CHEMICAL SAFETY

Actions Needed to Improve Federal Oversight of Facilities with Ammonium Nitrate
In April 2013, about 30 tons of ammonium nitrate fertilizer detonated during a fire at a facility in West, Texas, killing at least 14 people and damaging nearby schools, homes, and a nursing home. This incident raised concerns about the risks posed by similar facilities across the country. OSHA and EPA play a central role in protecting workers and communities from chemical accidents, and DHS administers a chemical facility security program. GAO was asked to examine oversight of ammonium nitrate facilities in the United States and other countries. This report addresses (1) how many facilities have ammonium nitrate in the United States, (2) how OSHA and EPA regulate and oversee facilities that have ammonium nitrate, and (3) what approaches selected other countries have adopted for regulating and overseeing facilities with ammonium nitrate. GAO analyzed available federal data and data from selected states with high use of ammonium nitrate; reviewed federal laws and regulations; and interviewed government officials, chemical safety experts, and industry representatives in the United States and selected countries.

What GAO Found

Federal data provide insight into the number of facilities in the United States with ammonium nitrate but do not provide a complete picture because of reporting exemptions and other data limitations. The Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) and the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) do not require facilities to report their ammonium nitrate holdings. The Department of Homeland Security (DHS) requires facilities with certain quantities of ammonium nitrate to report their holdings for security purposes. While the total number of facilities in the United States with ammonium nitrate is unknown, as of August 2013, at least 1,300 facilities in 47 states reported to DHS that they had reportable quantities of ammonium nitrate. Federal law also requires certain facilities to report their ammonium nitrate holdings to state and local authorities for emergency planning purposes, but these data are not routinely shared with federal agencies. According to EPA, states are not required to report these data to federal agencies, and each state determines how to share its data. As part of an Executive Order on Improving Chemical Facility Safety and Security issued in August 2013, federal agencies are exploring options for improving data sharing, but this work is not yet complete.

OSHA and EPA provide limited oversight of facilities that have ammonium nitrate. OSHA’s regulations include provisions for the storage of ammonium nitrate, but the agency has done little outreach to increase awareness of these regulations within the fertilizer industry, a primary user. In addition, the regulations have not been significantly revised since 1971 and allow storage of ammonium nitrate in wooden buildings, which could increase the risk of fire and explosion. Other OSHA and EPA chemical safety regulations—which require facilities to complete hazard assessments, use procedures to prevent and respond to accidents, and conduct routine compliance audits—do not apply to ammonium nitrate. Furthermore, although OSHA targets worksites in certain industries for inspection, its inspection programs do not target facilities with ammonium nitrate and, according to OSHA officials, information on these facilities is not available to them for targeting the facilities. International chemical safety guidance suggests authorities should provide facilities information on how regulatory requirements can be met and periodically inspect them.

What GAO Recommends

GAO is recommending that federal agencies improve data sharing, OSHA and EPA consider revising their related regulations to cover ammonium nitrate, and OSHA conduct outreach to the fertilizer industry and target high risk facilities for inspection. DHS, EPA, and OSHA agreed with GAO’s recommendations and suggested technical changes, which GAO incorporated as appropriate.
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On April 17, 2013, about 30 tons of ammonium nitrate fertilizer detonated during a fire at a fertilizer storage and distribution facility in West, Texas, killing at least 14 people and injuring more than 200 others. The explosion severely damaged or destroyed nearly 200 homes, three nearby schools, a nursing home, and an apartment complex.\(^1\) While ammonium nitrate is widely used in agriculture, mining, and other industries, the Texas tragedy underscores the need for great care in its storage and handling. Today, significant quantities of ammonium nitrate fertilizer are stored in facilities across the United States. In 2012, use of ammonium nitrate fertilizer in

\(^1\) Hearing on Oversight of Federal Risk Management and Emergency Programs to Prevent and Address Chemical Threats, Including the Events Leading up to the Explosions in West, Texas and Geismar, Louisiana, Before the Senate Comm. on Environment and Public Works, 113th Cong. 1st Sess., June 27, 2013 (statement of Rafael Moure-Eraso, Chairman, Chemical Safety and Hazard Investigation Board (Chemical Safety Board). The Chemical Safety Board is an independent federal safety board charged with investigating chemical accidents.
In 2010, U.S. companies reported producing about 7.5 million tons of ammonium nitrate. The total number and location of facilities in the United States in which ammonium nitrate is stored, however, is not known.

In response to the explosion in West, Texas, President Obama issued an Executive Order on August 1, 2013 designed to improve the safety and security of chemical facilities and reduce the risks that hazardous chemicals pose to workers and communities. The order, which includes a focus on ammonium nitrate, established a federal working group to improve federal coordination with state and local partners; enhance federal agency coordination and information sharing; modernize policies, regulations, and standards; and work with stakeholders to identify best practices.

Several federal agencies are involved in regulating facilities with hazardous chemicals, but the Department of Labor’s Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) and the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) play central roles in protecting workers and communities from chemical accidents at facilities. In addition, the Department of Homeland Security (DHS) administers a chemical facility security program, the Chemical Facility Anti-Terrorism Standards (CFATS) program, which requires certain chemical facilities to report information to DHS and, in some instances, take additional steps to secure their facilities.

You asked us to examine federal oversight of facilities with ammonium nitrate in the United States and approaches used by other countries. For this review we addressed the following questions: (1) How many facilities in the United States have ammonium nitrate? (2) How do OSHA and EPA regulate and oversee facilities that have ammonium nitrate?

---

2 Association of American Plant Food Control Officials and The Fertilizer Institute, Commercial Fertilizers 2012, Columbia, Missouri. This publication reports fertilizer consumption data submitted by state fertilizer control offices. The consumption data include total sales or shipments of fertilizer for farm and non-farm use by state.

3 U.S. Census Bureau, Current Industrial Reports, Fertilizers and Related Chemicals – 2010, MQ325B(10)-5, June 2011.


5 In this report, we use the term facility to mean any fixed site where hazardous chemicals are present, which can include chemical manufacturers, distributors, and farm supply retailers. The term facility may be defined differently for regulatory purposes.
nitrate? (3) What approaches have selected other countries adopted for regulating and overseeing facilities with ammonium nitrate?

To answer question 1, we analyzed data from DHS’s CFATS program and other sources on the number and types of facilities that reported having ammonium nitrate as of August 2013 and documented the limitations of the data. To assess the reliability of the CFATS data, we reviewed agency documentation, interviewed DHS officials, and performed electronic testing of required data elements. We also requested state data on facilities that reported having ammonium nitrate from four states with high ammonium nitrate fertilizer consumption—Alabama, Missouri, Tennessee, and Texas—and received data from Texas and Alabama. We compared data collected by DHS to other data sources, including chemical inventory data from Alabama and Texas, which were identified as leading users of ammonium nitrate fertilizer, and trade data collected by DHS’s Customs and Border Protection agency on U.S. imports and exports of ammonium nitrate. Our primary purpose in comparing CFATS data with data from other sources was to determine whether the CFATS data represent a complete count of facilities with ammonium nitrate. We determined that the CFATS data were sufficiently reliable for purposes of providing the number and type of facilities that reported having ammonium nitrate at levels that met thresholds for reporting under CFATS. As we discuss later in this report, certain

6 DHS requires facilities to report if they possess certain chemicals at or above its screening threshold quantities. This may include facilities that manufacture, process, use, store, or distribute these chemicals.

7 These four states accounted for about 55 percent of U.S. ammonium nitrate fertilizer consumption in 2012. Alabama represents about 10 percent, Missouri represents about 19 percent, Tennessee represents about 18 percent, and Texas represents about 8 percent. Source: Commercial Fertilizers 2012 report published by the Association of American Plant Food Control Officials and The Fertilizer Institute.

8 These data are collected pursuant to the Emergency Planning and Community Right-to-Know Act of 1986 (EPCRA). State responses to our data requests appeared, in part, to reflect differing interpretations of EPCRA. Officials from Missouri and Tennessee said that they would provide data only in response to a written request about specific facilities, citing an EPCRA provision on availability of data to the public. Because a complete list of facilities with ammonium nitrate was not readily available, we were unable to ask for data by facility.

9 DHS’s Customs and Border Protection agency collects real time data on shipments of products to and from the United States as part of its efforts to facilitate international trade and protect national security.
limitations of the data did not allow us to determine whether all facilities that should have reported to DHS actually did so.

For question 2, we reviewed relevant federal laws and regulations, focusing on OSHA’s and EPA’s regulations, including the types of facilities covered by the regulations.\textsuperscript{10} We also interviewed federal agency officials regarding their oversight practices.

To describe the approaches selected other countries have adopted for regulating and overseeing facilities with ammonium nitrate, we reviewed approaches used by selected member countries of the European Union (EU) and the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD): Canada, France, Germany, and the United Kingdom. To select these four countries, we considered the extent to which the countries use ammonium nitrate fertilizer, the results of our literature search, and recommendations from our interviews with chemical safety experts. There are key differences between the United States and these other countries, including the size of the country, the size of the agriculture industry, and the amount of ammonium nitrate used. We interviewed government officials from the EU and the countries selected and reviewed documents provided by the officials. We did not conduct an independent legal analysis to verify the information provided about the laws, regulations, or policies of the foreign countries selected for this study. We also interviewed U.S. and international fertilizer industry associations, chemical safety experts, and federal officials to obtain their views on U.S. chemical safety regulations and oversight, and the practices of the selected countries.

We conducted this performance audit from June 2013 to May 2014 in accordance with generally accepted government auditing standards. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain sufficient, appropriate evidence to provide a reasonable basis for our findings and conclusions based on our audit objectives. We believe that the evidence obtained provides a reasonable basis for our findings and conclusions based on our audit objectives.

\textsuperscript{10} In this review, we sought to identify federal regulations that apply to ammonium nitrate used as a fertilizer. Although the regulations we identified may also apply to ammonium nitrate used for other purposes (for example, as a blasting agent), additional federal regulations may apply in these contexts that are not discussed in this report.
Ammonium nitrate products are manufactured and sold in various forms, depending upon their use. For example, ammonium nitrate fertilizer may be produced and sold in liquid form or as solid granules. According to The Fertilizer Institute, solid ammonium nitrate fertilizer is used heavily by farmers in Alabama, Missouri, Tennessee, and Texas primarily on pastureland, hay, fruit, and vegetable crops. In addition to its agricultural benefits, ammonium nitrate can be mixed with fuel oil or other additives and used by the mining and construction industries as an explosive for blasting.

While ammonium nitrate can increase agricultural productivity, use of this chemical poses a safety and health risk because it can intensify a fire and, under certain circumstances, explode. Ammonium nitrate by itself does not burn, but it increases the risk of fire if it comes in contact with combustible materials. Ammonium nitrate that is stored in a confined space and reaches high temperatures can explode. An explosion is more likely to occur if ammonium nitrate is contaminated by certain materials, such as fuel oil, or if it is stored in large stacks.

Because of ammonium nitrate’s potential to facilitate an explosion, facilities storing ammonium nitrate may pose a security threat in part because it can be used to make weapons. Ammonium nitrate fertilizer

---

11 According to the Chemical Safety Board, a granular solid form of ammonium nitrate was stored at the West, Texas facility. *Senate Hearing on Chemical Threats (June 27, 2013)* (statement of CSB Chairman Rafael Moure-Eraso). Fertilizer sales data published by the Department of Agriculture suggest that solid ammonium nitrate fertilizer represents about 3 percent of all types of fertilizer sold in the United States and that ammonium nitrate fertilizer sales have generally declined in recent years.

12 The Fertilizer Institute is a national organization representing producers, importers, retailers, and others involved in the fertilizer industry.

13 Products containing ammonium nitrate can vary in their composition and chemical properties, depending on the purpose for which they will be used, such as a fertilizer or as an explosive. Different types of ammonium nitrate may be subject to different regulatory requirements, as discussed later in this report.

14 Information about the hazards of ammonium nitrate can be found in the International Chemical Safety Card for Ammonium Nitrate published by the National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health, which is part of the Department of Health and Human Services’ Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.
has been used by domestic and international terrorists to make explosive devices.\textsuperscript{15} For example, on April 19, 1995, ammonium nitrate fertilizer—mixed with fuel oil—was used by a domestic terrorist to blow up a federal building in Oklahoma City, Oklahoma. The explosion killed 168 people and injured hundreds more.

Ammonium nitrate has been involved in several major chemical accidents over the past century, including explosions in the United States and Europe. In addition to killing at least 14 people and injuring more than 200 others, the explosion in West, Texas severely damaged or destroyed nearly 200 homes; an apartment complex; and three schools that were, at the time, unoccupied (see fig. 1).\textsuperscript{16} Prior to that incident, an explosion in 1994 involving ammonium nitrate at a factory in Port Neal, Iowa killed four workers and injured 18 people. In 1947, explosions aboard two ships holding thousands of tons of ammonium nitrate fertilizer killed more than 500 people, injured approximately 3,500, and devastated large areas of industrial and residential buildings in Texas City, Texas. In Europe, accidents involving ammonium nitrate have occurred in Germany, Belgium, and France. A 1921 accident in Germany and one in Belgium in 1942 caused hundreds of deaths after explosives were used to break up piles of hundreds of tons of ammonium nitrate, resulting in large scale detonations. In France, a ship carrying more than 3,000 tons of ammonium nitrate exploded in 1947, a few months after the Texas City disaster, after pressurized steam was injected into the storage area in an attempt to put out a fire. In 2001, an explosion at a fertilizer plant in Toulouse, France involving between 22 and 132 tons of ammonium nitrate resulted in 30 deaths, thousands of injuries requiring hospitalization, and widespread property damage. Past accidents also indicate that smaller quantities of ammonium nitrate can cause substantial damage. For example, in 2003, an explosion of less than 6 tons of ammonium nitrate in a barn in rural France injured 23 people and caused significant property damage.


