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National Preparedness: Actions Taken by FEMA to Implement Select Provisions of the Post-Katrina Emergency Management Reform Act of 2006

On August 29, 2005, and in the ensuing days, Hurricanes Katrina, Rita, and Wilma devastated the Gulf Coast region of the United States. Hurricane Katrina alone affected more than a half-million people located within approximately 90,000 square miles spanning Louisiana, Mississippi, and Alabama; ultimately resulted in over 1,600 deaths; and triggered one of the largest natural disaster relief and recovery operations in U.S. history. In the wake of these hurricanes, we and others issued reports and made recommendations related to the federal response to these events, concluding that the government was not adequately prepared to respond to catastrophic incidents. In October 2006, the Post-Katrina Emergency Management Reform Act of 2006 (Post-Katrina Act) was enacted.  

1 Beginning in February 2006, reports by the House Select Bipartisan Committee to Investigate the Preparation for and Response to Hurricane Katrina, the Senate Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs Committee, the White House Homeland Security Council, the Department of Homeland Security (DHS) Inspector General, DHS, and the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) all identified a variety of failures and some strengths in the preparations for, response to, and initial recovery from Hurricane Katrina. We have issued a range of reports on emergency management and catastrophic disasters, including Hurricane Katrina, a selection of which which are listed on the Related GAO Products page.

Almost 3 years prior to the hurricanes, and just over 1 year after the terrorist attacks of September 11, 2001, the Homeland Security Act of 2002 created the Department of Homeland Security. The act merged 22 disparate agencies and organizations, including FEMA, into the new department. This act also generally charged DHS with securing the homeland against terrorist attacks and carrying out the functions of all transferred entities, including acting as a focal point regarding natural and man-made crises and emergency planning. Among its responsibilities, DHS was to build a comprehensive national incident management system comprising all levels of government and consolidate existing federal government emergency response plans into a single, coordinated national response plan. Among other things, the Post-Katrina Act enhanced FEMA's responsibilities and its autonomy within DHS. According to the act, FEMA's mission is to reduce the loss of life and property and protect the nation from all hazards by leading and supporting the nation in a risk-based, comprehensive emergency management system of preparedness, protection, response, recovery, and mitigation.

Given the importance of proper implementation of the act and the need for a unified, coordinated national incident management system capable of preparing for and responding to natural and man-made disasters, including catastrophic disasters, you requested that we review the status of implementation of five select provisions of the Post-Katrina Act related to the development of plans, exercises, capabilities, reports, and presidential certification of federal preparedness. This report describes the actions FEMA has taken to address these select Post-Katrina Act provisions.
Katrina Act provisions. On September 5, 2013, we briefed you on our overall findings (see enclosures I through V). The enclosures describe the actions FEMA officials report having taken in response to the five provisions. Each enclosure provides a summary of the provision's requirements, relevant background information, information on actions FEMA officials reported taking in response to the provision, and our comparison of the actions taken against the language of the provision.

Table 1 summarizes the five provisions reviewed.

Table 1: Summary of Post-Katrina Act Provisions We Reviewed

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Provision</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6 U.S.C. § 319 (b)(2)(C)</td>
<td>Revise the Catastrophic Incident Annex and finalize the Catastrophic Incident Supplement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 U.S.C. § 748 (b)</td>
<td>Carry out a National Exercise Program and conduct periodic national exercises</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 U.S.C. § 751 (b) and (d)</td>
<td>Develop an inventory of federal response capabilities and inventory database</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 U.S.C. § 752 (b)</td>
<td>Annually submit to Congress a Catastrophic Resource Report</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 U.S.C. § 753 (c) and (d)</td>
<td>FEMA's development of pre-scripted mission assignments, and presidential certification of federal agencies with responsibilities under the National Response Plan</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: GAO analysis of Post-Katrina Act provisions.

