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What GAO Found

GAO determined that the payment groups in the OPPS, known as ambulatory payment classification (APC) groups, accurately reflect the relative cost of procedures performed in ASCs. GAO calculated the ratio between each procedure’s ASC median cost, as determined by GAO’s survey, and the median cost of each procedure’s corresponding APC group under the OPPS, referred to as the ASC-to-APC cost ratio. GAO also compared the OPPS median costs of those same procedures with the median costs of their APC groups, referred to as the OPPS-to-APC cost ratio. GAO’s analysis of the ASC-to-APC and OPPS-to-APC cost ratios showed that 45 percent of all procedures in the analysis fell within a 0.10 point range of the ASC-to-APC median cost ratio, and 33 percent of procedures fell within a 0.10 point range of the OPPS-to-APC median cost ratio. These similar patterns of distribution around the median show that the APC groups reflect the relative costs of procedures provided by ASCs as well as they reflect the relative costs of procedures provided in hospital outpatient departments and can be used as the basis for the ASC payment system. GAO’s analysis also identified differences in the cost of procedures in the two settings. The median cost ratio among all ASC procedures was 0.39 and when weighted by Medicare claims volume was 0.84. The median cost ratio for OPPS procedures was 1.04. Thus, the cost of procedures in ASCs is substantially lower than the corresponding cost in hospital outpatient departments.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ASC Procedure Median Cost to APC Median Cost Ratios, Distributed by Percentage in 0.05 Increments, 2004</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Procedure cost ratios</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45% Percentage of all cost ratios within +/- 0.10 of median cost ratio</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OPPS Procedure Median Cost to APC Median Cost Ratios, Distributed by Percentage in 0.05 Increments, 2004</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Procedure cost ratios</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33% Percentage of all cost ratios within +/- 0.10 of median cost ratio</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

To view the full product, including the scope and methodology, click on the link above. For more information, contact Kathleen King at (202) 512-7119 or kingk@gao.gov.
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### Abbreviations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AAASC</td>
<td>American Association of Ambulatory Surgery Centers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APC</td>
<td>ambulatory payment classification</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASC</td>
<td>ambulatory surgical center</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMS</td>
<td>Centers for Medicare &amp; Medicaid Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GI</td>
<td>gastrointestinal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MMA</td>
<td>Medicare Prescription Drug, Improvement, and Modernization Act of 2003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCH</td>
<td>National Claims History</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ORA</td>
<td>Omnibus Reconciliation Act of 1980</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OPPS</td>
<td>outpatient prospective payment system</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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In 1982, Medicare began paying ambulatory surgical centers (ASC) to perform certain surgical procedures on an outpatient basis. ASCs were established as an alternative to hospital inpatient care, which was considered a more costly setting. Medicare’s initial ASC payment rates were based on ASC cost and charge data from 1979 and 1980. The Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS), the agency that administers Medicare, was required by law to review the ASC payment rates periodically and adjust them as appropriate.\(^1\) CMS last revised the ASC payment rates in 1990 using ASC data on costs and charges that CMS collected in 1986.\(^2\) Since the payment rates were last revised, there has been substantial growth in both the number of ASC facilities and procedures they perform, as well as changes in medical practice and

\(^1\)Omnibus Reconciliation Act of 1980 (ORA), Pub. L. No. 96-499, § 934(b), 94 Stat. 2599, 2637 (codified, as amended, at 42 U.S.C. § 1395l(i)).

\(^2\)ASC payment rates have been periodically updated for inflation.
technology. In 2004, there were approximately 4,100 Medicare-participating ASCs, a number that has grown substantially since 2000 when there were about 2,900 Medicare-participating ASCs. In 2004, ASCs received approximately $2.5 billion in total Medicare payments, a 79 percent increase since 2000 when Medicare payments to ASCs totaled approximately $1.4 billion.

While the ASC setting was originally intended to be an alternative to hospital inpatient care, the procedures performed in ASCs are now frequently performed in the hospital outpatient setting. Medicare pays ASCs and hospital outpatient departments through different payment systems. While procedures performed in ASCs are placed into payment groups based on similar costs, hospital outpatient department procedures are placed into payment groups, known as ambulatory payment classification (APC) groups, based on both cost and clinical similarity. Unlike the ASC payment system, the payment rates for hospital outpatient departments are revised annually based on cost and charge data included in reports hospitals are required to submit to CMS each year.

Although ASCs and hospital outpatient departments perform a similar set of procedures, no comparison between the Medicare ASC payment system and the Medicare hospital outpatient department payment system, known as the outpatient prospective payment system (OPPS), has been conducted. The Medicare Prescription Drug, Improvement, and Modernization Act of 2003 (MMA) directed us to conduct a study that compares the relative costs of procedures performed in ASCs to the relative costs of procedures performed in hospital outpatient departments. As discussed with the committees of jurisdiction, we compared (1) additional services billed with procedures performed in ASCs with those billed with procedures performed in hospital outpatient departments and whether there were any Medicare payments associated with those services and (2) the relative costs of procedures when performed in ASCs to the relative costs of those procedures when performed in hospital outpatient departments, in particular, how accurately the APC groups used in the OPPS reflect the relative costs of procedures performed in the ASC setting. In addition, we examined the proportion of ASCs’ costs that are labor-related; this information is provided in appendix I.

