We found that in school year 1999-2000, of the 3.4 million full-time/full-year federal aid recipients, 22 percent (732,000) received a total of $2.96 billion in financial aid that was greater than their federally defined financial need. Of these, 628,000 received an estimated $2.72 billion in such aid by obtaining non-need-based loans—which we identify as substitutable loans—that families borrow to meet their expected family contribution (i.e., what the federal government determines the family can afford to pay for college). Title IV allows for students and families to obtain these non-need-based loans to meet their expected family contribution. Another 104,000 federal aid recipients received an estimated $238 million in such aid as a result of receiving a combination of aid from federal and nonfederal sources.
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Changing the HEA to limit the receipt of aid that is greater than students' federally defined financial need is not likely to achieve significant federal savings, although, the use of substitutable loans may increase overall student indebtedness. In terms of cost implications, limiting those instances where federal aid recipients receive substitutable loans—which is the main reason why students received aid greater than their federally defined need—will not likely result in significant savings. While the government will not have to pay default claims or special allowance payments on loans it guarantees, it would forego any interest earnings on loans it makes directly. Any savings from limiting these loans would be substantially less than the total amount of the loans made—the $2.72 billion. However, the widespread use of substitutable loans may increase the average debt of borrowers and may affect Education's ability to help students and their families maintain their loan debt at manageable levels.


To view the full report, including the scope and methodology, click on the link above. For more information, contact Cornelia M. Ashby at (202) 512-8403 or ashbyc@gao.gov.