To conduct this work, we analyzed the five Post-Katrina Act provisions, reviewed agency documents—including emergency response and implementation plans and related reports—and discussed the act’s implementation with FEMA officials to identify actions taken in response to the act’s provisions during the period from October 2006 through November 2013. We also compared the actions described in agency documents and reported by officials with the provisions in order to describe any differences between the actions FEMA took and the language of the provisions. We did not assess the quality or likely outcomes of any of the actions that FEMA has taken. It was beyond the scope of this report to determine whether FEMA had fully complied with the provisions of the law or to evaluate the effectiveness—individually or collectively—of the actions that FEMA has taken. Additional focused evaluation in selected areas and, in some cases, more time for efforts to mature will be required in order to...
evaluate the effectiveness of the actions taken to implement the law. Therefore, the description of an “action taken” for any given provision does not necessarily mean that FEMA has done all that is necessary to implement that particular provision or that FEMA has done so effectively. Further, where future actions to be taken are identified, it is not intended to suggest that once that action is completed, the relevant statutory provision will be fully implemented.

We conducted this performance audit from May to November 2013, in accordance with generally accepted government auditing standards. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain sufficient, appropriate evidence to provide a reasonable basis for our findings and conclusions based on our audit’s objectives. We believe that the evidence obtained provides a reasonable basis for our findings and conclusions based on our audit objectives.

In summary, we found that FEMA reported taking various actions to address each of the five provisions.

- **6 U.S.C. § 319 (b)(2)(C):** In response to this provision—which requires FEMA to revise the Catastrophic Incident Annex (the Annex) to the National Response Plan (NRP), now called the National Response Framework (NRF), and finalize and release the Catastrophic Incident Supplement (the Supplement) to the NRP—FEMA revised the Annex, and finalized and released the Supplement, but has not revised the Supplement to reflect the NRF. For more details on the status of implementation of this provision, FEMA’s perspective, and background on the NRP/ NRF, the Annex, and the Supplement, see enclosure I.

- **6 U.S.C. § 748 (b):** In response to this provision—which requires FEMA to carry out a national exercise program and conduct periodic national exercises, not less than biennially—FEMA developed the National Exercise Program (NEP) in coordination with other federal agencies and two national councils within the time frame required by the act, and conducted five national exercises since fiscal year 2007. For more details on the status of implementation of this provision, FEMA’s perspective, and background on the NEP and national level exercises, see enclosure II.

---

10 The Post-Katrina Act predated the NRF and refers to the NRF’s predecessor, the NRP. When the Post-Katrina Act was enacted, in October 2006, the NRP was the name of the document that served as the nation’s comprehensive framework for the management of domestic incidents where federal involvement was necessary. DHS subsequently revised the NRP and reissued it in January 2008 under a new name, the NRF. Because the law defines “National Response Plan” as encompassing any successor plan to the NRP, the law applies to the NRF just as it did the NRP. See 6 U.S.C. § 701(13).
• **6 U.S.C. § 751 (b) and (d):** In response to this provision—which requires FEMA to develop an inventory of federal response capabilities as well as a database for the inventory—FEMA officials said that, taken together, the draft Federal Interagency Operational Plan for the NRF (Response FIOP) and FEMA’s Pre-Scripted Mission Assignment (PSMA) Catalogue address the requirement. Both the Response FIOP and PSMA Catalogue include information on capabilities that may be available from other federal agencies during disaster response, per the Post-Katrina Act requirement. However, neither document individually, or taken together, includes all of the information specified in the provision. For more details on the status of implementation of this provision, FEMA’s perspective, and background on the Response FIOP and PSMA Catalogue, see enclosure III.

• **6 U.S.C. § 752 (b):** In response to this provision—which requires FEMA to annually submit to Congress a *Catastrophic Resource Report* (CRR) that includes estimates of the resources of FEMA and other federal agencies needed for and devoted specifically to developing capabilities at all levels of government necessary to respond to a catastrophic incident—FEMA did not issue CRRs from fiscal year 2007 through fiscal year 2011, issued the first CRR in August 2012, and as of September 2013 FEMA officials said that they intend to issue the second annual CRR by the end of calendar year 2013. Further, we found that the 2012 CRR did not contain estimates of the resources needed for developing capabilities necessary to respond to a catastrophic incident, as specified in the provision. For more details on the status of implementation of this provision, FEMA’s perspective, and background on the CRR, see enclosure IV.

