## DECISION



## THE COMPTROLLER GENERAL THE UNITED STATES

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20548

FILE:

B-196607

DATE: January 24, 1980

MATTER OF:

K.P.M. Corporation

DIGEST:

GAO will not consider protest concerning procurement actions of Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) in connection with property maintenance or improvement responsibilities under National Housing Act, 12 U.S.C. §§ 1701, et seq., in view of broad statutory authority of HUD to make expenditures in connection with those responsibilities.

The K.P.M. Corporation (K.P.M.) has protested the award of a contract to the Elmar Company (Elmar) for the reconditioning of apartments in Lancaster, Pennsylvania, under an invitation for bids issued by the Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD). K.P.M. complains of the cancellation of an earlier solicitation, due to unreasonable prices under which it was the low bidder, and the subsequent award under this solicitation at a price only slightly lower than its earlier price.

While it was not ascertainable from the protester's initial submission, we have been informed that the procurement was conducted under the authority of the National Housing Act, 12 U.S.C. §§ 1701, et seg. (1976). Section 1702 of 12 U.S.C. authorizes the Secretary of HUD to make such expenditures as are necessary to carry out the maintenance or improvement of property without regard to any other provision of law governing the expenditure of public funds and to sue and be sued in any court of competent jurisdiction.

In view of this extraordinary authority granted the Secretary, we have held that we would have no

111384

[Protest Inuolving Solicitation Cancellation]

Cancellation 7

Deb 03692

ALC 23

legal basis to question the Secretary's expenditure of funds under that provision. Regal Realty, B-193713, February 13, 1979, 79-1 CPD  $1\overline{03}$ . Accordingly, we must decline to consider the protest.

The protest is dismissed.

Milton J. Socolar General Counsel