---

To compare the delivery of additional services provided with procedures performed in ASCs and hospital outpatient departments, we identified all additional services frequently provided in each setting with one of the top 20 procedures based on highest Medicare ASC claims volume, which, as a group, represented approximately 75 percent of all Medicare ASC claims volume in 2003. Using Medicare claims data for 2003, we identified beneficiaries receiving one of the top 20 procedures performed in either an ASC or hospital outpatient department, then identified any other claims for those beneficiaries submitted by ASCs, hospital outpatient departments, durable medical equipment suppliers, and other Medicare part B providers. We identified claims for the beneficiaries on the day the procedure was performed and the day after. We created a list that included all additional services that were billed at least 10 percent of the time with each of the top 20 procedures when they were performed in ASCs. We created a similar list of additional services for each of the top 20 procedures when they were performed in hospital outpatient departments. We then compared the lists to determine if the additional services provided by ASCs and hospital outpatient departments with each of those procedures were similar. To compare the Medicare payments for additional services provided with procedures performed in ASCs and hospital outpatient departments, we identified whether any additional services included in our analysis resulted in an additional payment.

To compare the costs of procedures performed in ASCs and hospital outpatient departments, we first compiled information on ASCs’ costs and procedures performed. We conducted a survey of 600 ASCs to obtain 2004 cost and procedure data. We received responses from 397 ASC facilities, and through our data reliability testing, determined that data from 290 responding facilities were sufficiently reliable for our purposes.

To allocate ASCs’ costs among the individual procedures they perform, we first separated ASCs’ direct and indirect costs. We then allocated each ASC’s direct costs among procedures it performed using a relative weight scale we constructed with data from CMS, supplemented by information

---

4For the remainder of the report, we refer to these as the top 20 procedures.

5For Medicare payment purposes, the bills that providers submit for payment are referred to as claims.

6We included services delivered the day after a procedure to allow for the inclusion of services, such as laboratory services, that may not be provided immediately following the procedure.
from medical specialty societies and clinicians who work for CMS. The relative weight scale captures the general variation in costs associated with performing the different procedures. We allocated each ASC’s indirect costs equally across all procedures it performed. For each procedure, we summed the direct and indirect costs for each ASC and arrayed the total cost for each of the ASCs performing that procedure. To obtain a per-procedure cost across all ASCs, we then identified the median cost for each procedure from the array.

To compare per-procedure costs for ASCs and hospital outpatient departments, we first obtained from CMS the list of APC groups included in the OPPS and the procedures assigned to each APC group. We also obtained from CMS the OPPS median cost of each procedure and the median cost of each APC group. We then calculated a ratio between the median cost for each procedure performed at an ASC, as determined by the survey, and the median cost of each procedure’s corresponding APC group under the OPPS. For the same procedures, we also calculated a ratio between the median cost of each procedure under the OPPS and the median cost of the procedure’s APC group, using the data obtained from CMS. To evaluate the difference in procedure costs between the two settings, we compared the ASC-to-APC and OPPS-to-APC cost ratios. To assess how well the relative costs of procedures in the OPPS, defined by their assignment to APC groups, reflect the relative costs of procedures in the ASC setting, we evaluated the distribution of the ASC-to-APC and OPPS-to-APC cost ratios.

We also conducted interviews with CMS officials and representatives from ASC industry organizations, specifically, the American Association of Ambulatory Surgery Centers (AAASC) and FASA, as well as physician specialty societies, and individual ASCs. For details on our methods, see appendix II. We performed our work from April 2004 through October 2006 in accordance with generally accepted government auditing standards.

There are some similarities in how Medicare pays ASCs and hospital outpatient departments for the procedures they perform. However, the methods used by CMS to calculate the payment rates in each system, as well as the mechanisms used to revise the Medicare payment rates, differ.
In 1980, legislation was enacted that enabled ASCs to bill Medicare for certain surgical procedures provided to Medicare beneficiaries. Under the ASC payment system, Medicare pays a predetermined, and generally all-inclusive, amount per procedure to the facility. The approximately 2,500 surgical procedures that ASCs may bill for under Medicare are assigned to one of nine payment groups that contain procedures with similar costs, but not necessarily clinical similarities. All procedures assigned to one payment group are paid at the same rate. Under the Medicare payment system, when more than one procedure is performed at the same time, the ASC receives a payment for each of the procedures. However, the procedure that has the highest payment rate receives 100 percent of the applicable payment, and each additional procedure receives 50 percent of the applicable payment.

The Medicare payment for a procedure performed at an ASC is intended to cover the direct costs for a procedure, such as nursing and technician services, drugs, medical and surgical supplies and equipment, anesthesia materials, and diagnostic services (including imaging services), and the indirect costs associated with the procedure, including use of the facility and related administrative services. The ASC payment for a procedure does not include payment for implantable devices or prosthetics related to the procedure; ASCs may bill separately for those items. In addition, the payment to the ASC does not include payment for professional services associated with the procedure; the physician who performs the procedure and the anesthesiologist or anesthetist bill Medicare directly for their services. Finally, the ASC payment does not include payment for certain other services that are not directly related to performing the procedure and do not occur during the time that the procedure takes place, such as some laboratory, X-ray, and other diagnostic tests. Because these additional services are not ASC procedures, they may be performed by another provider. In those cases, Medicare makes payments to those providers for the additional services. For example, a laboratory service needed to evaluate a tissue sample removed during an ASC procedure is not included in the ASC payment. The provider that evaluated the tissue sample would bill and receive payment from Medicare for that service. Because ASCs receive one inclusive payment for the procedure performed and its associated services, such as drugs, they generally include on their Medicare claim only the procedure performed.

In 1997, legislation was enacted that required the implementation of a prospective payment system for hospital outpatient departments; the OPPS was implemented in August 2000. Although ASCs perform only procedures, hospital outpatient departments provide a much broader array of services, including diagnostic services, such as X-rays and laboratory tests, and emergency room and clinic visits. Each of the approximately 5,500 services, including procedures, that hospital outpatient departments perform is assigned to one of over 800 APC groups with other services with clinical and cost similarities for payment under the OPPS. All services assigned to one APC group are paid the same rate. Similar to ASCs, when hospitals perform multiple procedures at the same time, they receive 100 percent of the applicable payment for the procedure that has the highest payment rate, and 50 percent of the applicable payment for each additional procedure, subject to certain exceptions.