• **6 U.S.C. § 753 (c) and (d):** In response to this provision—which requires FEMA’s development of pre-scripted mission assignments and presidential certification that federal agencies with responsibilities under the NRP (now NRF) have, among other things, needed operational capabilities to meet the National Preparedness Goal—FEMA issued a PSMA Catalogue, and FEMA officials said that various recently issued and to-be-issued reports and a draft plan should collectively be considered to meet the presidential certification requirement. However, the various recently issued reports that FEMA cited do not include

---

11 The National Preparedness Goal, issued by DHS in September 2011, defines success for national preparedness as “a secure and resilient Nation with the capabilities required across the whole community to prevent, protect against, mitigate, respond to, and recover from the threats and hazards that pose the greatest risk.” This includes
- preventing, avoiding, or stopping a threatened or an actual act of terrorism;
an explicit presidential certification statement, nor do they specifically evaluate the Post-Katrina Act requirements that the President is called on to certify. For more details on the status of implementation of this provision; FEMA’s perspective; and background on PSMAs, the PSMA Catalogue, and documents FEMA officials said should collectively be considered to meet the presidential certification requirements; see enclosure V.

For a timeline of FEMA’s actions associated with implementation of these five provisions of the Post-Katrina Act, see enclosure VI.

**Agency Comments**

We provided a draft of this report to officials from DHS and FEMA for their review and comment. FEMA provided technical comments that were incorporated as appropriate.

--- --- --- --- ---

We are sending copies of this report to the appropriate congressional committees, the Secretary of Homeland Security, the Administrator of FEMA, and other interested parties. In addition, the report is available at no charge on GAO’s website at [http://www.gao.gov](http://www.gao.gov).

If you or your staff have any questions concerning this report, please contact me at (202) 512-9971 or kirschbaumj@gao.gov. Contact points for our Offices of Congressional Relations and Public Affairs may be found on the last page of this report. Key contributors to this report were Christopher Keisling, Assistant Director; Tracey King; David Lysy; Lara Miklozek; Amanda Miller; and Erin O’Brien.

Joseph W. Kirschbaum  
Acting Director, Homeland Security and Justice

Enclosures—I through VI

- protecting citizens, residents, visitors, and assets against the greatest threats and hazards in a manner that allows the Nation’s interests, aspirations, and way of life to thrive;  
- mitigating the loss of life and property by lessening the impact of future disasters;  
- responding quickly to save lives, protect property and the environment, and meet basic human needs in the aftermath of a catastrophic incident; and  
- recovering through a focus on the timely restoration, strengthening, and revitalization of infrastructure, housing, and a sustainable economy, as well as the health, social, cultural, historic, and environmental fabric of communities affected by a catastrophic incident;  

**Enclosure I:** 6 U.S.C. § 319 (b)(2)(C): Revise the Catastrophic Incident Annex and finalize the Catastrophic Incident Supplement

**Enclosure II:** 6 U.S.C. § 748 (b): Carry out a National Exercise Program and conduct periodic national exercises

**Enclosure III:** 6 U.S.C. § 751 (b) and (d): Develop an inventory of federal response capabilities and a database for the inventory
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CIA    Catastrophic Incident Annex
CIS    Catastrophic Incident Supplement
CRR    *Catastrophic Resource Report*
DHS    Department of Homeland Security
DRW    Disaster Reserve Workforce
FEMA   Federal Emergency Management Agency
IMAT   Incident Management Assistance Team
NEP    National Exercise Program
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NPR    *National Preparedness Report*
NRF    National Response Framework
NRP    National Response Plan
PPD-8  Presidential Policy Directive 8: *National Preparedness*
PSMA   Pre-Scripted Mission Assignment
Response FIOF   Federal Interagency Operational Plan for Response
Summary of Findings

