### DOCUMENT RESUME

0.7341 - [B2487513]

[Pederal Share of WMATA's Bond Interest Costs Is Too Large]. CED-78-161; B-141529. September 1, 1978. 5 pp. + 2 enclosures (5 pp.).

Report to Secretary, Department of Transportation; by Henry Eschwage, Director, Community and Economic Development Div.

Tansit Systems (2411).

Contact: Community and Economic Development Div.

Budget Punction: Commerce and Transportation: Ground Transportation (404).

Congressional Relevance: House Consittee on District of Columbia: Senate Consittee on Governmental Affairs.

Authority: National Capital Transportation Act of 1972 (P.J. 92-349); S. Rept. 92-931; H. Rept. 92-1155; S. 2257 (92nd Cong.); H.R. 11877 (92nd Cong.). National Capital Transportation Act of 1969.

The National Capital Transportation Act of 1972 provided that the Federal Government, through the Secretary of Transportation, would guarantee the payment of the principal on Washington Setropolitan Area Transit Authority's (WHAZA'S) bonds and reimburse WMATA for part of the bond's interest and related costs. Between 1972 and 1975, WMATA sold \$997 million of 40-year revenue bonds and had earned \$134 million on the invested proceeds as of June 30, 1978. For fisal years 1977 through 1979, the total Federal contribution for WHATA's bond interest will be about \$140 million. Starting in fiscal year 1980, the interest-set-aside funds will be used up, and the Federal share of matching payments will be 85%. WHATA projects that the Federal contribution to the bond interest payments will increase to \$65 million annually and then gradually reduce until the bonds are raid off in the year 2015. Starting with the first interest payment due, the income earned from bond proceeds should have been applied to the interest due to derive the amount of net interest which the Pederal Government would contribute. Although WHATE has already spent about \$38 million of the income earned from bond proceeds, the \$96.1 million of unspent income earned from the bond proceeds should be used to pay the interest when due. This would save the Federal Government about \$61.7 million. The Secratary of Transportation should make sure that the amount of unspent income earned on the b 4d proceeds has been appropriately applied in determining the Federal Government's contribution to the interest payable on WHATA \* s bonds. (RRS)



# UNITED STATES GENERAL ACCOUNTING OFFICE WASHINGTON, D.C. 20548

## COMMUNITY AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT DIVISION

B-141529

SEPTEMBER 1, 1978

The Honorable
The Secretary of Transportation

Dear Mr. Secretary:

We are making a comprehensive survey of the Washington Metropolitan Area Transit Authority's (WMATA's) policies and procedures for administering its activities. As part of our survey, we reviewed the National Capital Transportation Act of 1972 (Public Law 92-349), which provided that, among other things, the Federal Government, through the Secretary of Transportation, would (1) guarantee the payment of the principal and interest on WMATA's bonds and (2) reimburse WMATA for part of the bond's interest and related costs.

Section 10 of the act states the reimbursement provision as follows:

"The Secretary of Transportation shall make periodic payments to the Transit Authority upon request therefor by the Transit Authority in such amounts as may be necessary to equal one-fourth of the total of the--

- "(1) net interest costs, and
- "(2) fees, commissions, and other costs of issuance,

which the Secretary determines the Transit Authority incurred on its obligations issued after the date of the enactment of this section."

"Net interest cost" is not defined in the act.

Until the bond proceeds are spent, WMATA invests them and earns income. We believe the Federal Government should deduct from its share of interest payable on WMATA bonds an amount equal to the earned income on unexpended WMATA bond proceeds because such a deduction is consistent with the statutory language "net interest cost." WMATA, however, considers this earned income as separate income. As a result

the federal Government is paying more of WMATA's bond interest than it is legally authorized to pay. (See GAO legal analysis, enc. I.)

## \$134 MILLION HAS BEEN EARNED FROM BOND PROCEEDS

Between 1972 and 1975 WMATA sold \$997 million of 40-year revenue bonds. Until the bond proceeds are used, WMATA invests them and as of June 30, 1978, has earned \$134 million on the invested proceeds. WMATA considers the income earned from the bond proceeds as well as income earned from other investments to be "internally generated funds" that can be used as it sees fit. Enclosure II shows the source of internally generated funds and WMATA's application of the funds—primarily to pay the metropolitan as local governments' matching share on joint Federal/local funded projects. However, only about \$38 million of the \$134 million earned from bond proceeds has been spent—as of June 30, 1978, \$96.1 million remains.