Like payments to ASCs, payment for a procedure under the OPPS is intended to cover the costs of the use of the facility, nursing and technician services, most drugs, medical and surgical supplies and equipment, anesthesia materials, and administrative costs. Medicare payment to a hospital for a procedure does not include professional services for physicians or other nonphysician practitioners. These services are paid for separately by Medicare. However, there are some differences between ASC and OPPS payments for procedures. Under the OPPS, hospital outpatient departments generally may not bill separately for implantable devices related to the procedure, but they may bill separately for additional services that are directly related to the procedure, such as certain drugs and diagnostic services, including X-rays. Hospital outpatient departments also may bill separately for additional services that are not directly related to the procedure and do not occur during the procedure, such as laboratory services to evaluate a tissue sample. Because they provide a broader array of services, and because CMS has encouraged hospitals to report all services provided during a procedure on their Medicare claims for rate-setting purposes, hospital claims may provide more detail about the services delivered during a procedure than ASC claims do.

---

**Structure of the OPPS**

In 1997, legislation was enacted that required the implementation of a prospective payment system for hospital outpatient departments; the OPPS was implemented in August 2000. Although ASCs perform only procedures, hospital outpatient departments provide a much broader array of services, including diagnostic services, such as X-rays and laboratory tests, and emergency room and clinic visits. Each of the approximately 5,500 services, including procedures, that hospital outpatient departments perform is assigned to one of over 800 APC groups with other services with clinical and cost similarities for payment under the OPPS. All services assigned to one APC group are paid the same rate. Similar to ASCs, when hospitals perform multiple procedures at the same time, they receive 100 percent of the applicable payment for the procedure that has the highest payment rate, and 50 percent of the applicable payment for each additional procedure, subject to certain exceptions.

Like payments to ASCs, payment for a procedure under the OPPS is intended to cover the costs of the use of the facility, nursing and technician services, most drugs, medical and surgical supplies and equipment, anesthesia materials, and administrative costs. Medicare payment to a hospital for a procedure does not include professional services for physicians or other nonphysician practitioners. These services are paid for separately by Medicare. However, there are some differences between ASC and OPPS payments for procedures. Under the OPPS, hospital outpatient departments generally may not bill separately for implantable devices related to the procedure, but they may bill separately for additional services that are directly related to the procedure, such as certain drugs and diagnostic services, including X-rays. Hospital outpatient departments also may bill separately for additional services that are not directly related to the procedure and do not occur during the procedure, such as laboratory services to evaluate a tissue sample. Because they provide a broader array of services, and because CMS has encouraged hospitals to report all services provided during a procedure on their Medicare claims for rate-setting purposes, hospital claims may provide more detail about the services delivered during a procedure than ASC claims do.

---


9There are a limited number of implantable devices that are considered new technology devices for which the hospital outpatient department may bill and receive separate payment.
CMS set the initial 1982 ASC payment rates based on cost and charge data from 40 ASCs. At that time, there were about 125 ASCs in operation. Procedures were placed into four payment groups, and all procedures in a group were paid the same rate. When the ASC payment system was first established, federal law required CMS to review the payment rates periodically.\textsuperscript{10} In 1986, CMS conducted an ASC survey to gather cost and charge data. In 1990, using these data, CMS revised the payment rates and increased the number of payment groups to eight. A ninth payment group was established in 1991. These groups are still in use, although some procedures have been added to or deleted from the ASC-approved list.

Although payments have not been revised using ASC cost data since 1990, the payment rates have been periodically updated for inflation. In 1994, Congress required that CMS conduct a survey of ASC costs no later than January 1, 1995, and thereafter every 5 years, to revise ASC payment rates.\textsuperscript{11} CMS conducted a survey in 1994 to collect ASC cost data. In 1998, CMS proposed revising ASC payment rates based on the 1994 survey data and assigned procedures performed at ASCs into payment groups that were comparable to the payment groups it was developing for the same procedures under the OPPS.\textsuperscript{12} However, CMS did not implement the proposal, and, as a result, the ASC payment system was not revised using the 1994 data. In 2003, MMA eliminated the requirement to conduct ASC surveys every 5 years and required CMS to implement a revised ASC payment system no later than January 1, 2008.\textsuperscript{13} During the course of our work, in August 2006, CMS published a proposed rule that would revise the ASC payment system effective January 1, 2008.\textsuperscript{14} In this proposed rule, CMS bases the revised ASC payment rates on the OPPS APC groups. However, the payment rates would be lower for ASCs.

\begin{itemize}
\item \textsuperscript{12} 63 Fed. Reg. 32,290, 32,307-308 (June 12, 1998).
\item \textsuperscript{13} MMA, Pub. L. No. 108-173, § 626(b), 117 Stat. 2066, 2319 (codified, as amended, at 42 U.S.C. § 1395l(i)).
\item \textsuperscript{14} 71 Fed. Reg. 49,505 (Aug. 23, 2006).
\end{itemize}
The initial OPPS payment rates, implemented in August 2000, were based on hospitals’ 1996 costs. To determine the OPPS payment rates, CMS first calculates each hospital’s cost for each service by multiplying the charge for that service by a cost-to-charge ratio computed from the hospital’s most recently reported data. After calculating the cost of each service for each hospital, the services are grouped by their APC assignment, and a median cost for each APC group is calculated from the median costs of all services assigned to it. Using the median cost, CMS assigns each APC group a weight based on its median cost relative to the median cost of all other APCs. To obtain a payment rate for each APC group, CMS multiplies the relative weight by a factor that converts it to a dollar amount.