\textsuperscript{16} \textit{Senate Hearing on Chemical Threats} (June 27, 2013) (statement of CSB Chairman Rafael Moure-Eraso).
Figure 1: Photographs of Damage from the Explosion in West, Texas in April 2013

Source: Chemical Safety Board.
OSHA and EPA play key roles in protecting the public from the effects of chemical accidents, with EPA focusing on the environment and public health and OSHA focusing on worker safety and health. Under the Occupational Safety and Health Act of 1970 (OSH Act), OSHA is the federal agency responsible for setting and enforcing regulations to protect workers from hazards in the workplace, including exposure to hazardous chemicals. In addition, the Clean Air Act Amendments of 1990 designated roles for both OSHA and EPA with respect to preventing chemical accidents and preparing for the consequences of chemical accidents. In response to requirements in this act, OSHA issued Process Safety Management (PSM) regulations in 1992 to protect workers engaged in processes that involve certain highly hazardous chemicals, and EPA issued Risk Management Program (RMP) regulations in 1996 to require facilities handling particular chemicals to plan how to prevent and address chemical accidents. The PSM and RMP regulations each apply to processes involving a specified list of chemicals above threshold quantities, and require covered facilities to take certain steps to prevent and prepare for chemical accidents. However, neither OSHA’s PSM regulations nor EPA’s RMP regulations cover ammonium nitrate.

The Emergency Planning and Community Right-to-Know Act of 1986 (EPCRA) establishes authorities for emergency planning and preparedness and emergency release notification reporting, among other things. Under section 312 of EPCRA and EPA regulations, facilities with certain hazardous chemicals in amounts at or above threshold levels—including ammonium nitrate in some circumstances—are required to annually submit chemical inventory forms to state and local authorities to...
help emergency response officials prepare for and respond to chemical incidents.  

For purposes of enhancing chemical facility security, the Department of Homeland Security (DHS) Chemical Facility Anti-Terrorism Standards (CFATS) program requires facilities possessing certain chemicals at or above threshold quantities—including some types of ammonium nitrate—to submit reports to DHS with information about the facility and the regulated chemicals present on site. Among other things, DHS collects information on the quantities of certain hazardous chemicals held at facilities, the location of the facilities, and their industry codes. DHS set different threshold quantities for reporting based on the type of ammonium nitrate and the type of security risk presented (see table 1).

21 42 U.S.C. § 11022. EPA’s regulations implementing sections 311 and 312 of EPCRA, pertaining to hazardous chemical reporting, are found at 40 C.F.R. pt. 370. As discussed later in this report, according to an August 2013 chemical advisory issued by the Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives (ATF), EPA, and OSHA, ammonium nitrate is considered a hazardous chemical subject to the EPCRA reporting provisions. However, EPCRA exempts any substance “to the extent it is used in routine agricultural operations or is a fertilizer held for sale by a retailer to the ultimate customer.” 42 U.S.C. § 11021(e)(5). According to the advisory, this exemption applies only to ammonium nitrate retailers, not to manufacturers or wholesalers; any ammonium nitrate that is mixed or formulated with other chemicals by facilities is not covered by the exemption.


23 North American Industry Classification System (NAICS) industry codes are used to classify the industry that best describes the facilities that report to DHS.
Table 1: Thresholds for Reporting Ammonium Nitrate under the CFATS Program

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Ammonium Nitrate</th>
<th>Reporting Threshold</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ammonium nitrate with more than 0.2 percent combustible substances, including any organic substance calculated as carbon, to the exclusion of any other added substance&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>400 pounds or more for a theft risk (if in transportation packaging) &lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt; and 5,000 pounds or more for a release risk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solid ammonium nitrate with a nitrogen concentration of 23 percent or greater, and, if in a mixture, a minimum ammonium nitrate concentration of 33 percent or greater &lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2,000 pounds or more for a theft risk (if in transportation packaging)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: CFATS regulations, 6 C.F.R. pt. 27 and app. A.

<sup>a</sup> According to DHS, this type of ammonium nitrate is more commonly used as an explosive and is regulated by the Department of Transportation as a Division 1.1 explosive. Division 1.1 consists of explosives that have a mass explosion hazard. A mass explosion is one which affects almost the entire load instantaneously. 49 C.F.R. § 173.50(b)(1).

<sup>b</sup> According to DHS, this type of ammonium nitrate is more commonly used by the agricultural community as a fertilizer; however, it may be compounded with other ingredients to create an explosive.

<sup>c</sup> DHS’s CFATS regulations provide that in calculating whether a facility possesses a threshold amount of a chemical that poses a theft or diversion risk, the facility shall only include those chemicals that are in transportation packaging as defined by Department of Transportation regulations. 6 C.F.R. § 27.203(c).

Not all facilities with ammonium nitrate, however, are required to file CFATS reports with DHS. First, facilities are only required to report if they are holding amounts equal to or greater than threshold quantities of specific types of ammonium nitrate. Also, DHS does not require certain agricultural producers to report their chemical holdings to DHS. In addition, DHS’s reporting threshold for ammonium nitrate fertilizer only applies to quantities held in transportable containers such as cylinders, bulk bags, bottles (inside or outside of boxes), cargo tanks, and tank cars. Finally, there are several statutory exemptions to CFATS.

---

<sup>24</sup> Pursuant to its authority under 6 C.F.R. § 27.210(c), DHS has extended the deadline for submitting CFATS reports until further notice for certain agricultural production facilities, such as farms, ranches, turfgrass growers, golf courses, nurseries, and public and private parks. See Notice to Agricultural Facilities About Requirement To Complete DHS’ Chemical Security Assessment Tool, 73 Fed. Reg. 1640 (Jan. 9, 2008).

<sup>25</sup> DHS’s CFATS regulations provide that in calculating whether a facility possesses a threshold amount of a chemical that poses a theft or diversion risk, the facility shall only include those chemicals that are in transportation packaging as defined by Department of Transportation regulations. 6 C.F.R. § 27.203(c). DHS considers ammonium nitrate fertilizer a chemical of interest because it can be stolen or otherwise diverted to make explosives.
requirements. Specifically, CFATS does not apply to public water systems or treatment works, any facility that is owned or operated by the Department of Defense or the Department of Energy, facilities regulated by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission, or facilities covered by the Maritime Transportation Security Act of 2002 administered by the Coast Guard.26

Other federal agencies regulate different aspects of the use of hazardous chemicals. For example, the Department of Transportation regulates the transport of hazardous materials, the Coast Guard inspects containers of hazardous materials at ports and waterways, and the Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives (ATF) in the Department of Justice regulates the manufacture, distribution, and storage of explosive materials, including blasting agents and other explosive materials containing ammonium nitrate.27

**State and Local Government Responsibilities for Promoting Chemical Safety**

State and local government agencies are also involved in regulating hazardous chemical facilities under federal laws and their own state or local laws. Federal laws may authorize or assign state and local governments certain roles and responsibilities for overseeing chemical facilities. For example, as permitted by the OSH Act, OSHA has approved state plans that authorize about half the states to operate their own occupational safety and health programs.28 As a result, private sector workplaces in 21 states and Puerto Rico are regulated and inspected by

---


27 ATF collects data on individuals that apply for federal explosives licenses and permits, which may include individuals working with ammonium nitrate.

28 The OSH Act allows states to take responsibility for operating their own occupational safety and health programs under state plans approved by OSHA. To receive approval, state plans must meet certain criteria specified in the OSH Act, including the development and enforcement of state standards that are at least as effective as the federal standards. See generally 29 U.S.C. § 667, 29 C.F.R. pts. 1902, 1952, and 1956. Under the OSH Act, “state” is defined to include the District of Columbia, Puerto Rico, the Virgin Islands, American Samoa, Guam, and the Trust Territory of the Pacific Islands. See 29 U.S.C. § 652(7).
state occupational safety and health agencies rather than OSHA. Similarly, EPA has delegated its authority to implement and enforce the Risk Management Program to nine states and five counties. As previously mentioned, both state and local governments play a role in implementing EPCRA, which requires covered facilities to report basic information about their hazardous chemical inventories to certain state and local authorities, including estimates of the amounts of chemicals present at facilities.

In addition, state and local governments may establish and enforce their own laws, regulations, or ordinances to protect the public from chemical accidents. For example, state and local governments may adopt and enforce fire codes or zoning laws that specify how far chemical facilities must be located from residential areas.

The Executive Order issued on August 1, 2013 established a Chemical Facility Safety and Security Working Group co-chaired by the Secretary of Homeland Security, the Administrator of EPA, and the Secretary of Labor. The Executive Order includes directives for the working group to: improve operational coordination with state and local partners; enhance federal agency coordination and information sharing; modernize policies, regulations, and standards; and work with stakeholders to identify best practices. The order includes tasks focused specifically on ammonium nitrate. Specifically, it directs the Secretaries of Homeland Security, EPA, and Labor to:

Executive Order on Improving Chemical Facility Safety and Security

The Executive Order issued on August 1, 2013 established a Chemical Facility Safety and Security Working Group co-chaired by the Secretary of Homeland Security, the Administrator of EPA, and the Secretary of Labor. The Executive Order includes directives for the working group to: improve operational coordination with state and local partners; enhance federal agency coordination and information sharing; modernize policies, regulations, and standards; and work with stakeholders to identify best practices. The order includes tasks focused specifically on ammonium nitrate. Specifically, it directs the Secretaries of Homeland Security,

OSHA does not enforce standards for state and local public-sector workplaces because the OSH Act does not apply to state and local government employers. 29 U.S.C. § 652(5). States that choose to operate their own state-run programs are required to cover state and local government workers. 29 U.S.C. § 667(c)(6). Five states have state plans that only include state and local government workers; OSHA provides enforcement for the private sector in those states.

Under the Clean Air Act, EPA is authorized to delegate its implementation and enforcement authority of section 112 (including the RMP program) to states, provided the state standards are no less stringent than EPA's. 42 U.S.C. § 7412(l), 40 C.F.R. §§ 63.90-63.99. According to EPA officials, the nine states to which EPA has delegated this authority are: Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Mississippi, New Jersey, North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, and South Carolina. The five counties to which EPA has delegated this authority are: Buncombe, North Carolina; Forsyth, North Carolina; Mecklenburg, North Carolina; Jefferson, Kentucky; and Allegheny, Pennsylvania.

In addition to the specific provisions focused on ammonium nitrate, the Executive Order also addresses other hazardous chemicals more generally.
Labor, and Agriculture to develop a list of potential regulatory and legislative proposals to improve the safe and secure storage, handling, and sale of ammonium nitrate. In addition, the Department of Labor and EPA are directed to review the chemical hazards covered by the RMP and PSM regulations and determine whether they should be expanded to address additional hazards.

### OECD's Guidance on Chemical Safety

The Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD), an intergovernmental organization with 34 member countries, issued guidance in 2003 on the prevention of, preparedness for, and response to chemical accidents. This publication was developed with other international organizations active in the area of chemical accident safety, such as the World Health Organization. The document—OECD Guiding Principles for Chemical Accident Prevention, Preparedness and Response—includes detailed guidance for industry, public authorities, and the public on how they can help prevent chemical accidents and better respond when accidents occur.

### Over 1,300 Facilities in 47 States Reported Having Ammonium Nitrate, but Data Limitations Prevent Obtaining a Complete Count of Facilities

The total number of facilities in the United States with ammonium nitrate is not known because of the different reporting criteria used by different government agencies, reporting exemptions, and other data limitations. While the total number is unknown, over 1,300 facilities reported having ammonium nitrate to DHS. DHS’s data, however, do not include all facilities that work with ammonium nitrate, in part because some facilities, such as farms, currently do not have to report to DHS and, according to DHS officials, other facilities that are required to report may fail to do so.

---

32 The 34 OECD member countries are Australia, Austria, Belgium, Canada, Chile, Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Iceland, Ireland, Israel, Italy, Japan, Korea, Luxembourg, Mexico, Netherlands, New Zealand, Norway, Poland, Portugal, Slovak Republic, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, Turkey, United Kingdom, and the United States. See *OECD Guiding Principles for Chemical Accident Prevention, Preparedness and Response: Guidance for Industry (including Management and Labour), Public Authorities, Communities, and other Stakeholders* (OECD 2003).
As of August 2013, 1,345 facilities located in 47 states reported to DHS under CFATS that they had ammonium nitrate. The facilities that reported to DHS as having reportable quantities of ammonium nitrate were most often engaged in supplying and supporting the agriculture and mining industries. Many of these facilities were concentrated in the South. About half of these facilities were located in six states: Alabama, Georgia, Kentucky, Missouri, Tennessee, and Texas. Table 2 shows the number of facilities that reported to DHS that they had ammonium nitrate and the number of states in which they were located.