# FEDERAL INTEREST COSTS HAVE BEEN SUBSTANTIAL

The Federal Government, under Public Law 92-349, pays the first 25 percent of the interest due on the bonds. After the interest-set-aside funds are used for each bond issue, 1/ the Federal Government has shared in the balance of the payment due on an 80-percent Federal, 20-percent local match basis.

The Federal/local match for fiscal year 1977 (the first year matching funds were needed) and fiscal year 1978 were authorized by the Congress in the Department of Transportation's fiscal year 1978 appropriation. In its fiscal year 1979 budget, the WMATA Board of Directors assumed that the Federal/local match will continue:

WMATA sold the bonds in five issues during 1972 through 1975. For each separate bond issue, an amount equal to 4 years of the local governments' interest cost, a total of \$223 million, was set aside from the original \$997 million of bond proceeds. Therefore, while all the setaside funds have been used for the earlier issues, setaside funds are still available for the last issue.

"It is assumed that the Federal Government will participate in debt-service retirement (both interest and principal) on an 80/20 cost-sharing basis and in accordance with provisions of present law will continue the 25 percent interest subsidy. \* \* \* \*

The calculation of fiscal year 1979 bond interest payment below shows how the total Federal contribution is determined:

Total fiscal year 1979 WMATA bond interest payment \$75,580,400

### Less:

25 percent subsidy by Federal Government (note a) 19,374,000
Interest-set-aside funds available 5,542,000
Balance 50,664,400

80 percent Federal contribution 41,968,400 20 percent local match 8,696,000

a/ Includes amortization of Federal share of discount and issue costs.

For fiscal years 1977 through 1979, the total Federal contribution for WMATA's bond interest will be about \$140 million. Starting in fiscal year 1990, the interest-set-aside funds will be used up; therefore, the Federal share will be 85 percent-25 percent subsidy plus 80 percent of the balance, another 60 percent. WMATA projects that the Federal contribution to the bond interest payments will increase to \$65 million annually and then gradually reduce until the bonds are paid off in the year 2015. The total interest paid by the Federal Government on the bonds will be about \$2.1 billion.

The local metropolitan area governments have paid their 20 percent to match the Federal 80 percent from internally generated funds. Through the July 1978 payment, this has amounted to almost \$10 million.

The income earned on the bond proceeds should be used to offset the interest cost of the bonds. Not only would this be consistent with the statutory language (see enc. I) it would also be in accordance with generally accepted

accounting principles. For example, in WMATA's 1977 Annual Report its public auditors, Ernst & Ernst, using generally accepted accounting principles subtracted the amount of income earned on the bonds from the interest costs before they certified WMATA's financial statements.

### CONCLUSION

Starting with the first interest payment due, the income earned from the bond proceeds should have been applied to the interest due to derive the amount of net interest to which the Federal Government would contribute. However, WMATA has used the income from these invested bond proceeds as well as its other internally generated funds primarily to pay the local area governments' matching share on joint Federal/local funded projects.

Although WMATA has already spent approximately \$38 million of the income earned from the bond proceeds, the \$96.1 million of unspent income earned from bond proceeds should be used to pay the bond interest when due. This would save the Federal Government about \$81.7 million (the Federal share of 85 percent times the income available to pay interest on the bonds).

We recognize that WMATA will have to find another funding source to replace this \$81.7 million and may have to turn to the Federal Government for assistance.

### RECOMMENDATION

We recommend that the Secretary of Transportation make sure that the amount of unspent income earned on WMATA's bond proceeds has been appropriately applied in determining the Department of Transportation's contribution to the "net interest" payable on WMATA's bonds.

We also recommend that the Secretary of Transportation consider what action should be taken on the \$38 million WMATA has already spent.

We are sending copies of this report to the Director, Office of Management and Budget, to appropriate congressional committees, to the Chairman of WMATA's Board of Directors, and to the General Manager, WMATA.

As you know, section 236 of the Legislative Reorganization Act of 1970 requires the head of a Federal agency to submit a written statement on actions taken on our recommendations to the Senate Committee on Governmental Affairs and the House Committee on Government Operations no later than 60 days after the date of this report and to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations with the agency's first request for appropriations made more than 60 days after the date of the report.

Sincerely yours,

Henry Eschwege

Director

Enclosures - 2

## GAC LEGAL ANALYSIS NET INTEREST COST OF WMATA BONDS

### DIGEST:

In calculating its share of the "net interest cost" on WMATA bonds, the Federal Government should deduct an amount equal to the income earned on unexpended WMATA bond proceeds.

