

UNITED STATES GENERAL ACCOUNTING OFFICE WASHINGTON, D.C. 20548

089062

HUMAN RESOURCES
DIVISION

IN REPLY REFER TO:

March 16, 1977

Mr. Don I. Wortman
Acting Administrator
Health Care Financing
Administration
Department of Health, Education,
and Welfare

057624

LM089062

Dear Mr. Wortman:

This is to advise you that we have completed a survey of States' utilization review (also called utilization control) programs for non-institutional services under Medicaid. Such programs focus on services provided by physicians, dentists, pharmacies, and other practitioners and are intended to assure that medical services provided under Medicaid are necessary and appropriate as well as to control Medicaid costs by minimizing, and denying payment for, unnecessary and inappropriate services.

We surveyed through a questionnaire the procedures used by States to control the utilization of noninstitutional services including:

- --edits and checks in the States' claims processing systems, including exception criteria used to identify possibly unnecessary services;
- --practices used to determine if claims identified as being possibly unnecessary were in fact unnecessary;
- -- the types of services subjected to review for appropriateness of care and the methods used to check for appropriateness;
- --the number of personnel assigned to determine necessity of care and the number assigned to check appropriateness of care (also called quality of care reviews);
- --the types of information the States' claims processing system is capable of producing, and does produce, to assist the utilization control program; and
- -- the results of the utilization control program.

089062

The survey showed (1) there was considerable variations among the States regarding the extent and emphasis of their program, and (2) about 70 percent of the States could not provide any quantifiable information on the results of their program in terms of claims denied.

BACKGROUND

Over the last several years, HEW has been taking some actions, in response to the utilization control provisions of the Social Security Amendments of 1972 (P.L. 92-603), to increase the effectiveness of the States utilization control over institutional services—those provided in hospitals and skilled nursing and intermediate care facilities. HEW has also assisted States in developing Medicaid Management Information Systems (MMIS) which

Utilization control programs for noninstitutional services have been required since April 1, 1968, when section 237 of the Social Security Amendments of 1967 (P.L. 90-248) became effective. Section 237 added section 1902 (a)(30) to the Social Security Act which requires State Medicaid plans to:

can perform many of the edits for, and provide the data necessary for, conducting a utilization control program for noninstitutional services. However, HEW has done little else in the area of noninstitutional utilization control.

"provide such methods and procedures relating to the utilization of, and the payment for, care and services available under the plan***as may be necessary to safeguard against unnecessary utilization of such care and services and to assure that payments***are not in excess of reasonable charges consistent with efficiency, economy, and quality of care."

Because payments for noninstitutional services represent about 40 percent of Medicaid expenditures, utilization control over these services is important to the containment of overall Medicaid costs. Also, it is reported that noninstitutional services are those where fraud and abuse is most prevalent and utilization control programs can help detect and prevent fraud and abuse.

Because little data was available about State utilization control practices within the Department of Health, Education, and Welfare (HEW), we sent a questionnaire to the 53 States and jurisdictions with Medicaid programs. This report is based on the response to that questionnaire.

THE QUESTIONNAIRE

On July 11, 1975, we sent a questionnaire on utilization control practices to 49 States (Arizona did not have a Medicaid program), the District of Columbia, Guam, Puerto Rico, and the Virgin Islands. Between July and November 1975, we received responses from all of these jurisdictions except Guam. All responding jurisdictions will be referred to as States in this report.

Because some of the questionnaires were incomplete and because some of the responses were not clear or conflicted with others, we contacted virtually all the States to help insure we had an accurate, nation-wide picture of the Medicaid utilization control program for noninstitutional services.

RESULTS OF THE QUESTIONNAIRE

The responses to the questionnaire showed that, on the whole, the utilization control program for Medicaid noninstitutional services was spotty. While a few States responded that they did perform all or most of the edits and checks and produce the data necessary for monitoring program utilization, most States performed relatively few of the edits and checks and only produced some of the needed data. The States that reported the more extensive utilization control programs were generally the States that said their claims processing systems met all of the MMIS requirements or that their systems were awaiting certification as approved MMISs.

Because we believe that the results of the questionnaire could provide a valuable source of base line data on State programs, a compilation of all of the responses to the questionnaire is presented as Appendix I. Examples of some of the results follow.

Status of Implementation of MMIS

Since the responses to the questionnaire indicate that States with MMISs have better utilization centrol systems, it appears that efforts toward developing and operating MMIS are very important to an effective utilization control system. At the time of our questionnaire, not much progress toward MMIS had been made as illustrated by the following two tables.

Status of State's Claims Processing System in Meeting MMIS Requirements

Status	Number of States Giving Response
Meets all requirements	6
Awaiting certification as an MMIS	3
Minor changes needed to meet MMIS	
requirements	13
Major changes needed to meet MMIS	
requirements	23
0ther	7
	<u>52</u>
	

Changes Needed for State's Claims Processing System to Meet MMIS Requirement

Change Needed	Number of States
Claims processing system needs	giving responses
to be automated Provider file needs to be	13
automated	13
Reference file needs to be	
automated	14
Recipient eligibility file needs	
to be automated	10
System needs improvement of infor- mation retrieval subsystem for	
management reports	26
System needs improvement of infor-	
mation retrieval subsystem for	
utilization reports	27
System needs to be modified so as	٥
to produce explanation of benefits No changes needed	8 9
Other	17
	2/
Total	<u>137</u> <u>a</u> /

Note a: Total adds to more than 52 because 28 States gave multiple responses.

