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Information Collection and Management at the Federal Communications Commission

What GAO Found

FCC gathers a wide variety of information though information collection instruments. FCC gathers information through 413 collection instruments approved by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB). Through these OMB-approved collection instruments, FCC gathers information pertaining to (1) required company filings, such as the ownership of television stations; (2) applications for FCC licenses; (3) consumer complaints; (4) company financial and accounting performance; and (5) a variety of other issues, such as an annual survey of cable operators. FCC estimates that it receives nearly 385 million responses with an estimated 57 million burden hours associated with the 413 collection instruments.

FCC’s bureaus and offices collect and manage most commission information following commissionwide programs, policies, and procedures. FCC articulates its commissionwide programs, policies, and procedures in several directives, including its records management program. These directives help ensure FCC’s compliance with governmentwide laws and regulations. Since FCC’s bureaus and offices are the primary users of information, implementing decisions generally occur at that level. According to GAO’s review of 30 information collections, FCC’s bureaus and offices collect and manage information in a variety of ways. For example, FCC collects and manages 14 of the 30 information collections electronically, while it collects and manages some information in paper format. FCC disseminates information from 11 of the 30 information collections on its Web site, while it disseminates some information upon request, but in a redacted format.

According to GAO’s review of 30 information collections, FCC’s bureaus and offices appear to follow commission- and governmentwide guidance, such as quality control procedures and safeguards for sensitive information. However, prior GAO reports and some stakeholders identified certain weaknesses with FCC’s information collection and management practices. These weaknesses concern FCC’s information collection processes and the accuracy of the estimated burden hours associated with FCC’s information collections. For example, GAO recently reported that FCC rarely includes the text of a proposed rule in its Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, and stakeholders similarly noted that FCC does not initially specify the information that it wants to gather in the notice; the lack of specificity makes it harder for stakeholders and the public to provide meaningful input on the proposed information collection instrument.

Recognizing the need to improve the commission’s information practices, in July 2009, FCC’s Chairman initiated a review of the commission’s systems and processes. The Chairman sought to address whether (1) new information should be collected, (2) existing information reporting requirements could be streamlined or eliminated, and (3) existing technology and management processes could be modernized in order to make the commission’s use of information more efficient and effective. FCC staff have taken several steps to implement the review and the effort continues.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>APA</td>
<td>Administrative Procedure Act</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD</td>
<td>compact disc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CIO</td>
<td>chief information officer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FCC</td>
<td>Federal Communications Commission</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FISMA</td>
<td>Federal Information Security Management Act of 2002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FNPRM</td>
<td>Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NARA</td>
<td>National Archives and Records Administration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPRM</td>
<td>Notice of Proposed Rulemaking</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOI</td>
<td>Notice of Inquiry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OMB</td>
<td>Office of Management and Budget</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OSPPA</td>
<td>Office of Strategic Planning and Policy Analysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PERM</td>
<td>Performance Evaluation and Records Management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRA</td>
<td>Paperwork Reduction Act</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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January 29, 2010

The Honorable Edward J. Markey
House of Representatives

Dear Mr. Markey:

The Federal Communications Commission (FCC) regulates industries that affect the lives of virtually all Americans. FCC-regulated industries provide Americans with daily access to a variety of communications services, including wireline and wireless telephone, Internet access, radio, and television. FCC’s mission is to ensure that the American people have available at reasonable cost and without discrimination, rapid, efficient, nationwide, and worldwide communication services whether by radio, television, wire, wireless, satellite, or cable. To carry out its mission, FCC has to collect and manage a significant amount of information. For example, FCC obtains information on (1) broadband Internet access connections to households and businesses, (2) license applications for radio and television stations, (3) wireline telephone companies’ finances and operations, and (4) consumer complaints.

We have previously reported that to be successful in measuring performance, agencies generally need to collect sufficiently complete, accurate, and consistent information.\(^1\) Yet, in reports examining FCC’s programs and operations, we have found weaknesses in certain information collection, management, and reporting processes. For example, in a recent report, we found that limitations in FCC’s current approach for collecting and analyzing enforcement data create challenges for the commission in carrying out its enforcement responsibilities.\(^2\) Another report found that FCC’s information for assessing competition for dedicated access service (telecommunications service with guaranteed capacity, high service levels, and security purchased by government agencies and businesses) was significantly limited in describing the


presence, extent, or change in competition in any given area.\(^3\) Table 1 provides information on our previous reports and the status of our recommendations to FCC. Recognizing the need to improve the commission’s information practices, on July 22, 2009, FCC’s Chairman initiated a top-to-bottom review of the commission’s systems and processes.

Table 1: GAO Reports Identifying Weaknesses with Certain Information Collection, Management, and Reporting Efforts at FCC

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Report</th>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Findings</th>
<th>Recommendations to FCC</th>
<th>Status of recommendations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| GAO-06-425 | FCC’s enforcement of junk fax laws             | • Guidance to consumers does not provide all of the information necessary to support enforcement efforts.  
• Majority of consumer complaints not considered in making decisions about investigations and enforcement.  
• Inefficient data management resulting in time-consuming manual entry and errors. | • Revise its complaint form and guidance to consumers.  
• Develop data management strategies to make the consumer complaint database more usable. | • Recommendations implemented.  
• FCC revised its complaint form and guidance to consumers.  
• FCC requests information only for the specific complaint type, and when possible, the complainant selects prespecified answers to questions, which eliminates the need for staff to interpret information, thereby improving data management. |

\(^3\)GAO, Telecommunications: FCC Needs to Improve Its Ability to Monitor and Determine the Extent of Competition in Dedicated Access Services, GAO-07-80 (Washington, D.C.: Nov. 29, 2006). In addition, on November 5, 2009, FCC released a Public Notice inviting comment on an appropriate analytical framework for examining dedicated access. In particular, FCC noted that it would benefit from a clear explanation of how it should use data to determine systemically whether its pricing rules are working properly to ensure just and reasonable rates, terms, and conditions and to provide flexibility in the presence of competition. See FCC, Public Notice: Parties Asked to Comment on Analytical Framework Necessary to Resolve Issues in the Special Access NPRM, DA 09-2388 (Washington, D.C.: Nov. 5, 2009).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Report</th>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Findings</th>
<th>Recommendations to FCC</th>
<th>Status of recommendations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GAO-07-80</td>
<td>Competition for dedicated access service</td>
<td>• Data measure potential competition at one point in time and are not revisited or updated.</td>
<td>• Consider collecting additional data and developing additional measures to monitor competition on an ongoing basis.</td>
<td>• Recommendation not implemented.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Data collected from external parties through rulemaking proceedings, but those parties have no obligation to provide data, and FCC has limited mechanisms to verify the reliability of the data.</td>
<td></td>
<td>• FCC initially disagreed that it needed to better define competition and collect additional data.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• FCC released a Public Notice inviting comment on an appropriate analytical framework for examining dedicated access.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAO-08-125</td>
<td>FCC’s program to enforce relevant laws and rules</td>
<td>• Enforcement Bureau uses five separate databases and manually searches paper case files to track and monitor enforcement actions.</td>
<td>• Improve how it collects and analyzes data on complaints received.</td>
<td>• Recommendation not implemented.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Lack of systematic data on why enforcement actions are closed.</td>
<td></td>
<td>• FCC initially said it implemented measures that addressed the recommendation; however, we disagreed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• FCC recently began developing and implementing upgrades to its Consumer Complaint Management and Enforcement Bureau Activity Tracking System.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAO-08-383</td>
<td>Number and ownership of media outlets, such as radio and television stations</td>
<td>• Exemptions from filing information on gender, race, and ethnicity for certain types of broadcast stations.</td>
<td>• Identify processes and procedures to improve the reliability of its data on gender, race, and ethnicity.</td>
<td>• Recommendation implemented.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Inadequate data quality procedures; for example, lacking verification.</td>
<td></td>
<td>• FCC revised its rules and procedures to limit exemptions, enhance data quality, and improve data retrieval.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Problems with data storage and retrieval that hinder electronic queries.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: GAO.

