



Highlights of [GAO-04-1098T](#), testimony before the Subcommittee on Environment and Hazardous Materials, Committee on Energy and Commerce, House of Representatives

## Why GAO Did This Study

After the events of September 11, 2001, Congress appropriated over \$140 million to help drinking water systems assess their vulnerabilities to terrorist threats and to develop response plans. Utilities are asking for additional funding, however, not only to *plan* security upgrades but also to support their *implementation*.

This testimony is based on GAO's report, *Drinking Water: Experts' Views on How Future Federal Funding Can Best Be Spent to Improve Security* (GAO-04-29, October 31, 2003). Specifically, GAO sought experts' views on (1) the key security-related vulnerabilities affecting drinking water systems, (2) the criteria for determining how federal funds are allocated among drinking water systems to improve their security, and the methods by which those funds should be distributed, and (3) specific activities the federal government should support to improve drinking water security.

## What We Recommend

GAO recommended that as EPA refines its efforts to help drinking water utilities reduce their vulnerability to terrorist attacks, the agency consider the information in this report to help determine how best to allocate security-related federal funds among drinking water utilities; which methods should be used to distribute the funds; and what specific security-enhancing activities should be supported.

[www.gao.gov/cgi-bin/getrpt?GAO-04-1098T](http://www.gao.gov/cgi-bin/getrpt?GAO-04-1098T).

To view the full product, including the scope and methodology, click on the link above. For more information, contact John B. Stephenson @ (202) 512-6225 or [Stephensonj@gao.gov](mailto:Stephensonj@gao.gov).

# DRINKING WATER

## Experts' Views on How Federal Funding Can Best Be Spent To Improve Security

### What GAO Found

GAO's expert panel cited distribution systems as among the most vulnerable physical components of a drinking water utility, a conclusion also reached by key research organizations. Also cited were the computer systems that manage critical utility functions; treatment chemicals stored on-site; and source water supplies. Experts further identified two key factors that constitute overarching vulnerabilities: (1) a lack of the information individual utilities need to identify their most serious threats and (2) a lack of redundancy in vital system components, which increases the likelihood an attack could render an entire utility inoperable.

According to over 90 percent of the experts, utilities serving high-density areas deserve at least a high priority for federal funding. Also warranting priority are utilities serving critical assets, such as military bases, national icons, and key academic institutions. Direct federal grants were clearly the most preferred funding mechanism, with over half the experts indicating that such grants would be "very effective" in distributing funds to recipients. Substantially fewer recommended using the Drinking Water State Revolving Fund for security upgrades.

When asked to identify specific security-enhancing activities most deserving of federal support, experts' responses generally fell into three categories:

- *physical and technological upgrades* to improve security and research to develop technologies to prevent, detect, or respond to an attack (experts most strongly supported developing near real-time monitoring technologies to quickly detect contaminants in treated drinking water on its way to consumers);
- *education and training* to support, among other things, simulation exercises to provide responders with experience in carrying out emergency response plans; specialized training of utility security staff; and multidisciplinary consulting teams to independently analyze systems' security preparedness and recommend improvements; and
- *strengthening key relationships* between water utilities and other agencies that may have key roles in an emergency response, such as public health agencies, law enforcement agencies, and neighboring drinking water systems; this category also includes developing protocols to encourage consistent approaches to detecting and diagnosing threats.