Since the time of our questionnaire, the status of MMIS implementation by the States has improved. As of September 19, 1976, 11 State claims processing systems had been certified as meeting all MMIS requirements, 4 States were awaiting certification, 18 States were in various stages of developing an MMIS, and 10 States were making preparations to initiate development of an MMIS. Only 7 States were taking no action to install an MMIS. The 3 territories do not participate in the MMIS program.

Use of Edits and Checks

Most States did not perform all of the edits and checks we inquired about. For example, only 23 States compared, for all types of services, the service provided with the diagnosis to ensure consistency. Nineteen States did this edit for some services and 9 States never performed this edit. Comparable numbers for an edit to determine if the diagnosis was consistent with the recipient's sex were 22, 11, and 18, respectively. Also, only 29 States checked to see if providers were prescribing an excessive amount of narcotic or dangerous drugs and 32 States did not have checks or edits to identify excessive use of ambulances.

For States that did perform the various edits and checks, we noted that there was a large variance among the criteria used to identify providers and recipients who might be providing or using excessive numbers of services. For example, 38 States said they checked to determine if a recipient was making an excessive number of visits to the same provider, but the criteria used to identify such individuals varied from a high of not questioning claims until a recipient had seen the same provider more than 10 times in one month to a low of more than 1 visit in a month. Another example relates to a recipient receiving an excessive number of prescriptions where the criteria ranged from a high of 19 prescriptions in a month to a low of 3 prescriptions in a month.

We also observed that many States that reported they performed a particular check or edit also said that the edit or check was performed manually.

In our opinion, many of the checks and edits would be very difficult to effectively perform manually; for example, checking if a service is consistent with the diagnosis where hundreds of diagnoses and thousands of services were involved. This would be especially true if nonprofessional personnel were doing this edit.

Quality of Care Reviews

A number of States did not have programs to assess the quality of care provided under Medicaid. Twelve States did not review the quality of care provided by physicians, 13 States did not review care provided by dentists, 15 States did not review care provided by optometrists (3 States did not provide the service), and 13 States did not review services provided by podiatrists and chiropractors (podiatrist services were not covered by 12 States, and chiropractic services were not covered by 22 States).

Number of Personnel Used for Utilization Control

The States reported widely varying numbers of personnel engaged in utilization control and quality of care activities. We computed the ratio of reviewers to recipients of medical services based on the average monthly number of recipients during fiscal year 1975. We could not make the computations for 1 State. These computations showed:

Number of States in Ratio Range Abnormal Claims Quality of Care Professional Clerical Professional Clerical Ratio of Reviewers to Recipients Reviewers Reviewers Total Reviewers Reviewers Total Data not available 7 9 4. 3 9 No reviewer 13 0 2 2 3 1:1 to 1:2,500 4 1 1:2,501 to 1:10,000 7 6 9 6 4 7 5 1:10,001 to 1:25,000 6 10 4 5 1:25,001 to 1:50,000 5 6 6 6 4 7 1:50,001 to 1:100,000 3 2 4 3 1 2 1:100,001 to 1:200,000 5 0 0 3 over 1:200,000 0 0

Ten States reported that they could not separate their personnel between the two types of reviews. These States had ratios of personnel to recipients ranging from 1:913 to 1:253,836 for professional reviewers, from 1:700 to 1:147,307 for clerical reviewers, and from 1:560 to 1:28,204 for total reviewers.

Reported Results of the Utilization Control Program

We asked the States to provide us with information on the results of their noninstitutional utilization control programs. We asked for data for fiscal years 1973-75 on the number and dollar value of claims denied because of the program for (1) physician, (2) prescription drugs, and (3) other services, the number of providers referred for prosecution, the number of providers prohibited from participating in Medicaid and the number of recipients with actions taken against them. Few States were able to provide this data. For fiscal year 1975, only 14 States could provide data on the number of physician claims denied and only 16 States on the dollar value of denied physician claims. Even fewer States could provide the requested data for prescription drug clairs and other claims. Because of the inability of most States to provide results of data, this question is not summarized in Appendix I.

One disturbing fact shown by this inability to provide results data was that of the 9 States reporting that their claims processing systems met all MMIS requirements or that they were awaiting certification, 8 could not provide any data on denied claims. The 1 State that did provide data was only able to do so for dental services.

LACK OF HEW GUIDANCE TO THE STATES ON NONINSTITUTIONAL UTILIZATION CONTROL PROGRAMS

While HEW has required the States to have utilization control programs for noninstitutional services, virtually the only formal guidance provided to the States has been the requirements for and system design of MMIS.

While MMIS does provide much of the data necessary for utilization control, it does not tell the State how to use the data desired.

