us that they are able to measure acts of choking or suffocation through the NISVS, but this measure cannot be used to account for brain injuries resulting from strangulation. Additionally, CDC officials told us that the agency's priority is to focus on TBI specifically rather than accounting for other brain injuries.

Despite the focus on TBIs, CDC officials told us the NISVS data are not designed to examine whether intimate partner violence is a leading cause of TBI in comparison with other causes, such as sports or motor vehicle crashes.³⁴ CDC officials said that some research and NISVS data suggest that intimate partner violence is not as large a contributor of TBIs when compared to other contributors.³⁵ However, they noted that they do not have data on the proportion of TBIs resulting from intimate partner violence. Absent the ability to compare intimate partner violence as a cause of TBI against other contributors through the NISVS or other representative studies, CDC officials will continue to lack an understanding of the full scope of TBIs, their primary causes, and who is affected by them.

³²Although NISVS captures information on strangulation through a separate question, it does not link strangulation to potential brain injury. For example, NISVS asks respondents whether a partner "tried to hurt you by choking or suffocating you."

³⁴CDC officials told us that other conditions must be met in order to make this comparison. For example, CDC will need to collect certain data in a separate TBI surveillance system they are in the process of developing.

³⁵CDC officials told us that their understanding is based, in part, on NISVS data—which we report above is limited—and on a study that asked individuals about the source of their most recent concussion. However, CDC officials acknowledged that the study did not ask participants about other concussions they sustained, so not all concussions that could have resulted from intimate partner violence were counted and the study did not ask about intimate partners as the source of the concussions. J. Daugherty, et al., "Self-Reported Lifetime Concussion Among Adults: Comparison of 3 Different Survey Questions," *Journal of Head Trauma Rehabilitation*, vol. 35, no. 2 (2020), E136-E143.

³³E. Taliaferro, et al., "Strangulation in Intimate Partner Violence," *Intimate Partner Violence: A Health-Based Perspective*, Oxford University Press, Inc., (2009) 217-235; and *Intimate Partner Violence and Health: Impacts, Response and Prevention*, accessed on April 7, 2020, https://ipvhealth.org/faqs/.

<u>NIH</u>

NIH officials identified two agency efforts that could help improve what is known about the connection between brain injuries and intimate partner violence.³⁶

- NIH began funding a study in September 2019 that will use advanced brain imaging, blood analyses, and cognitive and psychological testing to study the effects of multiple brain injuries on women subjected to intimate partner violence. The objectives of the study are not to measure prevalence, but to examine the health effects of brain injuries resulting from intimate partner violence. NIH officials told us that this is the first study funded by NIH using brain images to investigate brain injuries resulting from intimate partner violence.
- NIH is also developing blood biomarkers—which are clinical diagnosis tools—for identifying mild TBI.³⁷ Currently, mild TBI is generally diagnosed by taking an inventory of symptoms, but symptoms can lead to misdiagnoses, including for mental illness or a substance use disorder. NIH officials said they are in the initial stages of developing these biomarkers, which could take the place of screening tools in diagnosing a brain injury. While this effort was not initiated to better understand brain injuries among victims of intimate partner violence, biomarkers have the potential to improve the identification of TBIs, provided they are applied to domestic violence victims.

Two other HHS agencies—ACL and HRSA—also have efforts that address brain injuries or intimate partner violence. However, these agencies' efforts are generally not focused on the connection of the two issues, so they are not likely to result in more complete data on the prevalence of brain injuries resulting from intimate partner violence. Specifically:

³⁷Blood biomarkers are the use of blood from an individual's body to measure their health.

³⁶In 2017, NIH, in partnership with other federal agencies, hosted a conference on TBI in women. In one of the sessions, participants explored the issue of TBI resulting from intimate partner violence. Following the conference, officials produced a white paper outlining several concerns in understanding the intersection of TBI and intimate partner violence, including the lack of data aimed at understanding the prevalence and effects of intimate partner violence related TBI.

<u>ACL</u>

ACL provides grants to states to establish support services for individuals with brain injuries through its TBI State Partnership Program. As part of these efforts, ACL officials told us that they have begun to gather information to determine how many TBI grant recipients are using the funds to support particular populations, including individuals with TBI resulting from intimate partner violence. As of December 2019, ACL officials told us that two states (Idaho and Iowa) have used the grants to focus on individuals with TBI as a result of intimate partner violence.³⁸

<u>HRSA</u>

HRSA has proposed an effort to collect data that may assist in further understanding the health consequences of intimate partner violence. As part of its strategy to address intimate partner violence, HRSA officials recently began requiring federally gualified health centers to capture International Classification of Diseases-10 codes for intimate partner violence on health care claims beginning in 2020. This effort is not aimed at the intersection of intimate partner violence and brain injuries; the purpose of this data collection is to better understand the effect of intimate partner violence on victims' health outcomes. While these data may currently underestimate the number of individuals affected by intimate partner violence, HRSA officials told us that their goal in collecting these data is to underscore the significance of intimate partner violence and help position providers to assist victims. Further, knowing the prevalence of brain injuries resulting from intimate partner violence and using these data could help officials further target education campaigns to providers on the potential injuries associated with intimate partner violence.

