

**United States Government Accountability Office** 

Testimony

Before the Subcommittee on Technology Modernization, Committee on Veterans' Affairs, House of Representatives

For Release on Delivery Expected at 10:00 a.m. ET Thursday, July 25, 2019

### ELECTRONIC HEALTH RECORDS

VA Needs to Identify and Report Existing System Costs

Statement of Carol C. Harris, Director, Information Technology Management Issues

# GAO Highlights

Highlights of GAO-19-679T, a testimony before the Subcommittee on Technology Modernization, Committee on Veterans' Affairs, House of Representatives

#### Why GAO Did This Study

VA provides health care services to approximately 9 million veterans and their families and relies on its health information system—VistA—to do so. However, the system is more than 30 vears old, is costly to maintain, and does not fully support exchanging health data with DOD and private health care providers. Over nearly 2 decades, VA has pursued multiple efforts to modernize the system. In June 2017, the department announced plans to acquire the same system-the Cerner system-that the Department of Defense is implementing. VA plans to continue using VistA during the department's decade-long transition to the Cerner system.

GAO was asked to summarize its report that is being released today which discusses, among other things, (1) the extent to which VA has defined VistA and (2) the department's annual costs to develop and sustain the system.

In preparing the report on which this testimony is based, GAO analyzed documentation that defines aspects of VistA and identifies components to be replaced; and evaluated the reliability of cost data, including funding obligations associated with the development and sustainment of VistA for fiscal years 2015, 2016, and 2017.

#### What GAO Recommends

In its report being issued today, GAO is recommending that VA develop and implement a methodology for reliably identifying and reporting the total costs of VistA. The department agreed with the recommendation.

View GAO-19-679T. For more information, contact Carol Harris at (202) 512-4456 or harriscc@gao.gov.

### ELECTRONIC HEALTH RECORDS

## VA Needs to Identify and Report Existing System Costs

#### What GAO Found

The Department of Veterans Affairs (VA) has various documents and a database that describe parts of the Veterans Health Information Systems and Technology Architecture (VistA); however, the department does not have a comprehensive definition for the system. For example, VA has identified components that comprise VistA, identified interfaces related to the system, and collected system user guides and installation manuals. VA has also conducted analyses to better understand customization of VistA components at various medical facilities. Nevertheless, the existing information and analyses do not provide a thorough understanding of the local customizations reflected in about 130 versions of VistA that support health care delivery at more than 1,500 sites. Program officials stated that they have not been able to fully define VistA due to the decentralization of the development of the system for more than 30 years. Cerner's contract to provide a new electronic health record system to VA calls for the company to conduct comprehensive assessments to identify site-specific requirements where its system is planned to be deployed. Three site assessments have been completed and additional assessments are planned. If these assessments provide a thorough understanding of the 130 VistA versions, the department should be able to define VistA and be better positioned to transition to the new system.

VA identified costs for VistA and its related activities adding up to approximately \$913.7 million, \$664.3 million, and \$711.1 million in fiscal years 2015, 2016, and 2017, respectively—for a total of about \$2.3 billion over the 3 years. However, of the \$2.3 billion, the department was only able to demonstrate that approximately \$1 billion of these costs were sufficiently reliable.

In addition, the department omitted VistA-related costs from the total. The lack of a sufficiently reliable and comprehensive total cost for VistA is due in part to not following a well-documented methodology that describes how the department determined the costs for the system. As a result of incomplete cost data and data that could not be determined to be sufficiently reliable, the department, legislators, and the public do not have a complete understanding of how much it has cost to develop and maintain VistA. Further, VA lacks the information needed to make decisions on sustaining the many versions of the system. Chair Lee, Ranking Member Banks, and Members of the Subcommittee:

Thank you for the opportunity to participate in today's hearing regarding the Department of Veterans Affairs' (VA) health information system—the Veterans Health Information Systems and Technology Architecture (VistA)—which has been essential to the department's ability to deliver health care to veterans. This technically complex system has been in operation for more than 30 years, is costly to maintain, and does not fully support exchanging health data with the Department of Defense (DOD) and private health care providers.

VA has initiated a major program to replace the VistA electronic health record (EHR) with a commercial-off-the-shelf (COTS) product. The department plans to start deploying its new EHR system in March 2020. However, VA sites are to continue using VistA until they receive the new system during a phased transition over the next 10 years.

We recently reviewed key aspects of VistA in response to a request from the House Committee on Veterans' Affairs. We examined, among other things, the extent to which VA has defined VistA and the department's annual costs to develop and sustain the system.

At your request, my testimony for this hearing summarizes the findings discussed in our report on VistA, which is being released today.<sup>1</sup> More detailed information on our objectives, scope, and methodology for that work can be found in the issued report.

We conducted the work on which this statement is based in accordance with generally accepted government auditing standards. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain sufficient, appropriate evidence to provide a reasonable basis for our findings and conclusions based on our audit objectives. We believe that the evidence obtained provides a reasonable basis for our findings and conclusions based on our audit objectives.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>GAO, *Electronic Health Records: VA Needs to Identify and Report System Costs*, GAO-19-125 (Washington, D.C.: July 25, 2019).