Note: This table includes recommendations pertaining to information collection, management, and reporting. In some instances, the reports included other recommendations not identified in this table.

In response to your request, we examined FCC’s information collection and management practices across the agency. Specifically, we addressed (1) the information FCC collects; (2) how FCC collects and manages information; (3) the strengths and weaknesses, if any, in FCC’s information collection and management practices; and (4) the status of FCC’s internal review of its information collection and management practices. We examined the extent to which FCC has commissionwide information collection and management procedures and the commission’s bureaus, and offices, adherence to those procedures. As such, we did not
assess whether individual information collection and management efforts were adequate to meet FCC’s policy or rulemaking mission. Further, we did not review FCC’s collection of information through its rulemaking process.

To describe the information that FCC collects, we obtained information as of April 2009 on the 413 collection instruments that the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) approved through the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA). We interviewed FCC officials about the various types of information the commission collects. To describe how FCC collects and manages its information, we prepared two questionnaires for FCC officials; these questionnaires sought information on information collection, management, dissemination, and retention and disposal for 30 OMB-approved information collection instruments. To select the 30 information collection instruments, we initially asked FCC for the repository (e.g., the database where the information resides) associated with each of its OMB-approved information collection instruments; FCC officials said the commission could not readily provide that information because it does not maintain its records in such a manner. In response, we adopted an alternative, multistep approach. Specifically, we (1) asked FCC to categorize its OMB-approved collection instruments by activity or use (e.g., licenses and surveys), (2) determined the average burden hours associated the various categories, (3) established three strata based on the average burden hours (greater than 46,803 hours, 46,803 hours to 17,904 hours, and less than 17,904 hours) and selected one category from each of the first two strata and two categories from the third stratum, and (4) judgmentally selected collection instruments from the four categories. We received responses for all 30 OMB-approved information collection instruments. Of the 30 responses, 9 pertained to collection instruments where the reporting entity or a third-party entity maintains the information; we used two questionnaires, one for information collection instruments where FCC maintains the information and one for information collection instruments where the reporting entity or a third-party entity maintains the information. We reviewed FCC policies and procedures to

---

4The Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. Part 35) requires FCC to obtain approval for its information collection instruments from OMB. FCC obtains separate approvals from OMB for each of its collection instruments.

5Under the PRA, the term “burden” means the time, effort, or financial resources expended by persons to generate, maintain, or provide information to a federal agency. 44 U.S.C. § 3502(2).
determine the guidance the commission has established for managing information, and we interviewed FCC officials to determine how the commission carries out its procedures. We also reviewed relevant laws and regulations on procedures for collecting and managing information. To identify the strengths and weaknesses in FCC’s information collection and management practices, we compared the 30 responses from the questionnaires with the commission’s internal policies and procedures and federal guidance on information collection and management practices. We also interviewed 19 stakeholders, including representatives from communication companies, industry trade associations, consumer and public interest groups, state regulators, and academic and industry experts. We selected these stakeholders to include a cross section of industries regulated by FCC, including radio and television broadcasters, cable television operators, satellite operators, and wireline and wireless telephone companies, as well as parties representing consumers and regulators that are affected by the commission’s policies and rulemaking. We also reviewed prior GAO reports and other relevant literature for best practices for the collection and management of information.

We performed this performance audit from December 2008 to January 2010 in accordance with generally accepted government auditing standards. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain sufficient, appropriate evidence to provide a reasonable basis for our findings and conclusions based on our review objectives. We believe that the evidence obtained provides a reasonable basis for our findings and conclusions based on our audit objectives. A detailed discussion of our scope and methodology appears in appendix I.

Background

Under the Communications Act, as amended, FCC regulates interstate and international communications by radio, television, wire, satellite, and cable.\(^6\) FCC regulates these industries by carrying out various activities, including issuing licenses for radio and television broadcast stations; overseeing the licensing, enforcement, and regulatory functions of cellular telephones and other personal communication services; regulating the use of the radio spectrum and conducting auctions of licenses for use of the spectrum; investigating consumer complaints and taking enforcement actions for violations of communications laws and commission rules; addressing public safety, homeland security, emergency management, and

\(^6\) 47 U.S.C. § 151 \(\text{et seq.}\)
preparedness; educating and informing consumers about telecommunications goods and services; and reviewing mergers of companies holding FCC-issued licenses. FCC carries out these responsibilities using its 7 bureaus and 10 offices. Table 2 provides descriptions of each bureau’s responsibilities.