Officials from HHS agencies acknowledge that the lack of prevalence data on brain injuries resulting from intimate partner violence is a challenge in addressing the intersection of these issues. However, HHS and its agencies do not have a plan for how they would collect better prevalence data, including a plan that specifies the extent to which HHS agencies should collaborate on data collection efforts. Although HHS agencies have some efforts underway, these efforts are limited or do not examine the connection between the issues. For example, CDC is working to add a question to NISVS to improve what is known about the

³⁸States in ACL's grant program are permitted to focus on populations or areas of the state where there may be a high prevalence of TBI.

prevalence of TBIs among victims of intimate partner violence; however, this effort overlooks brain injuries resulting from strangulation—which HRSA reports is often also experienced by these victims—because CDC's priorities are to focus on TBIs specifically. Further, the newly funded NIH study is not intended to estimate the overall prevalence of brain injuries resulting from intimate partner violence.

Having complete data on the prevalence of brain injuries resulting from intimate partner violence could strengthen HHS's efforts to address this public health issue. HHS and its agencies acknowledge that enhancing the health and well-being of Americans is critical to their public health mission and intimate partner violence and TBIs are both prominent injury and violence issues. As part of this mission, CDC uses its Public Health Approach to guide its public health related efforts. The first step of this approach is to define the problem, which includes collecting prevalence data to understand the magnitude of the problem, where the problem exists, and whom it affects. According to CDC, such data are critical to ensuring that resources are focused on the individuals most in need.

Collecting data on the prevalence of brain injuries resulting from intimate partner violence is a critical first step. With better data comes a better understanding of the overall prevalence of brain injuries resulting from intimate partner violence. This would give HHS and its agencies the information necessary to inform their efforts and allocate resources, including grant funding, to address victims of brain injuries resulting from intimate partner violence.

Conclusions

Intimate partner violence affects over 30 percent of women and men in the United States, and research has raised concerns about brain injuries sustained by these domestic violence victims. Officials from HHS agencies acknowledge the lack of overall prevalence data on brain injuries resulting from intimate partner violence and the adverse effect this lack of data has on understanding the intersection of these two issues. While HHS agencies have some efforts underway to address brain injuries and intimate partner violence, they are limited and address these issues separately. Therefore, HHS and its agencies have missed an opportunity to improve their public health efforts to address this issue, particularly the prevalence of the problem, where the problem exists, and whom it affects. By working together, HHS and its agencies can identify ways that each agency's efforts could result in better prevalence data and a better overall understanding of brain injuries resulting from intimate partner violence. Improved data, in turn, could also help ensure that federal resources are allocated to the appropriate areas and used as efficiently and effectively as possible to address this public health issue.

Recommendation

We are making the following recommendation to HHS:

The Secretary of HHS should develop and implement a plan to improve data collected on the prevalence of brain injuries resulting from intimate partner violence and use these data to inform HHS's allocation of resources to address the issue. (Recommendation 1)

Agency Comment

We provided a draft of this report to HHS and DOJ for review and comment. In its written comments (reproduced in app. III), HHS concurred with our recommendation and noted that it is coordinating a plan amongst its relevant agencies to augment data collection on the prevalence of brain injuries resulting from intimate partner violence. HHS noted that these data will continue to inform the needs of this vulnerable population. HHS and DOJ also provided technical comments, which we incorporated as appropriate.

We are sending copies of this report to the appropriate congressional committees, Secretary of Health and Human Services, Attorney General, and other interested parties. In addition, the report is available at no charge on the GAO website at http://www.gao.gov.

If you or your staff members have any questions about this report, please contact me at (202) 512-7114 or yocomc@gao.gov. Contact points for our Office of Congressional Relations and Public Affairs may be found on the last page of this report. GAO staff who made key contributions to this report are listed in appendix IV.