Background	VA's mission is to promote the health, welfare, and dignity of all veterans in recognition of their service to the nation by ensuring that they receive medical care, benefits, social support, and lasting memorials. In carrying out this mission, the department manages one of the largest health care delivery systems in the United States that provides enrolled veterans with a full range of services. These services may include primary care; mental health care; and outpatient, inpatient, and residential treatment. The Veterans Health Administration (VHA), one of the department's three major components, is responsible for overseeing the provision of health care at all VA medical facilities.
	Information technology (IT) is widely used and critically important to supporting the department in delivering health care to veterans. As such, VA operates and maintains an IT infrastructure that is intended to provide the backbone necessary to meet the day-to-day operational needs of its medical centers and other critical systems supporting the department's mission. The infrastructure is to provide for data storage, transmission, and communications requirements necessary to ensure the delivery of reliable, available, and responsive support to all VA staff offices and administration customers, as well as veterans. The Office of Information and Technology (OIT) is responsible for managing the majority of VA's IT-related functions. The office provides strategy and technical direction, guidance, and policy related to how IT resources are to be acquired and managed for the department.
VistA's Role at VA	VA provides health care services to approximately 9 million veterans and their families and relies on its health information system—VistA—to do so. VistA has been essential to the department's ability to deliver health care to veterans. It was developed based on the collaboration between staff in the VA medical facilities and VHA IT personnel. Specifically, clinicians and IT personnel at the various VA medical facilities collaborated to define the system's requirements and, in certain cases, carried out its development and implementation. As a result of these efforts, the system has been in operation since the early 1980s. <sup>2</sup>
	VistA supports a complex set of clinical and administrative capabilities. It is comprised of an architecture that ties together servers and personal computer workstations with various applications within VA facilities and

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>VistA began operation in 1983 as the Decentralized Hospital Computer Program. In 1996, the name of the system was changed to the Veterans Health Information Systems and Technology Architecture, referred to as VistA.

the supporting infrastructure, such as data centers, storage, and messaging technologies. The core system and database code are programmed in the MUMPS programming language.<sup>3</sup> Among other things, VistA contains an EHR for each patient and supports clinics and medical centers.

In addition, the system provides functionality beyond the EHR and exchanges information with many other applications and interfaces. For example, the system also provides the functionality of a time and attendance program, asset management system, library, and billing system, among other things.

Users interact with VistA through a number of interfaces that connect stored health data. These interfaces enable the system to communicate (send or exchange data) with other VA systems, as well as with other federal agencies (e.g., DOD), health information exchange networks, and COTS products. According to OIT officials, applications either interface with VistA directly through a messaging protocol<sup>4</sup> or extract data from the system via a reporting mechanism.

The Computerized Patient Record System is a graphical user interface to VistA that runs on workstations, laptops, and tablets and enables the department to support clinical workflows. Specifically, the Computerized Patient Record System enables the department to create and update an individual EHR for each VA patient. Among other things, clinicians can order lab tests, medications, diets, radiology tests, and procedures; record a patient's allergies or adverse reactions to medications; request and track consults; enter progress notes, diagnoses, and treatments for each encounter; and enter discharge summaries.

According to VHA officials, there are also more than 100 COTS products that interface with VistA. In addition to these commercial products, medical equipment or devices at local facilities may also require interfaces to the system, and these vary on a site-by-site basis.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>The Massachusetts General Hospital Utility Multi-Programming System, now referred to as M, or MUMPS.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>VistA uses, for example, application programming interfaces, remote procedure calls, and Health Level 7 messaging to communicate with COTS software, selected IT systems of other federal agencies, and health information exchange networks.