CONCLUSIONS

At the time of our questionnaire, there was wide variation in the extent to which States had implemented a noninstitutional utilization control program. Many States were performing this function manually which is very difficult to do for many types of checks and edits. There was wide variation among the States in the criteria used to identify abnormal claims and in the number of personnel used to review abnormal claims in relation to the number of recipients. Reviews to determine the quality of care provided were nonexistent in a number of States and only covered some types of services in most other States. Because of the time that has passed since the issuance of our questionnaire and because other ongoing reviews cover these areas, we are not making recommendations relating to these conclusions.

States were not able to provide us with data on the results of their noninstitutional utilization review programs, even those States which indicated that their claims processing systems met all the requirements for MMIS.

We believe that information on the results of the various State programs could provide SRS management and the States with helpful data to measure and compare the impact of such programs.

RECOMMENDATION

We recommend that SRS ensure that the MMISs in use and being developed by the States have the capability to accumulate and report on the results of utilization control programs and that arrangement be made for the reporting and dissemination of such information.

Sincerely yours,

Robert E. Iffert, assistant Director

	Numb	er of ates!
Who Processes Your State's Medicaid Claims?		
The Title XIX single State agency	D 0	19 0 11 0
-mainly single State agency but also contractor(s)mainly contractor(s) but also single State agencyother	0 0	10 9 2 52

^{1/} For reporting purposes the District of Columbia, Puerto Rico, and the Virgin Islands will be counted as States.

What is the Present Status of Your Medicaid Claims Processing and Information Retrieval System in Qualifying as an Automated System Under Section 235 of Public Law 92-603? Number of States 6 Present system meets all the requirements Present system needs only minor modification to meet 13 Present system needs major changes to meet the 23 Medicaid Management Information System (MMIS) 5 3 Awaiting certification of MMIS Other - no centralized Statewide automated claims

What Changes Need to be Made In the State's Present Claims Processing and Information Retrieval System to Qualify for Increased Federal Cost Sharing Under Section 235 of P.L. 92-603?

	Number of States
Automation of claims processing	. 13 . 14 . 10 . 26 . 27
States needing one of the changes listed above States needing two of the changes listed above States needing three of the changes listed above States needing four of the changes listed above States needing five of the changes listed above States needing six of the changes listed above States needing seven of the changes listed above	8 6 3

Listed on the following pages are a number of noninstitutional services which may be provided under a State's Medicaid plan. For each of these services, the following information is summarized:

- -- the number of States providing the service;
- -- the number of States requiring prior authorization to receive the service;
- -- the number of States having a quantity or cost limit established for the service;
- --- the number of States that can authorize the Medicaid eligible to exceed the quantity or cost limit;
- --examples of cost or quantity limits; and
- --examples of prior authorization requirements.

Physician Services

Number of States providing this service to all Medicaid eligibles ! Number of States providing this service to some Medicaid eligibles	
Total number of States providing this service	
Number of States requiring prior authorization for all services	
of this type	0
of this type	16
some services of this type	16
Number of States with cost or quantity limits on this service	14
the cost or quantity limit	9

Examples of cost or quantity limits

18 physician visits per year \$500 in private psychiatric care per year .100 hospital inpatient visits per year 10 physician office visits per month 1 physician visit per month except 2 visits per month are allowed for a new acute condition

Examples of prior authorization requirements

For cosmetic surgery
For sterilizations
For more than 2 visits per month
For elective, remedial, or restorative services

Outpatient Hospital Services

umber of States providing this service to all Medicaid eligibles	52
lumber of States providing this service to some Medicaid eligibles	
otal number of States providing this service	52
·•	
umber of States requiring prior authorization for all services	
number of States requiring prior authorization for all services of this type	1
webor of States requiring arior authorization for some services	
of this type	, 7
obal number of States requiring prior surportization for at least	
some services of this type	. 8
•	_
Number of States with cost or quantity limits on this service	Z,
Number of these States that will authorize the eligible to exceed	
the cost or quantity limit	
THE CASE OF dagmerely rimines s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s	1

Examples of cost or quantity limits

\$200 per eligible per year 30 visits per year 12 visits per year 1 visit per day

Examples of prior authorization requirements

For elective surgery For more than 2 outpatient psychiatric visits For physical, speech or occupational therapy

Laboratory Services

Number of States providing this service to all Medicaid eligibles 5. Number of States providing this service to some Medicaid eligibles	U
Number of States requiring prior authorization for all services	•
of this type	.I.
Number of States requiring prior authorization for some services	
of this type	
some services of this type	7
Number of States with cost or quantity limits on this service	
Number of these States that will authorize the eligible to exceed	
the cost or quantity limit	ď
tus cost of dequerth timite	

Examples of cost or quantity limits

\$100 per year \$200 per year of laboratory and x-ray combined \$50 per year of laboratory and x-ray combined

Examples of prior authorization requirements

For services related to cosmetic surgery For services costing over \$25

X-Ray Services

Number of States providing this service to all Medicaid eligibles Youmber of States providing this service to some Medicaid eligibles	(
Total number of States providing this service	52
Number of States requiring prior authorization for all services	
of this type	
of this type	3
some services of this type	4
•	
Number of States with cost or quantity limits on this service	3
the cost or quantity limit	2