### **DISCUSSION:**

Section 10 of the National Capital Transportation Act of 1969, as amended, provides:

"The Secretary of Transportation shall make periodic payments to the Transit Authority upon request therefor by the Transit Authority in such amounts as may be necessary to equal one-fourth of the total of the--

- "(1) net interest cost, and
- "(2) fees commissions, and other costs of issuance,

which the Secretary determines the Transit Authority incurred on its obligations issued after the date of the enactment of this section." (Emphasis added.)

The question is whether income earned by the Washington Metropolitan Area Transit Authority (WMATA) on unexpended WMATA pond proceeds should be considered in determining the net interest cost of the bonds. "Net interest cost" is not defined in the act.

We understand that until the proceeds from the bond sales are expended they are invested and earn income, and that part of the proceeds that are earning income are to be used for future interest payments. We believe that the income earned on bond proceeds should be considered in determining net interest costs.

Section 10, quoted above, was added to the National Capital Transportation Act in 1972 (National Transportation Act of 1972, Public Law 92-349) in order to "facilitate and expedite the construction program for the completion and operation of the Transit Authority facilities" S. Rep. No. 92-931, 92d Cong., 2d Sess. 1 (1972), and H. Rep. No. 92-1155, id. More specifically, the Deputy Under Secretary

ENCLOSURE I ENCLOSURE I

for Monetary Affairs of the Treasury Department, in testimon, at a joint hearing of the House and Senate Committee on the District of Columbia (on S. 2297 and H.R. 11877, 92d Cong., 2d Sess.), explained the purpose of the reimbursement feature:

"In order to offset the additional interest cost to WMATA from taxable bond financing, the bill provides for payment by the Secretary of Transportation of 25 per cent of the net interest cost on the Authority's obligations. \* \* \*"

Since the purpose of the reimbursement is to absort part of WMATA's bond interest costs, the Government should not be liable for interest costs that WMATA can offset. To the extent income is earned on bond proceeds, WMATA's interest expense on those bonds is effectively reduced. To ignore this earned income in computing net interest costs allows WMATA a financial windfall and there is no indication that the Congress intended the reimbursement procedure of section 10 to provide such a benefit. In addition, failure to consider the earned income appears to negate the act's specific language.

The act, after all, requires Government contributions of one-quarter of the "net" interest cost. "Net" is defined in Black's Law Dictionary as:

"Clear of anything extraneous, with all deductions, such as charges, expenses, discounts, commissions, taxes, etc. made \* \* \*

"That which remains after deducting all charges and outlay."

Kohler's Dictionary for Accountants defines "net" as:

"Diminished by all relevant and commonly associated deductions."

It thus seems reasonable, in determining the "net" interest cost of WMATA bonds, to deduct the earned income.

The 1977 Annual Report of WMATA reflects the same understanding of "net interest cost." In reference to the interest cost of WMATA bonds, the report states, at page 22:

"The net interest cost at June 30, 1977, of \$77,372,917, which is after reduction for interest earnings of \$127,465,880 on unexpended bond proceeds and Federal subsidies of \$34,146,622, has been capitalized as project costs." (Emphasis added.)

In addition to considering income earned on unexpended bond proceeds in determining the net interest cost, premiums and discounts on WMATA's bonds should also be considered. The text book "Intermediate Accounting," by Meigs, Masich and Johnson, at page 607, explains how premiums and discounts affect bond interest costs.

"In a typical bond contract, the corporation promises two essentially different kinds of future payments: (1) the payment of a fixed sum, called the face amount or par value, at a specified date; (2) the periodic payment of interest, usually at six-month intervals, in an amount expressed as percentage of the face amount of the bond. In the light of expectations as to what interest rate will be necessary to attract the required funds, a rate of interest is set. It is important to note that the interest expense actually incurred on the bonds is determined by the price at which the bonds are sold; thus the effective interest rate (sometimes called the yield rate) is set by the money market. Interest on bonds expressed as a percentage of the face amount is referred to as the nominal or coupon rate. If the market is willing to take the bonds at a yield rate identical to the coupon rate, the bonds will sell at face amount. If the effective rate is in excess of the coupon rate, the bonds will sell at a discount, or less than face amount. Conversely, if the effective rate is less than the coupon rate, the bonds will sell at a premium, or more than face amount ferences between the coupon rate and the yield rate are thus adjusted by changes in the price at which the bonds are

ENCLOSURE I ENCLOSURE I

sold, without the necessity of amending the bond contract."

