MEDICAID MANAGED CARE

CMS Should Improve Oversight of Access and Quality in States’ Long-Term Services and Supports Programs

Why GAO Did This Study

Twenty-two states use MLTSS programs to provide care for Medicaid beneficiaries who need long-term support. Using managed care to deliver long-term services and supports can be a strategy for states to expand home- and community-based care, which many beneficiaries prefer, and to lower costs. However, given the potential vulnerability and needs of beneficiaries in these programs, oversight is crucial to ensure their access to quality care.

GAO was asked to review states’ implementation and CMS’s oversight of MLTSS programs. In this report, GAO (1) described how selected states monitored MLTSS access and quality, and (2) examined the extent to which CMS oversees MLTSS access and quality in selected states.

What GAO Found

In Medicaid, long-term services and supports are designed to promote the ability of beneficiaries with physical, cognitive, or mental disabilities or conditions to live or work in the setting of their choice, which can be in home or community settings, or in an institution such as a nursing facility. States are increasingly delivering such services through managed care, known as managed long-term services and supports (MLTSS). In MLTSS, as with most Medicaid managed care programs, states contract with managed care organizations (MCO) to provide a specific set of covered services to beneficiaries in return for one fixed periodic payment per beneficiary. In addition, beneficiaries have the right to appeal an MCO decision to reduce, terminate, or deny their benefits, or file a grievance with an MCO regarding concerns about their care.

The six states GAO reviewed—Arizona, Delaware, Kansas, Minnesota, Tennessee, and Texas—used a range of methods for monitoring access and quality in MLTSS programs. To oversee beneficiaries’ care, GAO found that states used—to varying levels—external quality reviews, beneficiary surveys, stakeholder meetings, and beneficiary appeals and grievances data. For example, while all six states used external quality reviews and beneficiary surveys, GAO found that states varied in the extent to which—and how—they used appeals and grievances data to monitor beneficiaries’ concerns about quality and access in their MLTSS programs.

The Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)—the federal agency responsible for overseeing Medicaid—did not always require the six selected states to report the information needed to monitor access and quality in MLTSS programs. To oversee beneficiaries’ care, GAO found that states used—to varying levels—external quality reviews, beneficiary surveys, stakeholder meetings, and beneficiary appeals and grievances data. For example, while all six states used external quality reviews and beneficiary surveys, GAO found that states varied in the extent to which—and how—they used appeals and grievances data to monitor beneficiaries’ concerns about quality and access in their MLTSS programs.

GAO reviewed federal regulations, guidance, and internal control standards. For six states selected for variation in location, program size and duration, and other factors, GAO reviewed reporting requirements, reports to CMS, and other documents. GAO also reviewed data from these states on beneficiary appeals and grievances from 2013 through 2015—the most recent data available—and interviewed state and CMS officials.

What GAO Recommends

GAO recommends that CMS take steps to identify and obtain information to oversee key aspects of MLTSS access and quality, including network adequacy, critical incidents, and appeals and grievances. HHS concurred with GAO’s recommendation.
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