Table 2: Number of Facilities that Reported Having Ammonium Nitrate to the Department of Homeland Security (DHS) and the Number of States in Which They Were Located, August 2013

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Ammonium Nitrate</th>
<th>Number of Facilities</th>
<th>Number of States</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ammonium nitrate with more than 0.2 percent combustible substances, including any organic substance calculated as carbon, to the exclusion of any other added substance(^a)</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solid ammonium nitrate with a nitrogen concentration of 23 percent or greater, and, if in a mixture, a minimum ammonium nitrate concentration of 33 percent or greater(^b)</td>
<td>941</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reported having both types of ammonium nitrate</td>
<td>174</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total number of facilities that reported having ammonium nitrate</td>
<td>1,345</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: GAO analysis of DHS data.

\(^a\) According to DHS, this type of ammonium nitrate is more commonly used as an explosive and is regulated by the Department of Transportation as a Division 1.1 explosive. The threshold quantity for reporting this type of ammonium nitrate is 400 pounds or more for a theft risk (if in transportable packaging) and 5,000 pounds or more for a release risk.

\(^b\) According to DHS, this type of ammonium nitrate is more commonly used by the agricultural community as a fertilizer; however, it may be compounded with other ingredients to create an explosive. The threshold quantity for reporting this type of ammonium nitrate for a theft risk (if in transportation packaging) is 2,000 pounds or more.
Our review of additional state data, including EPRCA data, from Texas and Alabama, which have different reporting criteria than CFATS, indicated that there are more facilities with ammonium nitrate than those that report to DHS. We compared the data they provided to the data on facilities that reported to DHS under CFATS. In these two states, we found that the data from each of the sources provided to us differed and that no single count of such facilities, whether from the state or DHS, represented a comprehensive picture of facilities with ammonium nitrate.

For Texas, we reviewed three sources of data on facilities that have ammonium nitrate: (1) EPCRA data from the Texas Department of State Health Services; (2) a list of facilities that registered with the Office of the Texas State Chemist as having plans to produce, store, or sell ammonium nitrate; and (3) DHS’s CFATS data. We compared data

---

33 Under section 312 of EPCRA and EPA’s regulations, facilities with 10,000 pounds or more of ammonium nitrate generally must submit an annual chemical inventory report to the designated state and local authorities. 42 U.S.C. § 11022, 40 C.F.R. § 370.10(a)(2)(i). The designated authorities are the state emergency response commission, the local emergency planning committee, and the local fire department. A facility is required to submit these reports if (1) it is required to prepare a material safety data sheet (now called a safety data sheet) for a hazardous chemical as defined by OSHA’s Hazard Communication regulations, 29 C.F.R. § 1910.1200, and (2) the amount of the hazardous chemical meets or exceeds the threshold set by EPA’s regulations. For most hazardous chemicals that are not on EPA’s list of Extremely Hazardous Substances, the reporting threshold is 10,000 pounds or more. According to the chemical advisory issued by ATF, EPA, and OSHA in August 2013, ammonium nitrate is not considered an Extremely Hazardous Substance, but it is considered a hazardous chemical under OSHA’s Hazard Communication regulations and is therefore subject to the EPCRA provisions. However, EPCRA exempts any substance “to the extent it is used in routine agricultural operations or is a fertilizer held for sale by a retailer to the ultimate customer.” 42 U.S.C. § 11021(e)(5). According to the advisory, this exemption applies only to ammonium nitrate retailers, not to manufacturers or wholesalers; any ammonium nitrate that is mixed or formulated with other chemicals by facilities is not covered by the exemption.

34 We compared the facility names and zip codes of facilities reporting to the state to the facilities that reported to DHS. Because of differences in reporting requirements, the differences in the number of facilities reporting to DHS and the number reporting to the states does not necessarily indicate noncompliance with the requirements.

35 In Texas, facility owners must register with the Office of the Texas State Chemist to produce, store, or sell ammonium nitrate, and there is no minimum threshold amount of ammonium nitrate that applies to this state requirement. See Tex. Agric. Code Ann. §§ 63.151-63.157. This requirement applies to ammonium nitrate that contains more than 33 percent nitrogen, as well as solid fertilizer containing ammonium nitrate, if the fertilizer’s nitrogen content from the ammonium nitrate is at least 28 percent of the fertilizer by weight. Facilities are required to keep records of the sale of ammonium nitrate and provide the records upon request to the State Chemist and other state agencies.
from all three of these sources and found 189 facilities that reported having ammonium nitrate (see fig. 2). Of these 189 facilities, 52 filed CFATS reports with DHS. Data were not readily available to determine whether the remaining facilities were required to file CFATS reports. DHS officials told us the agency has begun an effort to obtain lists of chemical facilities the states have compiled and compare them with its CFATS data to identify facilities that should have filed CFATS reports but did not. This effort is still under way. As shown in figure 2, 17 of the 189 facilities in Texas were listed in all three data sources.

Figure 2: Number of Facilities in Texas that Reported to State Agencies and DHS That They Had Ammonium Nitrate

Note: This figure includes data reported to the Texas Department of State Health Services under EPCRA section 312 as of December 2012, data collected by the Office of the Texas State Chemist under state law as of November 2013, and data reported to DHS under the CFATS program as of August 2013. Each of these programs has different reporting criteria, therefore, facilities required to report under one program may not be required to report under another program.
For Alabama, we reviewed data from two sources on facilities that reported having ammonium nitrate: (1) EPCRA data from Alabama’s Department of Environmental Management, and (2) DHS’s CFATS data. From these two sources, we found 91 facilities that reported having ammonium nitrate—57 that filed EPCRA reports with the state Department of Environmental Management and 71 that filed CFATS reports with DHS. Thirty-seven of the facilities filed reports with both the state and DHS. (See fig. 3.)

Figure 3: Number of Facilities in Alabama that Reported to the State and DHS That They Had Ammonium Nitrate

![Venn Diagram showing facilities reporting to the Alabama Department of Environmental Management and DHS](image)

Note: This figure includes data reported to the Alabama Department of Environmental Management under EPCRA section 312 as of December 2012 and data reported to DHS under the CFATS program as of August 2013. Each of these programs has different reporting criteria, therefore, facilities required to report under one program may not be required to report under another program.

Our analysis of federal trade data collected by DHS’s Customs and Border Protection agency also suggests that a greater number of facilities

---

36 We did not find any other state agencies in Alabama that required reporting of ammonium nitrate holdings similar to the Office of the Texas State Chemist.
have ammonium nitrate than those that reported to DHS under the CFATS program. Using the data from the Customs and Border Protection agency, we identified 205 facilities that imported ammonium nitrate products and 81 facilities that exported ammonium nitrate products in fiscal year 2013. The majority of these facilities reported importing or exporting mixtures of ammonium nitrate and calcium carbonate or mixtures of urea and ammonium nitrate. Eight of these facilities filed CFATS reports with DHS. Moreover, we found about 100 facilities that imported or exported a form of ammonium nitrate that may be subject to DHS’s CFATS requirements for reporting quantities over 2,000 pounds but did not file a report. These facilities, however, may not be required to file CFATS reports. For example, they may meet one of the statutory exemptions, or the composition of their ammonium nitrate (or their ammonium nitrate mixture) may not trigger the reporting requirements.

Data were not readily available to determine whether they met all of DHS’s reporting requirements for the CFATS program. In addition, according to DHS officials, other data limitations could explain some of the differences between the CFATS data and the federal trade data. For example, facilities may submit reports to the different agencies using different names and addresses. According to DHS, different people in the facility may prepare the different reports; the facility may define the perimeters of each site differently; or the corporate structure or nomenclature may have changed from the time one report was submitted to the next reporting period.

37 We compared the facility names and the city names used by companies that import and export ammonium nitrate to the facilities that reported to DHS.

38 We counted any facility that imported or exported products with “ammonium nitrate” listed as part of the product description.

39 We identified imports or exports of “ammonium nitrate,” but the federal trade data did not provide the actual chemical composition of the fertilizer; therefore, we could not determine whether these facilities were potentially subject to CFATS reporting requirements.
The total number of facilities with ammonium nitrate is also difficult to determine because of the variation in reporting criteria, including exemptions for some facilities from reporting to either their state or to DHS. For example, farmers could be exempt from reporting under both EPCRA and CFATS because EPCRA’s reporting requirements do not apply to substances used in routine agricultural operations and DHS does not currently require certain agricultural producers to report their chemical holdings to DHS. In addition, DHS’s reporting threshold for ammonium nitrate fertilizer only applies to quantities held in transportable containers such as cylinders, bulk bags, bottles (inside or outside of boxes), cargo tanks, and tank cars. Also, EPCRA does not require retailers to report fertilizer held for sale to the ultimate customer. However, an August 2013 chemical advisory on ammonium nitrate issued jointly by EPA, OSHA, and ATF clarified that EPCRA requires fertilizer distributors to report ammonium nitrate that is blended or mixed with other chemicals on site. In addition, some facilities may not report to DHS or their state because they have amounts of ammonium nitrate that are below the applicable reporting thresholds.

Some facilities may not be included in either DHS’s or states’ data because they fail to submit their required reports, but the magnitude of underreporting is not known. DHS officials acknowledged that some facilities fail to file the required forms. The facility in West, Texas had not filed a CFATS report to DHS but, in 2012, this facility filed an EPCRA form with the state, reporting that it had 270 tons of ammonium nitrate. According to DHS officials, the agency does not know with certainty whether the West, Texas facility should have reported its ammonium nitrate to DHS because the agency did not visit the facility after the explosion and it does not know the manner in which the facility held its ammonium nitrate prior to the explosion. Following the explosion at the facility in West, Texas, DHS obtained data from the state of Texas and compared the state data to the facilities that reported to DHS. As a result

---


41 DHS’s CFATS regulations provide that, in calculating whether a facility possesses a threshold amount of a chemical that poses a theft or diversion risk, the facility shall only include those chemicals that are in transportation packaging as defined by DOT regulations. 6 C.F.R. § 27.203(c). DHS considers ammonium nitrate fertilizer a chemical of interest because it can be stolen or otherwise diverted to make explosives.
of this data matching effort, DHS sent out 106 letters to other potentially noncompliant facilities in Texas. According to DHS, many of the Texas facilities that received the letter said they do not actually possess ammonium nitrate or do not meet the criteria to require reporting under CFATS. DHS has used EPA’s Risk Management Program (RMP) database to try and identify such facilities holding other chemicals, but it cannot use the RMP database to identify all facilities with ammonium nitrate because ammonium nitrate is not covered by EPA’s RMP regulations. In addition, DHS officials told us the agency is in the process of comparing its list of facilities that reported to DHS under the CFATS program to ATF’s list of facilities that have federal explosives licenses and permits to identify potentially noncompliant facilities, but this effort had not been completed at the time of our review.

OSHA has limited access to data collected by other agencies to use in identifying facilities with ammonium nitrate. DHS does not currently share its CFATS data with OSHA, although DHS officials told us they were not aware of anything prohibiting DHS from doing so. While EPA shares data from its RMP with OSHA on a quarterly basis, the data do not include information on ammonium nitrate because ammonium nitrate is not covered by EPA’s RMP regulations. As previously discussed, under section 312 of EPCRA, facilities are required to annually report information to state and local authorities on the types and quantities of certain hazardous chemicals present at their facilities, which may include ammonium nitrate. Facilities that possess reportable quantities of ammonium nitrate submit this information electronically or on paper forms, and the state and local entities maintain copies of these forms. However, according to agency officials, the EPCRA data are not shared directly with federal agencies, including OSHA, EPA, or DHS (see fig. 4). EPA officials, however, noted that EPCRA is primarily intended to provide information to state and local officials, not to other federal agencies. Any person may submit written requests to the designated state or local authority for information on individual facilities that may have ammonium nitrate, but lists of all facilities in a state that have submitted these data, including those that reported having ammonium nitrate, are not publicly available. In certain states we contacted, officials indicated that data on individual facilities could be requested from the state, but the requester

OSHA Lacks Access to Data on Facilities That Have Ammonium Nitrate

42 See 42 U.S.C. § 11022(e)(3).
would have to request data on specific facilities to obtain information on the chemicals they hold. OSHA officials cited the lack of access to data on facilities with ammonium nitrate as a reason they would have difficulty designing an inspection program to target such facilities.

Figure 4: Entities Receiving Federally Required Hazardous Chemical Reports from Facilities

- **Facility with hazardous chemicals**
  - Federally required information
    - Chemical Security Assessment Tool
      - May include information about ammonium nitrate
    - Risk Management Plan
      - Does not include information about ammonium nitrate
    - Chemical Inventory Form
      - May include information about ammonium nitrate
  - Recipient entities
    - DHS
    - EPA
    - OSHA
    - State and local authorities

Source: GAO review of federal regulations and interviews with federal officials.

- DHS’s Chemical Security Assessment Tool is used for submitting reports under DHS’s CFATS program.
- A risk management plan is required under EPA’s RMP regulations.
- The Chemical Inventory Form is used for submitting reports under section 312 of EPCRA. EPA publishes model forms; however, alternative formats are permitted provided they comply with EPCRA and EPA’s regulations.