Since enactment of the Post-Katrina Emergency Management Reform Act of 2006 (Post-Katrina Act) in October 2006, FEMA revised the Catastrophic Incident Annex (the Annex) to the National Response Plan (NRP), and finalized and released the Catastrophic Incident Supplement (the Supplement), but has not revised the Supplement since its initial issuance. The Annex was released in December 2004 as part of the NRP. The Supplement was released in draft form in April 2005 and provides information on implementing the strategy contained within the Annex. To incorporate changes made in response to Hurricane Katrina, FEMA revised the NRP and Annex in May 2006, and revised and finalized the draft Supplement in September 2006 (a month prior to enactment of the Post-Katrina Act). Since that time, FEMA replaced the NRP in January 2008 with the National Response Framework (NRF), and revised and reissued the Annex in November 2008 to align with the NRF, but has not yet revised the Supplement. In May 2013, FEMA issued a revised NRF to implement requirements from Presidential Policy Directive 8 (PPD-8) on national preparedness. The 2013 NRF does not contain new or updated incident annexes or supplements.

FEMA officials stated that the agency does not plan to revise and reissue the 2008 Annex as part of the new NRF, nor does the agency plan to revise and reissue the 2006 Supplement, which aligns with the now-superseded NRP. Instead, FEMA officials plan to replace both the Annex and Supplement with a combination of all-hazards national and regional operational plans that include catastrophic incidents within their scope.

- At the national level, FEMA submitted the draft Federal Interagency Operational Plan for Response (Response FIOP), which was required by PPD-8, to the National Security Staff and other federal agencies for review in October 2012. Although the draft has not yet been finalized, as of November 2013, FEMA officials stated that the Response FIOP is currently in use. Both the Supplement and the Response FIOP contain planning assumptions, general resource inventories, and appendices on response capabilities that are applicable to catastrophic incidents. While the Supplement includes a schedule of when specific response tasks should be initiated, the Response FIOP lists the specific tasks without such a schedule. For a comparison of the Supplement and the Response FIOP, see table 2. FEMA officials stated that they plan to update, develop, or rescind (as needed) all incident annexes, such as those on radiological incidents or earthquakes, by fiscal year 2017.

- According to FEMA officials, as of September 2013, all 10 of FEMA’s regions had developed all-hazards plans that provide information on regional resources that could be used to respond to a catastrophic incident.
## Table 2: Comparison of the Catastrophic Incident Supplement and Federal Interagency Operational Plan

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Catastrophic Incident Supplement (Supplement)</th>
<th>Federal Interagency Operational Plan (Response FIOP)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Scope</strong></td>
<td>Catastrophic incidents only.</td>
<td>All hazards, which includes both man-made and natural disasters, as well as incidents that are catastrophic in nature.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Concept of operations and planning assumptions</strong></td>
<td>Describes the concept of operations and planning assumptions for each of the response capabilities in the Supplement.</td>
<td>Describes the concept of operations and planning assumptions for each of the response capabilities in the Response FIOP.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Capabilities</strong></td>
<td>Includes appendices with additional information for each of the response capabilities, such as specific tasks to be completed. For example, these capability appendices include mass care services, search and rescue, and public information and warning.</td>
<td>In addition to the appendices included in the Supplement, the Response FIOP also includes appendices on other capabilities, such as planning, situational assessment, operational coordination, and operational communications. According to FEMA officials, the agency will develop incident-specific annexes by fiscal year 2017.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Response tasks</strong></td>
<td>Includes a schedule of 152 response tasks that identifies the initiation time and agency responsible for each task. For example, in the case of a nuclear incident, no later than 30 minutes following the Secretary of Homeland Security’s designation of the event as a catastrophic incident, the Department of Homeland Security is to activate the U.S. Coast Guard National Strike Force to deploy three 10-person hazardous materials teams.</td>
<td>Includes a list of 1,216 response tasks. For example, one of the tasks is to provide emergency repair of damaged public infrastructure and critical facilities. Generally, the list does not include information on when exactly the tasks are to be initiated. However, the annexes contain information regarding the phase (e.g., pre-incident, response, recovery) during which tasks should be initiated.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Transportation support schedule</strong></td>
<td>Identifies 46 response teams and supplies, as well as the number of hours following an incident the resources are to be deployed.</td>
<td>Includes an appendix on critical transportation, but does not include a transportation support schedule.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Risk assessment</strong></td>
<td>Does not describe the results of risk assessments.</td>
<td>Includes information from the Strategic National Risk Assessment and additional analysis of disasters since 1998. The purpose of this information is to illustrate factors that have the potential to impede the delivery of emergency response capabilities.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Team and resource inventories</strong></td>
<td>Lists 85 response teams, a description, and a point of contact. Additional resources are included in the capability appendices.</td>
<td>Lists 251 response teams and other resources, including those related to chemical, biological, radiological, nuclear, and high-yield explosives.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: GAO analysis of FEMA documents.
Enclosure II