Examples of cost or quantity limits

\$100 per year \$200 per year of x-ray and laboratory services combined \$50 per year of x-ray and laboratory services combined

Examples of prior authorization requirements

For theraputic X-rays
For services related to cosmetic surgery
For services costing over \$25

Home Health Services

Examples of cost or quantity limits

100 home health visits per year 50 home health visits per year 1 home health visit per day 12 home health visits per year 200 home health visits per year

Examples of prior authorization requirements

For all visits after the initial visit For more than 6 visits per month For visits after 1 month For visits by out-of-State agencies

EPSDT Services

Number of States providing this service to all Medicaid eligibles
Number of States providing this service to some Medicaid eligibles 5
Total number of States providing this service
Number of States requiring prior authorization for all services
of this type
Number of States requiring prior authorization for some services
of this type
Total number of States requiring prior authorization for at least
some services of this type
•
Number of States with cost or quantity limits on this service
Number of these States that will authorize the eligible to exceed
the cost or quantity limit

Examples of cost or quantity limits

1 screening per year

I screening per 11 months

Examples of prior authorization requirements

For dental treatment

^{*} for children under 21 years old

Family Planning Services

Number of States providing this service to all Medicaid eligibles \cdots 52	2
Number of States providing this service to some Medicaid eligibles C	J.
Total number of States providing this service	2
the state of the s	
Number of States requiring prior authorization for all services	4
of this type	L
Number of States requiring prior authorization for some services	2
of this type	-
Total number of States requiring prior authorization for at least	7
some services of this type	-di
Number of States with cost or quantity limits on this service	2
Number of these States that will authorize the eligible to exceed	
the cost or quantity limit.	1
flie Cost or decreas armse, and an analysis	

Examples of cost or quantity limits

1 initial and 5 follow-up visits per year 1 examination per year

Examples of prior authorization requirements

For sterilizations
For out-of-State travel and care

Clinic Services

Number of States providing this service to all Medicaid eligibles \dots 39	
Number of States providing this service to some Medicaid eligibles 0	
Total number of States providing this service)
Number of States requiring prior authorization for all services	_
Number of States requiring prior authorization for all services of this type	j
of this type	ř
Total number of States requiring prior authorization for at least some services of this type	ł
•	
Number of States with cost or quantity limits on this service	L
Number of these States that will authorize the eligible to exceed the cost or quantity limit	L

Examples of cost or quantity limits.

·12 clinic visits per year

Examples of prior authorization requirements

For all visits after the initial visit
For mental health day care and group therapy

Prescribed Drugs

Number of States providing this service to all Medicaid eligibles 51 Number of States providing this service to some Medicaid eligibles 0 Total number of States providing this service
Number of States requiring prior authorization for all services of this type
Number of States requiring prior authorization for some services of this type
some services of this type
Number of States with cost or quantity limits on this service 15 Number of these States that will authorize the eligible to exceed the cost or quantity limit

Examples of cost or quantity limits

\$20 per month \$35 per month 100 day supply 5 refills

3 prescriptions per month

Examples of prior authorization requirements

For drugs not included in formulary

For drugs costing over \$15

For vitamins for those over 6 years old

For amphetamines and amphetamine-like drugs

For anorectic drugs

Dental Services

Number of States providing this service to all Medicaid eligibles Number of States providing this service to some Medicaid eligibles Total number of States providing this service	11
Number of States requiring prior authorization for all services	
of this type	10
Number of States requiring prior authorization for some services of this type	30
Total number of States requiring prior authorization for at least	
some services of this type	40
•	
Number of States with cost or quantity limits on this service	8
Number of these States that will authorize the eligible to exceed	
the cost or quantity limit	6

Examples of cost or quantity limits

l examination per year \$75 per year l set of dentures per 2 years l set of dentures per 5 years

Examples of prior authorization requirements

For orthodontic treatment
For crowns, peridontal scaling and surgery,
partial or full dentures
For gold work, bridge work
For other than emergency work
For services over \$150

*for children under 21 years old

Prosthetic Devices

Number of States providing this service to all Medicaid eligibles 40 Number of States providing this service to some Medicaid eligibles 1 Total number of States providing this service
Number of States requiring prior authorization for all services of this type
Number of States requiring prior authorization for some services
of this type
some services of this type
Number of States with cost or quantity limits on this service
Number of these States that will authorize the eligible to exceed the cost or quantity limit

Examples of cost or quantity limits

\$30 per device

Examples of prior authorization requirements

For surgically implanted devices For devices over \$25 For devices over \$50 and for all rentals of devices

