



Comptroller General  
of the United States  
Washington, D.C. 20548

Ms. Watson 150085

## Decision

Matter of: King-Fisher Company  
File: B-254856  
Date: September 13, 1993

### DECISION

King-Fisher Company protests the award of a contract under solicitation No. DACA45-93-R-0098 issued by the Department of the Army.

The protest, as filed with our Office, does not establish a basis for challenging the agency's action and, accordingly, must be dismissed.

The jurisdiction of our Office is established by the bid protest provisions of the Competition in Contracting Act of 1984, 31 U.S.C. §§ 3551-3556 (1988). Our role in resolving bid protests is to ensure that the statutory requirements for full and open competition are met. Brown Assocs. Mgmt. Servs., Inc.--Request for Recon., B-235906.3, Mar. 16, 1990, 90-1 CPD ¶ 299.

To achieve this end, our Bid Protest Regulations require that a protest include a detailed statement of the legal and factual grounds of a protest, 4 C.F.R. § 21.1(c)(4), and that the grounds stated be legally sufficient. 4 C.F.R. § 21.1(e). These requirements contemplate that protesters will provide, at a minimum, either allegations or evidence sufficient, if uncontradicted, to establish the likelihood that the protester will prevail in its claim of improper agency action. Robert Wall Edge--Request for Recon., 68 Comp. Gen. 352 (1989), 89-1 CPD ¶ 335.

This protest does not include sufficient factual information to establish the likelihood that the agency here violated applicable procurement laws or regulations. Therefore, it must be dismissed without further action.

*Ronald Berger*

Ronald Berger  
Associate General Counsel