### Table 2: Description of FCC Bureau Responsibilities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bureau</th>
<th>Responsibility</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Consumer and Governmental Affairs Bureau</td>
<td>The Consumer and Governmental Affairs Bureau develops and implements the commission’s consumer policies, including disability access. The bureau conducts consumer outreach and education and maintains a Consumer Center that responds to consumer inquiries and complaints. The bureau also maintains collaborative partnerships with state, local, and tribal governments in areas such as emergency preparedness and implementation of new technologies.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enforcement Bureau</td>
<td>The Enforcement Bureau is responsible for enforcing provisions of the Communications Act, FCC’s rules and orders, and the terms and conditions of station authorizations. Major areas of enforcement that are handled by the Enforcement Bureau are (1) consumer protection enforcement, (2) local competition enforcement, and (3) public safety/homeland security enforcement.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>International Bureau</td>
<td>The International Bureau represents the commission in satellite and international matters. This includes advising the Chairman and commissioners on matters of international telecommunications policy and the status of the commission’s actions to promote the vital interests of the American public in international commerce, national defense, and foreign policy areas. The bureau also develops, recommends, and administers policies, rules, and procedures for the authorization and regulation of international telecommunications facilities and service and domestic and international satellite systems.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Media Bureau</td>
<td>The Media Bureau develops, recommends, and administers the policy and licensing programs relating to electronic media, including cable television, broadcast television, and radio in the United States and its territories. The Media Bureau also handles postlicensing matters regarding Direct Broadcast Satellite service.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Safety and Homeland Security Bureau</td>
<td>The Public Safety and Homeland Security Bureau is responsible for developing, recommending, and administering the agency’s policies pertaining to public safety communications issues. These policies include 911 and enhanced 911 service, operability and interoperability of public safety communications, communications infrastructure protection and disaster response, and network security and reliability. The bureau also serves as a clearinghouse for public safety communications information and takes the lead on emergency response issues.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wireless Telecommunications Bureau</td>
<td>The Wireless Telecommunications Bureau handles all FCC domestic wireless telecommunications programs and policies, except those involving public safety, satellite communications, or broadcasting, including licensing, enforcement, and regulatory functions. Wireless communications services include cellular telephone, paging, personal communications services, and other commercial and private radio services. The bureau also regulates the use of radio spectrum to fulfill the communications needs of business, aircraft and ship operators, and individuals. Finally, the bureau is responsible for implementing the competitive bidding authority for spectrum auctions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wireline Competition Bureau</td>
<td>The Wireline Competition Bureau develops and recommends policy goals, objectives, programs, and plans for the commission on matters concerning wireline telecommunications. The Wireline Competition Bureau’s overall objectives include ensuring choice, opportunity, and fairness in the development of wireline telecommunications services and markets; developing deregulatory initiatives; promoting economically efficient investment in wireline telecommunications infrastructure; promoting the development and widespread availability of wireline telecommunications services; and fostering economic growth.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: FCC.
To fulfill its responsibilities, FCC requires regulated entities, such as companies and licensees, in the communications industry that it regulates to maintain records, submit information, or disclose information to others. For example, television stations are required to provide FCC with information relating to construction permits, license renewals, and ownership. When collecting and managing information, FCC must adhere to various laws and regulations and coordinate with various entities.

- **Paperwork Reduction Act.** The PRA requires agencies, such as FCC, to obtain approval for each information collection instrument that meets the requirements of the PRA from OMB. Before approving a collection instrument, OMB is required to determine that the agency's collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility. Consistent with the PRA's requirements, OMB has established a process to review all proposals by agencies to collect information from 10 or more persons, whether the collections are voluntary or mandatory. OMB's approval of each information collection instrument usually expires within 3 years, and agencies must periodically ask for an extension until the collection is no longer needed.

- **Records management by federal agencies.** As required by statute, the head of each federal agency must establish and maintain an active, continuing program for the economical and efficient management of the agency's records. The agency must provide for effective controls over the creation, maintenance, and use of records in the conduct of current business. Further, the agency must cooperate with the Administrator of General Services and the Archivist in applying standards, procedures, and techniques designed to improve the management of records; promote the maintenance and security of records deemed appropriate for preservation; and facilitate the segregation and disposal of records of temporary value.

- **Federal Information Security Management Act of 2002 (FISMA).** FISMA requires the head of each agency to provide information security protections commensurate with the risk and magnitude of harm resulting

---


from unauthorized access, use, disclosure, disruption, modification, or destruction of information collected or maintained by or on behalf of the agency.\textsuperscript{11}

- **Additional requirements.** In addition to the requirements established by the various laws, federal agencies must follow regulations promulgated by agencies such as OMB and the National Archives and Records Administration (NARA). For example, OMB established policy for managing information through its A-130 Circular.\textsuperscript{12} NARA provides federal agencies with guidance on the management of records and other types of documentary materials and assists agencies in creating and maintaining accurate and complete records of an agency’s functions and activities and in ensuring the authorized, timely, and appropriate disposition of documentary materials.\textsuperscript{13}

To develop new rules or modify existing rules, including rules pertaining to information collection instruments, FCC initiates a rulemaking process.\textsuperscript{14} When implementing a rulemaking process, FCC must follow the procedures set forth in the Administrative Procedure Act (APA).\textsuperscript{15} The APA generally requires a “notice and comment” or “notice and comment rulemaking” process to ensure that stakeholders and the public have adequate opportunity to participate in agencies’ rulemaking processes. In particular, the APA requires agencies, in most cases, to publish a notice of proposed rulemaking in the \textit{Federal Register} and give interested parties an opportunity to comment on the proposed rule or rule change by providing


\textsuperscript{12}OMB, Circular No. A-130, Management of Federal Information Resources.

\textsuperscript{13}For example, see NARA, Agency Recordkeeping Requirements: A Management Guide, at http://www.archives.gov/records-mgmt/publications/agency-recordkeeping-requirements.html.

\textsuperscript{14}For example, FCC used its rulemaking process to seek comments on how to improve its Form 477. FCC uses this form to gather information on broadband deployment throughout the United States. See Development of Nationwide Broadband Data to Evaluate Reasonable and Timely Deployment of Advanced Services to All Americans, Improvement of Wireless Broadband Subscribership Data, and Development of Data on Interconnected Voice over Internet Protocol (VoIP) Subscribership, Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, 22 FCC Rcd 7760 (2007).

\textsuperscript{15}The APA is the principal law governing how agencies make rules. Most federal rules are promulgated under the APA-established informal rulemaking process, which requires agencies to provide public notice of proposed rule changes, as well as to provide a period for interested parties to comment on the notices. 5 U.S.C. § 551 \textit{et seq.}
“written data, views, or arguments.”\textsuperscript{16} FCC generally collects information through the following methods.

- **Notice of Inquiry (NOI).** FCC releases a NOI to gather information about a broad subject or as a means of generating ideas on a specific issue.

- **Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (NPRM).** FCC issues a NPRM to propose new rules or changes to its existing rules. The NPRM must include either the terms or substance of the proposed rule or a description of the subjects and issues involved and seek public comment on the proposal.\textsuperscript{17}

- **Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (FNPRM).** After reviewing comments in the NPRM, FCC can issue a FNPRM regarding specific issues raised in the process. The FNPRM provides an opportunity for the public to comment further on a related or specific proposal.

As of April 2009, FCC used 413 OMB-approved information collection instruments to gather information, maintain records, or disclose information; however, the amount of information collected and managed varied by bureau or office.\textsuperscript{18} Responsibility for these collections is spread across 10 FCC bureaus and offices (see table 3). The Media, Wireline Competition, and Wireless Telecommunications bureaus are responsible for almost three-quarters of the collections, with 139, 85, and 74 collections, respectively. The estimated number of responses also varies significantly by bureau or office. For example, both the Wireline Competition and Consumer and Governmental Affairs bureaus anticipate over 140 million individual responses annually to their collection instruments, whereas the Enforcement

\textsuperscript{16}5 U.S.C. § 553(b).