Eyeglasses

10	number of these praces that will additioning the cost of quantity limit,	moet of duantity limit,
₩ -3	Number of States with cost or quantity limits on this service 18	mber of States with cost or quantity lin
		•
29	some services of this type	me services of this type
- -	Total number of States requiring prior authorization for at least	tal number of States requiring prior aut
ي ن		mber of States requiring prior authoriza
-	of this type	this type
	Number of States requiring prior authorization for all services	mber of States requiring prior authoriza
51	Total number of States providing this service 51	tal number of States providing this serv
w	Number of States providing this service to some Medicaid eligibles * 9	mber of States providing this service to
42	Number of States providing this service to all Medicaid eligibles 42	mber of States providing this service to

Examples of cost or quantity limits

- 1 pair of glasses per year
 2 pairs of glasses per year
 1 pair of glasses per 2 years
 1 pair of glasses per year, but only after
- surgery pair of glasses per year for those under 21 years old, 2 pairs for those over 21 years old

Examples of prior authorization requirements

For For For 다 이 번 tinted lenses contact lenses prescription sunglasses lenses with less than 1/2 dopler correction

for children under 21 years old

Private Duty Nursing Services

Number of States providing this service to all Medicaid eligibles 19 Number of States providing this service to some Medicaid eligibles 0 Total number of States providing this service
Number of States requiring prior authorization for all services of this type
Number of States requiring prior authorization for some services of this type
Total number of States requiring prior sutborization tor at least
some services of this type
Number of States with cost or quantity limits on this service
the cost or quantity limit

Examples of cost or quantity limits

· None

Physical Therapy Services

Examples of cost or quantity limits

- 60 sessions per year

· Examples of prior authorization requirements

For more than 21 sessions per year For more than 6 sessions per year

*categorically needy only

Optometrist Services

•	0	the cost or quantity limit
		Number of these States that will authorize the eligible to exceed
_• 10	•	Number of States with cost or quantity limits on this service 10
		•
ا د د	•	some services of this type
		Total number of States requiring prior authorization for at least
, ,	0	of this type
		Number of States requiring prior authorization for some services
9	9	OF THE TYPE
		Number of States requiring prior authorization for all services
. 49	0	Total number of States providing this service
	9	Number of States providing this service to some Medicaid eligibles* 7
. 42	e	Number of States providing this service to all Medicaid eligibles 42

Examples of cost or quantity limits

- pair of glasses per year examination per year
- l examination p \$80 per year
- 1 refraction per 2 years
- 1 examination per year for those under 21, 1 examination per 2 years for those over
- for those over 21

Examples of prior authorization requirements

For vision training For artificial eye For contact lenses, subnormal vision initial diagnostic orthoptic treatment aniseikonic prescriptions Vísít,

*for children under 21 years old

Podiatrist Services

Number of States providing this service to all Medicaid eligibles 40 $^{\circ}$	
Number of States providing this service to some Medicaid eligibles	0
Total number of States providing this service	0
N 1 Contracting authorization for all complete	
Number of States requiring prior authorization for all services	-0
of this type	T
Number of States requiring prior authorization for some services	
of this type	4
Total number of States requiring prior authorization for at least	
some services of this type	5
Number of States with cost or quantity limits on this service	4
Number of these States that will authorize the eligible to exceed	?
the cost or quantity limit	ے

Examples of cost or quantity limits

\$300 per month for outpatient 10 office visits per month 24 office visits per year 5 office visits per year

Examples of prior authorization requirements

For appliances
For all visits after the initial visit
For foot mould balance, inlay supports
For X-rays and balanced inlays

Chiropractor Services

Number of States providing this service to all Medicaid eligibles 29 Number of States providing this service to some Medicaid eligibles * 1 Total number of States providing this service
Number of States requiring prior authorization for all services
of this type
Number of States requiring prior authorization for some services
of this type
Total number of States requiring prior authorization for at least
some services of this type
•
Number of States with cost or quantity limits on this service
Number of these States that will authorize the eligible to exceed
the cost or quantity limit

Examples of cost or quantity limits

18 visits per year
3 visits per month
10 visits per month
4 office visits per month, 1 home visit per

4 office visits per month, 1 home visit per month, 1 skilled nursing home visit per month

Examples of prior authorization requirements

For more than 3 visits per year For rehabilitation services

* Title XVIII and XIX eligibles only.

Ambulance Services

Number of States providing this service to all Medicaid eligibles 50
Number of States providing this service to some Medicaid eligibles \dots . 0
Total number of States providing this service
Number of States requiring prior authorization for all services
Number of States requiring prior authorization for all services of this type
of this type
Total number of States requiring prior authorization for at least some services of this type
Number of States with cost or quantity limits on this service
Number of these States that will authorize the eligible to exceed the cost or quantity limit
·

Examples of cost or quantity limits

None

Examples of prior authorization requirements

For other than emergency
For trips over 50 miles
For trips over 100 miles
For travel to cities away from home community

Other Transportation

Number of States providing this service to all Medicaid eligibles 37 Number of States providing this service to some Medicaid eligibles
Total number of States providing this service
Number of States requiring prior authorization for all services
of this type
Number of States requiring prior authorization for some services
of this type
some services of this type
Number of States with cost or quantity limits on this service
Number of these States that will authorize the eligible to exceed
the cost or quantity limit

Examples of cost or quantity limits

None

Examples of prior authorization requirements

For trips over \$50
For other than emergency
For trips over \$20 unless public transportation is not available

Does Your State's Processing System Contain Any Claim Review Mechanism Before the Claim is Entered Into the Automated System?

