Why GAO Did This Study

DBA requires U.S. government contractors to buy workers' compensation insurance for most employees working overseas. The cost of this insurance, if allowable under federal regulations, is generally reimbursable under government contracts. From 1992 until 2012, State had a contract with a single insurer to supply all State's contractors working overseas with DBA insurance. In July 2012, State's single insurer program ended after State unsuccessfully sought to solicit a new DBA single insurer agreement and State transitioned to a system requiring its contractors to obtain DBA insurance on the open market. However, concerns were raised about the transition and its impact on State's costs and on small businesses' competitiveness. To address these objectives, GAO was asked to review State's transition.

This report assesses (1) State's management of the transition to an open market system, (2) the change's effect on contractors' premium rates, and (3) the change's effect on small businesses. GAO analyzed State documents; reviewed federal and State contracting regulations; analyzed premium rate data and federal contracting data; and interviewed officials from State, the insurance industry, and contracting firms.

What GAO Recommends

State should (1) determine whether an open market system best suits its needs, (2) incorporate leading practices into any future single insurer solicitation, and (3) assess the effects of its transition on small businesses. State concurred with GAO's recommendations.

View GAO-15-194. For more information, contact Michael Courts at (202) 512-8980 or courtsm@gao.gov.

What GAO Found

The Department of State (State) did not follow leading acquisition practices in transitioning from a single insurer Defense Base Act (DBA) program to an open market system. Leading practices emphasize adequately documenting market research, allowing enough time to complete a solicitation, and collecting and analyzing data to select among alternatives, but State took limited measures to document the market research it performed and had little time to complete its 2012 solicitation. State included provisions in the solicitation to which insurers strongly objected, received no offers, and had to cancel the solicitation 3 days before its existing single insurer contract was to expire. As a result, State had to quickly transition to an open market system without weighing the relative costs and benefits to determine which insurance system best served its needs. Until State conducts such an evaluation, it cannot be assured that the open market system is the better alternative, and unless State incorporates leading practices into any future single insurer solicitations, it risks a similar outcome.

Timeline of the Department of State's Transition to an Open Market System for Defense Base Act Insurance
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GAO found that State contractors' DBA premiums increased following the transition, but the increases were in a range similar to those likely to have occurred if State had continued its single insurer program. For example, median DBA premium rates increased by $1.98 per $100 of payroll. GAO analysis also shows that the increase in DBA premium rates after the transition was in a range comparable to the increase in DBA premium rates requested by State's single DBA insurer, which said it had lost money under the prior contract.

Existing data do not show a clear effect on small businesses resulting from State’s transition to an open market system, but insurers and contractors have expressed concern that the change has had or could have an adverse effect. GAO analysis of federal procurement data from fiscal years 2009 through 2013 found a decrease in the percentage of contracts awarded to small businesses, but GAO could not link this to State’s transition. Information GAO gathered from insurance industry officials and contractors shows that there is a potential for adverse effects, for example, denial of coverage and higher effective premium rates. State's policy is to maximize opportunities for small businesses, but it has not assessed whether its transition to an open market DBA system is affecting those opportunities. Without such an assessment, State cannot be assured that it is meeting its policy goal of maximizing opportunities for small businesses.