INDIAN CHILD WELFARE ACT

Existing Information on Implementation Issues Could Be Used to Target Guidance and Assistance to States

What GAO Found

Placement decisions for children subject to ICWA can be influenced by how long it takes to determine that ICWA applies, the availability of American Indian foster and adoptive homes, and the level of cooperation between states and tribes. While these factors are unique to American Indian children, other factors can affect decisions similarly for all children. Many states, for example, place all children with relatives if possible and may consider changing placements for all children—regardless of ICWA status—when relatives are identified after initial placement. Our survey showed few differences between children subject to ICWA and other children in how often states had to decide whether to move a child to another home.

National data on children subject to ICWA are unavailable; data that were available from four states showed no consistent pattern in how long children subject to ICWA remained in foster care or how often they were moved to different foster homes compared to other children. In general, most children leaving foster care in fiscal year 2003 in the four states were reunified with their families, although children subject to ICWA were somewhat less likely to be reunified or adopted and were somewhat more likely to leave through a guardianship arrangement.

Length of Stay for Children Exiting Foster Care in FY 2003 in Four States
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Source: Data provided by child welfare agencies in these states.

ACF does not have explicit oversight responsibility for states’ implementation of ICWA and the information the agency obtains through its general oversight of state child welfare systems sometimes provides little meaningful information to assess states’ efforts. For example, the ICWA information states provided in their 2004 progress reports varied widely in scope and content and many states did not report on the effect of their implementation efforts. Further, while limited information from ACF’s reviews of states’ overall child welfare systems indicate some ICWA implementation concerns, the process does not ensure that ICWA issues will be addressed in states’ program improvement plans.


To view the full product, including the scope and methodology, click on the link above. For more information, contact Cornelia Ashby at (202) 512-8403 or ashbyc@gao.gov.

What GAO Recommends

GAO recommends that the Department of Health and Human Services’ Administration for Children and Families (ACF) consider using ICWA compliance information available through its existing child welfare oversight activities to target guidance and assistance to states. HHS disagreed with our recommendation. We continue to believe that ACF could use the information it gathers to help states improve their ICWA compliance.
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Why GAO Did This Study

In the 1960s and 1970s, American Indian children were about six times more likely to be placed in foster care than other children and many were placed in non-American Indian homes or institutions. In 1978, the Congress enacted the Indian Child Welfare Act (ICWA) to protect American Indian families and to give tribes a role in making child welfare decisions for children subject to ICWA. ICWA requires that (1) tribes be notified and given an opportunity to intervene when the state places a child subject to ICWA in foster care or seeks to terminate parental rights on behalf of such a child and (2) children be placed if possible with relatives or tribal families. This report describes (1) the factors that influence placement decisions for children subject to ICWA; (2) the extent to which, if any, placements for children subject to ICWA have been delayed; and (3) federal oversight of states’ implementation of ICWA.