Beginning in 2002, as required by law, the APC group payment rates have been revised annually based on the latest charge and cost data. In addition, the payment rates for services paid under the OPPS receive an annual inflation update.

For the top 20 procedures, we found many similarities in the additional services billed with procedures performed by ASCs and hospital outpatient departments. Of the additional services billed in either setting with a top 20 procedure, few are paid separately by Medicare in one setting but not the other. Hospital outpatient departments received payment for some of the additional services, such as X-rays, they billed with the procedures, while in the ASC setting, other providers billed Medicare for these services and received payment for them. This is a result of the differences in the structure of the two payment systems; that is, while ASCs may bill Medicare only for procedures, hospitals may bill for a broader array of services.

The APC groups in the OPPS accurately reflect the relative costs of procedures performed at ASCs. We compared each procedure’s ASC median cost to the median cost of the APC group in which it would be

---

15Hospitals set charges for their services that are generally above the costs of the services. A cost-to-charge ratio is a calculation that describes the cost and charge relationship for services provided in a specific hospital.


17In our analysis, we included only those services billed with a procedure at least 10 percent of the time in either the ASC or hospital outpatient department setting.
placed, which we refer to as the ASC-to-APC cost ratio. We repeated this analysis by comparing the costs of those same procedures under the OPPS with the median costs of their APC groups, which we refer to as the OPPS-to-APC cost ratio. Our analysis of the cost ratios showed that the ASC-to-APC cost ratios were more tightly distributed around their median cost ratio than were the OPPS-to-APC cost ratios; that is, more of them were closer to their respective median. Specifically, 45 percent of all procedures in our analysis fell within a 0.10 point range of the ASC-to-APC median cost ratio, and 33 percent of procedures fell within a 0.10 point range of the OPPS-to-APC median cost ratio. These similar patterns show that the APC groups reflect the relative costs of procedures provided by ASCs as well as they reflect the relative costs of procedures provided in the hospital outpatient department setting and can be used as the basis for an ASC payment system. While our analysis demonstrated that the APC groups accurately reflect the relative cost of procedures performed in ASCs, it also showed that procedures in the ASC setting had substantially lower costs than those same procedures in the hospital outpatient department setting. The median cost ratio among all ASC procedures was 0.39. The median cost ratio among all OPPS procedures was 1.04.

We recommend that the Administrator of CMS implement a payment system for procedures performed in ASCs based on the OPPS, taking into account the lower relative costs of procedures in ASCs compared to hospital outpatient departments. In commenting on a draft of this report, CMS stated that our recommendation is consistent with its August 2006 proposed revisions to the ASC payment system. Representatives of AAASC and FASA, who reviewed a draft of this report, provided comments, which we incorporated where appropriate.

Many Additional Billed Services Were Similar; Few Resulted in Additional Payments to ASCs or Hospital Outpatient Departments

We found many similarities in the additional services provided by ASCs and hospital outpatient departments with the top 20 procedures. Of the additional services billed with a procedure, few resulted in an additional payment in one setting but not the other. Hospitals were paid for some of the related additional services they billed with the procedures. In the ASC setting, other providers billed Medicare for these services and received payment for them.
Many Additional Services Billed in Each Setting Were Similar

In our analysis of Medicare claims, we found many similarities in the additional services billed in the ASC or hospital outpatient department setting with the top 20 procedures. The similar additional services are illustrated in the following four categories of services: additional procedures, laboratory services, radiology services, and anesthesia services.

First, one or more additional procedures was billed with a procedure performed in either the ASC or hospital outpatient department setting for 14 of the top 20 procedures. The proportion of time each additional procedure was billed in each setting was similar. For example, when a hammertoe repair procedure was performed, our analysis indicated that another procedure to correct a bunion was billed 11 percent of the time in the ASC setting, and in the hospital outpatient setting, the procedure to correct a bunion was billed 13 percent of the time. Similarly, when a diagnostic colonoscopy was performed, an upper gastrointestinal (GI) endoscopy was billed 11 percent of the time in the ASC setting, and in the hospital setting, the upper GI endoscopy was billed 12 percent of the time. For 11 of these 14 procedures, the proportion of time each additional procedure was billed differed by less than 10 percentage points between the two settings. For the 3 remaining procedures, the percentage of time that an additional procedure was billed did not vary by more than 25 percentage points between the two settings. See appendix III for a complete list of the additional procedures billed and the proportion of time they were billed in each setting.

Second, laboratory services were billed with 10 of the top 20 procedures in the hospital outpatient department setting and 7 of the top 20 procedures in the ASC setting. While these services were almost always billed by the hospital in the outpatient setting, they were typically not billed by the ASCs. These laboratory services were present in our analysis in the ASC setting because they were performed and billed by another Medicare part B provider.

Third, four different radiology services were billed with 8 of the top 20 procedures. Radiology services were billed with 5 procedures in the ASC setting and with 8 procedures in the hospital outpatient department setting. The radiology services generally were included on the hospital outpatient department bills but rarely were included on the ASC bills. Similar to laboratory services, hospital outpatient departments billed for radiology services that they performed in addition to the procedures. When radiology services were billed with procedures in the ASC setting,
these services generally were performed and billed by another part B provider.

Fourth, anesthesia services were billed with 17 of the top 20 procedures in either the ASC or hospital outpatient settings and with 14 procedures in both settings. In virtually every case in the ASC setting, and most cases in the hospital outpatient department setting, these services were billed by another part B provider.

According to our analysis, ASCs did not generally include any services other than the procedures they performed on their bills. However, in the hospital outpatient setting, some additional services were included on the hospitals’ bills. We believe this is a result of the structure of the two payment systems. As ASCs generally receive payment from Medicare only for procedures, they typically include only those procedures on their bills. In contrast, hospital outpatient departments’ bills often include many of the individual items or services they provide as a part of a procedure because CMS has encouraged them to do so, whether the items or services are included in the OPPS payment or paid separately.