\textsuperscript{17}FCC is not required to issue a NOI before issuing a NPRM. However, FCC must issue a NPRM before taking final action on a rule unless an exception to notice and comment applies.

\textsuperscript{18}FCC provided GAO with information on the 413 information collection instruments as of April 2009. Since that time, FCC may have received approval for new collection instruments or some collection instruments could have expired. For comparison purposes, other agencies utilizing a significant number of OMB-approved information collection instruments include the departments of Treasury (1,286 collections), Agriculture (566 collections), Commerce (430 collections), Homeland Security (419 collections), and Labor (413 collections).
Bureau anticipates fewer than 10,000.\textsuperscript{19} The burden associated with submitting the information also varies by bureau or office; according to the PRA, the term “burden” means the time, effort, or financial resources expended by persons to generate, maintain, or provide information to a federal agency.\textsuperscript{20} The Consumer and Governmental Affairs Bureau estimates over 39 million hours for its collection instruments, more than the other bureaus and offices combined; other bureaus with over 1 million estimated annual burden hours include the Media, Wireline Competition, and Wireless Telecommunications bureaus.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bureau or office</th>
<th>Number of collection instruments</th>
<th>Estimated number of responses</th>
<th>Estimated annual burden hours</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Media Bureau</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>26,060,594</td>
<td>7,204,822</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wireline Competition Bureau</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>146,943,153</td>
<td>6,485,604</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wireless Telecommunications Bureau</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>2,160,965</td>
<td>2,661,209</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>International Bureau</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>21,238</td>
<td>163,968</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consumer and Governmental Affairs Bureau</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>188,981,121</td>
<td>39,194,033</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office of Engineering and Technology</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>2,099,423</td>
<td>653,411</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Safety and Homeland Security Bureau</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>18,092,277</td>
<td>856,821</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office of Managing Director</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>319,066</td>
<td>68,812</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enforcement Bureau</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>9,906</td>
<td>10,944</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office of General Counsel</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>12,515</td>
<td>9,230</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>413</strong></td>
<td><strong>384,700,258</strong></td>
<td><strong>57,308,854</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: FCC.

\textsuperscript{19}For both the Wireline Competition and Consumer and Governmental Affairs bureaus, the majority of the responses pertain to a single collection instrument. The Wireline Competition Bureau anticipates over 100 million responses to its Customer Proprietary Network Information and Other Customer Information instrument (OMB Control Number 3060-0715), and the Consumer and Governmental Affairs Bureau anticipates over 100 million responses to its consumer complaints instrument (OMB Control Number 3060-0519).

\textsuperscript{20}44 U.S.C. § 3502(2).
FCC collects a wide variety of information through its 413 OMB-approved information collection instruments. In response to our request, FCC placed each of its 413 collection instruments in a category based on the industry and/or purpose. FCC identified 21 categories, and we further organized these 21 categories into five groups (see table 4). As shown in the table, there is significant variation in the number of collection instruments and estimated number of responses and annual burden hours across the 21 categories. We provide a description of the types of information collection instruments below.

**Table 4: FCC’s OMB-Approved Information Collection Instruments by Type and Category, as of April 2009**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Category industry/purpose</th>
<th>Number of collection instruments</th>
<th>Estimated number of responses</th>
<th>Estimated annual burden hours</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Requirements</td>
<td>Wireless licensee requirements</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>1,221,133</td>
<td>1,352,762</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Wireline carrier requirements</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>161,134,039</td>
<td>2,991,155</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Broadcast licensee requirements</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>2,652,838</td>
<td>4,279,656</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cable system requirements</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>22,752,312</td>
<td>1,703,044</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Public safety licensee requirements</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>18,092,277</td>
<td>856,821</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Equipment authorizations and experimental radio</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>408,850</td>
<td>372,594</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>requirements</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Satellite and earth station license requirements</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>805</td>
<td>26,381</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Digital television requirements</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>534,687</td>
<td>438,178</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Broadcast and cable equal employment opportunity</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>40,836</td>
<td>623,432</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>reporting requirements</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Applications</td>
<td>Satellite and earth station license applications</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>20,433</td>
<td>137,587</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Broadcast and cable license applications</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>71,083</td>
<td>143,204</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Public safety and wireless license applications</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>916,259</td>
<td>916,032</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Equipment authorizations and experimental radio</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1,690,573</td>
<td>280,817</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>license applications</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complaints</td>
<td>Consumer complaints and enforcement</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>164,805,662</td>
<td>39,072,069</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financial and</td>
<td>Universal service fund</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>8,286,676</td>
<td>2,147,053</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>accounting</td>
<td>FCC financial operations</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>319,066</td>
<td>68,812</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Wireline carrier accounting</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>19,218</td>
<td>268,538</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>Spectrum auctions</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>30,628</td>
<td>401,893</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Telecommunications relay service</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1,685,365</td>
<td>126,626</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Surveys</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4,978</td>
<td>1,092,970</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Legal procedures</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>12,515</td>
<td>9,230</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>413</strong></td>
<td><strong>384,700,258</strong></td>
<td><strong>57,308,854</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: FCC.

*In many instances, to use the electromagnetic spectrum, an entity (such as a wireless telephone company) must receive a license from FCC. To award some licenses, FCC conducts auctions where the highest bidder pays for and receives the license.

*Telecommunications relay service allows persons with hearing or speech disabilities to place and receive telephone calls.
• **Requirements.** FCC-defined information collection instruments for requirements span a wide variety of industries, including wireless and wireline telephone, broadcasting, cable, equipment, and public safety. FCC regulations require companies to provide a variety of information. For example, the Wireline Competition Bureau has 58 collection instruments of this type, including employment reports and local number portability for wireline telephone companies. The Wireless Telecommunications Bureau has 59 collection instruments of this type, such as reports on interference.

• **Applications.** Regulated entities, such as companies and individuals, seeking to provide certain services must apply for and receive a license from FCC. For example, the Media Bureau gathers license application information from companies seeking to provide radio and television broadcast service. The Office of Engineering and Technology gathers license application and equipment authorization information from companies seeking to market new wireless equipment, such as wireless telephones.

• **Complaints.** FCC collects consumer complaints on a variety of problems through OMB-approved information collection instruments. These complaints include a wide variety of problems such as deceptive or unlawful advertising or marketing; obscene, profane, and/or indecent material on broadcast radio or television; slamming, the illegal practice of changing a consumer’s telephone service without permission; and accessibility of communications services to persons with disabilities.

