November 2, 2011

The Honorable Tom Harkin  
Chairman  
The Honorable Michael B. Enzi  
Ranking Member  
Committee on Health, Education, Labor, and Pensions  
United States Senate  

The Honorable Lamar Smith  
Chairman  
The Honorable John Conyers, Jr.  
Ranking Member  
Committee on the Judiciary  
House of Representatives  

Subject: Department of Justice: James Zadroga 9/11 Health and Compensation Act of 2010  

Pursuant to section 801(a)(2)(A) of title 5, United States Code, this is our report on a major rule promulgated by the Department of Justice (Justice), entitled “James Zadroga 9/11 Health and Compensation Act of 2010” (RIN: 1105-AB39). We received the rule and accompanying materials on October 20, 2011. It was published in the Federal Register as a final rule on August 31, 2011, with a correction published on October 20, 2011. 76 Fed. Reg. 54,112; 76 Fed. Reg. 65,112.


Enclosed is our assessment of Justice’s compliance with the procedural steps required by section 801(a)(1)(B)(i) through (iv) of title 5 with respect to the rule. Our review of the procedural steps taken indicates that Justice complied with the applicable requirements.
The Congressional Review Act (CRA) requires a 60-day delay in the effective date of major rules from the date of publication in the Federal Register or receipt of the rule and accompanying material—including a statement as to whether the rule is major—by Congress, whichever is later. 5 U.S.C § 801(a)(3)(A). The final rule published in the Federal Register on August 31, 2011 (the final rule), stated that this rule had an effective date of October 3, 2011. 76 Fed. Reg. 54,112. The final rule also stated it was not a major rule under CRA. 76 Fed. Reg. 54,119. However, the correction published in the Federal Register on October 20, 2011 (the correction), revised the rule to state that the rule is a major rule because the compensation benefits awarded to eligible claimants will have an annual beneficial impact on the economy of $100 million or more in certain years. 76 Fed. Reg. 65,112. Since the accurate statement as to whether the rule is a major rule was received on October 20, 2011, and the rule has an effective date of October 3, 2011, the rule does not have the 60-day delay in effective date.

However, any rule that an agency for good cause finds that notice and public comment procedures are impractical, unnecessary, or contrary to the public interest is to take effect when the promulgating agency so determines. 5 U.S.C. § 808(2). The correction also stated that Justice found good cause to waive the 60-day delay and make the rule effective October 3, 2011. Justice reached this conclusion because it determined that, in view of the need to begin processing compensation claims as soon as possible, it was impractical to comply with the 60-day delay. 76 Fed. Reg. 65,112.

If you have any questions about this report or wish to contact GAO officials responsible for the evaluation work relating to the subject matter of the rule, please contact Shirley A. Jones, Assistant General Counsel, at (202) 512-8156.

signed

Robert J. Cramer
Managing Associate General Counsel

Enclosure

cc: Sheila L. Birnbaum
    Special Master, September 11th
    Victim Compensation Fund
    Department of Justice
(i) Cost-benefit analysis

The Department of Justice (Justice) assessed the costs and benefits of this final rule and determined that the regulatory approach it selected maximizes the net benefits. According to Justice, the primary benefits and costs of this rulemaking are both set by statute, as Congress has appropriated a capped amount—$2.775 billion payable over a period of years—for this program. Because the $2.775 billion appropriated by Congress for the Fund must pay for claimant awards as well as the Fund’s administrative expenses, Justice recognized that it is important for the Fund to establish procedures to screen out ineligible or inappropriate claims while keeping administrative expenses as low as possible consistent with the goal of ensuring that funds are not diverted to processing ineligible claims in order to maximize the amount of funds available for claimants. Finally, based on past practice with the operation of the original Fund and the necessity to establish the legal and administrative framework for the reopened Fund, Justice concluded that there are no viable nonregulatory actions that it could take to implement the Zadroga Act in a fair and efficient manner.

(ii) Agency actions relevant to the Regulatory Flexibility Act, 5 U.S.C. §§ 603-605, 607, and 609

Justice certifies that this rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities because it provides compensation to eligible individuals who were physically injured as a result of the terrorist-related aircraft crashes of September 11, 2001, and compensation through a “personal representative” for those who were killed as a result of those crashes. This rule provides compensation to individuals, not to entities.

(iii) Agency actions relevant to sections 202-205 of the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995, 2 U.S.C. §§ 1532-1535

Justice determined that this rule will not result in the expenditure by state, local, and tribal governments, in the aggregate, or by the private sector, of $100 million or
more in any one year, and it will not significantly or uniquely affect small
governments.

(iv) Other relevant information or requirements under acts and executive orders

Administrative Procedure Act, 5 U.S.C. §§ 551 et seq.

Justice published a notice of proposed rulemaking on June 21, 2011, to which
Justice received 95 comments. 76 Fed. Reg. 36,027. Justice also participated in
town hall meetings with several hundred victims, victims' advocates, and others.

Paperwork Reduction Act, 44 U.S.C. §§ 3501-3520

Justice determined that, in order to be able to evaluate claims and provide
compensation, it will need to collect information from an individual (or a personal
representative of a deceased individual) who suffered physical harm or was killed
as a result of the terrorist-related aircraft crashes of September 11, 2001, or the
debris removal efforts that took place in the immediate aftermath of those crashes.
Accordingly, Justice will submit an information collection request to the Office of
Management and Budget (OMB) for review and clearance in accordance with the
emergency review procedures of the Act. Justice will also publish a Notice in the
Federal Register soliciting public comment on the information collection associated
with this rulemaking.

Statutory authorization for the rule

Justice promulgated this final rule under the authority of title IV of Public Law 107-
42 and title II of Public Law 111-347. 115 Stat. 230, 237-241 (Sept. 22, 2011); 124

Executive Order Nos. 12,866 and 13,563 (Regulatory Planning and Review)

Justice determined that this final rule is economically significant under the Order
and submitted the rule to OMB for review.

Executive Order No. 13,132 (Federalism)

Justice determined that this regulation will not have substantial direct effects on the
states, on the relationship between the national government and the states, or on
distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government.
However, Justice did work cooperatively with state and local officials in the affected
communities in the preparation of this rule and individually notified national
associations representing elected officials regarding this rulemaking.