Carolyn L. Yocom Director, Health Care

Letter

Congressional Committees The Honorable Lamar Alexander Chairman The Honorable Patty Murray Ranking Member Committee on Health, Education, Labor, and Pensions **United States Senate** The Honorable Lindsey Graham Chairman The Honorable Dianne Feinstein Ranking Member Committee on the Judiciary **United States Senate** The Honorable Roy Blunt Chairman The Honorable Patty Murray **Ranking Member** Subcommittee on Labor, Health and Human Services, Education, and **Related Agencies Committee on Appropriations** United States Senate The Honorable Frank Pallone Jr. Chairman The Honorable Greg Walden Republican Leader Committee on Energy and Commerce House of Representatives The Honorable Richard E. Neal Chairman The Honorable Kevin Brady **Republican Leader** Committee on Ways and Means House of Representatives The Honorable Rosa DeLauro Chairwoman The Honorable Tom Cole Ranking Member Subcommittee on Labor, Health and Human Services, Education, and **Related Agencies Committee on Appropriations** House of Representatives

Appendix I: Description of Literature Review and Bibliography

We identified articles for our literature review through a search of bibliographic databases, including Harvard Library Think Tank Search, MEDLINE, and Scopus, using terms such as "intimate partner violence," "domestic violence," "traumatic brain injury," and "strangulation."¹ We determined there were 57 relevant articles from 2009 through August 2019 discussing brain injuries resulting from intimate partner violence.² We reviewed the 57 articles to examine brain injuries resulting from intimate partner violence, including background information on the concerns of brain injuries resulting from intimate partner violence and challenges that researchers may have identified in conducting this work.

²We also conducted our search for articles about brain injury and strangulation in October 2019, the point in time at which we added strangulation to our study. All articles selected were not focused exclusively on military or veteran populations, as there are different resources available to populations within the military. We also selected articles for our review that did not study children or perpetrators of violence, those with legal system implications, or those that did not examine intimate partner violence related death.

¹Other databases we searched were ABI/INFORM® Professional Advanced, AgeLine, APA PsycINFO, ArticleFirst, BIOSIS Previews®, British Nursing Index, CINHAL, Cochrane Review Library, ECO (Electronic Collections Online), Embase®, EMCare®, ERIC, Global Health, HSELINE: Health and Safety, Index to Legal Periodicals and Books (H.W. Wilson), International Pharmaceutical Abstracts, King's Fund, Lancet Titles, MEDLINE, NTIS: National Technical Information Service, PAIS International, PapersFirst, PolicyFile Index, ProQuest Criminology Collection, ProQuest Dialog Health Research Full Text Professional, ProQuest Dissertations & Theses Global, ProQuest Education Database, ProQuest Global Newsstream, ProQuest Health & Medical Collection, ProQuest Research Library, ProQuest SciTech Premium Collection, ProQuest Sociology Collection, PTSDpubs, Risk Management Reference Center, SciSearch®: a Cited Reference Science Database, Social SciSearch®, Web of Science, and WorldCat. In total our search resulted in 513 articles, which we reviewed.

Of the 57 articles, we identified 28 that had conducted their own data analyses.³ We analyzed these 28 articles to examine data on prevalence rates, as well as research on health effects, treatment, and screening tools for identifying brain injuries resulting from intimate partner violence. The following articles are based on an original analysis of data.

Brown, Joshua, Dessie Clark, and Apryl E. Pooley. "Exploring the Use of Neurofeedback Therapy in Mitigating Symptoms of Traumatic Brain Injury in Survivors of Intimate Partner Violence." *Journal of Aggression, Maltreatment & Trauma*, vol. 28, no. 6 (2019): 764-783.

Campbell, Andrew M., Ralph A. Hicks, Shannon L. Thompson, and Sarah E. Wiehe. "Characteristics of Intimate Partner Violence Incidents and the Environments in Which They Occur: Victim Reports to Responding Law Enforcement Officers." *Journal of Interpersonal Violence* (2017): 1-24.

Campbell, Jacquelyn C., Jocelyn C. Anderson, Akosoa McFadgion, Jessica Gill, Elizabeth Zink, Michelle Patch, Gloria Callwood, and Doris Campbell. "The Effects of Intimate Partner Violence and Probable Traumatic Brain Injury on Central Nervous System Symptoms." *Journal of Women's Health*, vol. 27, no. 6 (2018): 761-767.

Cimono, Andrea, N., Grace Yi, Michelle Patch, Yasmin Alter, Jacquelyn C. Campbell, Kristin K. Gunderson, Judy T. Tang, Kiyomi Tsuyuki, and Jamila K. Stockman. "The Effect of Intimate Partner Violence and Probable Traumatic Brain Injury on Mental Health Outcomes for Black Women." *Journal of Aggression, Maltreatment & Trauma*, vol. 28, no. 6 (2019): 714-731.

Crowe, Allison, Christine E. Murray, Patrick R. Mullen, Kristine Lundgren, Gwen Hunnicutt, and Loreen Olson. "Help-Seeking Behaviors and Intimate Partner Violence-Related Traumatic Brain Injury." *Violence and Gender*, vol. 6, no. 1 (2019): 64-71.