Note: Facilities are only required to report if they meet the reporting requirements for each program.

The University of Texas at Dallas has a database (called E-Plan) that contains EPCRA data from over half of the states for the 2012 reporting year, but federal agencies have made limited use of it. University staff originally developed the E-Plan database in 2000 with funding from EPA to facilitate EPCRA reporting and provide first responders rapid access to information on chemical facilities in emergency situations. In many local areas, first responders and emergency services personnel can use the E-Plan data when they prepare for and respond to emergencies such as...
fires. According to E-Plan administrators, OSHA staff helped develop the database, but currently OSHA does not use E-Plan. EPA staff told us that some EPA regional offices have used the E-Plan database to assess compliance with the agency’s RMP reporting requirements. DHS officials told us the agency does not use E-Plan data to assess compliance with CFATS requirements. DHS officials also explained that, while the database could contain useful information, it is incomplete. Some states do not submit data to E-Plan at all, and other states' data are incomplete. In addition, participation in E-Plan is voluntary and, even among those states that participate, some states do not choose to allow their E-Plan data to be shared with federal agencies.

Ongoing Efforts to Improve Data Sharing on Chemical Facilities

The Chemical Facility Safety and Security Working Group established by the August 2013 Executive Order has begun its efforts to develop proposals for improving information sharing, but this work has not been completed. The working group has held listening sessions throughout the country seeking input from interested parties on options for making improvements in chemical safety and security. It also has launched a pilot program in New York and New Jersey aimed at improving access to data on chemical facilities for federal, state, local, and tribal governments. In addition, the working group is evaluating how federal agencies can work with states to enhance the states’ roles as information sharing organizations, including options for sharing RMP, CFATS, and EPCRA data. Finally, it is exploring ways for federal and state agencies to share information and exchange data to, among other things, identify chemical facilities that are not in compliance with safety and security requirements. For example, DHS and EPA are comparing their CFATS and RMP data to determine if the CFATS data include facilities that should also have reported under the RMP. As a result, EPA has begun sending notification letters to facilities requesting information to help determine if the facility is subject to RMP requirements. Because the RMP regulations do not currently cover ammonium nitrate, however, this strategy would not be useful for identifying facilities that have ammonium nitrate. The federal working group is also sharing information to, among other things, identify whether additional facilities have failed to report under CFATS and is exploring whether EPA software offered to states to facilitate EPCRA reporting could also provide a vehicle to enhance access to the reports while meeting security objectives.
OSHA has regulations for the storage of ammonium nitrate, but the agency has not focused its enforcement resources on the use of ammonium nitrate by the fertilizer industry, which is a primary user. EPA, on the other hand, has regulations requiring risk management planning by facilities that have certain hazardous chemicals, but these regulations do not apply to ammonium nitrate.  

OSHA’s Explosives and Blasting Agents regulations—issued in 1971—include provisions for the storage of both explosives grade and fertilizer grade ammonium nitrate in quantities of 1,000 pounds or more. OSHA based these regulations on two 1970 consensus standards developed by the National Fire Protection Association (NFPA). Few significant changes have been made to these regulations since they were issued, although the National Fire Protection Association periodically reviews and updates its standards. OSHA’s regulations include requirements that could reduce the fire and explosion hazards associated with ammonium nitrate, such as required fire protection measures, limits on stack size, and requirements related to separating ammonium nitrate from combustible and other contaminating materials. However, the regulations do not categorically prohibit employers from storing ammonium nitrate in

---

43 In this review, we sought to identify federal regulations that apply to ammonium nitrate used as a fertilizer. Although the regulations we identified may also apply to ammonium nitrate used for other purposes (for example, as a blasting agent), additional federal regulations may apply in these contexts that are not discussed in this report.

44 29 C.F.R. § 1910.109(i). These provisions apply to the storage of ammonium nitrate in the form of crystals, flakes, grains, or prills including fertilizer grade, dynamite grade, nitrous oxide grade, technical grade, and other mixtures containing 60 percent or more ammonium nitrate by weight, but do not apply to blasting agents.

45 NFPA is an independent nonprofit organization that convenes technical committees to develop national codes and standards intended to minimize the possibility and effects of fire and other risks. NFPA codes and standards are developed by consensus by committees composed of representatives from the government, industry, fire associations, and other organizations. Unlike OSHA’s regulations, consensus standards are voluntary.
wooden bins and buildings.\textsuperscript{46} In addition, if the facilities were in existence at the time the regulations were issued in 1971, OSHA’s regulations allow the use of storage buildings not in strict conformity with the regulations if such use does not constitute a hazard to life.\textsuperscript{47} Some of the provisions of OSHA’s ammonium nitrate storage regulations are described in table 3.

### Table 3: Ammonium Nitrate Storage Topics Addressed in Selected Provisions of OSHA’s Explosives and Blasting Agents Regulations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Summary of Selected Provisions</th>
<th>Citation(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Who must comply</td>
<td>All persons storing, having, or keeping ammonium nitrate, and the owner or lessee of any building, premises, or structure in which ammonium nitrate is stored in quantities of 1,000 pounds or more. Applies to the storage of [solid] ammonium nitrate, including fertilizer grade, dynamite grade, nitrous oxide grade, technical grade, and other mixtures containing 60 percent or more ammonium nitrate by weight, but does not apply to blasting agents. Certain additional exceptions apply.</td>
<td>29 C.F.R. § 1910.109(i)(2)(i). 29 C.F.R. § 1910.109(i)(1)(i)(a). 29 C.F.R. § 1910.109(i)(1)(i)(b)-(c).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Storage building construction</td>
<td>The wall on the exposed side of a storage building within 50 feet of a combustible building, forest, piles of combustible materials and similar exposure hazards shall be of fire-resistive construction. In lieu of the fire-resistive wall, other suitable means of exposure protection such as a free standing wall may be used. All flooring in storage and handling areas shall be of noncombustible material or protected against impregnation by ammonium nitrate and shall be without open drains, traps, tunnels, pits, or pockets into which any molten ammonium nitrate could flow and be confined in the event of fire. The continued use of an existing storage building or structure not in strict conformity with [these provisions] may be approved in cases where such continued use will not constitute a hazard to life.</td>
<td>29 C.F.R. § 1910.109(i)(2)(iii)(c). 29 C.F.R. § 1910.109(i)(2)(iii)(d). 29 C.F.R. § 1910.109(i)(2)(iii)(e).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\textsuperscript{46} All flooring in storage and handling areas must be of noncombustible material or protected against impregnation by ammonium nitrate. 29 C.F.R. § 1910.109(i)(2)(iii)(d). For bulk storage of ammonium nitrate, wooden bins protected against impregnation by ammonium nitrate are permissible. 29 C.F.R. § 1910.109(i)(4)(ii)(b).

\textsuperscript{47} 29 C.F.R. § 1910.109(i)(2)(iii)(e).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Summary of Selected Provisions</th>
<th>Citation(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Size of piles and separation distances, when stored in bags, drums or other containers | Minimum distance from walls (bags): 30 inches.  
Maximum pile height and width: 20 feet.  
Maximum pile length: 50 feet. Where the building is of noncombustible construction or is protected by automatic sprinklers the length of the piles is not limited.  
Minimum distance from the roof: 36 inches.  
Aisles shall be provided to separate piles by a clear space of at least 3 feet. At least one service or main aisle in the storage area shall be not less than 4 feet wide. | 29 C.F.R. § 1910.109(i)(3)(ii)(b).  
| Storage bin construction for bulk ammonium nitrate | Due to the corrosive and reactive properties of ammonium nitrate, and to avoid contamination, galvanized iron, copper, lead, and zinc shall not be used in a bin construction unless suitably protected. Aluminum bins and wooden bins protected against impregnation by ammonium nitrate are permissible. The partitions dividing the ammonium nitrate storage from other products which would contaminate the ammonium nitrate shall be of tight construction. | 29 C.F.R. § 1910.109(i)(4)(ii)(b). |
| Separation from combustible and other contaminating materials | Ammonium nitrate shall be in a separate building or shall be separated by approved type firewalls of not less than 1 hour fire-resistance rating from storage of organic chemicals, acids, or other corrosive materials, materials that may require blasting during processing or handling, compressed flammable gases, flammable and combustible materials or other contaminating substances. | 29 C.F.R. § 1910.109(i)(5)(i)(a). |
| Fire protection | Not more than 2,500 tons of bagged ammonium nitrate shall be stored in a building or structure not equipped with an automatic sprinkler system.  
Suitable fire control devices such as small hose or portable fire extinguishers shall be provided throughout the warehouse and in the loading and unloading areas.  
Water supplies and fire hydrants shall be available in accordance with recognized good practices. | 29 C.F.R. § 1910.109(i)(7)(i).  

Source: Ammonium nitrate storage provisions of OSHA’s regulations, 29 C.F.R. § 1910.109(i).  
Note: This table is not intended to be comprehensive; additional requirements or exceptions may apply to each topic that are not described here. States with their own OSHA-approved occupational safety and health program must have state standards that are at least as effective as OSHA’s.

Recently, OSHA, EPA, and ATF jointly issued a chemical advisory that recommends that facilities store ammonium nitrate in non-combustible buildings.48 Similarly, following the explosion in West, Texas, the National

---

Fire Protection Association is considering changes to its ammonium nitrate storage provisions, which are part of its hazardous materials consensus standard, including restricting the use of wood to store ammonium nitrate.

In addition to storage requirements, OSHA’s Hazard Communication regulations require that employers whose workers are exposed to hazardous chemicals, including ammonium nitrate, inform their workers of the dangers and train them to handle the materials appropriately. Employers are required to use labels, training, and safety data sheets to inform workers of chemical hazards in the workplace.\textsuperscript{49} Safety data sheets are written documents with details on the hazards associated with each chemical, measures workers can take to protect themselves, actions workers should take in case of an emergency, and safety precautions for handling and storing the chemical.

\textbf{OSHA Has Conducted Little Outreach to the Fertilizer Industry to Increase Awareness of Its Ammonium Nitrate Storage Regulations}

Until the explosion in West, Texas, OSHA had not reached out to the fertilizer industry to inform its members of OSHA’s requirements for the storage of ammonium nitrate fertilizer. An OSHA official told us the agency has not traditionally informed the fertilizer industry about these regulations. However, another OSHA official said agency officials met with industry representatives after the explosion at the facility in West, Texas and, based on that meeting, concluded that the fertilizer industry is “well aware” of the agency’s storage regulations. OECD’s Guiding Principles for Chemical Accident Prevention, Preparedness, and Response recommend that public authorities provide clear, easy-to-understand guidance to facilities on how regulatory objectives and requirements can be met.

OSHA recently published information about how the agency’s Explosives and Blasting Agents regulations apply to ammonium nitrate fertilizer. The agency provides employers with training, technical assistance, and

\textsuperscript{49} 29 C.F.R. § 1910.1200. The regulations do not include a list of chemicals and threshold amounts that would trigger application of the regulations. Rather, the regulations apply to any chemical which is known to be present in the workplace in such a manner that employees may be exposed under normal conditions of use or in a foreseeable emergency, subject to certain exceptions. In its 2012 revisions to the Hazard Communication regulations, OSHA changed the name of material safety data sheets to safety data sheets. See Hazard Communication, 77 Fed. Reg. 17,574 (Mar. 26, 2012).
Recently, OSHA updated its website to refer to its storage regulations for ammonium nitrate fertilizer. The August 2013 chemical advisory contains information on OSHA’s ammonium nitrate storage regulations, stating that OSHA’s Explosives and Blasting Agents regulations contain requirements for the storage of all grades of ammonium nitrate, including fertilizer grade ammonium nitrate. In addition, in February 2014, OSHA announced that the agency is working with the fertilizer industry to remind employers of the importance of safely storing and handling ammonium nitrate. OSHA published a letter on its website that provides employers with legal requirements and best practice recommendations for safely storing and handling ammonium nitrate. In the letter, OSHA states that the agency will enforce the requirements of 29 C.F.R. § 1910.109(i) for storage of ammonium nitrate, including at facilities in non-explosives industries. According to the announcement, fertilizer industry associations will share the letter with facilities across the country.

Fertilizer industry representatives we interviewed said that, prior to the explosion in West, Texas, they did not know that OSHA’s ammonium nitrate storage regulations applied to the fertilizer industry, and they suggested that OSHA reach out to the fertilizer industry to help prevent another incident. Industry representatives explained that their understanding was based on a proposed rule published by OSHA in the Federal Register on April 13, 2007, which proposed revisions to the Explosives and Blasting Agents regulation. In that notice, OSHA proposed a change to the ammonium nitrate storage requirements “to clarify that OSHA intends the requirements to apply to ammonium nitrate that will be used in the manufacture of explosives.” Although this proposed rule was never finalized, the industry representatives told us they relied on this statement to mean OSHA did not intend the storage requirements to apply to ammonium nitrate fertilizer.