Summary of Findings
FEMA developed the National Exercise Program (NEP) in coordination with other federal agencies, the National Council on Disability, and the National Advisory Council within the 180-day time frame required by the Post-Katrina Act. FEMA issued a new NEP Base Plan in March 2011 to replace the initial NEP charter memorandum and related implementation plan issued in January 2007. According to the 2011 Base Plan, exercises are to be conducted with a high degree of realism, based on current risk assessments, and carried out with minimal notice, as appropriate. In June 2012, FEMA issued an updated NEP Implementation Plan, which further clarifies the roles and responsibilities of stakeholders, and details specific processes and procedures for carrying out the program. According to the Implementation Plan, exercise evaluation teams are to develop draft after-action reports and improvement plans for each national level exercise (NLE) no later than 30 calendar days following the exercise to help ensure that key issues are identified as soon as possible for corrective action.

Since enactment of the Post-Katrina Act, FEMA has conducted five national exercises focusing on different scenarios:

- Top Officials (TOPOFF) 4, on radiological dispersal device attack prevention, response and recovery;¹
- NLE 2009, on terrorism prevention;
- NLE 2010, on improvised nuclear device attack response and recovery;
- NLE 2011, on response to a catastrophic earthquake in the New Madrid Seismic Zone; and
- NLE 2012, on cybersecurity.

Over 40 federal departments and agencies participated in these five national exercises, as did representatives from international, state, tribal, and local governments; nongovernmental organizations; and the private sector. FEMA developed and issued after-action reports and improvement plans for each of these exercises upon their completion.

FEMA’s 2011 Base Plan established a 2-year exercise cycle for NLEs starting in calendar year 2013 (replacing the 2007 NEP implementation plan’s prior annual requirement). According to the 2011 Base Plan, starting in 2013, each 2-year cycle is to culminate in an NLE (to be termed “capstone exercise” for 2014) that incorporates lessons learned from other, smaller exercises conducted during the same cycle. As of July 2013, FEMA officials stated that the agency is planning and preparing for the culminating NLE for the first 2-year NEP cycle (2013-2014) under the 2011 Base Plan.

¹ Prior to introducing the term “national level exercise” with NLE 2009, such exercises were referred to as “top officials” (TOPOFF) exercises. According to FEMA officials, starting in 2014 the term “national level exercise” will be replaced by the term “capstone exercise.”
Summary of Findings

FEMA officials said that, taken together, the draft Response FIOP and FEMA’s Pre-Scripted Mission Assignment (PSMA) Catalogue address the Post-Katrina Act requirement for the development of an inventory of federal response capabilities and a related database.

- FEMA initiated the development of the draft Response FIOP to address the requirement in PPD-8 to develop an interagency operational response plan that included, among other things, information on detailed resource, personnel, and sourcing requirements. It includes lists of 251 response teams and other resources to respond to a disaster, including communications assets.

- As of June 2013, FEMA’s PSMA Catalogue includes 256 PSMAs for 31 federal departments, agencies, and offices. PSMAs are draft work orders—established in advance of a disaster and then modified to meet the needs of a specific incident—issued by FEMA to another federal agency to request disaster response support. For example, one is for the Department of Defense to provide aerial imagery of an affected area in support of disaster operations.