³We identified another 29 articles in our literature review, these articles were reviews of the literature or commentaries. Literature reviews and commentaries generally highlighted concerns about data, the need for additional research, and the lack of awareness about the connection between brain injuries and intimate partner violence. However, these 29 articles had not conducted their own data analyses or were not published in a peer-reviewed journal.

Gagnon, Kelly L., and Anne P. DePrince. "Head Injury Screening and Intimate Partner Violence: A Brief Report." *Journal of Trauma & Dissociation*, vol. 18, no. 4 (2017): 635-644.

Higbee, Mark, Jon Eliason, Hilary Weinberg, Jonathan Lifshitz, and Hirsch Handmaker. "Involving Police Departments in Early Awareness of Concussion Symptoms during Domestic Violence Calls." *Journal of Aggression, Maltreatment & Trauma*, vol. 28, no. 7 (2019): 826-837.

Hunnicutt, Gwen, Christine Murray, Kristine Lundgren, Allison Crowe, and Loreen Olson. "Exploring Correlates of Probable Traumatic Brain Injury among Intimate Partner Violence Survivors." *Journal of Aggression, Maltreatment & Trauma*, vol. 28, no. 6 (2019): 677-694.

Hux, Karen, Trish Schneider, and Keri Bennett. "Screening for traumatic brain injury." *Brain Injury*, vol. 23, no. 1 (2009): 8-14.

Joshi, Manisha, Kristie A. Thomas, and Susan B. Sorenson. "I Didn't Know I Could Turn Colors': Health Problems and Health Care Experiences of Women Strangled by an Intimate Partner." *Social Work in Health Care*, vol. 51, no. 9 (2012): 798-814.

Linton, Kristen Faye. "Interpersonal violence and traumatic brain injuries among Native Americans and women." *Brain Injury*, vol. 29, no. 5 (2015): 639-643.

Messing, Jill T., Kristie A. Thomas, Allison L. Ward-Lasher, and Nathan Q. Brewer. "A Comparison of Intimate Partner Violence Strangulation Between Same-Sex and Different-Sex Couples." *Journal of Interpersonal Violence,* vol. 00, no. 0 (2018): 1-19.

Messing, Jill T., Michelle Patch, Janet S. Wilson, Gabor D. Kelen, Jacquelyn Campbell. "Differentiating among Attempted, Completed, and Multiple Nonfatal Strangulation in Women Experiencing Intimate Partner Violence." *Women's Health Issues*, vol. 28, no. 1 (2018): 104-111.

Mittal, Mona, Kathryn Resch, Corey Nichols-Hadeed, Jennifer Thompson Stone, Kelly Thevenet-Morrison, Catherine Faurot, and Catherine Cerulli. "Examining Associations between Strangulation and Depressive Symptoms in Women with Intimate Partner Violence Histories." *Violence and Victims,* vol. 33, no. 6 (2019): 1072-1087. Nemeth, Julianna M., Cecilia Mengo, Emily Kulow, Alexandra Brown, and Rachel Ramirez. "Provider Perceptions and Domestic Violence (DV) Survivor Experiences of Traumatic and Anoxic-Hypoxic Brain Injury: Implications for DV Advocacy Service Provision." *Journal of Aggression, Maltreatment & Trauma*, vol. 28, no. 6 (2019): 744-763.

Pritchard, Adam J., Amy Reckdenwald, Chelsea Nordham, and Jessie Holton. "Improving Identification of Strangulation Injuries in Domestic Violence: Pilot Data From a Researcher-Practitioner Collaboration." *Feminist Criminology*, vol. 12, no. 2 (2018): 160-181.

Ralston, Bridget., Jill Rable, Todd Larson, Hirsch Handmaker, and Jonathan Lifshitz. "Forensic Nursing Examination to Screen for Traumatic Brain Injury following Intimate Partner Violence." *Journal of Aggression, Maltreatment & Trauma*, vol. 28, no. 6 (2019): 732-743.

Reckdenwald, Amy, Ketty Fernandez, and Chelsea L. Mandes. "Improving law enforcement's response to non-fatal strangulation." *Policing: An International Journal* (2019): 1-15.

Reckdenwald, Amy, Chelsea Nordham, Adam Pritchard, and Brielle Francis. "Identification of Nonfatal Strangulation by 911 Dispatchers: Suggestions for Advances Toward Evidence-Based Prosecution." *Violence and Victims*, vol. 32, no. 3 (2017): 506-520.

Shields, Lisa B.E., Tracey S. Corey, Barbara Weakley-Jones, and Donna Stewart. "Living Victims of Strangulation." *American Journal of Forensic Medicine and Pathology*, vol. 31, no. 4 (2010): 320-325.

St. Ivany, Amanda, Linda Bullock, Donna Schminkey, Kristen Wells, Phyllis Sharps, and Susan Kools. "Living in Fear and Prioritizing Safety: Exploring Women's Lives After Traumatic Brain Injury From Intimate Partner Violence." *Qualitative Health Research*, vol. 28, no. 11 (2018): 1708-1718.