• **Financial and accounting.** The Wireline Competition Bureau collects information pertaining to both wireline carrier accounting and the universal service fund. The wireline carrier accounting collections include a variety of company submissions, such as information on rates, costs, investment, and customer satisfaction. The universal service fund collections include submissions necessary to pay into or receive payment from the universal service fund.21 We also include collection instruments for FCC’s financial operations in this group; these collections include, for example, documents for FCC’s regulatory fees.22

---

21The Federal Universal Service Fund supports four programs: the Low-Income, the High-Cost, the Schools and Libraries, and the Rural Health Care programs.

22Regulatory fees are mandated by law, and FCC collects these fees to recover the regulatory costs associated with its enforcement, policy and rulemaking, user information, and international activities. See 47 U.S.C. § 159(a).
Other. These information collection instruments pertain to a variety of topics. For example, the Media, Wireline Competition, and Wireless Telecommunications bureaus conduct surveys of cable television operators, companies providing broadband service, and participants in FCC’s spectrum auctions, respectively. The Consumer and Governmental Affairs Bureau collects information pertaining to telecommunications relay service, which allows persons with hearing or speech disabilities to place and receive telephone calls. The Wireless Telecommunications Bureau uses collection instruments to receive applications for participants in spectrum auctions and auction participants seeking bidding credits.  

FCC Bureaus and Offices Collect and Manage Most of the Commission’s Information Following Commissionwide Programs, Policies, and Procedures

FCC has established commissionwide programs, policies, and procedures for the collection and management of information; FCC articulates these policies and procedures in its records management program, forms management program, security policies and procedures, and information system protection. However, since bureaus and offices are the primary users of information, implementing decisions generally occur at the bureau or office level. On the basis of responses to our questionnaires about 30 OMB-approved information collection instruments, FCC’s bureaus and offices collect and manage information in a variety of different ways.

FCC Has Commissionwide Programs, Policies, and Procedures for Managing and Maintaining Information

FCC has four primary directives that establish procedures for commission staff to follow for collecting and managing information. These directives help ensure FCC’s compliance with governmentwide laws and regulations pertaining to information collection and management, such as the PRA and FISMA.

Records management program. By statute, the head of each federal agency must establish and maintain an active, continuing program for the economical and efficient management of all records of the agency.  

To meet this requirement, FCC established a records management program

---

23 A bidding credit is a percentage discount applied to the high bid amount for a license if the bidder meets specific designated entity criteria established in the auction rules.

24 44 U.S.C. § 3101 et seq.
that sets out the policies, procedures, and activities needed to manage the commission’s recorded information. The objectives of FCC’s records management procedures are to

- accurately and completely document the policies and transactions of the commission;
- control the quantity and quality of records produced by the commission;
- establish and maintain mechanisms of control to promote effective and economical operations of the commission;
- simplify the activities, systems, and processes of creating, maintaining, and using records; and
- judiciously preserve and dispose of records.

Within the Office of Managing Director, FCC’s Performance Evaluation and Records Management (PERM) staff carry out procedures to establish and oversee the records management program. The procedures require PERM staff to review and evaluate the program by conducting (1) on-site inspections, (2) annual reviews of all bureau and office records control schedules, and (3) reviews of bureau and office submissions of record holdings.

**Forms management program.** FCC has a forms management program to comply with statutory, regulatory, and policy requirements for federal forms. The objectives of the forms management program are to ensure (1) forms are directly linked to accomplishing specific missions of the commission; (2) forms are properly designed with clear instructions to make it easy as possible for respondents to provide information requested in the least amount of time; and (3) forms make effective and efficient use of electronic technologies for creating, collecting, distributing, and using these forms to record, store, and disseminate information. The procedures state that each bureau and office chief is responsible for, among other

---

25FCC Directive: FCC INST 1110.1 (March 2007). This directive is nonpublic and for internal use only.

26FCC Directive: FCC INST 1112.1 (February 2008). This directive is nonpublic and for internal use only.
things, ensuring that forms are created, maintained, and disposed of in conformance with the commission’s records management program.

**Security policies and procedures.** FCC has security policies and procedures for the management and safeguarding of all nonpublic information. FCC has two categories of nonpublic information:

1. **“Highly sensitive/restricted”** information is defined as information that is highly market sensitive (i.e., disclosure of which is likely to substantially affect the value of securities traded publicly or a company’s market valuation) or other commercial or financial information the commission considers confidential and highly sensitive. For example, according to FCC officials, information that is submitted to FCC’s Disaster Information Reporting System may contain commercial information that could affect competition among wireless, wireline, broadcast, and cable providers and is treated as confidential by FCC.

2. **“Internal use only”** information is defined as all other nonpublic information not routinely available for inspection. For example, FCC maintains information for internal use only that allows its crisis incident managers to coordinate activities in the telecommunications industry and FCC in the event of a crisis. This internal document has contact information for FCC employees, other federal government agencies, state and local governments, and the communications industry.

According to these procedures, the bureau or office creating or using nonpublic information is responsible for determining in which category the information should be placed. FCC’s procedures are designed to safeguard the nonpublic information in all formats including, but not limited to, paper, computer files, e-mails, diskettes, CDs, audio and video recordings, and oral communications. Among other things, the policies and procedures require that nonpublic information must be disposed of in a locked document disposal bin; such bins are located throughout FCC headquarters.

**Information systems protection.** FCC has established policy to help ensure that adequate levels of protection exist for all FCC information.

---

27FCC Directive: FCC INST 1139 (March 2007). This directive is nonpublic and for internal use only.
systems, including the FCC network, applications and databases, and information created, stored, or processed. FCC’s Chief Information Officer (CIO) has primary responsibility for managing the commission’s policy. The policy states that the CIO’s responsibilities include (1) evaluating and approving the resolution of issues relating to information security, (2) developing and maintaining an agencywide information security program, and (3) training and overseeing personnel with significant responsibilities for information security. FCC also has a Chief Information Security Officer responsible for (1) developing plans for providing adequate information security for networks, facilities, and systems or groups of information systems; (2) conducting periodic assessments of the risk and magnitude of the harm that could result from the unauthorized access, use, disclosure, disruption, modification, or destruction of information and information systems that support the operations and assets of the agency; and (3) developing plans and procedures to ensure continuity of operations for information systems that support the operations and assets of the agency.

Bureaus and Offices Are Primarily Responsible for Collecting and Managing the Commission’s Information

FCC’s policies and procedures for managing information are primarily carried out at the bureau or office level. As the primary users of information, FCC’s bureaus and offices manage most of the commission’s information collected through OMB-approved collection instruments. The previously mentioned records management guidance, which was established by PERM, gives bureau and office chiefs authority to establish their own procedures for managing records and ensuring staff observe guidelines. According to FCC officials, bureaus and offices are allowed to establish their own procedures. However, officials also said that they are not aware of any bureaus and offices that have officially done so. Similarly, officials with the bureaus and offices with whom we spoke said

---

28FCC Directive: FCC INST 1479.3 (July 2008). This directive is nonpublic and for internal use only.

that they use the commissionwide guidance to manage their information and have no additional internal information procedures.