Both the Response FIOP and PSMA Catalogue identify capabilities and communication assets, per the Post-Katrina Act requirement. However, as an inventory, neither document individually, or taken together, includes all of the information specified in the provision, such as performance parameters, time frames, and readiness, nor do the documents identify the available quantity of each resource. According to FEMA officials, because the kind and type of resources maintained by a given department or agency continually change, each department and agency maintains its own list of resources. Further, FEMA officials stated that the agency assigns missions to other federal entities based on the capability needed, not the individual resources that may be used to operationalize the requested capability.

Both the Response FIOP and PSMA Catalogue include information on capabilities that may be available from other federal agencies during disaster response, per the Post-Katrina Act requirement. However, as a database, neither document individually, or taken together, includes all of the information specified in the provision, such as compatibility, personnel, or resources. Further, while the draft Response FIOP is to be shared with other federal agencies, the PSMA Catalogue is available only through FEMA’s internal website, and neither document itself allows for the real-time exchange of current information between federal agencies.

We previously reported that—while FEMA has taken steps to establish and assess capabilities—as of June 2013, the agency has not yet developed clear, objective, and quantifiable capability requirements and performance measures that are needed to identify capability gaps in order to determine what level of federal resources will be needed to close such gaps. For more details and related prior GAO recommendations to FEMA on capabilities, see GAO-13-637T.
Enclosure IV

6 U.S.C. § 752 (b)

Annually submit to Congress a Catastrophic Resource Report

Summary of Findings

FEMA submitted the first Catastrophic Resource Report (CRR) to Congress in August 2012, issued to the Chair and Ranking Members of the Senate and House Appropriations Committees’ Subcommittees on Homeland Security, as well as the Speaker of the House. As of mid-September 2013, FEMA officials said they were developing the second annual CRR and that they expected to submit it to Congress by the end of calendar year 2013. FEMA did not issue an annual CRR for fiscal years 2007 through 2011, and officials did not identify any specific reasons for not issuing the first annual report until late fiscal year 2012.

We found that the first CRR, issued in 2012, did not contain estimates of the resources of FEMA and other federal agencies needed for and devoted specifically to developing capabilities at all levels of government necessary to respond to a catastrophic incident. It instead provided a holistic view on national preparedness, according to FEMA officials. Specifically, we found that the 2012 CRR provided anecdotal information on accomplishments to date and planned future efforts related to execution of the 2006 Catastrophic Incident Supplement. The information contained in the report is organized by the resource categories identified in the Post-Katrina Act provision: planning; training and exercises; regional office enhancements; staffing, including catastrophic incident surge capacity; logistics capabilities; other responsibilities under the Supplement; state, local, and tribal government catastrophic preparedness; and increases in fixed costs or expenses devoted specifically to catastrophic preparedness. For more details on the accomplishments to date and planned future efforts identified in the 2012 CRR, see table 3.

FEMA officials stated that the 2013 CRR, when finalized, will provide information on efforts related to execution of the draft Response FIOP rather than the 2006 Supplement, as the Response FIOP will supersede the Supplement upon its finalization. For more details on the draft Response FIOP and the 2006 Supplement, see enclosure I on Post-Katrina Act provision § 319 (b)(2)(C).

This provision requires FEMA to annually submit to Congress a Catastrophic Resource Report that includes estimates of the resources of FEMA and other federal agencies needed for and devoted specifically to developing capabilities at all levels of government necessary to respond to a catastrophic incident.

Background

FEMA issued the draft Catastrophic Incident Supplement to the NRP in April 2005 and revised and finalized it in September 2006 to address lessons learned from Hurricane Katrina. In January 2008, FEMA revised the NRP and renamed it the NRF. The second edition of the NRF was issued in May 2013. PPD-8 was issued in March 2011. In May 2011, DHS issued an implementation plan for PPD-8 that called for DHS to develop a federal interagency operational plan to implement the NRF. According to FEMA officials, the Response FIOP will replace the 2006 Supplement. According to FEMA officials, as of August 2013, the draft Response FIOP is undergoing final interagency and National Security Staff review and issuance is anticipated to occur by the end of calendar year 2013.