According to the department, no two VistA instances are identical. Further, each instance is comprised of over 27,400 routines (executa modules of code), which are logically grouped into products or modu VistA products or modules can also be comprised of one or more software applications that support health care functions, such as providing care coordination and mental health services. The departm reported that there are approximately 140 to 200 products or module that comprise the system. <sup>7</sup> The 130 clinical instances of VistA are operated from four regional V data centers. <sup>8</sup> Users interact with the system through the Computeriz Patient Record System. Aggregated clinical data from every instance the system are located on servers hosted at VA's National Data Cen Over time, VA has identified the need for enhancements and modifications to VistA in order to ensure that the system keeps up wi current technology and health care delivery. However, according to t department, the system has become difficult and costly to maintain. is a result of, for example, being programmed in MUMPS, a languag <sup>6</sup> The VHA Business Function Framework (Version 2.11, May 2016) is the departme architectural model that describes the core functions related to delivering health care partners. <sup>6</sup> A customization might include modifications required to address state and local law regarding health care, such as those related to the inputs, outputs, and data require produce a death certificate. A clinical VistA instance includes the EHR. There are a number of VistA instances that do not support clinical functions. <sup>7</sup> Within VistA, nationally released and supported software are referred to by VA as ( software. In addition, instances may also be comprised of Class II (regionally deploy and supported) and Class III (locally deployed and supported) software. <sup>8</sup> According to VA officials, there are about 39 additional instances of VistA that are or and nonoperational but contain records and must be maintained or have	VA Has about 130 Different Versions of VistA	Over the last several decades, VistA has evolved into a technically complex system that supports health care delivery at more than 1,500 locations, <sup>5</sup> including VA Medical Centers, outpatient clinics, community living centers, and VA vet centers. Customization of the system by local facilities has resulted in about 130 clinical versions of VistA—referred to as instances. <sup>6</sup>
The 130 clinical instances of VistA are operated from four regional V data centers. <sup>6</sup> Users interact with the system through the Computeriz Patient Record System. Aggregated clinical data from every instance the system are located on servers hosted at VA's National Data Centers. VA has identified the need for enhancements and modifications to VistA in order to ensure that the system keeps up wit current technology and health care delivery. However, according to t department, the system has become difficult and costly to maintain. is a result of, for example, being programmed in MUMPS, a language <sup>5</sup> The VHA Business Function Framework (Version 2.11, May 2016) is the department architectural model that describes the core functions related to delivering health care services and supporting the needs of veterans, health care providers, and resource partners. <sup>6</sup> A customization might include modifications required to address state and local law regarding health care, such as those related to the inputs, outputs, and data require produce a death certificate. A clinical VistA instance includes the EHR. There are a number of VistA instances that do not supported software are referred to by VA as 0 software. In addition, instances and supported software are referred to by VA as 0 software. In addition, instances and must be maintained or have their data migrated for maintenance. <sup>9</sup> The National Data Center is located in Austin, Texas.		According to the department, no two VistA instances are identical. Further, each instance is comprised of over 27,400 routines (executable modules of code), which are logically grouped into products or modules. VistA products or modules can also be comprised of one or more software applications that support health care functions, such as providing care coordination and mental health services. The department reported that there are approximately 140 to 200 products or modules that comprise the system. <sup>7</sup>
Over time, VA has identified the need for enhancements and modifications to VistA in order to ensure that the system keeps up wi current technology and health care delivery. However, according to department, the system has become difficult and costly to maintain. is a result of, for example, being programmed in MUMPS, a language <sup>5</sup> The VHA Business Function Framework (Version 2.11, May 2016) is the departme architectural model that describes the core functions related to delivering health care services and supporting the needs of veterans, health care providers, and resource partners. <sup>6</sup> A customization might include modifications required to address state and local law regarding health care, such as those related to the inputs, outputs, and data require produce a death certificate. A clinical VistA instance includes the EHR. There are a number of VistA instances that do not support clinical functions. <sup>7</sup> Within VistA, nationally released and supported software are referred to by VA as 0 software. In addition, instances may also be comprised of Class II (regionally deploy and supported) and Class III (locally deployed and supported) software. <sup>8</sup> According to VA officials, there are about 39 additional instances of VistA that are of and nonoperational but contain records and must be maintained or have their data migrated for maintenance. <sup>9</sup> The National Data Center is located in Austin, Texas.		The 130 clinical instances of VistA are operated from four regional VA data centers. <sup>8</sup> Users interact with the system through the Computerized Patient Record System. Aggregated clinical data from every instance of the system are located on servers hosted at VA's National Data Center. <sup>9</sup>
<ul> <li><sup>5</sup>The VHA Business Function Framework (Version 2.11, May 2016) is the departme architectural model that describes the core functions related to delivering health care services and supporting the needs of veterans, health care providers, and resource partners.</li> <li><sup>6</sup>A customization might include modifications required to address state and local law regarding health care, such as those related to the inputs, outputs, and data require produce a death certificate. A clinical VistA instance includes the EHR. There are a number of VistA instances that do not support clinical functions.</li> <li><sup>7</sup>Within VistA, nationally released and supported software are referred to by VA as 0 software. In addition, instances may also be comprised of Class II (regionally deploy and supported) and Class III (locally deployed and supported) software.</li> <li><sup>8</sup>According to VA officials, there are about 39 additional instances of VistA that are or and nonoperational but contain records and must be maintained or have their data migrated for maintenance.</li> <li><sup>9</sup>The National Data Center is located in Austin, Texas.</li> </ul>		Over time, VA has identified the need for enhancements and modifications to VistA in order to ensure that the system keeps up with current technology and health care delivery. However, according to the department, the system has become difficult and costly to maintain. This is a result of, for example, being programmed in MUMPS, a language for
<ul> <li><sup>6</sup>A customization might include modifications required to address state and local law regarding health care, such as those related to the inputs, outputs, and data require produce a death certificate. A clinical VistA instance includes the EHR. There are a number of VistA instances that do not support clinical functions.</li> <li><sup>7</sup>Within VistA, nationally released and supported software are referred to by VA as 0 software. In addition, instances may also be comprised of Class II (regionally deploy and supported) and Class III (locally deployed and supported) software.</li> <li><sup>8</sup>According to VA officials, there are about 39 additional instances of VistA that are of and nonoperational but contain records and must be maintained or have their data migrated for maintenance.</li> <li><sup>9</sup>The National Data Center is located in Austin, Texas.</li> </ul>		<sup>5</sup> The VHA Business Function Framework (Version 2.11, May 2016) is the department's architectural model that describes the core functions related to delivering health care services and supporting the needs of veterans, health care providers, and resource partners.
<ul> <li><sup>7</sup>Within VistA, nationally released and supported software are referred to by VA as 0 software. In addition, instances may also be comprised of Class II (regionally deploy and supported) and Class III (locally deployed and supported) software.</li> <li><sup>8</sup>According to VA officials, there are about 39 additional instances of VistA that are o and nonoperational but contain records and must be maintained or have their data migrated for maintenance.</li> <li><sup>9</sup>The National Data Center is located in Austin, Texas.</li> </ul>		<sup>6</sup> A customization might include modifications required to address state and local laws regarding health care, such as those related to the inputs, outputs, and data required to produce a death certificate. A clinical VistA instance includes the EHR. There are a limited number of VistA instances that do not support clinical functions.
<sup>8</sup> According to VA officials, there are about 39 additional instances of VistA that are of and nonoperational but contain records and must be maintained or have their data migrated for maintenance. <sup>9</sup> The National Data Center is located in Austin, Texas.		<sup>7</sup> Within VistA, nationally released and supported software are referred to by VA as Class I software. In addition, instances may also be comprised of Class II (regionally deployed and supported) and Class III (locally deployed and supported) software.
<sup>9</sup> The National Data Center is located in Austin, Texas.		<sup>8</sup> According to VA officials, there are about 39 additional instances of VistA that are older and nonoperational but contain records and must be maintained or have their data migrated for maintenance.
		<sup>9</sup> The National Data Center is located in Austin, Texas.

which there is a dwindling supply of qualified software developers. It is also due to years of decentralized customization of the system by staff members who were permitted to develop and implement applications at the local level.