	Number of States
With review mechanisms	31 19 1 52

60

On the following pages is a list of edits or checks that may be performed by a State's claims processing system. Under each type of edit we have summarized the number of States which:

- --perform the edit;
- --perform the edit manually;
- -- perform the edit automatically;
- --perform the edit on all claims; and
- --perform the edit on a sample of claims.

One State reported that its response to this question would have to vary from one county to another. Therefore, this State's data is not included in the summary of responses for this question.

Medical Service Consistent With Diagnosis Numb	er of States
This edit is performed for all types of services provided This edit is performed for some types of services	23
provided	19 9
The state of company manually for all types of complete	
This edit is performed manually for all types of services provided This edit is performed automatically for all types of	16
services provided	L _k
manually for some types, automatically for others This edit is performed manually for some types of services	3
provided	16
services provided	3 ·
manually for some types, automatically for others	0
This edit is performed manually on all claims for all types of services provided	11
all types of services provided	4
services provided; manually for some types, automatically for others	3
This edit is performed manually on a sample of claims for all types of services provided	5
for all types of services provided	0 .
of services provided; manually for some types, automatically for others	0
types of services provided	12
some types of services provided	1.
provided; manually for some types, automatically for others This edit is performed manually on a sample of claims for some	0
types of services provided This edit is performed automatically on a sample of claims for	1
some types of services provided	0
provinces manually for some expess automatically for stillings .	·

Medical Service Consistent With Diagnosis	Number of States
This edit is performed manually for all types of services provided; on all claims for some types, on a sample of	
claims for others	
claims for others	. 0
claims for some types, on a sample of claims for others This edit is performed manually for some types of services provided; on all claims for some types, on a sample of	0
claims for others	s
for others	1
claims for some types, on a sample of claims for others	^

Medical Service Consistent With Recipient's Age

1	Number	of	States
This edit is performed for all types of services provided	. 22		
This edit is performed for some types of services	70		
provided			
This is not performed for any type of service	. 11		
mi : lin is soufamed manually for all types of corvices			
This edit is performed manually for all types of services	. 11		
provided This edit is performed automatically for all types of	-		
services provided	. 8		
manually for some types, automatically for others	. 3		
This edit is performed manually for some types of services provided	. 8		
This edit is performed automatically for some types of	u		
services provided	. 7		
manually for some types, automatically for others	. 3		
This edit is performed manually on all claims for all types of services provided	. 10		
This edit is performed automatically on all claims for	0		
all types of services provided	8		
This edit is performed on all claims for all types of			
services provided; manually for some types, automatically			
for others	. 3		
This edit is performed manually on a sample of claims for	1		
all types of services provided			
This edit is performed automatically on a sample of claims	. 0		
for all types of services provided	٠		
of services provided; manually for some types, automa-	-		
tically for others	. 0		
This edit is performed manually on all claims for some			
types of services provided	•)		
some types of services provided	. 7		
This edit is performed on all claims for some types of services			
provided; manually for some types, automatically for others	. 3		
This edit is performed manually on a sample of claims for some	. 2		
types of services provided			
some types of services provided			
provided; manually for some types, automatically for others			

Medical Service Consistent With Recipient's Age

Number of States

Medical Service Consistent With Recipient's Sex

	Number of	States
This edit is performed for all types of services provided		
This edit is performed for some types of services		-
provided		
This is not performed for any type of service	12	
This edit is performed manually for all types of services		
provided	12	
This edit is performed automatically for all types of	10	
services provided	. LU	
manually for some types, automatically for others	. 3	
This edit is performed manually for some types of services		
provided	9	
This edit is performed automatically for some types of	3	
services provided	as to """	
manually for some types, automatically for others	2	
This edit is performed manually on all claims for all	8	
types of services provided		
all types of services provided	10	
This edit is performed on all claims for all types of		
services provided; manually for some types, automatically	3	
for others	s s	
all types of services provided	4	
This edit is performed automatically on a sample of claims	dh	-
for all types of services provided	. 0	
This edit is performed on a sample of claims for all types of services provided; manually for some types, automa-		
tically for others	0	
This edit is performed manually on all claims for some	-	
types of services provided	8	
This edit is performed automatically on all claims for	. 3	
some types of services provided	• •	
provided; manually for some types, automatically for others	•	
This edit is performed manually on a sample of claims for some		
types of services provided		
This edit is performed automatically on a sample of claims for		
some types of services provided		
provided; manually for some types, automatically for others		

Medical Service Consistent With Recipient's Sex

	Number of States
This edit is performed manually for all types of services provided; on all claims for some types, on a sample of	0
claims for others	. 0
claims for others	. 0
This edit is performed for all types of services provided; manually for some types, automatically for others; on all	
claims for some types, on a sample of claims for others This edit is performed manually for some types of services provided; on all claims for some types, on a sample of	. 0
claims for others This edit is performed automatically for some types of services provided; on all claims for some types, on a sample of claims	:
for others	0
claims for some types, on a sample of claims for others	