Additional Services Resulted in Few Additional Payments to ASCs or Hospital Outpatient Departments

With the exception of additional procedures, there were few separate payments that could be made for additional services provided with the top 20 procedures because most of the services in our analysis were included in the Medicare payment to the ASC or hospital. Under both the Medicare ASC and OPPS payment systems, when more than one procedure is performed at the same time, the facility receives 100 percent of the applicable payment for the procedure that has the highest payment rate and 50 percent of the applicable payment for each additional procedure. As this policy is applicable to both settings, for those instances in our analysis when an additional procedure was performed with one of the top 20 procedures in either setting, the ASC or hospital outpatient department received 100 percent of the payment for the procedure with the highest payment rate and 50 percent of the payment for each lesser paid procedure.

Individual drugs were billed by hospital outpatient departments for most of the top 20 procedures, although they were not present on the claims from ASCs, likely because ASCs generally cannot receive separate Medicare payments for individual drugs. However, none of the individual drugs billed by the hospital outpatient departments in our analysis resulted in an additional payment to the hospitals. In each case, the cost of
the particular drug was included in the Medicare payment for the procedure.

In the case of the laboratory services billed with procedures in the ASC and hospital outpatient department settings, those services were not costs included in the payment for the procedure in either setting and were paid separately in each case. For both settings, the payment was made to the provider that performed the service. In the case of the hospital outpatient department setting, the payment was generally made to the hospital, while, for procedures performed at ASCs, payment was made to another provider who performed the service.

Of the four radiology services in our analysis, three were similar to the laboratory services in that they are not included in the cost of the procedure and are separately paid services under Medicare. Therefore, when hospitals provided these services, they received payment for them. In the ASC setting, these services were typically billed by a provider other than the ASC, and the provider received payment for them. The fourth radiology service is included in the payment for the procedure with which it was associated. Therefore, no separate payment was made to either ASCs or hospital outpatient departments. With regard to anesthesia services, most services were billed by and paid to a provider other than an ASC or hospital.

As a group, the costs of procedures performed in ASCs have a relatively consistent relationship with the costs of the APC groups to which they would be assigned under the OPPS. That is, the APC groups accurately reflect the relative costs of procedures performed in ASCs. We found that the ASC-to-APC cost ratios were more tightly distributed around their median cost ratio than the OPPS-to-APC cost ratios were around their median cost ratio. Specifically, 45 percent of all procedures in our analysis fell within 0.10 points of the ASC-to-APC median cost ratio, and 33 percent of procedures fell within 0.10 points of the OPPS-to-APC median cost ratio. However, the costs of procedures in ASCs are substantially lower than costs for the same procedures in the hospital outpatient setting.

The APC groups reflect the relative costs of procedures provided by ASCs as well as they reflect the relative costs of procedures provided in the hospital outpatient department setting. In our analysis, we listed the procedures performed at ASCs and calculated the ratio of the cost of each procedure to the cost of the APC group to which it would have been
assigned, referred to as the ASC-to-APC cost ratio. We then calculated similar cost ratios for the same procedures exclusively within the OPPS. To determine an OPPS-to-APC cost ratio, we divided individual procedures’ median costs, as calculated by CMS for the OPPS, by the median cost of their APC group. Our analysis of the cost ratios showed that the ASC-to-APC cost ratios were more tightly distributed around their median than were the OPPS-to-APC cost ratios; that is, there were more of them closer to the median. Specifically, 45 percent of procedures performed in ASCs fell within a 0.10 point range of the ASC-to-APC median cost ratio, and 33 percent of those procedures fell within a 0.10 point range of the OPPS-to-APC median cost ratio in the hospital outpatient department setting (see figs. 1 and 2). Therefore, there is less variation in the ASC setting between individual procedures’ costs and the costs of their assigned APC groups than there is in the hospital outpatient department setting. From this outcome, we determined that the OPPS APC groups could be used to pay for procedures in ASCs.

Figure 1: ASC Procedure Median Cost to APC Median Cost Ratios, Distributed by Percentage in 0.05 Increments, 2004

Source: GAO analysis of ASC survey and Medicare data.
ASC Procedures’ Median Costs Are Generally Lower Than Those for OPPS Procedures

The median costs of procedures performed in ASCs were generally lower than the median costs of their corresponding APC group under the OPPS. Among all procedures in our analysis, the median ASC-to-APC cost ratio was 0.39. The ASC-to-APC cost ratios ranged from 0.02 to 3.34. When weighted by Medicare volume based on 2004 claims data, the median ASC-to-APC cost ratio was 0.84. We determined that the median OPPS-to-APC cost ratio was 1.04. This analysis shows that when compared to the median cost of the same APC group, procedures performed in ASCs had substantially lower costs than when those same procedures were performed in hospital outpatient departments.

18APCs’ median costs are determined from the costs of all of the services included within the APC.

19If the median cost of an ASC procedure and the median cost of its respective APC group were equal, the cost ratio would be 1.00.

Figure 2: OPPS Procedure Median Cost to APC Median Cost Ratios, Distributed by Percentage in 0.05 Increments, 2004

Source: GAO analysis of Medicare data.
Conclusions

Generally, there are many similarities between the additional services provided in ASCs and hospital outpatient departments with one of the top 20 procedures, and few resulted in an additional Medicare payment to ASCs or hospital outpatient departments. Although costs for individual procedures vary, in general, the median costs for procedures are lower in ASCs, relative to the median costs of their APC groups, than the median costs for the same procedures in the hospital outpatient department setting. The APC groups in the OPPS reflect the relative costs of procedures performed in ASCs in the same way that they reflect the relative costs of the same procedures when they are performed in hospital outpatient departments. Therefore, the APC groups could be applied to procedures performed in ASCs, and the OPPS could be used as the basis for an ASC payment system, eliminating the need for ASC surveys and providing for an annual revision of the ASC payment groups.