St. Ivany, Amanda, Susan Kools, Phyllis Sharps, and Linda Bullock. "Extreme Control and Instability: Insight Into Head Injury From Intimate Partner Violence." *International Association of Forensic Nursing*, vol. 14, no. 4 (2018): 198-205.

St. Ivany, Amanda, and Donna Schminkey. "Rethinking Traumatic Brain Injury from Intimate Partner Violence: A Theoretical Model of the Cycle of Transmission." *Journal of Aggression, Maltreatment & Trauma*, vol. 28, no. 7 (2019): 1-23.

Sullivan, Karen A, and Christina Wade. "Assault-Related Mild Traumatic Brain Injury, Expectations of Injury Outcome, and the Effects of Different Perpetrators: A Vignette Study." *Applied Neuropsychology: Adult*, vol. 26, no. 1 (2019): 58-64.

Sullivan, Karen A, and Christina Wade. "Does the Cause of the Mild Traumatic Brain Injury Affect the Expectation of Persistent Postconcussion Symptoms and Psychological Trauma?" *Journal of Clinical and Experimental Neuropsychology*, vol. 39, no. 4 (2017): 408-418.

Valera, Eve M., Aihua Cao, Ofer Pasternak, Martha E. Shenton, Marek Kubicki, , Nikos Makris, and Noor Adra. "White Matter Correlates of Mild Traumatic Brain Injuries in Women Subjected to Intimate-Partner Violence: A Preliminary Study." *Journal of Neurotrauma*, vol. 36 (2019): 661-668.

Valera, Eve, and Aaron Kucyi. "Brain Injury in Women Experiencing Intimate Partner-Violence: Neural Mechanistic Evidence of an "*Invisible*" *Trauma.*" *Brain Imaging and Behavior*, vol. 11 (2017): 1664-1677.

Zieman, Glynnis, Ashley Bridwell, and Javier F. Cardenas. "Traumatic Brain Injury in Domestic Violence Victims: A Retrospective Study at the Barrow Neurological Institute." *Journal of Neurotrauma*, vol. 33, (2016): 1-5.
Appendix II: Nonfederal Initiatives Focused on Intimate Partner Violence and Brain Injury

The following table provides a brief overview of each of the 12 initiatives we identified based on information provided by the Department of Health and Human Services, the Department of Justice, and other stakeholders. These initiatives engage in various efforts to address intimate partner violence and brain injuries, including traumatic brain injury and anoxic injuries resulting from strangulation. Our list includes those efforts identified during the course of our review and may not be exhaustive. The descriptions of initiatives are based on our review of documentation and information obtained from interviews with officials.

na	na	Activities involved	Activities involved	Activities involved	
Initiative and location	General description	Education	Screening	Treatment	
Traumatic Brain Injury Program* Alabama (statewide)	Organization(s) leading efforts: The Alabama Department of Rehabilitative Services, in partnership with the Alabama Coalition Against Domestic Violence, and the Alabama Head Injury Foundation	activity occurred	activity not occurred	activity not occurred	
	Federal funding: Health Resources and Services Administration's (HRSA) Traumatic Brain Injury (TBI) State Implementation Partnership Grant Program ^a				
	 Developed: (1) educational materials for use by domestic violence shelter staff, such as a tool for screening,^b and referring individuals with potential brain injuries and educational brochures for victims; and (2) a training manual on brain injuries in intimate partner violence victims used in trainings for shelter staff, health care providers, and law enforcement. 				

Table 1: Reported Activities of 12 Nonfederal Initiatives that Take Steps to Address Intimate Partner Violence and Brain Injury

na	na	Activities involved	Activities involved	Activities involved
Initiative and location			Screening	Treatment
Domestic Violence Traumatic Brain	Organization(s) leading efforts : Barrow Concussion and Brain Injury Center	activity occurred	activity occurred	activity occurred
Injury Program	Federal funding: None			
Arizona	 Provided education to victims, health care providers, and others. For example, occupational therapists and speech therapists held classes—known as Brains Club—at partner shelters to help residents improve their memory, concentration, and other skills. 			
	 Six participating shelters used the HELPS^c tool to screen victims of intimate partner violence for brain injury, and referred victims to the Barrow Concussion and Brain Injury Center for treatment. 			
	 Patients were evaluated and treated by a neurologist who specializes in brain injury. 			
Maricopa County Collaboration on Concussions in Domestic Violence Arizona	Organization(s) leading efforts : The Sojourner Center, a domestic violence shelter, in partnership with the CACTIS Foundation, Maricopa County Attorney's Office Family Violence Bureau, Mesa and Tempe police departments, HonorHealth's Scottsdale Family Advocacy Center, the University of Arizona College of Medicine, and the Barrow Neurological Institute at Phoenix Children's Hospital	activity occurred	activity occurred	activity not occurred
	Federal funding: None			
	 Provided education and training to police officers about the signs and symptoms of traumatic brain injuries. 			
	• Screening: police officers from two participating departments began screening individuals for traumatic brain injury when they respond to a domestic violence call using a tool that measures near point of convergence—which refers to an individual's ability to focus both eyes on a target, and can be used to detect a concussion. Individuals are then referred for forensic examinations, advocacy support services, or to the Sojourner Center.			
Safe Futures	Organization(s) leading efforts: Safe Futures	activity	activity not	activity not
initiative	Federal funding: None	occurred	occurred	occurred
Connecticut	• Developed strangulation training materials and hosted trainings throughout Connecticut on intimate partner violence and brain injuries for first responders, law enforcement, prosecutors, and health care providers.			