OIT and VHA Share Responsibilities for VistA OIT and VHA serve as the technical and functional leaders, respectively, for the department's health care delivery and, together, they have worked to develop and maintain VistA for decades. Specifically, OIT is responsible for managing the majority of VA's IT-related functions. The office provides strategy and technical direction, guidance, and policy related to how IT resources are to be acquired and managed for the department.

According to the department, OIT's mission is to collaborate with its business partners (such as VHA) and provide a seamless, unified veteran experience through the delivery of state-of-the-art technology. The Assistant Secretary for Information and Technology/Chief Information Officer (CIO) serves as the head of OIT and is responsible for providing leadership for the department's IT activities.

The CIO also advises the Secretary regarding the execution of VA's IT systems appropriation, consistent with the Federal Information Technology Acquisition Reform Act.<sup>10</sup> For fiscal year 2019, the department has been appropriated \$4.1 billion for IT. According to VA's budget documentation, about \$1.2 billion of this amount is intended to support IT staffing and associated costs for approximately 8,100 full-time employees.

VHA provides information and expertise to OIT to support the department's health-related information systems. For example, VHA officials help identify clinical and business needs used to inform IT requirements development.<sup>11</sup> The Under Secretary for Health is the head

<sup>11</sup>VHA is responsible for the Medical Support and Compliance budget, which includes "necessary expenses in the administration of the medical, hospital, nursing home, domiciliary, construction, supply, and research activities, as authorized by law...".

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup>Provisions in IT acquisition reform legislation (commonly referred to as the Federal Information Technology Acquisition Reform Act, or FITARA) require covered executive branch agencies, including VA, to ensure that the CIO has a significant role in the decisionmaking process for IT budgeting, and in the management, governance, and oversight processes related to IT. See *Carl Levin and Howard P. 'Buck' McKeon National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2015*, Pub. L. No. 113-291, div. A, title VIII, subtitle D, 128 Stat. 3292, 3438-3450 (Dec. 19, 2014).

of VHA and is supported by the Principal Deputy Under Secretary for Health, four Deputy Under Secretaries for Health, and nine Assistant Deputy Under Secretaries for Health.

VA Has Begun to Acquire a New EHR System After nearly 2 decades of pursuing multiple efforts to modernize VistA, in June 2017, the former VA Secretary announced that the department planned to acquire the same EHR system that DOD is acquiring—Cerner Millennium.<sup>12</sup> According to the department, it has chosen to acquire this product because Cerner Millennium should allow VA's and DOD's patient data to reside in one system, thus, potentially reducing or eliminating the need for manual and electronic exchange and reconciliation of data between two separate systems.

Accordingly, the department awarded an indefinite delivery, indefinite quantity contract to Cerner Corporation in May 2018 for a maximum amount of \$10 billion over 10 years. Cerner is to replace the 130 instances of VistA with a standard COTS system to be implemented across VA. This new system is to support a broad range of health care functions including acute care, clinical decision support, dental care, and emergency medicine. When implemented, the new system will be expected to become the authoritative source of clinical data to support improved health, patient safety, and quality of care provided by VA.

The Electronic Health Record Modernization (EHRM) program is responsible for managing the Cerner contract implementation. For fiscal year 2019, the program was appropriated about \$1.1 billion for planning and managing the transition from VistA to Cerner.<sup>13</sup>

<sup>13</sup>The EHRM appropriation is in addition to the \$4.1 billion appropriated for IT in 2019.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup>In July 2015, DOD awarded a \$4.3 billion contract for a commercial EHR system developed by Cerner—Cerner Millennium—to be known as MHS GENESIS. The transition to the new system began in February 2017 in the Pacific Northwest region of the United States and is expected to be completed in 2022. The former Secretary of VA signed a "Determination and Findings," to justify use of the public interest exception to the requirement for full and open competition, and authorized VA to issue a solicitation directly to Cerner. A "Determination and Findings" means a special form of written approval by an authorized official that is required by statute or regulation as a prerequisite to taking certain contract actions. The "determination" is a conclusion or decision supported by the "findings." The findings are statements of fact or rationale essential to support the determination and must cover each requirement of the statute or regulation. FAR, 48 C.F.R. § 1.701.

	Further, the department has estimated that an additional \$6.1 billion in funding, above the Cerner contract amount, will be needed to fund additional project management support supplied by outside contractors, government labor costs, and infrastructure improvements over the 10-year contract period.
	VA plans to deploy the new EHR system at three initial operating capability sites within 18 months of October 1, 2018, <sup>14</sup> with a phased implementation of the remaining sites over the next decade. Each VA medical facility is expected to continue using VistA until the new system has been deployed. The three initial deployment sites, located in the Pacific Northwest, are the Mann-Grandstaff, American Lake, and Seattle VA Medical Centers and related clinical facilities that operate the same instances of VistA. These are the first locations where the system is expected to "go live."
	The task order to deploy the Cerner system at the three initial sites provides a detailed description of the steps Cerner needs to take in order to reach initial operating capability at the Mann-Grandstaff site in March 2020, and at the Seattle and American Lake sites in April 2020. According to the schedule, the initial operating capability sites are expected to be operational by July 2020.
VA Has Undertaken Efforts to Define VistA, but Additional Work Remains	In order to maintain internal control activities over an IT system and its related infrastructure, organizations should be able to define physical and performance characteristics of the system, including descriptions of the components and the interfaces. <sup>15</sup> Further, consistent with GAO's <i>Cost Estimating and Assessment Guide</i> , a comprehensive system definition should identify customization and the environment in which the system operates. <sup>16</sup> While defining a complex IT system can be challenging, having an adequate understanding of its characteristics will better position the organization to comprehensively project and account for costs over the life of a system or program as well as identify specific technical and
	<sup>14</sup> Initial operating capability is the contract milestone in which the system is intended to meet minimum operational capabilities.
	<sup>15</sup> GAO, Standards for Internal Control in the Federal Government, GAO-14-704G (Washington, D.C.: September 2014).
	<sup>16</sup> GAO, GAO Cost Estimating and Assessment Guide: Best Practices for Developing and Managing Capital Program Costs, GAO-09-3SP (Washington, D.C.: March 2009).