Recommendation for Executive Action

We recommend that the Administrator of CMS implement a payment system for procedures performed in ASCs based on the OPPS. The Administrator should take into account the lower relative costs of procedures performed in ASCs compared to hospital outpatient departments in determining ASC payment rates.

Agency and External Reviewer Comments and Our Evaluation

We received written comments on a draft of this report from CMS (see app. IV). We also received oral comments from external reviewers representing two ASC industry organizations, AAASC and FASA.

CMS Comments

In commenting on a draft of this report, CMS stated that our recommendation is consistent with its August 2006 proposed revisions to the ASC payment system.

Industry Comments and Our Evaluation

Industry representatives who reviewed a draft of this report did not agree or disagree with our recommendation for executive action. They did, however, provide several comments on the draft report. The industry representatives noted that we did not analyze the survey results to examine differences in per-procedure costs among single-specialty and multi-specialty ASCs. Regarding this comment, we initially considered developing our survey sample stratified by ASC specialty type. However, because accurate data identifying ASCs’ specialties do not exist, we were unable to stratify our survey sample by specialty type.
The industry representatives asked us to provide more explanation in our scope and methodology regarding our development of a relative weight scale for Medicare ASC-approved procedures to capture the general variation in resources associated with performing different procedures. We expanded the discussion of how we developed the relative weight scale in our methodology section.

Reviewers also made technical comments, which we incorporated where appropriate.

We are sending a copy of this report to the Administrator of CMS and appropriate congressional committees. The report is available at no charge on GAO’s Web site at http://www.gao.gov. We will also make copies available to others on request.

If you or your staff members have any questions about this report, please contact me at (202) 512-7119 or kingk@gao.gov. Contact points for our Offices of Congressional Relations and Public Affairs may be found on the last page of this report. GAO staff members who made significant contributions to this report are listed in appendix V.

Kathleen King
Director, Health Care
Appendix I: Analysis of the Proportion of Labor-Related Costs for Ambulatory Surgical Centers

The Medicare payment rates for ambulatory surgical centers (ASC), along with those of other facilities, are adjusted to account for the variation in labor costs across the country. To calculate payment rates for individual ASCs, the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) calculates the share of total costs that are labor-related and then adjusts ASCs’ labor-related share of costs based on a wage index calculated for specific geographic areas across the country. The wage index reflects how the average wage for health care personnel in each geographic area compares to the national average health care personnel wage. The geographic areas are intended to represent the separate labor markets in which health care facilities compete for employees.

In setting the initial ASC payment rates for 1982, CMS determined from the first survey of ASCs that one-third of their costs were labor-related. The labor-related costs included employee salaries and fringe benefits, contractual personnel, and owners’ compensation for duties performed for the facility. To determine the payment rates for each individual ASC, CMS multiplied one-third of the payment rate for each procedure—the labor-related portion—by the local area wage index. Each ASC received the base payment rate for two-thirds of the payment rate—the nonlabor-related portion—for each procedure. The sum of the labor-related and nonlabor-related portions equaled each ASC’s payment rate for each procedure.

In 1990, when CMS revised the payment system based on a 1986 ASC survey, CMS found ASCs’ average labor-related share of costs to be 34.45 percent and used this percentage as the labor-related portion of the payment rate. In a 1998 proposed rule, CMS noted that ASCs’ share of labor-related costs as calculated from the 1994 ASC cost survey had increased to an average of 37.66 percent, slightly higher than the percentage calculated from the 1986 survey. However, CMS did not implement the 1998 proposal. Currently, the labor-related proportion of costs from CMS’s 1986 survey, 34.45 percent, is used for calculating ASC payment rates.

Using 2004 cost data we received from 290 ASCs that responded to our survey request for information, we determined that the mean labor-related proportion of costs was 50 percent, and the range of the labor-related costs for the middle 50 percent of our ASC facilities was 43 percent to 57 percent of total costs.
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To compare the delivery of procedures between ASCs and hospital outpatient departments, we analyzed Medicare claims data from 2003. To compare the relative costs of procedures performed in ASCs and hospital outpatient departments, we collected cost and procedure data from 2004 from a sample of Medicare-participating ASCs. We also interviewed officials at CMS and representatives from ASC industry organizations, specifically, the American Association of Ambulatory Surgery Centers (AAASC) and FASA, physician specialty societies, and nine ASCs.

Analysis of Additional Services

To compare the delivery of additional services provided with procedures performed in ASCs and hospital outpatient departments, we identified all additional services frequently billed in each setting when one of the top 20 procedures with the highest Medicare ASC claims volume is performed. These procedures represented approximately 75 percent of all Medicare ASC claims in 2003. Using Medicare claims data for 2003, we identified beneficiaries receiving one of the top 20 procedures in either an ASC or hospital outpatient department, then identified any other claims for those beneficiaries from ASCs, hospital outpatient departments, durable medical equipment suppliers, and other Medicare part B providers. We identified claims for the beneficiaries on the day the procedure was performed and the day after.¹ We created a list that included all additional services that were billed at least 10 percent of the time with each of the top 20 procedures when they were performed in ASCs. We created a similar list of additional services for each of the top 20 procedures when they were performed in hospital outpatient departments. We then compared the lists for each of the top 20 procedures between the two settings to determine whether there were similarities in the additional services that were billed to Medicare. To compare the Medicare payments for procedures performed in ASCs and hospital outpatient departments, we identified whether any additional services included in our analysis resulted in an additional payment.