na	na	Activities involved	Activities involved	Activities involved
Initiative and location	General description	Education	Screening	Treatment
Northside Hospital Duluth Concussion Institute Initiative Georgia	Organization(s) leading efforts: The Georgia Coalition Against Domestic Violence, Northside Hospital Duluth Concussion Institute, and the Georgia Department of Public Health Injury Prevention Program	activity occurred	activity occurred	activity occurred
C C	Federal funding: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention's (CDC) Core State Violence and Injury Prevention Program ^d			
	• Provided education and trainings to advocates and the community statewide on the signs and symptoms of concussions, appropriate response, and available community resources.			
	 Developed a concussion screening tool^b for individuals seeking support through either shelters or legal advocates, and trained shelter staff and advocates on how to implement the screening tool and refer victims of intimate partner violence to services. Two participating shelters referred those suspected of a brain injury to the Northside Hospital Concussion Institute for treatment. 			
	 Conducted a concussion test as a part of their initial evaluation to determine the extent of the individual's brain injury and implemented a specific treatment plan to facilitate recovery. 			
Swedish Hospital Violence Prevention Program Illinois	Organization(s) leading efforts: Swedish Hospital Federal funding: Department of Justice (DOJ) Office for Victims of Crime Advancing Hospital-Based Victim Services ^e	activity occurred	activity not occurred	activity not occurred
	• Provided education to health care providers and staff to increase awareness of and ability to respond to TBI and strangulation among intimate partner violence victims in the Chicago area. Also, Swedish Hospital conducted a retrospective chart review of emergency room patients who presented with head injury or strangulation in connection with intimate partner violence or sexual assault, with plans to assess practices with regard to screening, testing, imaging, documentation, and outpatient follow-up care.			

na	na	Activities involved	Activities involved	Activities involved
Initiative and location	General description	Education	Screening	Treatment
Iowa Department of Public Health Initiative*	Organization(s) leading efforts: Iowa Department of Public Health and the Iowa Coalition Against Domestic Violence	activity occurred	activity occurred	activity not occurred
Iowa	Federal funding: HRSA's TBI State Implementation Partnership Grant Program ^a			
	 Provided training to domestic violence shelter staff across the state on how to conduct TBI screenings using the HELPS^c screening tool. 			
	 Collaborated with the Iowa Department of Corrections to develop and pilot screening using the HELPS screening tool, and provide resources and referrals to individuals who screened positive for TBI. 			
Brain Injury Alliance of Nebraska Initiative Nebraska	Organization(s) leading efforts : Brain Injury Alliance of Nebraska and the Nebraska Department of Health and Human Services	activity occurred	activity occurred	activity not occurred
	Federal funding: CDC's Core State Violence and Injury Prevention Program ^d			
	 Conducted 20 trainings with participants including shelter staff working with victims of intimate partner violence, law enforcement, and health care providers, among others. 			
	 Participating shelters screened victims using a modified HELPS^c screening tool, and provided victims the option of a referral to a neuropsychologist for further assessment. 			
New York State Department of Health Initiative* New York	Organization(s) leading efforts : The New York State Department of Health, the Brain Injury Association of New York State, and the New York State Coalition Against Domestic Violence	activity occurred	activity not occurred	activity not occurred
	Federal funding: HRSA's TBI State Implementation Partnership Grant Program ^a			
	 Provided regional trainings and webinars to raise general awareness of the prevalence of brain injuries among victims of intimate partner violence. 			

