Why GAO Did This Study

Since 1998, the Federal Communications Commission’s (FCC) Schools and Libraries Universal Service Support Mechanism—commonly known as the “E-rate” program—has been a significant federal source of technology funding for schools and libraries. FCC designated the Universal Service Administrative Company (USAC) to administer the program. As requested, GAO examined the system of internal controls in place to safeguard E-rate program resources. This report discusses (1) the internal controls FCC and USAC have established and (2) whether the design of E-rate’s internal control structure appropriately considers program risks. GAO reviewed the program’s key internal controls, risk assessments, and policies and procedures; assessed the design of the internal control structure against federal standards for internal control; and interviewed FCC and USAC officials.

What GAO Found

FCC and USAC have established many internal controls for the E-rate program’s core processes: (1) processing applications and making funding commitment decisions, (2) processing invoices requesting reimbursement, and (3) monitoring the effectiveness of internal controls though audits of schools and libraries that receive E-rate funding (beneficiaries). E-rate’s internal control structure centers around USAC’s complex, multilayered application review process. USAC has expanded the program’s internal control structure over time to address the program’s complexity and to address risks as they became apparent. In addition, USAC has contracted with independent public accountants to audit beneficiaries to identify and report beneficiary noncompliance with program rules.

The design of E-rate’s internal control structure may not appropriately consider program risks. GAO found, for example, that USAC’s application review process incorporates a number of different types and levels of reviews, but that it was not clear whether this design was effectively and efficiently targeting resources to risks. Similarly, GAO found no controls in place to periodically check the accuracy of USAC’s automated invoice review process, again making it unclear whether resources are appropriately aligned with risks. While USAC has expanded and adjusted its internal control procedures, it has never conducted a robust risk assessment of the E-rate program’s core processes, although it has conducted risk assessments for other purposes, such as financial reporting. A risk assessment involving a critical examination of the entire E-rate program could help determine whether modifications to business practices and the internal control structure are needed to appropriately address the risks identified and better align program resources to risks. The internal control structure—one assessed and possibly adjusted on the basis of the results of a robust risk assessment—should then be periodically monitored to ensure that the control structure does not evolve in a way that fails to appropriately align resources to risks.

The results of beneficiary audits are used to identify and report on E-rate compliance issues, but GAO found that the information gathered from the audits has not been effectively used to assess and modify the E-rate program’s internal controls. As a result, the same rule violations have been repeated each year for which beneficiary audits have been completed. For example, of 64 beneficiaries that had been audited more than once over a 3-year period, GAO found that 36 had repeat audit findings of the same rule violation. GAO found that the current beneficiary audit process lacks documented and approved policies and procedures. Without such policies and procedures, management may not have the assurance that control activities are appropriate and properly applied. Documented and approved policies and procedures could contribute positively to a systematic process for considering beneficiary audit findings when assessing the E-rate program’s internal controls and in identifying opportunities to modify existing controls.