For more information, contact Joe Kirschbaum at (202) 512-9971 or kirschbaumj@gao.gov.
Table 3: Accomplishments to date and Planned Future Efforts Identified in the 2012 Catastrophic Resource Report

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Resource Category</th>
<th>Accomplishments to date</th>
<th>Future efforts</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Planning                             | • Updated FEMA Strategic Plan with catastrophic preparedness focus  
• Updated the National Response Framework (NRF) Catastrophic Incident Annex and published the Catastrophic Incident Supplement to the Annex  
• Developed national catastrophic plans  
• Coordinated and integrated regional catastrophic plans  
• Expanded continuity of operations planning efforts | • Implementing the National Planning System informed by threat and hazard identification and risk assessment processes  
• Continuing catastrophic planning in FEMA regions |
| Training and exercises               | • Established Surge Capacity Force training requirements  
• Coordinated increasingly complex National Level Exercises  
• Exercised catastrophic plans and capabilities | • Continuing FEMA Qualification System implementation  
• Implementing an updated National Exercise Program |
| Regional office enhancements         | • Delegated additional authorities to FEMA regions  
• Increased regional staffing and resourcing  
• Developed regional whole community partnerships | • Implementing FEMA’s planning, programming, budgeting, and execution process  
• Regionalizing homeland security grant programs  
• Improving regional preparedness for catastrophic scenarios  
• Implementing preparedness frameworks and plans at the regional level |
| Staffing                             | • Enhanced staffing capacity  
• Established Incident Management Assistance Teams (IMAT)  
• Enhanced the Disaster Reserve Workforce (DRW)  
• Developed a Surge Capacity Force | • Continuing Disaster Workforce transformation  
• Strengthening FEMA’s human capital controls  
• Enhancing IMATs  
• Providing DRW training |
| Logistics capabilities               | • Realigned logistics capability within FEMA  
• Improved processes, systems, and sourcing  
• Increased logistics staffing, training, and credentialing  
• Supported whole community logistics planning and coordination | • Enhancing logistics processes, systems, and sourcing  
• Conducting interagency logistics courses and resource planning |
| Other responsibilities under the Catastrophic Incident Supplement | Lists improvements in the following broad areas outlined in the NRF’s Catastrophic Incident Annex and Catastrophic Incident Supplement:  
• Mass evacuations  
• Mass care, housing, and human services  
• Search and rescue  
• Decontamination  
• Public health and medical support  
• Medical equipment and supplies  
• Patient/casualty transportation  
• Public safety and security  
• Public information/incident communications  
• Critical infrastructure | Lists continuing efforts to further improve the broad areas outlined in the NRF’s Catastrophic Incident Annex and Catastrophic Incident Supplement (identified at left). |
| State, local, and tribal governments | • Improved state and urban area emergency operations plans  
• Enhanced catastrophic plans through federal technical assistance  
• Improved regional catastrophic planning through federal grants  
• Improved capabilities through training  
• Tested capabilities through exercises | • Implementing Presidential Policy Directive 8: National Preparedness  
• Integrating regional catastrophic planning efforts |
| Increased fixed costs                | • Identified no fixed costs or expenses devoted specifically to catastrophic preparedness | • Identified no fixed costs or expenses devoted specifically to catastrophic preparedness |

Summary of Findings
In June 2008, FEMA issued a printed catalogue of PSMAs, as required by the Post-Katrina Act provision. FEMA, as of November 2013, now maintains the PSMA catalogue on a FEMA intranet-based website. According to FEMA officials, the PSMA catalogue is to be continually updated based on lessons learned from disasters and preparedness exercises. As of June 2013, the PSMA catalogue contained approximately 256 federal mission assignments across 31 federal departments, agencies, and offices. A mission assignment is a work order issued by FEMA to another federal agency that directs completion by that agency of a specified task and sets forth funding, other managerial controls, and guidance. The Post-Katrina Act required FEMA to develop PSMAs in coordination with other relevant federal agencies, thereby enabling the expediting of federal assistance. For example, FEMA could use an existing PSMA as a basis for mission assigning the Department of Health and Human Services to activate the National Disaster Medical System in order to assist in the provision of emergency medical care in an affected area.