In addition, we reviewed the safety data sheets developed by four U.S. producers of solid ammonium nitrate fertilizer and found that only one

---

50 Section 21 of the OSH Act requires OSHA to establish programs to educate and train employers and employees in the recognition, avoidance, and prevention of unsafe or unhealthful working conditions, and to consult with and advise employers, employees, and organizations representing employers and employees as to effective means of preventing occupational injuries and illnesses. 29 U.S.C. § 670(c).

company’s sheet listed OSHA’s Explosives and Blasting Agents regulations as applicable to the storage and handling of ammonium nitrate fertilizer. An industry representative who assists agricultural retailers with regulatory compliance said he reviewed the regulatory information sections in his clients’ safety data sheets for ammonium nitrate fertilizer and none of them referred to OSHA’s Explosives and Blasting Agents regulations. A representative from one national fertilizer industry association said it would be helpful if OSHA took additional steps to explain its interpretation of the applicable requirements and reach out to the fertilizer industry so that affected companies are better informed. A representative from another national agricultural industry group suggested that OSHA develop and disseminate a compliance assistance tool or checklist to ensure that facilities are aware of and in compliance with the applicable regulations.

The fertilizer industry is developing a voluntary program called Responsible Ag to promote compliance with federal regulations among fertilizer facilities. Officials from the Fertilizer Institute and the Agricultural Retailers Association told us they plan to consolidate federal regulatory requirements for fertilizer retail facilities into one comprehensive checklist and provide third party audits to retailers based on a checklist they have developed. In addition, officials with the Asmark Institute, a nonprofit resource center for agricultural retailers in the United States, said they developed their own compliance assessment tool for agricultural retailers. The Fertilizer Institute and the Agricultural Retailers Association selected the Asmark Institute to develop a database that will include information on audit reports and scores from the third party audits. This initiative will be modeled after a voluntary audit program in Minnesota for agricultural retailers to help them improve compliance with federal and state regulations. According to OSHA officials, OSHA has not been involved in the development of this industry initiative.

52 Manufacturers are required to develop safety data sheets for users of their hazardous chemical products, including ammonium nitrate fertilizer, under OSHA’s Hazard Communication regulations. Although not required by OSHA, safety data sheets typically include a regulatory information section.
OSHA Has No Program for Targeted Inspections of Facilities with Ammonium Nitrate

Although OSHA has a national enforcement program that targets certain chemical facilities for inspection, this program does not systematically cover facilities with ammonium nitrate. OECD chemical safety guidance suggests public authorities periodically inspect the safety performance of hazardous facilities. OSHA conducts inspections of worksites, as authorized under the OSH Act. As part of its enforcement efforts, OSHA randomly selects facilities for inspection as part of a national emphasis program for chemical facilities it initiated in 2011. However, these inspections are for facilities and chemicals covered under its Process Safety Management (PSM) regulations, which do not include ammonium nitrate. According to OSHA officials, facilities that blend and store ammonium nitrate fertilizer fall outside the scope of this national emphasis program. When we asked whether OSHA might expand its national emphasis program to focus on ammonium nitrate fertilizer facilities, officials said that the agency is not planning on targeting these facilities, in part because OSHA has no means of identifying them.

In addition, OSHA is not likely to target facilities with ammonium nitrate for inspection because of its limited resources, and because these facilities often do not meet OSHA’s current inspection priorities. OSHA conducts inspections with its own personnel and the number of inspections OSHA and the states can perform each year is limited by the size of their inspection workforce. According to OSHA officials, OSHA and the states have about 2,200 inspectors who inspected about 1 percent of the 8 million covered employers in fiscal year 2012. Among OSHA’s highest priorities for inspecting worksites are responding to major accidents and employee complaints. In fiscal year 2012, OSHA reported that 44 percent of the agency’s inspections were unplanned inspections, which include inspections initiated in response to an accident or complaint. OSHA also targets certain industries for planned inspections that have high rates of workplace injury and illness. For example, OSHA reported that 55 percent of OSHA’s planned inspections in fiscal year 2012 were inspections of worksites in the construction industry.


54 OSHA officials said the agency considers facilities that are classified as the highest risk category in EPA’s RMP database to likely be subject to OSHA’s PSM regulations. OSHA estimates that about 8,480 facilities are covered by its PSM regulations. As previously stated, although OSHA acquires data on facilities with hazardous chemicals from EPA’s RMP database, that database does not include information on facilities with ammonium nitrate.
OSHA has rarely issued citations for violations of its ammonium nitrate storage regulations at fertilizer facilities. OSHA officials told us a citation for a violation of the agency’s ammonium nitrate storage regulations was issued as the result of an inspection of a fertilizer facility only once before the explosion in West, Texas. In that case, OSHA inspected a Florida-based fertilizer manufacturer in 1997 in response to a complaint, and cited the company for 30 violations, one of which was a violation of its ammonium nitrate storage requirements. In addition, according to OSHA officials, within the last 5 years, none of the 21 states that operate their own safety and health programs have cited any employers for improper storage or handling of ammonium nitrate.

Under a provision regularly included in the annual appropriations act, OSHA is prohibited from conducting planned safety inspections of small employers—those with 10 or fewer employees—in certain low hazard industries, as determined by their injury and illness rates. Although the number of facilities exempted from OSHA inspections under this provision is unclear, we found that, of the facilities that reported having ammonium nitrate, none were inspected in the 5 years before the West, Texas, explosion.

OSHA cited the West, Texas facility and proposed penalties of $118,300 for violations of its ammonium nitrate storage regulations and other OSHA regulations. According to OSHA officials, these citations were contested by the employer and were pending before the Occupational Safety and Health Review Commission. OSHA last inspected the West, Texas facility in 1985. At that time, OSHA fined the facility $30 for violations of its regulations on storage and handling of anhydrous ammonia. Anhydrous ammonia is a colorless gas with a pungent, suffocating odor that can be compressed to make a liquid fertilizer. Anhydrous ammonia is considered a high health hazard because it is corrosive to the skin, eyes, and lungs. Anhydrous ammonia is also flammable and can explode under certain conditions.

*See, for example, Departments of Labor, Health and Human Services, and Education, and Related Agencies Appropriations Act, 2012, Pub. L. No. 112-74, div. F, tit. I, 125 Stat. 786, 1059-60 (2011), which provides that, subject to certain exceptions, no appropriated funds shall be used to enforce any regulation under the OSH Act “with respect to any employer of 10 or fewer employees who is included within a category having an occupational injury and illness rate … less than the [most recent] national average,” as published by the Department of Labor’s Bureau of Labor Statistics. The exceptions include, among others, inspections for health hazards and unplanned inspections (such as those conducted in response to employee complaints or serious accidents). The Department of Labor’s Bureau of Labor Statistics estimates workplace injury and illness rates by industry using North American Industry Classification System industry codes. To identify which industries are subject to OSHA’s enforcement exemption, OSHA periodically updates one of its enforcement directives to list the most current North American Industry Classification System industry codes for each industry with an average workplace injury and illness rate below the national average.*
nitrate to DHS as of August 2013, 60 facilities—about 4 percent of the 1,345 facilities that reported to DHS— reported having 10 or fewer employees and had an industry code with a lower than the average workplace injury and illness rate (see table 4).57 As a result, according to OSHA officials, this provision could have hindered the agency’s enforcement of its ammonium nitrate storage regulations at these facilities.

OSHA’s fiscal year 2015 budget request asks Congress to consider amending OSHA’s appropriation language to allow the agency to perform targeted inspections of small establishments that have the potential for catastrophic incidents, such as those with processes covered by OSHA’s PSM or EPA’s RMP regulations. In the budget request, OSHA states that the current appropriations language limits the agency’s ability to conduct inspections, and neither the number of workers in a company nor low injury and illness rates is predictive of the potential for catastrophic accidents that can damage whole communities.

57 For ammonium nitrate meeting the definition of ammonium nitrate regulated by the Department of Transportation as a Division 1.1 Explosive, facilities are generally required to report to DHS if they have 400 pounds or more contained in transportation packaging (if not contained in transportation packaging, the threshold is 5,000 pounds or more). For ammonium nitrate commonly used as a fertilizer, facilities are generally required to report to DHS if they have 2,000 pounds or more contained in transportation packaging.
### Table 4: Number of Facilities Reporting More Than Threshold Amounts of Ammonium Nitrate to DHS That May be Exempt from Planned OSHA Safety Inspections Based on Industry Classification and Number of Employees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAICS industry code</th>
<th>NAICS industry code description</th>
<th>Number of facilities with this code that are potentially exempt from programmed inspection based on reporting 10 or fewer employees</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>111140</td>
<td>Wheat Farming</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>111199</td>
<td>All Other Grain Farming</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>115112</td>
<td>Soil Preparation, Planting, and Cultivating</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>213113</td>
<td>Support Activities for Coal Mining</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>213115</td>
<td>Support Activities for Nonmetallic Minerals (except Fuels)</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>238910</td>
<td>Site Preparation Contractors</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>325120</td>
<td>Industrial Gas Manufacturing</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>325311</td>
<td>Nitrogenous Fertilizer Manufacturing</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>423820</td>
<td>Farm and Garden Machinery and Equipment Merchant Wholesalers</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>424690</td>
<td>Other Chemical and Allied Products Merchant Wholesalers</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>482112</td>
<td>Short Line Railroads</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>541380</td>
<td>Testing Laboratories</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>60</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: GAO review of DHS data reported as of August 2013 and industry codes listed in OSHA’s directive regarding the Enforcement Exemptions and Limitations under the Appropriations Act, CPL 02-00-051, changes to Appendix A (effective February 22, 2013).

Note: Facilities are generally required to report to DHS if they have 400 pounds or more of ammonium nitrate meeting the definition of ammonium nitrate regulated by the Department of Transportation as a Division 1.1 Explosive or 2,000 pounds or more of ammonium nitrate commonly used as a fertilizer contained in transportation packaging. Facilities are listed in this table if they (1) reported a North American Industry Classification System (NAICS) code to DHS that had a workplace injury and illness rate below the national average as of 2011, and (2) reported having 10 or fewer employees.

**Other OSHA and EPA Chemical Safety Regulations Do Not Apply to Facilities with Ammonium Nitrate**

OSHA’s PSM regulations for chemical safety do not cover ammonium nitrate. In response to a requirement in the Clean Air Act Amendments of 1990, OSHA issued its PSM regulations in 1992 to help prevent accidents involving highly hazardous chemicals, including toxic, flammable, highly reactive, and explosive substances. These regulations apply to processes involving listed chemicals in amounts at or above threshold quantities. Employers subject to the PSM regulations are required to take specified steps, which include evaluating the hazards.

---

58 29 C.F.R. § 1910.119. OSHA’s list of highly hazardous chemicals is found at 29 C.F.R. § 1910.119, app. A.
associated with the process, as well as developing and implementing operating procedures, employee training, emergency action plans, and compliance audits at least every 3 years, among other requirements.\textsuperscript{59} Despite the hazards of ammonium nitrate, this chemical is not listed as one of the chemicals subject to these regulations. OSHA officials told us they did not know why ammonium nitrate was not included when the regulation was first issued.\textsuperscript{60} According to the August 2013 chemical advisory, although ammonium nitrate is not covered by the PSM regulations, the production or use of ammonium nitrate may involve PSM-listed chemicals, and the manufacture of explosives, which may involve ammonium nitrate, is covered by the regulations. In the late 1990s, OSHA staff drafted a proposal for expanding PSM regulations to cover ammonium nitrate and other reactive chemicals, but it was not reviewed by agency policy officials and was never published in the Federal Register for public comment.\textsuperscript{61}

In addition, retail facilities, which may include facilities that store and blend fertilizer for direct sale to end users, are exempt from OSHA’s PSM regulations. In the preamble to the final rule for the PSM regulations, OSHA stated that retailers are not likely to store large quantities of hazardous chemicals, and that a large chemical release would be unlikely. While the facility in West, Texas stored large quantities of anhydrous ammonia, a chemical covered by the PSM regulations, OSHA

\textsuperscript{59} A process means any activity involving a highly hazardous chemical including any use, storage, manufacturing, handling, or the on-site movement of such chemicals, or a combination of these activities.

\textsuperscript{60} According to OSHA officials, ammonium nitrate met the criteria the agency used to develop the list of chemicals subject to the PSM regulations, but ammonium nitrate was not included in the final regulations and the agency could not find documentation that would explain why. The preamble to the PSM final rule states that the agency decided to include substances with the two highest or most dangerous reactivity ratings from NFPA’s Hazardous Chemicals Data document 49 (substances rated 3 or 4 by NFPA). Process Safety Management of Highly Hazardous Chemicals; Explosives and Blasting Agents, 57 Fed. Reg. 6356, 6364 (Feb. 24, 1992). Ammonium nitrate has a reactivity rating of 3 from NFPA, but was not included in the list of chemicals subject to the PSM requirements in the final rule.

\textsuperscript{61} A description of proposed plans to amend the PSM regulations to expand the chemicals covered appeared on OSHA’s spring 1997 regulatory agenda and was removed as of the fall 2001 agenda. OSHA commissioned a study that was completed in 2000 by CONSAD Research Corporation, which included a preliminary chemical and industry profile and an economic analysis of the impacts of adding reactive chemicals to the scope of the PSM regulations, including ammonium nitrate.
officials told us that the PSM regulations would not apply to the facility because it was a retail outlet.