We used Medicare claims data from the National Claims History (NCH) files. These data, which are used by the Medicare program to make payments to health care providers, are closely monitored by both CMS and the Medicare contractors that process, review, and pay claims for

¹We included services delivered the day after a procedure to allow for the inclusion of services, such as laboratory services, that may not be provided immediately following the procedure.
Medicare services. The data are subject to various internal controls, including checks and edits performed by the contractors before claims are submitted to CMS for payment approval. Although we did not review these internal controls, we did assess the reliability of the NCH data. First, we reviewed all existing information about the data, including the data dictionary and file layouts. We also interviewed experts at CMS who regularly use the data for evaluation and analysis. We found the data to be sufficiently reliable for the purposes of this report.

Comparison of Per-Procedure Costs

To compare the relative costs of procedures performed in ASCs and hospital outpatient departments, we first compiled information on ASCs’ costs and procedures performed. Because there were no recent existing data on ASC costs, we surveyed 600 ASCs, randomly selected from all ASCs, to obtain their 2004 cost and procedure data. We received response data from 397 ASC facilities. We assessed the reliability of these data through several means. We identified incomplete and inconsistent survey responses within individual surveys and placed follow-up calls to respondents to complete or verify their responses. To ensure that survey response data were accurately transferred to electronic files for our analytic purposes, two analysts independently entered all survey responses. Any discrepancies between the two sets of entered responses were resolved. We performed electronic testing for errors in accuracy and completeness, including an analysis of costs per procedure. As a result of our data reliability testing, we determined that data from 290 responding facilities were sufficiently reliable for our purposes. Our nonresponse analysis showed that there was no geographic bias among the facilities responding to our survey. The responding facilities performed more Medicare services than the average for all ASCs in our sample.

To allocate ASCs’ total costs among the individual procedures they perform, we developed a method to allocate the portion of an ASC’s costs accounted for by each procedure. We constructed a relative weight scale for Medicare ASC-approved procedures that captures the general variation in resources associated with performing different procedures. The resources we used were the clinical staff time, surgical supplies, and surgical equipment used during the procedures. We used cost and quantity data on these resources from information CMS had collected for the purpose of setting the practice expense component of physician payment rates. For procedures for which CMS had no data on the resources used, we used information we collected from medical specialty societies and physicians who work for CMS. We summed the costs of the resources for each procedure and created a relative weight scale by dividing the total
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cost of each procedure by the average cost across all of the procedures. We assessed the reliability of these data through several means. We compared electronic CMS data with the original document sources for a large sample of records, performed electronic testing for errors in accuracy and completeness, and reviewed data for reasonableness. Based on these efforts, we determined that data were sufficiently reliable for our purposes.

To calculate per-procedure costs with the data from the surveyed ASC facilities, we first deducted costs that Medicare considers unallowable, such as advertising and entertainment costs. (See fig. 3 for our per-procedure cost calculation methodology.) We also deducted costs for services that Medicare pays for separately, such as physician and nonphysician practitioner services. We then separated each facility’s total costs into its direct and indirect costs. We defined direct costs as those associated with the clinical staff, equipment, and supplies used during the procedure. Indirect costs included all remaining costs, such as support and administrative staff, building expenses, and outside services purchased. To allocate each facility’s direct costs across the procedures it performed, we applied our relative weight scale. We allocated indirect costs equally across all procedures performed by the facility. For each procedure performed by a responding ASC facility, we summed its allocated direct and indirect costs to determine a total cost for the procedure. To obtain a per-procedure cost across all ASCs, we arrayed the calculated costs for all ASCs performing that procedure and identified the median cost.
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Figure 3: ASC Per-Procedure Cost Calculations from ASC Survey

Step 1: Identify an ASC’s total costs from its income statement.

Step 2: Deduct costs not covered by Medicare’s facility payment (such as separately paid services and unallowable costs).

Step 3: Adjust the ASC’s labor-related costs for local wage rates by the current wage index.

Step 4: Separate the ASC’s total direct costs (defined as clinical staff, equipment, and supplies) from total indirect costs (all other expenses).

Step 5: For each ASC, adjust procedure volume to account for the relative cost of performing multiple procedures.

Step 6: Calculate a direct cost amount for each procedure by allocating a facility’s direct costs to individual procedures using GAO-calculated relative weights and discount-adjusted procedure volume.

Step 7: Divide total indirect costs by the total number of procedures to get a per-procedure indirect cost amount.

Step 8: Calculate a cost for each procedure by summing direct (Step 6) and indirect (Step 7) cost amounts.

Steps 1 through 8 were calculated for each ASC in the survey.

Step 9: For each procedure, identify the median cost value from among all ASCs in the survey that performed the procedure.

ASC procedure’s median cost

Source: GAO.
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To compare per-procedure costs for ASCs and hospital outpatient departments, we first obtained from CMS the list of ambulatory payment classification (APC) groups used for the outpatient prospective payment system (OPPS) and the procedures assigned to each APC group. We also obtained from CMS the OPPS median cost of each procedure and the median cost of each APC group. We then calculated a ratio between each procedure’s ASC median cost, as determined by the survey, and the median cost of each procedure’s corresponding APC group under the OPPS, referred to as the ASC-to-APC cost ratio. We also calculated a ratio between each ASC procedure’s median cost under the OPPS and the median cost of the procedure’s APC group, using the data obtained from CMS, referred to as the OPPS-to-APC cost ratio. To evaluate the difference in procedure costs between the two settings, we compared the ASC-to-APC and OPPS-to-APC cost ratios. To assess how well the relative costs of procedures in the OPPS, defined by their assignment to APC groups, reflect the relative costs of procedures in the ASC setting, we evaluated the distribution of the ASC-to-APC and OPPS-to-APC cost ratios.