program risks. Definition of VistA remains important because VA plans to continue using the system during the department's decade-long transition to the Cerner system.

VA maintains multiple documents and a database that describe parts of VistA, including various components and interfaces. However, despite these existing sources, OIT officials acknowledged that there is no comprehensive definition of the VistA system. Consequently, VA has completed a number of efforts to better define VistA and understand the environment in which it operates and additional work is planned in the future.

Specifically, VA has documented descriptions of the system, including the components that comprise it. These descriptions are documented in multiple sources: the VA Monograph, VA Systems Inventory, and VA Document Library.

- The **VA Monograph** is a document maintained by OIT that provides an overview of VistA and non-VistA applications used by VHA.<sup>17</sup> According to VHA officials, the *VA Monograph* is the primary document that describes the components of the system. The *Monograph* describes VistA in terms of modules. For modules identified, including VistA modules, information such as the associated business functions, VA Systems Inventory identification number, and a link to the VA Document Library for additional technical information are provided.
- The VA Systems Inventory is a database maintained by OIT that identifies current IT systems at the department, including systems and interfaces related to VistA.<sup>18</sup> For systems identified, the database includes information such as the system name, the system status (i.e., active, in development, or inactive), and related system interfaces.
- The VA Document Library is an online resource for accessing documentation (i.e., user guides and installation manuals) on the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup>VA, *VA Monograph* (Washington, D.C.: February 2019).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup>VA, *VA Directive 6404: Department of Veterans Affairs VA Systems Inventory (VASI)* (Washington, D.C., Feb. 23, 2016). According to VA Directive 6404, the VA Systems Inventory is the authoritative data source for VA's IT systems. OIT is responsible for the development and sustainment of the inventory.

department's nationally released software applications, including VistA.<sup>19</sup>

VA has taken additional steps to further define the system. For example, EHRM program officials recognized the need to further understand the customization of VistA components at the various medical facilities and have conducted analyses to do so. These analyses include:

- Variance analysis: As part of its VistA Evolution program,<sup>20</sup> which has focused on standardizing a core set of VistA functionality, the department implemented a process to compare the instances of VistA installed at sites to the Enterprise Standard version.<sup>21</sup> The results of this analysis allowed the department to assess the criticality of each variance, which is expected to help with VA's transition to the Cerner system.
- Module analysis: EHRM program subject matter experts undertook an analysis that involved reviewing and assessing capabilities provided by VistA modules. This analysis enabled department officials to determine whether the capability provided by a VistA module could be provided by the Cerner system, or whether another COTS solution would be required to support this function going forward.
- **Visual mapping:** EHRM program officials also directed an analysis that involved developing a notional visual mapping of VA's health care applications, components, and supporting systems within the health delivery environment. The results of this analysis provided a description of the current state of one instance of VistA and the VA health environment, which is intended to inform the department of possible opportunities for business process and IT improvements as it proceeds with the Cerner acquisition.

<sup>21</sup>The Enterprise Standard version of VistA represents the compilation of different historical releases of VistA where patches have been installed.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup>The VA Document Library includes links to documentation on VA software organized into the following categories: Clinical, Infrastructure, Financial-Administrative, HealtheVet, and Benefits.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup>In December 2013, VA initiated VistA Evolution, a joint program between OIT and VHA that focused on implementing a collection of projects to improve the efficiency and quality of veterans' health care. Specifically, it focused on modernizing the VistA system, increasing the department's data exchange and interoperability with DOD and private sector health care partners, and reducing the time it takes to deploy new health information management capabilities.

Nevertheless, even with these analyses, VA has not yet fully defined VistA, including, for example, identifying performance characteristics of the system and describing the environment in which it operates. The department's three sources that describe VistA and the additional analyses undertaken do not provide insight into site specific customizations of the system. For example, the VA Monograph does not include information on module customization at local facilities. In addition, according to OIT officials, the systems inventory does not reflect differences among the 130 different instances of VistA and does not take into consideration regional and local customizations of related components. Further, the visual mapping analysis noted that there was not full insight of the intertwined structure of data and applications or the various local customizations of VistA.

EHRM program officials stated that they have not been able to fully define VistA and understand all local customizations due to the decentralization of the development of the system and its evolution over more than 30 years. They explained that VistA's complexity is partly due to the various instances of the system, compounded by local customizations, which have resulted in differences in VistA instances operating at various facilities.