In addition, other chemical safety regulations issued by EPA do not apply to facilities with ammonium nitrate. EPA’s RMP regulations, issued in 1996 in response to a provision of the Clean Air Act Amendments of 1990, require covered chemical facilities to develop and implement a risk management program, but ammonium nitrate is not included on the list of chemicals that would trigger the requirements. EPA’s RMP regulations require facilities that handle more than threshold amounts of certain chemicals to implement a risk management program to guard against the release of chemicals into the air and surrounding environment. Covered facilities must develop their own risk management plans, and some facilities must also develop an emergency response program and conduct compliance audits, among other requirements. Covered facilities must also submit their risk management plans to EPA, including data on the regulated substances handled, and prepare a plan for a worst-case chemical release scenario.

Although EPA initially included high explosives in its list of regulated substances, which would include explosives grade ammonium nitrate, these explosives were subsequently removed from the list as a result of a legal settlement. EPA officials also told us that fertilizer grade ammonium nitrate was not considered for its list for RMP because the agency had determined that it did not meet the criteria EPA established to implement the statute. Specifically, EPA officials told us that ammonium

---

62 According to Chemical Safety Board officials, in 2002, the CSB recommended that OSHA and EPA expand the PSM and RMP regulations to include reactive chemicals. Ammonium nitrate is considered a reactive chemical. For more information, see U.S. Chemical Safety and Hazard Investigation Board, Hazard Investigation: Improving Reactive Hazard Management, Report No. 2001-01-H (Washington D.C.: October 2002).

63 40 C.F.R. pt. 68. EPA’s list of regulated toxic and flammable substances is found at 40 C.F.R. § 68.130.

64 As part of settlement agreements resolving legal challenges to EPA’s list by members of the explosives industry, EPA agreed to propose de-listing high explosives and the industry members agreed to develop and implement certain safety practices and to dismiss their legal challenge if the agency finalized the de-listing. EPA concluded that existing regulations and these industry practices were adequate to protect the public and finalized the de-listing. The industry members withdrew their legal challenge. List of Regulated Substances and Thresholds for Accidental Release Prevention; Amendments, 63 Fed. Reg. 640, 641 (Jan. 6, 1998).
nitrate could have been included in the RMP regulations, but ammonium nitrate was not included because it was not considered a toxic or flammable chemical, which were among the criteria EPA used when the agency first developed the regulations. Accordingly, ammonium nitrate is not a covered chemical and EPA inspectors do not review facilities' risk management plans for this chemical during their RMP inspections. In 2006, EPA conducted an on-site inspection of the West, Texas facility, but the inspection focused on anhydrous ammonia, not ammonium nitrate.

Under the Executive Order, OSHA and EPA Are Seeking Information on Expanding Regulation and Oversight of Ammonium Nitrate, but Have Not Yet Proposed Any Regulatory Changes

In response to the August 2013 Executive Order on Improving Chemical Facility Safety and Security, OSHA and EPA, as part of the federal working group, have invited public comment on a wide range of policy options for overseeing the housing and handling of hazardous chemicals in the United States. Because they are still evaluating these options, the agencies have not issued any notices of proposed rulemaking. As directed by the Executive Order, in December 2013, OSHA issued a Request for Information on potential revisions to its PSM and related regulations, including its ammonium nitrate storage regulations. OSHA’s Request for Information also seeks public input on changing the agency’s enforcement policy concerning the retailer exemption in the PSM regulations. In the Request for Information, OSHA states that “The West Fertilizer facility is not currently covered by PSM, however it is a stark example of how potential modernization of the PSM standard may include such facilities and prevent future catastrophe.” In addition, as chair of one of the workgroups established to implement the Executive Order, OSHA solicited public input in January 2014 on federal policy options for improved chemical safety and security, including whether to expand OSHA’s PSM regulations and EPA’s RMP regulations to cover ammonium nitrate, among other options. This solicitation also sought

65 When it established its list of regulated substances, EPA included substances that met specified criteria for toxic, flammable, and explosive substances. For explosives, EPA selected substances that were given a certain explosive classification by the Department of Transportation. List of Regulated Substances and Thresholds for Accidental Release Prevention, 59 Fed. Reg. 4478 (Jan. 31, 1994). The Department of Transportation does not classify ammonium nitrate fertilizer as an explosive. 49 C.F.R. § 172.101.


67 These policy options have been published to OSHA’s website and public comments may be obtained through the website www.regulations.gov.
public input on whether federal agencies should examine the use of third party audits to promote safe storage and handling of ammonium nitrate. The solicitation defined third party audits as inspections conducted by independent auditors, retained by a chemical facility, who make process safety and regulatory compliance recommendations.\textsuperscript{68} In an ongoing pilot project in selected states implemented in response to the Executive Order, federal agencies report improved coordination of inspections, such as sharing inspection schedules, cross-training inspectors, and inter-agency referrals of possible regulatory non-compliance.

Some Countries Regulate and Oversee Ammonium Nitrate By Imposing Requirements on Facilities, Conducting Inspections, and Supporting Industry Initiatives to Promote Compliance

\textsuperscript{68} In December 2012, the Administrative Conference of the United States, an independent federal agency dedicated to improving the regulatory process, published a recommendation on agency use of third-party programs to assess regulatory compliance. The recommendation refers to existing third-party inspection programs in which regulated entities generally contract with and pay third parties to carry out activities such as facility inspections. Regulatory agencies then adopt new roles in coordinating and overseeing these third parties. The Administrative Conference of the United States recommended that federal agencies consider various factors, such as resources and incentives to participate in a third-party inspection program, when deciding whether or not to develop such a program. It also acknowledges that certain statutory or other legal restrictions may preclude an agency from using third parties to conduct inspections and other compliance assistance activities. Adoption of Recommendations, 78 Fed. Reg. 2939, 2941-43 (Jan. 15, 2013).
According to foreign officials and government documents, Canada and the three EU countries we contacted—France, Germany, and the United Kingdom—require facilities with specified quantities of ammonium nitrate, including fertilizer grade ammonium nitrate, to assess its risk and develop plans or policies to control the risks and mitigate the consequences of accidents. Like the United States, these countries are members of the OECD, which has published best practices for managing the risks of chemical accidents. The OECD publication includes guidance on preventing and mitigating the consequences of chemical accidents, preparedness planning, and land use planning, among other things. For example, OECD’s guidance recommends that regulatory authorities ensure that facilities with hazardous substances assess the range of possible accidents and require hazardous facilities to submit reports describing the hazards and the steps taken to prevent accidents.

With respect to assessing the risks of ammonium nitrate, according to Canadian officials and Canadian government documents, ammonium nitrate is regulated under the country’s Environmental Emergency Regulations, which include risk management provisions. According to guidance published by Environment Canada, a federal-level regulatory agency, facilities that store 22 tons or more of ammonium nitrate must develop and implement an environmental emergency plan. In developing an emergency plan, facilities are directed to analyze the risks posed during the storage and handling processes for certain chemicals and adopt practices to reduce the risks, taking into consideration the impact a chemical accident would have on the surrounding community.

According to information provided by EU officials, facilities in the 28 member countries of the EU with specific quantities of ammonium nitrate

---

69 We did not conduct an independent legal analysis to verify the information provided about the laws, regulations, or policies of the foreign countries selected for this study.

70 The OECD is an intergovernmental organization in which representatives meet to coordinate and harmonize policies, discuss issues of mutual interest, and respond to international concerns. Currently, there are 34 member countries.


72 This includes mixtures that are 60 percent ammonium nitrate by weight and that are in solid form and mixtures that are 81 percent ammonium nitrate by weight and that are in liquid form.
fertilizer are subject to the Seveso Directive, the EU legislation for facilities that use or store large quantities of certain toxic, explosive, and flammable substances, among other types of chemicals. At a minimum, EU officials told us that EU member countries must comply with the Seveso Directive, although they have the option to adopt more stringent requirements. The legislation was adopted after a chemical accident in Seveso, Italy in 1976 that exposed thousands of people to the toxic chemical known as dioxin. Under the Seveso Directive, last updated in 2012, member countries are to require facilities with large amounts of ammonium nitrate fertilizer to notify the appropriate authority in their respective country, adopt a major accident prevention policy, and in some cases, develop a detailed safety report (see table 5).

Currently, the Seveso Directive specifically covers four different types of ammonium nitrate, and reporting requirements for facilities vary depending on the quantity of ammonium nitrate they hold. The four types of ammonium nitrate covered are described in the Seveso Directive as: (1) ammonium nitrate fertilizers capable of self-sustaining decomposition, (2) fertilizer grade ammonium nitrate, (3) technical grade ammonium nitrate, and (4) “off-specs” material and fertilizers not fulfilling the detonation test. Threshold quantities vary depending on the type of ammonium nitrate. Fertilizer grade ammonium nitrate is defined in the Seveso Directive as straight ammonium nitrate-based fertilizers and ammonium nitrate-based compound/composite fertilizers that contain certain percentages of nitrogen from ammonium nitrate by weight. For more specific information, see Annex I of Directive 2012/18/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council on the Control of Major-Accident Hazards Involving Dangerous Substances, Amending and Subsequently Repealing Council Directive 96/82/EC (July 4, 2012). For purposes of this report, we focus on examples involving fertilizer grade ammonium nitrate.

According to information provided by EU officials, the EU began regulating ammonium nitrate fertilizer in 1982. Subsequent to the adoption of the original Seveso Directive in 1982, there have been two replacement directives. Seveso II was adopted in 1996 and introduced requirements related to emergency planning and land use planning, among other revisions. Seveso II was amended in 2003 and changes were made to the descriptions of the ammonium nitrate categories and thresholds modifying the criteria for which facilities are covered under the Directive, among other changes. These changes were made based on an analysis of findings from the 2001 accident in Toulouse, France. Seveso III was adopted on July 4, 2012 and entered into force on August 13, 2012. EU member countries have until June 1, 2015 to implement the Seveso III Directive. Revisions include stricter standards for inspections to ensure more effective enforcement, and stricter requirements for providing information to the public, particularly those likely to be affected by a major accident, among other changes. This information was provided and/or reviewed by EU officials, for more details, see European Union, Directive 2012/18/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council on the Control of Major-Accident Hazards Involving Dangerous Substances, Amending and Subsequently Repealing Council Directive 96/82/EC (July 4, 2012).
Table 5: Selected Key Requirements and Corresponding Threshold Quantities in the European Union’s Seveso III Directive for Facilities with Fertilizer Grade Ammonium Nitrate

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Summary of directive requirement</th>
<th>Threshold quantity (in tons)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Notification</td>
<td>1,378</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facilities are required to notify the appropriate authority in their country by submitting the names and quantities of chemicals present, activities performed, and details about neighboring establishments, including areas likely to increase the risk or consequences of a major accident.</td>
<td>1,378</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Major accident prevention policy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facilities are required to document how they plan to prevent accidents and protect human health and the environment, including identifying and evaluating major hazards and planning for emergencies, among other activities, and submit the document to the appropriate authority in their country.</td>
<td>1,378</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Safety report</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facilities are required to produce a safety report demonstrating that major accident hazards and scenarios have been indentified and that measures have been taken to prevent such accidents, and send the report to the appropriate authority in their country.</td>
<td>5,512</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: The Seveso III Directive and information provided by EU officials.

Note: The Seveso III Directive was adopted on July 4, 2012 and entered into force on August 13, 2012. EU member countries have until June 1, 2015 to implement the Seveso III Directive.

Some countries, such as France and the United Kingdom, have other requirements for notifying authorities about the types and quantities of chemicals at facilities, including certain types of ammonium nitrate. In the United Kingdom, officials told us that facilities with 28 tons or more of certain types of ammonium nitrate must notify the Health and Safety Executive or local authority and the fire authorities. French officials said that facilities with more than 276 tons of ammonium nitrate fertilizer must notify local authorities about their holdings.

75 According to United Kingdom officials we interviewed, these requirements apply to grades of ammonium nitrate that are classified as oxidizers. The relevant regulations that require facilities to notify authorities are The Dangerous Substances (Notification and Marking of Sites) Regulations 1990. These regulations are primarily intended to alert fire authorities to any special firefighting hazards likely to exist at facilities. The Health and Safety Executive is a non-departmental United Kingdom government body.

76 More specifically, according to officials, facilities with more than 276 tons but less than 551 tons of ammonium nitrate fertilizer that is more than 28 percent nitrogen from ammonium nitrate by weight and complies with EU standards, including passing a detonation resistance test, are required to notify local authorities. According to a French official, facilities with 11 tons or more of “off-spec” ammonium nitrate that does not comply with certain EU standards are classified as Seveso facilities.
The selected countries we reviewed generally reported having more centralized land use policies that specify where facilities with large quantities of ammonium nitrate should be located. For example, EU officials explained that the Seveso Directive requires member countries to develop and implement land use policies. Through controls on the siting of new Seveso facilities and new developments in the vicinity of such facilities, such as transportation routes and residential areas, they told us, member countries’ policies aim to limit the consequences of chemical accidents for human health and the environment. In the United Kingdom, officials told us that facilities intending to store more than 1,102 tons of ammonium nitrate must first receive permission from their local planning authority to do so for relevant ammonium nitrate materials. They explained that these local planning authorities consider the hazards and risks to people in surrounding areas and consult with the Health and Safety Executive prior to granting permission to such facilities.