Medical Service Consistent With Place of Service

Nu	mber	of	States
This edit is performed for all types of services provided	26		
This edit is performed for some types of services	16		
provided This is not performed for any type of service	16 9		
This is not performed for any type of service			
This edit is performed manually for all types of services			
provided	11		
This edit is performed automatically for all types of	11		
services provided	4.4		
manually for some types, automatically for others	4		
This edit is performed manually for some types of services			
provided	9		
This edit is performed automatically for some types of	_		
services provided	5		
This edit is performed for some types of services provided;	2		
manually for some types, automatically for others	L		
This edit is performed manually on all claims for all			
types of services provided	10		
This edit is performed automatically on all claims for			
all types of services provided	11	•	
This edit is performed on all claims for all types of			
services provided; manually for some types, automatically	3		
for others	-		
all types of services provided	1		
This edit is performed automatically on a sample of claims			
for all types of services provided	0		
This edit is performed on a sample of claims for all types			
of services provided; manually for some types, automa-	-1		
tically for others	1		
This edit is performed manually on all claims for some types of services provided	7		
This edit is performed automatically on all claims for			
some types of services provided	4		
This edit is performed on all claims for some types of services			
provided; manually for some types, automatically for others	0		
This edit is performed manually on a sample of claims for some	1		
types of services provided	4004		
some types of services provided	1		
This edit is performed on a sample of claims for types of services	3		
provided; manually for some types, automatically for others	0		

Medical Service Consistent With Place of Service

	Number of States
This edit is performed manually for all types of services provided; on all claims for some types, on a sample of	
claims for others	
claims for others	
claims for some types, on a sample of claims for others This edit is performed manually for some types of services provided; on all claims for some types, on a sample of	-
claims for others	
for others	. 0
claims for some types, on a sample of claims for others	. 1

Diagnosis Consistent With Recipient's Age

Diagnosis Consistent with Recipient 5 Age		
	Number of	States
This edit is performed for all types of services provided		
This edit is performed for some types of services		
provided	. 10	-
This is not performed for any type of service		
inis is not performed for any type of service	. 19	
This edit is performed manually for all types of services		
provided	. 13	
This edit is performed automatically for all types of		
services provided	. 7	
This edit is performed for all types of services provided; .		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
manually for some types, automatically for others	2	
This edit is performed manually for some types of services		
provided	. 6	
	,	
This edit is performed automatically for some types of		
services provided	. 2	
This edit is performed for some types of services provided;		
manually for some types, automatically for others	2	
manually for some types, automatically for outers,	. 0	
This edit is performed manually on all claims for all		
types of services provided	10	
This edit is performed automatically on all claims for		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	7	
all types of services provided		
This edit is performed on all claims for all types of		
services provided; manually for some types, automatically		
for others	. 2	
This edit is performed manually on a sample of claims for	, 0	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	4	
all types of services provided	. 1	
This edit is performed automatically on a sample of claims		-
for all types of services provided	. 0	
This edit is performed on a sample of claims for all types		
of services provided; manually for some types, automa-		
tically for others	. 0	
This edit is performed manually on all claims for some		
types of services provided	5	
	во	
This edit is performed automatically on all claims for	2	
some types of services provided	10 O	
This edit is performed on all claims for some types of services		
provided; manually for some types, automatically for others	1	
This edit is performed manually on a sample of claims for some		
	1	
types of services provided	6 0	
This edit is performed automatically on a sample of claims for		
some types of services provided	0	
This edit is performed on a sample of claims for types of servi-		
provided; manually for some types, automatically for others		
provided, manually for some types, automatically for others	6 C alla	

Diagnosis Consistent With Recipient's Age		_	
	Number	<u>of</u>	States
This edit is performed manually for all types of services provided; on all claims for some types, on a sample of			
claims for others	0	2	
claims for others	ь.	0	
claims for some types, on a sample of claims for others This edit is performed manually for some types of services provided; on all claims for some types, on a sample of	•	0	
claims for others		0	
for others	· .	0	
claims for some types, on a sample of claims for others	٠.	0	

Diagnosis Consistent With Recipient's Sex

	Number	of	States
This edit is performed for all types of services provided	.: 22		
provided	. 11		
This is not performed for any type of service	-		
This edit is performed manually for all types of services	. 14		
provided			
services provided	. 6		
manually for some types, automatically for others	2		
provided	. 8		
services provided	. 2		
This edit is performed for some types of services provided; manually for some types, automatically for others	1		
This edit is performed manually on all claims for all	7.0		
types of services provided		_	
all types of services provided	. 6	ė	
services provided; manually for some types, automatically for others	2		
This edit is performed manually on a sample of claims for			
all types of services provided This edit is performed automatically on a sample of claims			
for all types of services provided	. 0		
tically for others	0	•	
types of services provided	8		
some types of services provided			
provided; manually for some types, automatically for others			
This edit is performed manually on a sample of claims for some types of services provided			
This edit is performed automatically on a sample of claims for some types of services provided	1		
This edit is performed on a sample of claims for types of servi provided; manually for some types, automatically for others			