According to EHRM program documentation, Cerner's contract calls for the company to conduct comprehensive assessments to capture the current state of technical and clinical operations at specific facilities, as well as identify site-specific requirements where the Cerner system is planned to be deployed. As of June 2019, Cerner had completed site assessments for the three initial operating capability sites in the Pacific Northwest and had planned additional assessments at future deployment sites. The initial site assessments included, among other things, an assessment of the unique VistA instances and the environment in which the system operates. The continuation of planned site assessments should provide a thorough understanding of the 130 VistA versions, help the department better define VistA, and position it for transitioning from VistA to Cerner's COTS solution. VA Identified Total VistA Costs of about \$2.3 Billion between 2015 and 2017, but Could Not Sufficiently Demonstrate the Reliability of All Data and Omitted Other Costs When using public funds, an agency must employ effective management practices in order to let legislators, management, and the public know the costs of programs and whether they are achieving their goals. To make those evaluations for a program or for a system as large and complex as VistA, a complete understanding of the system and reliable cost information is required.<sup>22</sup> By following a methodology and utilizing reliable data, an agency can ensure that all costs are fully accounted for, which in turn, better informs management decisions, establishes a cost baseline, and enhances understanding of a system's performance and return on investment.<sup>23</sup>

Fundamental characteristics of reliable costs are that they should be accurate (unbiased, not overly conservative or optimistic), welldocumented (supportable with source data, clearly detailed calculations, and explanations for choosing a particular calculation method), credible (identifying any uncertainty or biases surrounding data or related assumptions), and comprehensive (costs are neither omitted nor double counted). Identification of VistA's costs remains important because VA plans to continue using the system during the department's transition to the Cerner system over the next decade.

VA identified costs for VistA and its related activities adding up to approximately \$913.7 million, \$664.3 million, and \$711.1 million in fiscal years 2015, 2016, and 2017, respectively—for a total of about \$2.3 billion over the 3 years.<sup>24</sup> However, the department could not sufficiently

<sup>22</sup>In the case of VistA, costs reflect the complexity of the system itself and the environment in which it operates, beyond a single program.

<sup>23</sup>GAO's Cost Estimating and Assessment Guide describes a methodology for compiling an exhaustive and structured accounting of all resources and all costs required to develop and sustain a particular program or, in this case, a system. Specifically, the methodology describes the importance of documenting which costs are included and how they are calculated in detail, step by step, to provide enough information so that someone unfamiliar with the program or system could easily recreate or update cost calculations. Further, the methodology should include all assumptions and explanations for why particular data sets are chosen and why these choices are reasonable to allow for the assessment of the total accounting and the reliability of the cost data.

<sup>24</sup>We previously testified in June 2018 that preliminary costs reported by VA for VistA and related activities included approximately \$1.1 billion, \$899 million, and \$946 million in fiscal years 2015, 2016, and 2017, respectively, for a total of about \$3.0 billion over 3 years to support the system (see GAO, *VA IT Modernization: Preparations for Transitioning to a New Electronic Health Record System Are Ongoing*, GAO-18-636T (Washington, D.C.: Jun. 26, 2018)). Since that time, updates were made in OIT's budget tracking tool and EHRM program officials revised the approach to estimating certain types of costs.

demonstrate the reliability of certain costs that were identified. In addition, VA identified other categories of VistA-related costs, but omitted these costs from the total.

VA Did Not Sufficiently Demonstrate the Reliability of Data for All VistA Costs	Of the \$2.3 billion total costs for VistA, VA demonstrated that only approximately \$1 billion of these costs were reliable. Specifically, OIT officials identified VistA-related costs within seven categories. The officials were able to sufficiently explain why these categories were included in the development and sustainment costs for VistA and how they were documented by the department; the officials also presented detailed source data for our examination. As a result of our review, we determined that the cost data for these seven categories were accurate, well-documented, credible, and comprehensive and, thus, sufficiently reliable. <sup>25</sup>
	Table 1 provides a summary of the program costs identified for VistA by OIT and VHA for fiscal years 2015 through 2017 that we determined to be

ed to be aete g reliable.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>25</sup>OIT program costs excluded pay and administrative costs, which are not tracked within OIT by program.

Table 1: Program Costs for the Veterans Health Information Systems and Tecl	chnology Architecture (VistA) for Fiscal Years
2015 through 2017, as Identified by the Department of Veterans Affairs, That G	GAO Determined to Be Reliable

	2015	2016	2017	Total
VistA Evolution	\$317,851,492	\$101,214,171	\$130,552,085	\$549,617,748
Interoperability	\$55,811,302	\$32,755,060	\$51,617,011	\$140,183,373
Virtual Lifetime Electronic Record Health	\$45,854,411	\$28,953,893	\$6,356,457	\$81,164,761
Veterans Health Administration (VHA) - contracts	\$45,004,395	\$81,756,446	\$76,044,882	\$202,805,723
VHA - intergovernmental personnel acts	\$0	\$928,152	\$1,454,094	\$2,382,246
VHA - memorandums of understanding	\$0	\$1,013,984	\$1,277,178	\$2,291,162
VHA - pay	\$13,647,134	\$10,556,875	\$9,864,686	\$34,068,695
Total	\$478,168,735	\$257,178,581	\$277,166,393	\$1,012,513,709

Source: GAO analysis of data provided by the Department of Veterans Affairs. | GAO-19-679T

As shown in the table, VA identified costs for the following seven categories for fiscal years 2015 through 2017:

- VistA Evolution The VistA Evolution program costs were associated with VistA strategy, system design, product development, and program management. These costs totaled approximately \$549.6 million.
- Interoperability The Interoperability program focused on sharing electronic health data between VA and non-VA facilities, including private sector providers and DOD.<sup>26</sup> For example, interoperability costs were associated with architecture, strategy, the Interagency Program Office, product development, and program management.<sup>27</sup> These VistA-related costs totaled approximately \$140.2 million.