Three of the countries we reviewed—France, Germany, and the United Kingdom—restrict the use of wood for storage purposes in certain instances, according to information and documents provided by relevant officials. EU officials told us that the Seveso Directive does not prescribe how chemicals, including ammonium nitrate, should be stored. EU countries have developed their own technical standards or rely on industry standards for storing and handling ammonium nitrate. For example, according to information provided by French officials, after several accidents involving ammonium nitrate fertilizer, the government in France launched a working group to update existing ammonium nitrate regulations, including storage and handling requirements. They described the most recent regulations in France, issued in 2010, which include updated fire resistance provisions for new and existing facilities banning or restricting the use of materials such as wood and asphalt flooring for storing ammonium nitrate. Specifically, according to documents provided by French officials, the regulations direct facilities not to store ammonium nitrate fertilizer in structures with wood walls or sides.77 According to an official in Germany, strict storage requirements for using certain types of ammonium nitrate fertilizer have led many farmers to voluntarily use an

77 In this example, ammonium nitrate fertilizer refers to solid straight and compound fertilizers with specific percentages of nitrogen from ammonium nitrate by weight.
alternative type of fertilizer, known as calcium ammonium nitrate.\footnote{The German official told us that German regulations apply to the storage, filling, and in-house transport of ammonium nitrate and ammonium nitrate-based preparations. In Germany, ammonium nitrate and ammonium nitrate-based preparations are classified into five groups based on their hazardous properties. For more information, see Germany’s Hazardous Substances Ordinance, Federal Institute for Occupational Safety and Health, last amended July 15, 2013.} For example, she explained that, in Germany, certain kinds of ammonium nitrate must be divided into quantities of 28 tons prior to storage, and quantities are separated by concrete walls. In addition, certain ammonium nitrate and ammonium nitrate-based preparations must be separated from combustible materials, for example by brick or concrete walls. Guidance in the United Kingdom also recommends that buildings for storing ammonium nitrate should be constructed of material that does not burn, such as concrete, bricks, or steel, as does the recent advisory in the United States published by OSHA, EPA, and ATF.

Foreign Oversight Approaches and Industry Initiatives Include Guidance on Safe Practices, Requirements for Routine Inspections, and Voluntary Third Party Audit Programs

Guidance on Safe Practices. In the countries we reviewed, government entities developed materials to help facilities with ammonium nitrate fertilizer comply with safety regulations.\footnote{According to an official we interviewed from an international fertilizer association, using calcium ammonium nitrate, which is a mixture of ammonium nitrate with limestone and/or dolomite, entails some incremental cost associated with the additional weight of the material added to the ammonium nitrate. According to a German official, under normal storage conditions, calcium ammonium nitrate fulfilling certain requirements is considered a safer fertilizer than straight ammonium nitrate fertilizer in terms of preventing accidental detonation, and large protection distances are used for straight ammonium nitrate fertilizer in case of accidental detonation. However, calcium ammonium nitrate fertilizer can still be used to make weapons, such as improvised explosive devices.} For example, in the United Kingdom, the government published guidance on storing and handling ammonium nitrate that illustrates proper storage practices and is written in plain language. The United Kingdom also developed a checklist that facilities can use as a compliance tool to determine whether they are meeting safe storage requirements. In Canada, Environment Canada issued a guidance document in 2011 so that facilities covered by its Environmental Emergency Regulations, including facilities with certain types and amounts of ammonium nitrate, can better understand and comply with regulatory requirements.

\footnote{OECD’s Guiding Principles for Chemical Accident Prevention, Preparedness and Response directs public authorities to provide facilities with clear, easy to understand guidance on how regulatory requirements can be met.}
The EU compiles information about chemical accidents and disseminates publications that include guidance on how facilities can prevent future incidents. Specifically, the EU has a system for reporting major accidents, including accidents involving ammonium nitrate, and tracks the information in a central database.\textsuperscript{81} For example, as of January 2014, this database contained information on several incidents involving ammonium nitrate dating back to 1986. EU researchers use this information to develop semi-annual publications in order to facilitate the exchange of lessons learned from accidents for both industry and government regulators. Each publication focuses on a particular theme such as a specific substance, industry, or practice, and summarizes the causes of related accidents and lessons learned to help prevent future accidents.

EU officials told us that the next publication will be issued in the summer of 2014 and will focus on the hazards of ammonium nitrate in part as a result of the explosion that occurred in West, Texas.

\textit{Routine Inspections.} In the EU, member countries are required to inspect facilities with large quantities of chemicals covered by the Seveso Directive, which includes facilities with ammonium nitrate.\textsuperscript{82} According to EU officials and documents, the EU’s Seveso Directive requires covered facilities to be inspected either annually or once every 3 years, depending on the amount of hazardous chemicals a facility has—the greater the amount, the more frequent the inspection. EU officials also explained that member countries are required to report information to the European Commission every 3 years on how they are implementing the Seveso Directive requirements, including the number of facilities that have been inspected in their country.\textsuperscript{83} According to a report published by the European Commission in June 2013, member countries reported in December 2011 that they had 10,314 covered facilities. According to the report, of those facilities to be inspected annually, 66 percent were

\textsuperscript{81} For more information, see the European Commission’s Major Accident Reporting System https://emars.jrc.ec.europa.eu/.

\textsuperscript{82} OECD’s \textit{Guiding Principles for Chemical Accident Prevention, Preparedness and Response} directs public authorities to ensure safety requirements are met through appropriate inspection and enforcement measures, such as periodically inspecting safety performance in hazardous facilities.

\textsuperscript{83} Under the new Seveso III Directive, member countries are required to report information to the European Commission every 4 years.
inspected, on average, in 2011, and of those facilities to be inspected once every 3 years, 43 percent were inspected, on average, in 2011.84

Voluntary Initiatives and Third Party Audits. In the countries we reviewed, the fertilizer industry has actively promoted voluntary compliance with national safety requirements among facilities with ammonium nitrate fertilizer. For example, Fertilizers Europe, which represents the major fertilizer manufacturers in Europe, published guidance in 2007 for the storage and handling of ammonium nitrate-based fertilizers. This guidance recommends that buildings used to store ammonium nitrate-based fertilizers be constructed of non-readily combustible materials such as brick, concrete, or steel and that wood or other combustible materials be avoided, among other things.85 Fertilizers Europe has also developed a compliance program that is a key requirement for membership, which consists of independent third party audits. As part of the program, it developed a self assessment tool for fertilizer manufacturers to use to identify gaps and possible improvements.

In the United Kingdom, the government and the fertilizer industry worked together in 2006 to develop a voluntary compliance program for facilities that manufacture and store fertilizers, among other activities, including ammonium nitrate-based fertilizers.86 According to a United Kingdom official, the government provided some of the initial funding for this initiative, and the voluntary compliance program is now self financed. Although the program was initially focused on fertilizer security, it has evolved over the years to also address fertilizer safety in the United Kingdom. As part of the voluntary compliance program, participating facilities carry out risk assessments. These facilities are audited annually by an independent audit team comprised of specialists to determine whether they comply with industry and government standards, including standards for safely storing and handling ammonium nitrate fertilizer.

84 These facilities are not just facilities with ammonium nitrate, but include facilities with more than threshold amounts of all of the chemicals covered by Seveso. For more information see European Commission, Report on the Application in the Member States of Directive 96/82/EC on the control of major-accident hazards involving dangerous substances for the period 2009-2011 (Brussels, June 2, 2013).


86 The voluntary compliance program in the United Kingdom is known as the Fertilizer Industry Assurance Scheme.
Officials we interviewed in the United Kingdom told us that the government encourages and supports this industry initiative and that about 90 percent of facilities with ammonium nitrate in the United Kingdom, including those that have small quantities, are members of the voluntary program.87 A United Kingdom official said, in his opinion, one would expect facilities participating in this industry initiative to be more likely to be found in compliance by the government when it conducts its own inspections. Furthermore, government officials, industry representatives, and program administrators meet twice a year to discuss how the program is being implemented and monitored.

Conclusions

Large quantities of ammonium nitrate are present in the United States, although the precise number of facilities with ammonium nitrate is not known. While incidents involving ammonium nitrate are rare, this chemical can react in ways that harm significant numbers of people and devastate communities. Facilities may be required, in certain circumstances, to report their chemical holdings to federal, state, and local authorities for security and emergency planning purposes. However, given the various reporting requirements and numerous reporting exemptions, some facilities may be uncertain about what to report to whom. Through the new Executive Order, federal agencies including DHS, EPA, and OSHA have the opportunity to work together on data sharing initiatives to help identify facilities with ammonium nitrate fertilizer. Such data sharing could help federal agencies identify facilities that are not complying with their regulations and enable OSHA to target high risk facilities with ammonium nitrate for inspection. Without improved coordination among the various federal and state agencies that collect data on facilities that store potentially hazardous chemicals, identifying facilities with ammonium nitrate for purposes of increasing awareness of the hazards and improving regulatory compliance will remain a challenge.

Although OSHA has requirements for storing ammonium nitrate fertilizer in its Explosives and Blasting Agents regulations that could reduce the likelihood of an explosion, OSHA has done little to ensure that the fertilizer industry, which is one of the primary users of ammonium nitrate, understands how to comply with its existing regulations. The August 2013

---

87 The voluntary program in the United Kingdom does not apply to end users, such as farms.
chemical advisory and OSHA’s February 2014 letter to facilities help clarify how OSHA’s Explosives and Blasting Agents regulations apply to fertilizer facilities. However, without additional action by OSHA to promote awareness of how to comply with its regulations, fertilizer facilities may not know whether their practices are in compliance with OSHA’s existing ammonium nitrate storage regulations or if changes need to be made. Moreover, unless OSHA takes steps to leverage additional resources to support its enforcement efforts, whether through enhanced targeting or coordination with other agencies or outside parties, beginning with encouraging voluntary compliance with ammonium nitrate regulations through various industry initiatives, it will not know the extent to which dangerous conditions at some facilities may continue to exist.

While much can be achieved under current regulations, OSHA and EPA’s regulations contain gaps with respect to ammonium nitrate that may allow unsafe facilities to operate and poor planning to persist. OSHA has not significantly changed its ammonium nitrate storage regulations since they were issued in 1971, which means that fertilizer facilities may be adhering to outdated practices. For example, other countries we reviewed have revisited and updated their ammonium nitrate regulations and the National Fire Protection Association is considering making changes to its ammonium nitrate storage standards as a result of the explosion in West, Texas. In addition, as a result of incidents involving ammonium nitrate abroad, countries in the European Union and Canada require facilities to assess the risks of working with ammonium nitrate fertilizer, and the European Union requires member countries to routinely inspect facilities that have very large quantities of it. These approaches offer examples of how the risks of ammonium nitrate can be managed. Although increased regulation may be more burdensome to industry, without some means of ensuring that high risk facilities plan for and manage the risks associated with ammonium nitrate, such facilities may not be prompted to adequately address the risks the chemical creates for workers and neighboring communities.

**Recommendations for Executive Action**

1. To improve federal oversight of facilities with ammonium nitrate, we recommend that the Secretary of Labor, the Administrator of EPA, and the Secretary of Homeland Security, as part of their efforts as members of the Chemical Facility Safety and Security Working Group established by the Executive Order issued in August 2013, develop and implement methods of improving data sharing among federal agencies and with states.
2. We also recommend that the Secretary of Labor direct the Assistant Secretary for Occupational Safety and Health to take the following three actions:

   • Extend OSHA's outreach to the fertilizer industry. For example, OSHA could work with the fertilizer industry to develop and disseminate informational materials related to storage of ammonium nitrate.

   • Take steps to identify high risk facilities working with ammonium nitrate and develop options to target them for inspection.

   • Consider updating regulations for the storage of ammonium nitrate taking into consideration, as appropriate, other related standards and current practices.

3. To strengthen federal oversight of facilities with ammonium nitrate, we recommend that the Secretary of Labor and the Administrator of EPA direct OSHA and EPA, respectively, to consider revising their related regulations to cover ammonium nitrate and jointly develop a plan to require high risk facilities with ammonium nitrate to assess the risks and implement safeguards to prevent accidents involving this chemical.

We provided a draft of this report to the Administrator of EPA, the Secretary of Homeland Security, and the Secretary of Labor for review and comment. We received written comments from EPA, DHS, and OSHA, which are reproduced in appendices I, II, and III. EPA, DHS, and OSHA agreed with our recommendation that the agencies improve data sharing and described their current efforts to address this issue as part of their implementation of the Executive Order on Improving Chemical Facility Safety and Security. The agencies stated that a status report by the Executive Order Working Group, which will be submitted to the President by the end of May, 2014, will include proposals for enhancing data sharing among federal agencies and with states.

OSHA agreed with our recommendation that the agency conduct additional outreach to the fertilizer industry, stating that additional outreach efforts will be identified in the Executive Order status report and that these efforts should help the fertilizer industry understand OSHA’s safety requirements and industry best practices. OSHA also agreed with our recommendation that the agency target high risk facilities for inspection, stating that the agency is evaluating options for targeting high risk fertilizer facilities for inspection.
OSHA and EPA agreed with our recommendation that the agencies consider revising their regulations to cover ammonium nitrate. OSHA is currently reviewing public comments submitted in response to a Request for Information on a proposed revision to the agency’s Process Safety Management and Prevention of Major Chemical Accidents regulations and the request for public input on issues associated with Section 6 of the Executive Order, which addresses Policy, Regulation, and Standards Modernization. EPA stated that the agency will be publishing a Request for Information seeking public input on its proposed revision to process safety and risk management issues relevant to its Risk Management Program regulations, including coverage of ammonium nitrate. In addition, EPA, DHS, and OSHA provided technical comments, which we have incorporated as appropriate. We also provided portions of the draft report related to each of the four countries we reviewed to relevant officials from each country, and incorporated their technical comments, as appropriate.

