CONTINUITY OF OPERATIONS

Improved Planning Needed to Ensure Delivery of Essential Government Services

Why GAO Did This Study

To ensure that essential government services are available in emergencies—such as terrorist attacks, severe weather, or building-level emergencies—federal agencies are required to develop continuity of operations (COOP) plans. Responsibility for formulating guidance on these plans and for assessing executive branch COOP capabilities lies with the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA), under the Department of Homeland Security. FEMA guidance, Federal Preparedness Circular (FPC) 65 (July 1999), identifies elements of a viable COOP capability, including the requirement that agencies identify their essential functions.

What GAO Found

Based on an assessment of 34 COOP plans against FEMA guidance, GAO found that most agencies’ plans identified at least one function as essential. However, the functions identified in each plan varied widely in number—ranging from 3 to 399—and included functions that appeared to be of secondary importance, while at the same time omitting programs that had been previously defined as high-impact programs. For example, one department included “provide speeches and articles for the Secretary and Deputy Secretary,” among its essential functions, but did not include 9 of 10 high-impact programs for which it was responsible. Several factors contributed to these shortcomings: FPC 65 did not provide specific criteria for identifying essential functions; FEMA did not review the essential functions identified when it assessed COOP planning; and it did not conduct tests or exercises to confirm that the essential functions were correctly identified. Unless agencies’ essential functions are correctly and completely identified, their COOP plans may not effectively ensure that the most vital government services can be maintained in an emergency.

Although all but three of the agencies reviewed had developed and documented some of the elements of a viable COOP plan, none of the agencies could demonstrate that they were following all the guidance in FPC 65. As the figure shows, there is a wide variation in the number of agencies that addressed various elements identified in the guidance. A contributing cause for the deficiencies in agency COOP plans is the level of FEMA oversight. In 1999, FEMA conducted an assessment of agency compliance with FPC 65, but it has not conducted oversight that is sufficiently regular and extensive to ensure that agencies correct the deficiencies identified. Because the resulting COOP plans do not include all the elements of a viable plan as defined by FPC 65, agency efforts to provide services during an emergency could be impaired.

Elements That Were Included in Agency COOP Plans in Place as of October 1, 2002

Of the 34 COOP plans reviewed, how many ...

Identified agency’s essential functions?

Prioritized the essential functions?

Identified required staffing and resources?

Identified necessary mission-critical systems and data?

Percentage

Yes

Partially

No

Source: GAO analysis of agency COOP plans.