According to responses to our questionnaires about 30 OMB-approved information collection instruments, FCC’s bureaus and offices collect and manage information in various ways based on the type of information. As mentioned previously, a reporting entity or third-party entity maintains the information associated with some FCC collection instruments. In those instances, certain questions pertaining to information collection, management, dissemination, and retention and disposal are not applicable. Therefore, we used two questionnaires, one for collection instruments where FCC maintains the information and one for collection instruments where the reporting entity or a third-party entity maintains the information. Of the 30 responses we received, FCC maintains the information for 21 collection instruments; for the remaining 9 collection instruments, the reporting entity or third party maintains the information. Most of the following analysis pertains to the 21 collection instruments where FCC maintains the information.

**Information collection.** Respondents to our questionnaire reported that they collect information in different formats, including electronic, paper, and compact disc (CD). For the 21 collection instruments where FCC maintains the information, 14 respondents reported that the reporting entity submits information to the bureau or office in an electronic format. For example, one respondent reported that cost and revenue information from telephone companies, such as AT&T and Verizon, is submitted electronically to FCC. In three instances, the respondent reported that the bureau or office receives the information in a paper format. For example, 1 respondent reported that entities using certain radio frequency identification devices are required to submit their information on paper to register the location of these devices. Additionally, 3 respondents reported receiving electronic and paper submissions, and 1 respondent reporting receiving both paper and CD submissions.

The frequency of the collection also varied among the information collection instruments. Nine information collections are annual. For example, one respondent reported that FCC collects information annually

3Radio frequency identification is an automated data capture technology that can be used to identify, track, and store information contained on the tag that it is attached to or embedded in an object, such as a product, case, or pallet.
from a sample of cable operators on average rates charged for the basic cable service, cable programming service tiers, and cable equipment. The frequency of the collection for the remaining collection instruments varied, from onetime submissions when filing an application to triennial filings.

Information management. After collecting the information, bureaus and offices manage it in various ways. For all 30 collection instruments, 15 respondents reported that the bureau or office stores the information in a database. As we discussed previously, in nine instances the reporting entity or a third party maintains the information. The remaining respondents to our questionnaire reported that the bureau or office stores information in an internal network system or a file cabinet.

Respondents to our questionnaire reported that bureaus and offices use several quality control procedures to ensure the accuracy of information. For example, three respondents reported that information systems run validity checks that ensure (1) certain data do not fall outside a reasonable range for that data and (2) all data have been submitted as required. A respondent reported that drop-down menus for individuals submitting data electronically provide checks on the quality of the data, as do pop-up warnings for data entries outside of the expected reasonable range. Other respondents reported that staff review the information for completeness and accuracy. For the 9 collection instruments wherein the reporting entity or a third party maintains the information, 4 respondents reported that the bureau or office may randomly select items for review, request the records be provided to the commission, and review the records for compliance with the commission rules; 3 respondents reported that the bureau or office does not verify the information. In terms of correcting errors, some respondents reported that the bureau or office contacts the individual or organization that submitted the information and asks that entity to make corrections. Other respondents reported that the bureau or office will contact the individual or organization and ask for clarification and update the information internally.

Respondents to our questionnaire also identified several approaches the bureaus and offices employ to safeguard information. For the 21 collection instruments where FCC maintains the information, 12 respondents reported that their bureau’s or office’s information collections contain business sensitive or confidential information. Nine respondents reported that the information collections are less sensitive: 6 reported that the information is generally public data, 1 reported that the information is not typically business sensitive or confidential, and 2 reported that the information is not business sensitive or confidential. To ensure the safeguarding of
information, 17 respondents reported that the bureau or office limits access to information. For example, 1 respondent who reported that much of the information is business sensitive also reported that access to the information is limited to bureau staff. In addition, staff members are instructed to keep the information and any related notes and worksheets confidential and to keep any paper copies of the information in locked cabinets. Fourteen respondents also reported that the information is safeguarded with data backup and storage, and 2 respondents reported that information is protected by encryption. Two respondents reported that confidential submissions are kept in a locked file cabinet.

**Information dissemination.** FCC disseminates the information gathered through some of the collection instruments we reviewed to the public. Specifically, 15 respondents to our questionnaire reported that the information collected is disseminated through internal or external reports. Of the 15 respondents, 11 reported that some of the information collected is disseminated to the public on FCC’s Web site. For example, 1 respondent reported that information on applications and licenses for experimental use of radio frequencies is publicly accessible. Other respondents reported the public can request the information or view the information at FCC. For example, 1 respondent reported that in order to protect the identity of the entity submitting information, FCC releases redacted information in response to a request for information. Additionally, several respondents reported that internal reports are generated from the information collected. For example, 1 respondent reported that the bureau or office generates internal workload, trend, and management reports from the information.

**Information retention and disposal.** Bureaus and offices collecting information via the collection instruments we reviewed retain the information for a period of 1 year to indefinitely. Specifically, 7 respondents to our questionnaire reported that the bureau or office retains the information indefinitely. For example, 1 respondent reported that although the actual survey forms are kept for 5 years, spreadsheets of information on surveys of license and spectrum auctions are kept indefinitely. Another respondent reported that the information is retained indefinitely because the disposal procedures are not yet in place. We also asked about the procedures for disposing of information. Six respondents reported that information is transferred to the NARA after being retained by FCC for 5 years. Two respondents reported that paper documents are shredded and electronic records are physically destroyed or erased electronically.
According to our review of 30 OMB-approved information collections, FCC’s bureaus and offices appear to follow commission- and governmentwide policies and procedures for the collection and management of information. For example, the bureaus and offices conduct quality control procedures for these information collections. However, in prior reports, we have identified weaknesses in FCC’s information collection and management practices, and some stakeholders with whom we spoke noted the same or similar weaknesses. In particular, these reported weaknesses concern FCC’s information collection processes and the estimated burden hours associated with FCC’s information collections.

On the Basis of Responses to GAO’s Questionnaire, FCC’s Bureaus and Offices Appear to Follow Commission- and Governmentwide Guidance

For the 30 information collections that we reviewed, FCC’s bureaus and offices appeared to follow commission- and governmentwide policies and procedures for the collection and management of information. In particular, we compared the 30 responses from our questionnaires with the commission’s internal policies and procedures and federal guidance on information collection and management practices. We found that the bureaus and offices followed the relevant policies and procedures for these 30 information collections. For example, respondents to our questionnaire reported carrying out a variety of commissionwide information management procedures, including the following:

- **Quality control.** FCC bureaus and offices responsible for the collections reported using a variety of quality control procedures for managing the collections to ensure the accuracy and integrity of the information in the collections. These quality control procedures include general processes to verify information, such as edit checks; Web-based filing systems, which incorporate presubmission checks for internal consistency; and notification of the filers of erroneous information and the legal obligation to correct the information and resubmit the document.

