ELECTRONIC HEALTH RECORDS

Outcome-Oriented Metrics and Goals Needed to Gauge DOD’s and VA’s Progress in Achieving Interoperability

Why GAO Did This Study
DOD and VA operate two of the nation’s largest health care systems, serving approximately 16 million veterans and active duty service members and their beneficiaries, at a cost of more than $100 billion a year. For almost two decades, the departments have been engaged in various efforts to advance DOD and VA electronic health record interoperability. Among their most recent efforts, the DOD and VA Secretaries have committed the departments to achieving interoperability between their separate electronic health record systems.

The Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2014, and accompanying Joint Explanatory Statement, included a provision for GAO to review the departments’ efforts. GAO evaluated the actions taken by DOD, VA, and the IPO to plan for and measure the progress toward achieving interoperability between the departments’ electronic health record systems. GAO reviewed relevant program documents and interviewed agency officials.

What GAO Found
The Departments of Defense (DOD) and Veterans Affairs (VA), with guidance from the Interagency Program Office (IPO) that is tasked with facilitating the departments’ efforts to share health information, have taken actions to increase interoperability between their electronic health record systems. Among other things, DOD and VA have initiated work focused on near-term objectives, including standardizing their existing health data and making them viewable by both departments’ clinicians in an integrated format. The departments also have developed longer-term plans to modernize their respective electronic health record systems. For its part, the IPO has issued guidance outlining the technical approach for achieving interoperability between the departments’ systems.

Even with the actions taken, DOD and VA did not, by the October 1, 2014, deadline established in the National Defense Authorization Act (NDAA) for Fiscal Year 2014 for compliance with national data standards, certify that all health care data in their systems complied with national standards and were computable in real time. Both departments stated that they intend to do so later in calendar year 2015. Further, the departments’ system modernization plans identify a number of key activities to be implemented beyond December 31, 2016—the deadline established in the NDAA for the two departments to deploy modernized electronic health record software to support clinicians while ensuring full standards-based interoperability. Specifically, DOD has issued plans and announced the contract award for acquiring a modernized system to include interoperability capabilities across military operations. In addition, VA has issued plans describing an incremental approach to modernizing its existing electronic health records system. These plans—if implemented as currently described—indicate that deployment of the new systems with interoperability capabilities will not be completed across the departments until after 2018.

The IPO has taken steps to develop process metrics intended to monitor progress related to the data standardization and exchange of health information consistent with its responsibilities. For example, it has issued guidance that calls for tracking metrics, such as the percentage of data domains within the departments’ current health information systems that are mapped to national standards. However, the office has not yet specified outcome-oriented metrics and established related goals that are important to gauging the impact that interoperability capabilities have on improving health care services for shared patients. IPO officials said this work is ongoing and that a team is working with DOD, VA, and subject matter experts to identify metrics that would provide more meaningful measures of the impact of increased interoperability. However, the IPO has not identified a time frame for when this team will report its results and when the IPO plans to incorporate these metrics and goals into its guidance. Without ensuring that outcome-oriented metrics and related goals are defined and incorporated into the current approach, the departments and the IPO will not be positioned to assess and report on the status of interoperability-related activities and determine areas that need improvement.