na	na	Activities involved	Activities involved	Activities involved	
Initiative and location			Screening	Treatment	
Connect, Acknowledge, Respond, Evaluate (CARE) Program	Organization(s) leading efforts: The Ohio Domestic Violence Network Federal funding: DOJ's Office of Justice Programs' Office for Victims of Crime Vision 21 ^e	activity occurred	activity occurred	activity not occurred	
Ohio	Developed and disseminated best practices for shelter staff and others working with people accessing domestic violence programs who may have a brain injury or may be experiencing mental health challenges, and trained and provided technical assistance for those interacting with victims of intimate partner violence.				
	 Five participating domestic violence programs, including shelters, screened individuals affected by intimate partner violence for potential brain injuries using tools developed by the Ohio Domestic Violence Network.^b 				
Brain Injury Association of Virginia Initiative	Organization(s) leading efforts: Brain Injury Association of Virginia and the Virginia Department of Aging and Rehabilitative Services	activity occurred	activity not occurred	activity not occurred	
Virginia	Federal funding: Administration for Community Living's (ACL) TBI State Partnership Program ^a				
	• Developed educational materials, such as presentations, tip cards, and fact sheets, on intimate partner violence and brain injuries. Trained over 40 domestic violence agencies and shelter providers, and over 200 staff from local social service departments and local health departments. Also provided education to police officers about the potential for TBI if they are called to a domestic incident, and how that may affect the victim's ability to provide a statement.				
	• Future efforts: The Brain Injury Association of Virginia is planning a 2-year project to document the prevalence of brain injuries resulting from intimate partner violence in Virginia and to demonstrate the effectiveness of community-based intervention protocols.				
The I-CAN! Accessibility Project Virginia	Organization(s) leading efforts: The Partnership for People with Disabilities and the Virginia Commonwealth University School of Social Work	activity occurred	activity not occurred	activity not occurred	
5	Federal funding: None.				
	 Worked with the Brain Injury Association of Virginia to promote awareness about abuse and resources available for individuals with a brain injury resulting from intimate partner violence and to provide training for brain injury support providers about intimate partner violence. 				

Legend: \checkmark =activity has occurred; \times =activity has not occurred

Source: GAO analysis of documentation obtained from the above initiatives. | GAO-20-534

Notes: Initiatives denoted with (*) were not active as of January 2020.

^aThe TBI State Implementation Partnership Grant Program provides funding to help states increase access to services and support for individuals with a TBI by providing information and referral services and screening for individuals with TBI, among other things. HRSA, within the Department of Health and Human Services (HHS), no longer administers this program. In 2014, HHS moved oversight of this program from HRSA to ACL, beginning October 1, 2015. The program is now called the Traumatic Brain Injury State Partnership Program.

^bScreening tools are used to identify potential brain injuries among intimate partner violence victims, according to officials. We found that the screening tools generally had a series of questions about injuries to the head, the loss of consciousness, or behavior changes—symptoms that may indicate a potential brain injury.

[°]HELPS is a brief TBI screening tool designed for use by professionals who are not TBI experts.

^dCDC's Core State Violence and Injury Prevention Program provides 23 states funding to implement, evaluate, and disseminate strategies that address injury and violence issues within four areas: child abuse and neglect, traumatic brain injury, motor vehicle crash injury and death, and intimate partner and sexual violence.

^eDOJ's Office of Justice Programs' Office for Victims of Crime provides funding through the Advancing Hospital-Based Victim Services demonstration initiative, which is awarded to organizations with the aim of improving partnerships between the victim services field and hospitals and other medical facilities to increase support for crime victims. The Office of Justice Programs' Office for Victims of Crime also provides funding through Vision 21, which is awarded to organizations that serve victims of crime at the national level, among others.

Appendix III: Comments from the Department of Health and Human Services

→ SBRVICE	
DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH & HUMAN SERVICES	OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY
DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH & HUMAN SERVICES	Assistant Secretary for Legislation Washington, DC 20201
June 1, 2020	
Carolyn Yocum	
Director, Health Care U.S. Government Accountability Office 441 G Street NW Washington, DC 20548	
Dear Ms. Yocum:	
Attached are comments on the U.S. Government Account "DOMESTIC VIOLENCE: Improved Data Needed to In among Victims" (Job Code 103599/GAO-20-534).	
The Department appreciates the opportunity to review t	his report prior to publication.
Sincerely,	
Sarah	
	-S Date: 2020.06.01 21:51:21 -04'00'
Sarah C. A Assistant S	Secretary for Legislation
Attachment	



Appendix IV: Staff Acknowledgements and GAO Contact

GAO Contact

Carolyn L. Yocom at (202) 512-7114 or yocomc@gao.gov

Staff Acknowledgments

In addition to the contact named above, Shannon Slawter Legeer (Assistant Director), Danielle Bernstein (Analyst-in-Charge), and Ashley Dixon made key contributions to this report. Also contributing were Leia Dickerson, Kaitlin Farquharson, Drew Long, and Ethiene Salgado-Rodriguez.