As agreed with your offices, unless you publicly announce the contents of this report earlier, we plan no further distribution until 30 days from the report date. At that time, we will send copies to the appropriate congressional committees, the Administrator of EPA, the Secretary of Homeland Security, the Secretary of Labor, and other interested parties. In addition, the report will be available at no charge on the GAO website at http://www.gao.gov.

If you or your staffs have any questions concerning this report, please contact me at (202) 512-7215 or moranr@gao.gov. Contact points for our Offices of Congressional Relations and Public Affairs may be found on the last page of this report. Key contributors to this report are listed in appendix IV.

Revae Moran, Director
Education, Workforce, and Income Security Issues
Appendix I: Comments from the Environmental Protection Agency

Ms. Revae E. Moran
Director
Education, Workforce, and Income Security Issues
U.S. Government Accountability Office
441 G Street, NW
Washington, DC 20548

Dear Ms. Moran:

Thank you for the opportunity to review and comment on GAO’s draft report, “Chemical Safety: Actions Needed to Improve Federal Oversight of Facilities with Ammonium Nitrate.” Your draft report included three recommendations, two of which were addressed to the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). The purpose of this letter is to provide our Agency response to these particular recommendations. EPA generally agrees with the findings, conclusions, and recommendations reached by the GAO.

As your draft report highlights, federal data provide insight into the number of facilities in the United States with ammonium nitrate but do not provide a complete picture because of reporting exemptions and other data limitations. Although federal law requires certain facilities to report their ammonium nitrate holdings to state and local authorities for emergency planning purposes, these data are not easily accessible to federal agencies because states are not required to report them to federal agencies, and each state determines how to share its own data. As part of implementing Executive Order 13650 - Improving Chemical Facility Safety and Security, which was issued in August 2013, federal agencies are exploring options for improving data sharing.

Your report also includes examples of approaches for overseeing ammonium nitrate facilities used in several foreign countries. Review of those countries’ regulations indicates that facilities with specified quantities of ammonium nitrate are required to assess their risk and develop plans or policies to prevent chemical accidents.

GAO Recommendation

To improve federal oversight of facilities with ammonium nitrate, we recommend that the Secretary of Labor, the Administrator of EPA, and the Secretary of Homeland Security, as part of their efforts as members of the Chemical Facility Safety and Security Working Group established by the Executive Order issued in August 2013, develop and implement methods of improving data sharing among federal agencies and with states.
EPA Response

EPA agrees and, as part of the efforts under the Executive Order\(^1\), the EPA, the Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA), and the Department of Homeland Security (DHS) are clarifying the capabilities and needs of the various federal agencies for chemical facility data and developing a mechanism for aggregating chemical facility information from the various federal agencies and sharing it among the agencies. In the final report to the President, which is due by the end of May, the EO Working Group will provide more specific information on how and when these actions will be completed.

GAO Recommendation

To strengthen federal oversight of facilities with ammonium nitrate, we recommend that the Secretary of Labor and the Administrator of EPA direct OSHA and EPA to consider revising their related regulations to cover ammonium nitrate and jointly develop a plan to require high-risk facilities with ammonium nitrate to assess the risks and implement safeguards to prevent accidents involving this chemical.

EPA Response

EPA agrees and, as part of the efforts under the Executive Order, the EPA and OSHA are working together along with DHS, the Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms, and Explosives in the Department of Justice, and the Department of Agriculture to identify gaps in the current regulatory structure for ammonium nitrate and develop a plan to address those gaps. In the final report to the President, which is due by the end of May, the EO Working Group will provide more specific information on how and when these actions will be completed. In addition, EPA will be publishing a Request for Information seeking public input on process safety and risk management issues relevant to the Risk Management Program regulation, including coverage of ammonium nitrate.

In closing, thank you for the opportunity to review and respond to the draft GAO report. If you have any questions, please contact Kimberly Jennings at (202) 564-7998.

Sincerely,

Mathy Stanislus
Assistant Administrator

Enclosure

\(^1\)Executive Order 13650, Improving Chemical Facility Security and Safety (August 7, 2013).
Appendix II: Comments from the Department of Homeland Security

April 24, 2014

Revae Moran
Director, Education, Workforce, and Income Security Issues
U.S. Government Accountability Office
441 G Street, NW
Washington, DC 20548


Dear Ms. Moran:

Thank you for the opportunity to review and comment on this draft report. The U.S. Department of Homeland Security (DHS) appreciates the U.S. Government Accountability Office’s (GAO’s) work in planning and conducting its review and issuing this report.

As noted in the report, ammonium nitrate has been involved in several major chemical accidents over the past century. After the April 2013 incident involving the detonation of ammonium nitrate in West, Texas, that killed at least 14 people and injured more than 200 others, the President issued Executive Order (EO) 13650: “Improving Chemical Facility Safety and Security”, in August 2013. The Department is pleased to note GAO’s recognition that DHS is working with the Department of Labor’s Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA), and the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), to identify ways of enhancing the safety and security of facilities that possess ammonium nitrate and other potentially hazardous chemicals, in accordance with EO 13650.

While ammonium nitrate has many significant and legitimate commercial uses, its potential to explode has made it an attractive ingredient used by terrorists in attacks domestically and abroad, and continues to present a security threat. Based on the myriad of safety and security concerns presented by ammonium nitrate, regulating facilities that possess ammonium nitrate is a shared responsibility that involves multiple federal agencies.

In preparing its report, GAO met with representatives from DHS’s National Protection and Programs Directorate’s (NPPD) Infrastructure Security Compliance Division, which is responsible for overseeing the security at high-risk chemical facilities under the Chemical Facility Anti-Terrorism Standards (CFATS) program. Under CFATS, regulatory requirements may be imposed upon chemical facilities that possess threshold levels of various chemicals of interest, one of which is ammonium nitrate. For this effort, GAO’s engagement with DHS focused on the utility of CFATS data for determining how many facilities within the United...
States possess ammonium nitrate. As discussed in the draft report, for a variety of reasons, such as the use of screening threshold quantities for determining regulatory requirements and existing statutory exemptions to CFATS for certain types of facilities, the data possessed by DHS is of limited utility in ascertaining the total number of facilities within the United States that possess ammonium nitrate.

The draft report contained one recommendation directed to DHS with which the Department concurs. Specifically, GAO recommended that the Secretary of Labor, the Administrator of the EPA, and the Secretary of Homeland Security, as a part of their efforts as members of the Chemical Facility Safety and Security Working Group established by EO 13650:

**Recommendation:** Develop and implement methods of improving data sharing among federal agencies and with states.

**Response:** Concur. Since the establishment of the Chemical Safety and Security Working Group under EO 13650—which is tri-chaired by DHS, EPA, and OSHA—DHS has been working with federal and state partners to identify ways to enhance data sharing with facilities possessing ammonium nitrate. DHS and other members of the Chemical Safety and Security Working Group are in the process of developing a final report to the President on the status of ongoing and planned activities to implement EO 13650. That report, which is due to the White House in May 2014, will include, among other things, the working group’s proposals for developing and implementing methods of improving data sharing among federal agencies and with states. Once the final report is submitted to the White House and is ready for public dissemination, DHS will share the report and the interagency group’s proposals for improving data sharing with GAO. Estimated Completion Date (ECD): May 31, 2014.

Again, thank you for the opportunity to review and provide comment on this draft report. Technical comments were provided under separate cover. Please feel free to contact me if you have any questions. We look forward to working with you in the future.

Sincerely,

Jim H. Crumpacker, CIA, CFE
Director
Departmental GAO-OIG Liaison Office
Appendix III: Comments from the Department of Labor

U.S. Department of Labor

Assistant Secretary for Occupational Safety and Health
Washington, D.C. 20210

APR 30 2014

Ms. Revae E. Moran, Director
Education, Workforce, and Income Security Issues
U.S. Government Accountability Office
441 G Street, NW
Washington, DC 20548

Dear Ms. Moran:

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Government Accountability Office’s (GAO) proposed report, Chemical Safety: Actions Needed to Improve Federal Oversight of Facilities with Ammonium Nitrate. The following comments are submitted on behalf of the Department of Labor’s Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA).

The purpose of the report was to determine how many facilities in the United States have ammonium nitrate, how OSHA and EPA regulate these facilities, and what approaches other countries use to oversee ammonium nitrate facilities. As a result of your findings, GAO recommends that agencies improve data sharing, that OSHA conduct outreach to the fertilizer industry and target high risk facilities for inspection, and that EPA and OSHA consider revising ammonium nitrate regulations.

OSHA has the authority to protect workers, including from hazards associated with ammonium nitrate. Specifically, OSHA’s standard governing Explosives and Blasting Agents, 29 CFR 1910.109, has requirements for safe storage of ammonia nitrate. OSHA’s Process Safety Management of Highly Hazardous Chemicals standard, 29 CFR 1910.119 (PSM), is intended to prevent or minimize consequences of catastrophic releases of highly hazardous chemicals, which are defined in the standard. The PSM standard does not identify ammonium nitrate among the highly hazardous chemicals that fall within the scope of the standard, and therefore the standard does not apply to facilities solely because ammonium nitrate is present.

As the report mentions, in August, 2013, the President signed Executive Order (EO) 13650, Improving Chemical Facility Safety and Security. The EO established a Working Group co-chaired by the Secretary of Homeland Security, the Administrator of the EPA, and the Secretary of Labor. The Working Group is tasked with improving the safety and security of U.S. chemical facilities, including those facilities that have ammonium nitrate.

You recommend that the Working Group develop and implement methods of improving data sharing among federal agencies and with states. As you know, the EO directs the Working Group to “produce a proposal for a coordinated, flexible data-sharing process which can be utilized to track data submitted to agencies for federally regulated chemical facilities...”² It also requires the Working Group to “identify and recommend possible changes to streamline and otherwise improve data collection to meet the needs of the public and Federal, State, local, and tribal agencies (including those charged with protecting workers and the public)...”² The Working Group’s findings and recommendations will be detailed in a
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270 Day Status Report due to the President at the end of May. We believe the actions recommended in the Status Report will satisfy your recommendation.

You also recommend that OSHA extend its outreach to the fertilizer industry. OSHA and the Working Group have already identified ways to reach out to the fertilizer industry to assist with understanding and compliance with OSHA requirements. As you mention, the Working Group issued a Chemical Advisory on the Safe Storage, Handling, and Management of Ammonium Nitrate and OSHA sent letters to facilities through industry organizations to clarify regulatory requirements. OSHA is also actively working with the Agricultural Retailers Association (ARA) to form an Alliance that would provide ARA members and others with information, guidance, and access to training resources on health and safety hazards in the agricultural supply industry. These and additional outreach actions, which will be identified in the EO 270 Day Status Report, should further serve to help the fertilizer industry understand OSHA’s safety requirements, which have been in place since 1971, and highlight industry best practices.

In addition, you recommend that OSHA take steps to identify high risk facilities working with ammonium nitrate and develop options to target them for inspection. As you note in your report, OSHA has a National Emphasis Program (NEP) in place to reduce or eliminate the workplace hazards associated with the catastrophic release of highly hazardous chemicals. The NEP allows for programmed inspections to be conducted in facilities that are known to OSHA as having a risk of catastrophic releases. Because ammonium nitrate is not covered by our PSM standard, many fertilizer facilities would not have been targeted for programmed inspections. As your report mentions, OSHA has limited resources and must use inspection targeting judiciously to ensure we are able to visit the highest risk employers. Prior to the incident at West, TX in 2013, OSHA was not aware of significant issues in the fertilizer industry that would have led us to develop targeting for fertilizer facilities. Following the incident at West, TX, we are evaluating options for targeting high risk fertilizer facilities for programmed inspections.

In closing you recommend that OSHA update and expand regulations for ammonium nitrate to be consistent with other related standards and practices. Further, you recommend that OSHA and EPA consider revising their related regulations to cover ammonium nitrate and jointly develop a plan to require high risk facilities with ammonium nitrate to assess risks and implement safeguards to prevent incidents. As you are aware, OSHA recently issued a Request for Information (RFI) on Process Safety Management and Prevention of Major Chemical Accidents and the EO Working Group published a public request for feedback on issues associated with Section 6 of the EO, which addresses Policy, Regulation, and Standards Modernization. Both of these documents requested input on policy and regulatory changes to improve ammonium nitrate safety. OSHA is currently reviewing the submitted comments and we will use this information to inform our decisions on regulatory updates and revisions.

OSHA appreciates the time and effort that GAO took to review federal oversight of ammonium nitrate safety. We believe that we have already made significant improvements to reduce the likelihood of ammonium nitrate incidents like that at West, TX. We will continue to improve ammonium nitrate safety through both OSHA and EO Working Group actions.

Sincerely,

David Michaels, PhD, MPH
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