- **Safeguarding sensitive and confidential information.** The bureaus and offices collecting confidential information reported implementing a
variety of safeguards. These safeguards include system limitations that restrict access to the information and encryption of the data in information collections.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Prior GAO Reports and Some Stakeholders Identified Certain Weaknesses in FCC’s Information Collection and Management Practices</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>As mentioned previously, in several reports, we have found weaknesses in certain information collection, management, and reporting processes at FCC. In several instances, FCC has not implemented our recommendations. For example, we recommended that FCC consider collecting additional data and developing additional measures to monitor competition for dedicated access service on an ongoing basis; FCC disagreed that it needed to better define competition and collect additional data, although on November 5, 2009, it released a Public Notice inviting comment on an appropriate analytical framework for examining dedicated access. Some stakeholders with whom we spoke also identified certain weaknesses in FCC’s processes.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Information collection.** We recently reported that when issuing a NPRM to gather public input before adopting, modifying, or deleting a rule, including those rulemakings involving information collection instruments, FCC rarely includes the text of the proposed rule in the notice, an omission that may limit the effectiveness of the public comment process. We recommended that FCC, where appropriate, include the actual text of proposed rules or rule changes in either a NPRM or a FNPRM before the commission votes on new or modified rules to improve the transparency and effectiveness of the decision-making process. Six stakeholders with whom we spoke also expressed concern about FCC’s lack of specificity when proposing the collection of information through the notice and comment process. For example, four stakeholders said that FCC does not initially specify the information that it wants to gather through a proposed collection instrument in the NPRM. Additionally, an official representing a major telecommunications company said that FCC issues NPRMs that do not contain the proposed rule for stakeholders to review and comment on. This official added that NPRMs usually contain a general description of what the rule will be and the companies can submit comments. The lack of specificity

---


in the NPRM makes it harder for stakeholders and the public to provide meaningful input on the proposed information collection instrument.

**Burden hour estimates.** OMB recently released a request for comments on improving implementation of the PRA. In its request, OMB noted that agencies’ estimation methodologies can sometimes produce imprecise and inconsistent estimates of the burdens associated with information collection instruments. In particular, OMB noted that some estimates are not based on sufficiently rigorous or internally consistent methodologies. Additionally, OMB noted that some information collections may impose significant burdens on small businesses. Therefore, OMB sought comment on a variety of topics, including the following:

- examples of substantially inaccurate burden estimates for information collections,
- new or improved practices for estimating burden,
- examples of information collections that inaccurately estimate the impact of burden upon small entities, and
- whether or not a separate burden estimate should be created for small entities.

Seven stakeholders with whom we spoke expressed concern about FCC’s burden hour estimates and the overall burden associated with the commission’s information collections, particularly the burden on small companies. Three stakeholders mentioned that FCC’s burden hour estimates are not accurate. For example, an official with a telecommunications company said that the burden estimates for some of the information collections the company submits are underestimated. In particular, this official said that aggregating and submitting information to FCC on broadband service (FCC Form 477) takes longer than FCC’s estimate; FCC’s estimated average burden hours per response for the Form 477 is 72 hours, yet this official said the time to prepare and submit the Form 477 is off by a factor of 10. Six stakeholders mentioned the

---


34FCC officials noted that interested parties have an opportunity to comment on proposed collection instruments, but generally few choose to comment.
burdensome nature of some FCC collections, particularly for small companies. For example, one association said that providing data is a burden for some of the smaller companies, which might have as few as 500 customers. Another official noted that inaccurate estimates can adversely affect small companies, since the additional burden could negatively affect their operations. In general, these stakeholders did not provide concrete examples to substantiate their concerns about the estimated burden.

On July 22, 2009, the FCC Chairman directed the Office of Strategic Planning and Policy Analysis (OSPPA) to conduct a top-to-bottom review of the commission’s systems and processes for information collection, processing, analysis, and dissemination. According to the Chairman, he initiated the review to uncover opportunities to improve the commission’s information capabilities. In particular, the Chairman sought information on whether any (1) new information should be collected to support the commission’s mission, (2) existing information reporting requirements could be streamlined or eliminated because they are unduly burdensome or no longer relevant, and (3) existing technological platforms and management processes could be modernized in order to make the commission’s use of information more efficient and effective. The Chairman asked OSPPA to answer 20 questions, including the following:

- For each bureau and office, what significant information is collected and which information is used most heavily internally or externally?
- Is there overlap among bureaus or offices with regard to information collection?
- What formal operational processes exist to manage the full information “life cycle” and are there any bottlenecks?
- Does FCC make regular efforts to gather best practices from other information collections agencies?
- What reports does FCC regularly generate to make information available to the public, what are the most important information systems, and what metrics does FCC have to track public consumption of information?

According to FCC officials, OSPPA has taken several steps to carry out the Chairman’s request. In particular, OSPPA (1) sought information on the current information collection efforts and future information needs in FCC’s bureaus and offices and (2) identified potential gaps between the
current collections and future needs. OSPPA officials said that the current
effort will likely identify areas for greater investigation for the bureaus and
offices, and that the current effort is the beginning of a multiyear review
and transition process.

Additionally, the Chairman initiated an assessment of FCC’s database and
communications infrastructure. According to the Chairman, an initial
review strongly suggested that a significant upgrade will be warranted to
bring the commission into the 21st century. The Chairman also stated that
an upgrade will permit the commission and its staff to function much more
efficiently and facilitate public use of its Web site. FCC also launched an
internal online forum where employees can submit ideas for improvement
and reform, and FCC plans to launch a section on its Web site allowing the
public to offer ideas for reform as well.

Agency Comments

We provided FCC with a draft of this report for its review and comment.
FCC provided written comments, which appear in appendix II. In its
written comments, FCC discussed the various efforts under way at the
commission to improve its data management processes. FCC also
provided technical comments that we incorporated where appropriate.

As agreed with your office, unless you publicly announce the contents of
the report earlier, we plan no further distribution of it until 30 days from
the date of this report. At that time we will send a copy of this report to the
Chairman of the Federal Communications Commission. The report also is

If you have any questions about this report, please contact me at (202) 512-
2834 or wised@gao.gov. Contact points for our Offices of Congressional
Relations and Public Affairs may be found on the last page of this report. GAO
staff who made major contributions to this report are listed in appendix III.