In short, discounted bonds effectively increase the interest yield rate; bonds sold at premiums reduce the yied rate. The amount of premium or discount is thus relevant in determining the net interest cost of WMATA bonds.

laves leants - Income/Gain or loss, fic.	FORTE 10 TO FORTE TO	BOND PROCEEDS	OTHER	101AL 9. 301, 300
interest on System Add-On's Interest on tocal Justadiction Funds Interest on Bund Process Interest on Highway Tenafor impa	190,131 105,772,883	6 76,336,329	\$ 1,633,590 857,305	190, 131 104, 772, 563 77, 436, 329 1, 633, 590(5) 357, 305
Interest on Insufance Escribe Gain or toss - Local Judisdiction Funds Gain or toss - Houd Proceeds Gain or toss - Houd Proceeds	9/0.655	19,595.973	354,200	254, 200 559, 876 19, 595, 973 28, 665
Income/Loss from Property Nanayement (5-20) Income/Loss from Property Bisposet (5-27) Interest from Bond Interest Finds Transferred to Construction Loss of Sale of P. b. A Property		34,070,434	1.939.013 816.038 (1.612)	1,930,013 816,8/8(4) 34,870,534 (1,632)
tors of Safe of Lepital Property Available for Willization - Fund Ol (Buard Statement No. 1) Available for Transfer from Bund Interest - Fund Ol Total Availability (Buard Statement Nos. 1 and 2)	061,748,281 2. 19.0 1.190	1, 131, 665. 1, 131, 665. 1, 131, 585, 111	5, (32, 133) 5, (32, 488) 5, (36, 488)	256, 123, 181, 256, 123, 181, 2, 723, 489
funds Acstricted or Applied to Current and Future Programs. Applied to Funded Capital Program 1/3-1/3 Applied to UNIA Grant Program 8-1 Applied to UNIA Grant Program 8-1 Applied to UNIA Grant Program 8-1 Applied to UNIA Grant Program 8-2 Applied to UNIA Grant Program 8-2 Applied to Enculter Management - 57 1921 - 1926	12, 18, 665 30, 733, 836 12,071, 498 7,067,000 7,946,075 (7)	134,147,000 1940,964,164 1916,046,942		98.333.674 71.640,000 22.38,440 7.067,000
Applied to Bond Interest Papers, January, 1978 Applied to Bond Interest Papers, January, 1978 Applied to Bond Interest Papers, Jaly, 1978 Applied to FY 1978 October 2/3-f/3 Mitching Assistated for UMIA Grant Programs 9-3	5, 105, 506 5, 116, 506 1, 576, 42 1, 034, 4, 3 5, 655, 000	1 2,063,807	4,612.633	3, 10/9, 688 3, 316, 504 4, 044, 356 7, 710, 890 5, 655, 000
Restricted for Dala Grant Progress C. Restricted for Dala Car Prostutement Total Funds Restricted or Applied Available for Programming June 30, 1938 (All internally Generated funds are Restricted)	2 2 3 5 5 6 5 1 1 1 5 6 5 6 5 6 5 6 6 6 6 6 6	10, 10, 538	975, 844 5, 554, 84 10, 564, 84 10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10,	16, 225, 000(3) 1, 762, 880(2) 256, 917, 303
furcess: Internally Generated funds to be Earned from all Sources through December 31, 1978 farmings to Date from all Sources as Itemized Moove Amounts tasimated to be Realized through December 31, 1978	December 31, 1976	127, 242, 517 104, 112, 545, 517 104, 125, 517, 517, 517, 517, 517, 517, 517, 51		

interest Restricted on Priority of - A - Local Jurisdiction Portfolio

C - Other Scarces

Available funds restricted to generate \$13,900,000 necessary for the purchase of rail cars. Required to be 2

Includes Authority funds amounting to \$9,618,000 advanced to Virginia as local share of ICCA "C" Program. Eximate of examings in future per ods revised to reflect ICCA local jurisdiction contributions and eximate of examings in future for ode advanced from Authority funds and \$12,756,062 Internally generated. Includes, inserted on highway transfer funds approved by UMIA's general counsel for Authority was. It was an disposals are considered a capitalized cust of the Construction Program. 33

323

port fello.

5

2/these are tunds being applied as head area governments' match to pour fraieraffined