<sup>26</sup>The Interoperability program was previously reported under the Electronic Health Record Interoperability program.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>27</sup>Provisions included in the *National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008* required VA and DOD to, among other things, jointly develop and implement fully interoperable EHR systems or capabilities and establish an Interagency Program Office to be a single point of accountability for their efforts. According to the act, the office was given the function of implementing, by September 30, 2009, EHR systems or capabilities that would allow for full interoperability of personal health care information between the departments. Pub. L. No. 110-181, § 1635, 122 Stat. 3, 460-463 (2008).

- Virtual Lifetime Electronic Record (VLER) Health This program focused on streamlining the transition of electronic medical information between VA and DOD.<sup>28</sup> These VistA-related costs were associated with product development and program management and totaled approximately \$81.2 million.
- Contracts Contract costs for VistA Evolution included VHA's obligations associated with workload management, change management, clinical requirements, and clinical interoperability. These VistA-related costs totaled approximately \$202.8 million.
- Intergovernmental personnel acts Intergovernmental personnel acts are agreements for the temporary assignment of personnel between the federal, state, and local governments; colleges and universities; Indian tribal governments; federally funded research and development centers; and other eligible organizations. These costs accounted for VHA's need to use outside experts from approved entities for limited periods of time to work on VistA Evolution assignments. The total VistA-related costs were approximately \$2.4 million.
- **Memorandums of understanding** According to VHA, memorandums of understanding are agreements used by the administration to obtain the services of personnel between VA entities for VistA-related activities. These agreements accounted for approximately \$2.3 million.
- **Pay** Costs in this category included salaries for VHA staff who worked on VistA-related projects as well as travel, training, and supply costs associated with employment. These costs totaled approximately \$34.1 million.

However, VA was not able to sufficiently demonstrate the reliability of approximately \$1.3 billion in costs related to VistA. Specifically, OIT officials identified the additional legacy VistA costs that generally fell into three categories:

 Legacy VistA: Infrastructure, hosting, and system sustainment – Legacy VistA costs are generally related to the maintenance of fully operational items, such as VistA Imaging and Fileman—two key

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>28</sup>VLER Health initially started in 2009. According to VA, this program is now referred to as the Veterans Health Information Exchange.

components related to VistA's operation.<sup>29</sup> The costs also included obligations for costs related to hosting health data in both VA and non-VA facilities.<sup>30</sup> The OIT officials and subject matter experts estimated these total costs to be approximately \$343 million during fiscal years 2015 through 2017.

However, we were not able to determine the reliability of these costs because, for example, source data were not well documented; changes in the cost information provided to us during our review indicated that the cost data may not be credible; and subject matter experts were unclear about how to separate VistA costs from non-VistA costs.

 Related software – Related software costs are associated with the software supporting, or closely integrated with, VistA that were identified by EHRM officials, yet not tracked directly for one of the VistA-related programs. Both OIT and VHA identified software licensing costs as VistA-related obligations. The EHRM program reported these costs to be approximately \$389 million in total during fiscal years 2015 through 2017.

However, we were not able to determine the reliability of the costs in this category for a variety of reasons, including that source data were not well documented. In addition, VA officials were not clear regarding how the total amounts in each category should be divided between OIT and VHA. Given this confusion, we were not able to determine if the costs were fully accurate or credible.

 OIT personnel (pay and administrative) – According to EHRM officials, OIT does not track labor costs by program. Instead, the department provided estimations of the amount of salaries paid to OIT government staff working on activities such as VistA Evolution, program management, and overall support of VistA and related applications. OIT personnel costs were estimated by the EHRM

<sup>30</sup>Co-location is when an instance of VistA is hosted in a data center with other systems and includes costs, for example, of leasing space and related utilities.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>29</sup>According to the *VistA 4 Product Roadmap*, VistA Imaging is the clinical imaging interface designed and developed by VHA to incorporate image and document data, and attach said data to the veteran's EHR. It also provides specific applications used for Telehealth. File Manager (referred to as FileMan) serves as the data base management system for VistA, providing both structure for the data in VistA's database and the interface to VistA's data.

	program office to be approximately \$544 million total during fiscal years 2015 through 2017.
	However, we were not able to determine the reliability of costs in this category because assumptions made for estimating the personnel and salary costs were not well documented and could not be verified.
VA Omitted Certain Costs from the Total Cost of VistA	In addition, VA omitted certain VistA costs from the total costs identified for fiscal years 2015, 2016, and 2017. Specifically, VA omitted the following costs:
	• Additional hosting – OIT officials stated that additional costs related to hosting health data by an outside vendor, as well as hosting backup VistA instances at each of the medical center sites, should also be included in the total costs for VistA; however, VA omitted these costs from the total for fiscal years 2015 through 2017. Specifically, according to the officials, calculating costs for these hosting activities requires subject matter experts to identify equipment, space, utilities, and maintenance costs for resources allocated specifically for VistA. However, the department has not yet developed a methodology to calculate the costs. The officials said they were working on identifying a reliable approach for calculating these costs in the future.
	• <b>Data standardization and testing</b> – OIT officials stated that additional costs related to work on clinical terminology mapping and functional testing were not included in the total costs for VistA for fiscal years 2015 through 2017. This work related to mapping existing clinical data to national standards and making updates to VistA or the Joint Legacy Viewer and included mapping data and building test scripts and reports. <sup>31</sup> OIT officials noted that this work had been critical to the VistA Evolution program, but they did not provide actual cost data in this category.
	The lack of sufficiently reliable and comprehensive costs indicates that the department is not positioned to accurately report the annual costs to develop and sustain VistA. This is due in part to VA not following a well-
	<sup>31</sup> The Joint Legacy Viewer is a web-based graphical user interface, first released in 2013, that was developed jointly by VA and DOD. This tool provides a near real-time, integrated, and chronological view of EHR information contained in VistA and existing DOD systems, as well as data from some third-party providers. The Joint Legacy Viewer allows VA