Sincerely yours,

David J. Wise
Director, Physical Infrastructure Issues
This report examines (1) the information the Federal Communication Commission (FCC) collects; (2) how FCC collects and manages information; (3) the strengths and weaknesses, if any, in FCC’s information collection and management practices; and (4) the status of FCC’s internal review of its information collection and management practices.

To describe the information FCC collects, we obtained and reviewed FCC’s list of information collection instruments approved under the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA); we reviewed collection instruments that were approved as of April 22, 2009. The list included FCC’s description of the information collection, the PRA number, the name of the bureau or office responsible for managing the collection, and the estimated annual burden hours associated with the collection. We also interviewed FCC officials from seven bureaus and offices, including the Chief Information Officer. We discussed the availability, formats, and special characteristics of FCC’s information collections.

To describe how FCC collects and manages information, we reviewed commissionwide directives on FCC’s (1) records management program, (2) forms management program, (3) management of nonpublic information, and (4) information security program. We reviewed the National Institute of Standards and Technology’s guidance on security procedures for information, the Office of Management and Budget’s (OMB) Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs directives on managing and securing information, and the National Archives and Records Administration’s (NARA) guidance for retaining and disposing of information. We also interviewed FCC officials.

Additionally, to obtain information on how various FCC bureaus and offices collect and manage information, we developed two questionnaires that covered various aspects of the information life cycle—collection, management, dissemination, and retention and disposal. We developed one questionnaire for collection instruments where FCC retains the information and a second questionnaire for collection instruments where the filing entity or a third party maintains the information. We pretested the questions to determine appropriateness and made revisions based on the results of the pretest. To select the information collection instruments from which we would obtain information via the questionnaires, we initially asked FCC for the repository (e.g., the database where the information resides) associated with each of its information collections; FCC officials said the commission could not readily provide that information because it does not maintain its records in such a manner. In
response, we adopted an alternative, multistep approach. We asked FCC to classify the 413 OMB-approved information collection instruments into categories based on activity or use (e.g., licenses and surveys); FCC divided its 413 collection instruments into 21 categories. We determined the average burden hours for each of the 21 categories, based on the estimated annual burden hours for the collection instruments in each category. We established three strata based on the average burden hours (greater than 46,803 hours, 46,803 hours to 17,904 hours, and less than 17,904 hours). We selected one category from each of the first two stratum and two categories from the third strata in order to obtain a mix of collection types and to eliminate collections that received extremely limited submissions. Finally, we judgmentally selected collection instruments from each of these four categories; this process resulted in the selection of 30 information collection instruments. Because of the nature of our selection process, our results can not be used to evaluate FCC’s collection processes overall. Of the 30 collection instruments, FCC maintains the information for 21 collection instruments and the filing entity or a third party maintains the information for the remaining 9 collection instruments. We received responses for all 30 collections. After receiving the 30 responses, we reviewed and analyzed the answers and followed up on selected answers and documentation provided in the questionnaire by interviewing the responsible officials.

To describe the strengths and weaknesses in FCC’s information collection and management practices, we compared the 30 responses from the questionnaires with the commission’s internal policies and procedures and federal guidance on information collection and management practices. We also interviewed 19 stakeholders, including representatives from communication companies, industry trade associations, consumer and public interest groups, state regulators, and academic and industry experts. We selected these stakeholders to include a cross section of industries regulated by FCC, including radio and television broadcasters, cable television operators, satellite operators, and wireline and wireless telephone companies, as well as parties representing consumers and regulators that are affected by the commission’s policies and rulemaking. We reviewed prior GAO reports and performed a literature review of best practices for the collection and management of information.

To describe the steps FCC is taking to address information management weaknesses, we reviewed a memoranda dated July 22, 2009, from the FCC Chairman initiating a review of the commission’s information management collections and processes. We also reviewed a congressional hearing statement made by the Chairman in which he discussed FCC’s initiatives
to improve information management.\textsuperscript{1} We met with the Chief of the Office of Strategic Planning and Policy Analysis to discuss progress on the commissionwide information management review the Chairman requested in July of 2009.

\textsuperscript{1}Written Statement of Julius Genachowski, Chairman, Federal Communications Commission, Before the Committee on Energy and Commerce, Subcommittee on Communications, Technology and the Internet, House of Representatives, September 17, 2009.
January 14, 2010

David Wise  
Director, Physical Infrastructure Issues  
United States Government Accountability Office  
Washington, D.C.  20548

Re: GAO-10-249

Dear Mr. Wise:


While the report does not contain any specific recommendations for action by the Federal Communications Commission (Commission or FCC), the report does acknowledge that Chairman Julius Genachowski has made modernizing and reforming the FCC’s data management processes a priority. Indeed, the Chairman has frequently noted that as the nation’s expert agency on communications, the FCC must have access to, and base its decisions on, data that are accurate and relevant.

Specifically, to ensure that the FCC is data-driven in its decision-making, the Chairman directed the FCC’s Office of Strategic Planning and Policy Analysis to conduct a top-to-bottom review of the FCC’s systems and processes for data collection, processing, analysis, and dissemination. A start to the longer process of data reform at the Commission, the review addresses whether any new data should be collected, whether any existing data reporting requirements can be eliminated or reduced, and whether existing technological platforms can be modernized to make the Commission’s use of data more effective and efficient. The review includes an inventory and initial examination of the over 400 data collections discussed in your report.

As a part of the Commission’s data review, the Office of the Managing Director is also assessing the database and communications infrastructure of the FCC. An initial review strongly suggests that a significant upgrade is warranted to bring the Commission into the 21st century. The FCC’s website and database infrastructure are many years out of date, and upgrades will permit the Commission and its staff to function much more efficiently and facilitate public use of the agency’s website. Moreover, the FCC is looking at its licensing, comment and complaint filing systems to see whether they can be improved. The Commission has launched an initiative that will combine all of our current licensing applications into a single consolidated system. The new consolidated system will give the public a consistent interface and will standardize business practices across Bureaus and Offices.
The FCC is also committed to soliciting public feedback and input as it undertakes these efforts. Most recently, the Commission launched a new site, Reboot.FCC.Gov, where citizens can offer their ideas for FCC reform. The Reboot site also offers new ways to access FCC data through FCC.gov/data. This new site is an online clearinghouse for data sets made public by the Commission. The data sets are organized in two ways: by the type of data set and by the Bureau or Office publishing the data. The launch of FCC.gov/data is the first of many steps in reforming how the FCC publishes and manages its data. New data sets will be regularly added to FCC.gov/data, and the work to present the data in more functional and easily accessible formats will be ongoing.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the draft report. The FCC is committed to increasing openness and transparency, and we encourage public input on making the Commission a more open and data-driven agency.

Sincerely,

Steven VanRoekel
Managing Director
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