Analysis of Labor-Related Costs

To calculate the percentage of labor-related costs among our sample ASCs, for each ASC, we divided total labor costs by total costs, after deducting costs not covered by Medicare’s facility payment. We then determined the range of the percentage of labor-related costs among all of our ASCs and between the 25th percentile and the 75th percentile, as well as the mean and median percentage of labor-related costs.

We performed our work from April 2004 through October 2006 in accordance with generally accepted government auditing standards.
# Appendix III: Additional Procedures Billed with the Top 20 ASC Procedures, 2003

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Medicare ASC procedure volume ranking</th>
<th>Procedure</th>
<th>Additional procedure</th>
<th>Times additional procedure was performed with procedure (percentage)</th>
<th>ASC</th>
<th>Hospital outpatient department</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Cataract surgery with intraocular lens insertion, one stage</td>
<td>None</td>
<td></td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Colonoscopy, with diagnosis</td>
<td>Upper gastrointestinal (GI) endoscopy, with biopsy</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>After cataract laser surgery</td>
<td>None</td>
<td></td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Upper GI endoscopy, with biopsy</td>
<td>Colonoscopy, with diagnosis</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Colonoscopy, with lesion removal, snare technique</td>
<td>Upper GI endoscopy, with biopsy</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Colonoscopy, with biopsy</td>
<td></td>
<td>14</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Colonoscopy, with lesion removal</td>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Spine injection, lumbar, sacral</td>
<td>None</td>
<td></td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Colonoscopy, with biopsy</td>
<td>Upper GI endoscopy, with biopsy</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Colonoscopy, with lesion removal</td>
<td></td>
<td>18</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Colonoscopy, with lesion removal</td>
<td>Upper GI endoscopy, with biopsy</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Colonoscopy, with biopsy</td>
<td></td>
<td>11</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Colonoscopy, with lesion removal, snare technique</td>
<td></td>
<td>23</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Paravertebral injection, lumbar, sacral, add-on</td>
<td>Spine injection, lumbar, sacral</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Paravertebral injection, lumbar, sacral, single level</td>
<td></td>
<td>99</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Injection foramen epidural, lumbar, sacral, single level</td>
<td>Injection foramen epidural, lumbar, sacral, add-on</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>36</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Upper GI endoscopy, with diagnosis</td>
<td>Dilate esophagus</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Colonoscopy, with diagnosis</td>
<td></td>
<td>17</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Cystoscopy</td>
<td>None</td>
<td></td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Colon cancer screening, not high-risk individual</td>
<td>Colonoscopy, with diagnosis</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>38</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Paravertebral injection, lumbar, sacral, single level</td>
<td>Spine injection, lumbar, sacral</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Paravertebral injection, lumbar, sacral, add-on</td>
<td></td>
<td>86</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Colorectal screening for high-risk individual</td>
<td>Colonoscopy, with diagnosis</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>49</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Carpal tunnel surgery</td>
<td>None</td>
<td></td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Appendix III: Additional Procedures Billed with the Top 20 ASC Procedures, 2003

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Medicare ASC procedure volume ranking</th>
<th>Procedure</th>
<th>Additional procedure</th>
<th>Times additional procedure was performed with procedure (percentage)</th>
<th>ASC</th>
<th>Hospital outpatient department</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Repair of hammertoe</td>
<td>Release of foot contracture</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Correction of bunion</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Correction of bunion with metatarsal osteotomy</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Injection foramen epidural, lumbar, sacral, add-on</td>
<td>Injection foramen epidural, lumbar, sacral, single level</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Upper GI endoscopy, with insertion of guide wire</td>
<td>Upper GI endoscopy, with biopsy</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Spinal injection, cervical or thoracic</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: GAO analysis of CMS data.

Note: N/A = not applicable.
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DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH & HUMAN SERVICES

Center for Medicare & Medicaid Services

Administrator
Washington, DC 20201

DATE: OCT 24 2006

TO: Kathleen M. King
    Director, Health Care
    Government Accountability Office

FROM: Leslie V. Norwalk, R.N.
    Acting Administrator

SUBJECT: Government Accountability Office’s Draft Report: “MEDICARE: Payment for Ambulatory Surgical Centers Should Be Based on the Hospital Outpatient Payment System” (GAO-07-86)

Thank you for the opportunity to review and comment on the Government Accountability Office’s (GAO) draft report entitled, “MEDICARE: Payment for Ambulatory Surgical Centers Should Be Based on the Hospital Outpatient Payment System.”

Our goal in reforming the ambulatory surgical center (ASC) payment system is to help Medicare beneficiaries receive the outpatient care they need in the most appropriate setting by eliminating payment differences that inappropriately favor one outpatient setting over another and that may add to Medicare costs. The Medicare Prescription Drug, Improvement, and Modernization Act of 2003 (MMA) requires the Secretary to implement a revised payment system for surgical services furnished in ASCs no later than January 1, 2008, taking into account the recommendation of this GAO report. The MMA also requires that the revised payment system in its first year of implementation result in the same aggregate amount of expenditures as the current system.

GAO Recommendation:

The Administrator of the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) implement a payment system for procedures performed in ASCs based on the outpatient prospective payment system (OPPS). The Administrator should take into account the lower relative cost of procedures performed in ASCs compared to hospital outpatient departments in determining ASC payment rates.

CMS Response:

Consistent with the recommendation and the MMA requirements, on August 8, CMS proposed a revised ASC payment system based on the OPPS that would provide for more appropriate
payment for the broad range of services that ASCs can provide. The proposed revisions more closely align payments in the ASC and OPPS payment systems, to encourage the most efficient and appropriate choices of outpatient settings for ambulatory surgical procedures.

We thank GAO for their analysis and are pleased that the recommendation is consistent with our proposed revisions to the ASC payment system for calendar year 2008.
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