FEMA officials said that various recently issued and to-be-issued reports and a plan should collectively be considered to meet the presidential certification requirement in the Post-Katrina Act, but they did not provide documentation that included an explicit presidential certification statement. Specifically, these officials said the 2012 and 2013 National Preparedness Reports (NPR)—in conjunction with the (a) 2012 CRR and (b) not-yet-finalized 2013 CRR, (c) the not yet finalized Response FIOP, and (d) the not yet finalized 2013 NRF Emergency Support Functions Report—fulfill the intent of the provision. FEMA officials said that the 2012 and 2013 NPRs were approved by the President. However, the NPRs, as well as the 2012 CRR, do not include text referencing presidential approval or presidential certification that federal agencies meet the requirements identified in the provision. Further, neither the NPRs nor the 2012 CRR specifically evaluates the Post-Katrina Act requirements that the President is called on to certify. According to FEMA officials, future NPRs will include explicit language related to this provision to document that the NPRs are intended to satisfy the legislative requirement. FEMA officials did not identify any reports or plans related to the presidential certification requirement for the time period between passage of the Post-Katrina Act in October 2006 and issuance of the first NPR and CRR in 2012.

FEMA officials said that FEMA does not itself oversee and monitor the areas in the provision that the president is called on to certify, which includes the status of other departments’ and agencies’ operational capabilities, National Incident Management System compliance, training and exercising of personnel, and operational plan development. For more details on the status of FEMA’s implementation of the Post-Katrina Act requirement to develop a federal response capability inventory and database, see enclosure III on § 751 (b) and (d).

For more information, contact Joe Kirschbaum at (202) 512-9971 or kirschbaumj@gao.gov.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Event</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>December 2004</td>
<td>National Response Plan (NRP) issued, including a Catastrophic Incident Annex (CIA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April 2005</td>
<td>Draft Catastrophic Incident Supplement (CIS) to CIA issued for interagency review</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May 2006</td>
<td>NRP and CIA revised to incorporate changes made because of Hurricane Katrina</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>September 2006</td>
<td>Draft CIS revised to incorporate changes made because of Hurricane Katrina</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>October 2006</td>
<td>Post-Katrina Emergency Management Reform Act of 2006 enacted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>January 2007</td>
<td>National Exercise Program (NEP) charter memorandum approved by the White House’s National and Homeland Security Councils</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April 2007</td>
<td>NEP Implementation Plan approved by the President and issued</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>January 2008</td>
<td>National Response Framework (NRF) issued, replaced the NRP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June 2008</td>
<td>Pre-Scripted Mission Assignment catalogue first issued</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>November 2008</td>
<td>CIA revised to conform with the NRF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March 2011</td>
<td>NEP Base Plan issued, replaced NEP charter memorandum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May 2011</td>
<td>PPD-8 implementation plan issued</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>September 2011</td>
<td>Per PPD-8 requirements, National Preparedness Goal issued</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March 2012</td>
<td>Per PPD-8 requirements, first National Preparedness Report (NPR) issued</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June 2012</td>
<td>NEP Implementation Plan revised to conform with NEP Base Plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>August 2012</td>
<td>First Catastrophic Resource Report (CRR) submitted to Congress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>October 2012</td>
<td>Per PPD-8 requirements, FEMA submits draft Federal Interagency Operational Plan for Response (Response FIOP) for interagency review</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May 2013</td>
<td>Per PPD-8 requirements, NRF revised and reissued (i.e., second edition)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May 2013</td>
<td>Per PPD-8 requirements, second NPR issued</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 2013</td>
<td>Anticipated submission of second CRR to Congress</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: GAO analysis of White House and FEMA documents.
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