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Patent Office Should Strengthen Search Capabilities and Better Monitor Examiners’ Work

What GAO Found

Experts and U.S. Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO) examiners described a variety of challenges in identifying information relevant to a claimed invention—or “prior art”—that can affect examiners’ ability to complete a thorough prior art search in the time allotted and their confidence in their search efforts. These challenges include, among others, the quantity and availability of prior art, the clarity of patent applications, and USPTO’s policies and search tools.

Selected Challenges Experts and Examiners Cited in Identifying Relevant Prior Art

- Quantity of prior art
- Availability of prior art
- Clarity of applications
- Time pressure on examiners
- Prior art search tools
- Examiners’ technical competence

The European Patent Office and Japan Patent Office face similar challenges to USPTO in identifying prior art and use various approaches to help address them, such as leveraging work of other patent offices on related patent applications and integrating nonpatent literature into their search tools. In some cases, these approaches are coordinated with, similar to, or could inform USPTO actions.

USPTO has taken actions to address challenges in identifying prior art, but some actions have limitations. For example, USPTO is in the process of upgrading its search tools. However, examiners will still need to access a variety of external sources to meet USPTO’s requirement to consider nonpatent literature. Federal internal control standards call for controls to evolve to remain effective and USPTO officials noted that the new search system can be expanded to include more nonpatent literature as the European and Japan patent offices have done. However, USPTO does not have a documented strategy for identifying additional sources. Without such a strategy, USPTO cannot be assured that its information technology investment will improve examiners’ searches. USPTO is also taking steps to augment the number of, and consistency with which, reviews of examiners’ work are conducted and documented, which could improve USPTO’s monitoring of examiners’ work. However, USPTO still faces limitations in assessing the thoroughness of examiners’ prior art searches, because, for example, the agency has not established goals or indicators for search quality and may not be collecting sufficient information on examiners’ searches to assess prior art search quality. Without monitoring examiners’ prior art searches, the agency cannot be assured that examiners are searching all relevant sources of prior art and may not be able to develop appropriate responses as called for by federal internal control standards.
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