	documented methodology that describes how the department determined the total costs for the system. In lieu of a methodology, OIT officials said that leadership and staff from the program took efforts to identify and track the cost components and contracts associated with the system. However, they noted that costs associated with VistA were not all clearly labeled as VistA in an IT system and it was necessary to estimate other costs. The officials were also unable to verify how VistA-related costs were separated from other department costs in all areas and subject matter experts were not consistently familiar with the estimation methods employed and how VistA was defined for the purposes of calculating costs. Further, VA officials noted that they were still working on the best approach to identifying and calculating omitted costs.
	Without documenting the methodology for what costs are to be included and how they were identified and calculated, VA's total does not accurately reflect the development and sustainment costs for VistA. As a result, the department, legislators, and the public do not have the comprehensive, reliable information needed to understand how much it actually cost to develop and maintain the system. Further, VA does not have the reliable information needed to make critical management decisions for sustaining the many versions of VistA over the next 10 years until the Cerner system is fully deployed.
Implementation of GAO's Recommendation Could Help Ensure VA Reliably Reports VistA Costs	In our report, we are making a recommendation for VA to improve its reporting of VistA's costs. Specifically, we are recommending that the department develop and implement a methodology for reliably identifying and reporting the total costs of VistA. The methodology should include steps to identify the definition of VistA and what is to be included in its sustainment activities, as well as ensure that comprehensive costs are corroborated by reliable data. In written comments on a draft of the report, the department agreed with the recommendation and stated that it will provide the actions it plans to take to address this recommendation within 180 days.
	In conclusion, although VA is not likely to be positioned to retire VistA for at least another 10 years, the department lacks the comprehensive and reliable cost information needed to make critical management decisions for sustaining the system. As the department continues to work toward acquiring a new electronic health record, it will be important for VA to take actions to address our recommendation for improving the reporting of VistA costs. Doing so is essential to helping ensure that decisions related to the current system are informed by reliable cost information and that

	there is an accurate basis for reporting on the return on its investment for replacing VistA.
	Chair Lee, Ranking Member Banks, and Members of the Subcommittee, this completes my prepared statement. I would be pleased to respond to any questions that you may have.
GAO Contact and Staff Acknowledgments	If you or your staffs have any questions about this testimony, please contact Carol C. Harris, Director, Information Technology Management Issues, at (202) 512-4456 or harriscc@gao.gov. Contact points for our Offices of Congressional Relations and Public Affairs may be found on the last page of this testimony statement. GAO staff who made key contributions to this testimony are Mark Bird (Assistant Director), Rebecca Eyler, Jacqueline Mai, Monica Perez-Nelson, Scott Pettis, Jennifer Stavros-Turner (Analyst in Charge), and Charles Youman.

This is a work of the U.S. government and is not subject to copyright protection in the United States. The published product may be reproduced and distributed in its entirety without further permission from GAO. However, because this work may contain copyrighted images or other material, permission from the copyright holder may be necessary if you wish to reproduce this material separately.

GAO's Mission	The Government Accountability Office, the audit, evaluation, and investigative arm of Congress, exists to support Congress in meeting its constitutional responsibilities and to help improve the performance and accountability of the federal government for the American people. GAO examines the use of public funds; evaluates federal programs and policies; and provides analyses, recommendations, and other assistance to help Congress make informed oversight, policy, and funding decisions. GAO's commitment to good government is reflected in its core values of accountability, integrity, and reliability.
Obtaining Copies of GAO Reports and Testimony	The fastest and easiest way to obtain copies of GAO documents at no cost is through GAO's website (https://www.gao.gov). Each weekday afternoon, GAO posts on its website newly released reports, testimony, and correspondence. To have GAO e-mail you a list of newly posted products, go to https://www.gao.gov and select "E-mail Updates."
Order by Phone	The price of each GAO publication reflects GAO's actual cost of production and distribution and depends on the number of pages in the publication and whether the publication is printed in color or black and white. Pricing and ordering information is posted on GAO's website, https://www.gao.gov/ordering.htm.
	Place orders by calling (202) 512-6000, toll free (866) 801-7077, or TDD (202) 512-2537.
	Orders may be paid for using American Express, Discover Card, MasterCard, Visa, check, or money order. Call for additional information.
Connect with GAO	Connect with GAO on Facebook, Flickr, Twitter, and YouTube. Subscribe to our RSS Feeds or E-mail Updates. Listen to our Podcasts. Visit GAO on the web at https://www.gao.gov.
To Report Fraud.	Contact FraudNet:
Waste, and Abuse in	Website: https://www.gao.gov/fraudnet/fraudnet.htm
Federal Programs	Automated answering system: (800) 424-5454 or (202) 512-7700
Congressional Relations	Orice Williams Brown, Managing Director, WilliamsO@gao.gov, (202) 512-4400, U.S. Government Accountability Office, 441 G Street NW, Room 7125, Washington, DC 20548
Public Affairs	Chuck Young, Managing Director, youngc1@gao.gov, (202) 512-4800 U.S. Government Accountability Office, 441 G Street NW, Room 7149 Washington, DC 20548
Strategic Planning and External Liaison	James-Christian Blockwood, Managing Director, spel@gao.gov, (202) 512-4707 U.S. Government Accountability Office, 441 G Street NW, Room 7814, Washington, DC 20548