Appendix V: Accessible Data

Data Tables

Accessible Data for Figure 1: Most Common Effects Reported by Victims of Intimate Partner Violence

Most commonly reported affects	Women (in millions)	Men (in millions)	
Concerns for safety	24.5	5.9	
Experiencing fear	21	4.9	
Experiencing post-traumatic stress disorder symptoms	17.8	3.8	
Injuries	14.9	4.5	
Needing help from law enforcement	13.8	3.9	

Accessible Data for Figure 2: Examples of Symptoms for Mild Traumatic Brain Injury (TBI) and Anoxic and Hypoxic Brain Injury from Strangulation

Symptoms	Mild traumatic brain injury	Anoxic and hypoxic brain injuries
Balance problems	Symptoms are shown	Symptoms are shown
Changes in mood	Symptoms are shown	Symptoms are shown
Depression	Symptoms are shown	Symptoms are shown
Difficulty swallowing	Symptoms are not shown	Symptoms are shown
Dizziness	Symptoms are shown	Symptoms are shown
Headache	Symptoms are shown	Symptoms are shown
Memory loss	Symptoms are shown	Symptoms are shown
Neck pain	Symptoms are not shown	Symptoms are shown
Sensitivity to light	Symptoms are shown	Symptoms are not shown
Difficulty sleeping	Symptoms are shown	Symptoms are not shown

Agency Comment Letter

Accessible Text for Appendix III Comments from the Department of Health and Human Services

<u>Page 1</u>

June 1, 2020

Carolyn Yocum Director, Health Care

U.S. Government Accountability Office

441 G Street NW

Washington, DC 20548

Dear Ms. Yocum:

Attached are comments on the U.S. Government Accountability Office's (GAO) report entitled, "DOMESTIC VIOLENCE: Improved Data Needed to Identify the Prevalence of Brain Injuries among Victims" (Job Code 103599/GAO-20-534).

The Department appreciates the opportunity to review this report prior to publication.

Sincerely,

Sarah C. Arbes

Assistant Secretary for Legislation

Attachment

Page 2

The U.S. Department of Health & Human Services (HHS) appreciates the opportunity from the Government Accountability Office (GAO) to review and comment on this draft report.

Recommendation 1

The Secretary of HHS should develop and implement a plan to improve data collected on the prevalence of brain injuries resulting from intimate partner violence and use these data to inform HHS's allocation of resources to address the issue.

HHS Response:

HHS concurs with GAO's recommendation.

HHS is coordinating amongst relevant components a plan to augment data collection on the prevalence of brain injuries resulting from intimate partner violence (IPV). Data collected from this collaboration will continue to inform the needs of this most vulnerable population.

GAO's Mission

The Government Accountability Office, the audit, evaluation, and investigative arm of Congress, exists to support Congress in meeting its constitutional responsibilities and to help improve the performance and accountability of the federal government for the American people. GAO examines the use of public funds; evaluates federal programs and policies; and provides analyses, recommendations, and other assistance to help Congress make informed oversight, policy, and funding decisions. GAO's commitment to good government is reflected in its core values of accountability, integrity, and reliability.

Obtaining Copies of GAO Reports and Testimony

The fastest and easiest way to obtain copies of GAO documents at no cost is through our website. Each weekday afternoon, GAO posts on its website newly released reports, testimony, and correspondence. You can also subscribe to GAO's email updates to receive notification of newly posted products.

Order by Phone

The price of each GAO publication reflects GAO's actual cost of production and distribution and depends on the number of pages in the publication and whether the publication is printed in color or black and white. Pricing and ordering information is posted on GAO's website, https://www.gao.gov/ordering.htm.

Place orders by calling (202) 512-6000, toll free (866) 801-7077, or TDD (202) 512-2537.

Orders may be paid for using American Express, Discover Card, MasterCard, Visa, check, or money order. Call for additional information.

Connect with GAO

Connect with GAO on Facebook, Flickr, Twitter, and YouTube. Subscribe to our RSS Feeds or Email Updates. Listen to our Podcasts. Visit GAO on the web at https://www.gao.gov.

To Report Fraud, Waste, and Abuse in Federal Programs

Contact FraudNet:

Website: https://www.gao.gov/fraudnet/fraudnet.htm

Automated answering system: (800) 424-5454 or (202) 512-7700

Congressional Relations

Orice Williams Brown, Managing Director, WilliamsO@gao.gov, (202) 512-4400, U.S. Government Accountability Office, 441 G Street NW, Room 7125, Washington, DC 20548

Public Affairs

Chuck Young, Managing Director, youngc1@gao.gov, (202) 512-4800 U.S. Government Accountability Office, 441 G Street NW, Room 7149 Washington, DC 20548

Strategic Planning and External Liaison

James-Christian Blockwood, Managing Director, spel@gao.gov, (202) 512-4707 U.S. Government Accountability Office, 441 G Street NW, Room 7814, Washington, DC 20548