Diagnosis Consistent With Recipient's Sex		
*	Number of States	
This edit is performed manually for all types of services provided; on all claims for some types, on a sample of claims for others		
provided; on all claims for some types, on a sample of claims for others	n	
This edit is performed for all types of services provided; manually for some types, automatically for others; on all claims for some types, on a sample of claims for others	0 -	
This edit is performed manually for some types of services provided; on all claims for some types, on a sample of claims for others	0	
This edit is performed automatically for some types of service provided; on all claims for some types, on a sample of claim	.	
for others		
claims for some types, on a sample of claims for others		

Provider Type Consistent With Medical Service Provided

Nu	mber of	State:
This edit is performed for all types of services provided This edit is performed for some types of services	37	-
provided	- 9	
This is not performed for any type of service	5	
This edit is performed manually for all types of services		
provided	19	
This edit is performed automatically for all types of		
services provided	18	
This edit is performed for all types of services provided;		
	5	
manually for some types, automatically for others	4.67	
This edit is performed manually for some types of services	5	
provided)	
This edit is performed automatically for some types of	ø	
services provided	4	
This edit is performed for some types of services provided;	-	
manually for some types, automatically for others	1	
This edit is performed manually on all claims for all		
types of services provided	13	
This edit is performed automatically on all claims for		
all types of services provided	18	
This edit is performed on all claims for all types of		
services provided; manually for some types, automatically		
for others	5	
	aud ^{gr}	
This edit is performed manually on a sample of claims for	1	
all types of services provided	-1-	
This edit is performed automatically on a sample of claims	^	
for all types of services provided	0	
This edit is performed on a sample of claims for all types		
of services provided; manually for some types, automa-	_	
tically for others	0	
This edit is performed manually on all claims for some	•	
types of services provided	3	
This edit is performed automatically on all claims for		
some types of services provided	3	
This edit is performed on all claims for some types of services		
provided; manually for some types, automatically for others	1	
This edit is performed manually on a sample of claims for some		
types of services provided	0	
This edit is performed automatically on a sample of claims for	Natr.	
some types of services provided	1	
This edit is performed on a sample of claims for types of service		
nrovided, manually for some types of craims for types of service	s 0	

Provider Type Consistent With Medical Service Provided	
	er of States
This edit is performed manually for all types of services	
provided; on all claims for some types, on a sample of	
claims for others	0
This edit is performed automatically for all types of services	
provided; on all claims for some types, on a sample of	
claims for others	0
This edit is performed for all types of services provided;	
manually for some types, automatically for others; on all	
claims for some types, on a sample of claims for others	0
This edit is performed manually for some types of services	
provided; on all claims for some types, on a sample of	
claims for others	1
This edit is performed automatically for some types of services	
provided; on all claims for some types, on a sample of claims	_
for others	. 0
This edit is performed for some types of services provided;	
manually for some types, automatically for others; on all	
claims for some types, on a sample of claims for others	0

QUESTION 9

Listed on the following pages are types of information which may
be produced by a State's Medicaid claims processing system. The
number of States generating each type of information is summarized
according to:

- -- the frequency with which the information is produced;
- --whether the information is produced routinely or by special request; and
- --whether the information is produced manually or automatically.

QUESTION 9

Total Utilization by Type of Service

Information produced on special request	36 9
Information is not produced	7
Information produced each week - manually automatically	3
Number of States producing this information each week	3
Information produced every 2 weeks - manually automatically	0
Number of States producing this information every 2 weeks	1
Information produced each month - manually automatically	1 25
	26
Information produced each quarter - manually automatically	0 5
Number of States producing this information each quarter	5
Information produced every 6 months - manually automatically	0
Number of States producing this information every 6 months	0
Information produced each year - manually automatically	1
Number of States producing this information each year	1
Information produced on special request - manually automatically	18
Number of States producing this information on special request	9

Total Utilization by Type of Service and Cash Assistance Category of Recipient

Information produced routinely	32 10 10
Information produced each week - manually automatically	0
Number of States producing this information each week	1
Information produced every 2 weeks - manually automatically	0
Number of States producing this information every 2 weeks	1
Information produced each month - manually	1 22
Number of States producing this information each conth	23
Information produced each quarter - manually automatically	0 5
Number of States producing this information each quarter	5
Information produced every 6 months - manually automatically	0
Number of States producing this information every 6 months	0
Information produced each year - manually	0
Number of States producing this information each year	2
Information produced on special request - manually automatically	2 8 10
Number of States producing this information on special request	

Total Utilization by Type of Service and Age Group of Recipient

Information produced routinely	26 11 -15
Information produced each week - manually automatically	0 1 1
Number of States producing this information each week	1.
Information produced every 2 weeks - manually automatically	0 0
Number of States producing this information every 2 weeks	0
Information produced each month - manually	0 11
Number of States producing this information each month	11
Information produced each quarter - manually	0 4
Number of States producing this information each quarter	4
Information produced every 6 months - manually	0
Number of States producing this information every 6 months	1
Information produced each year - manually automatically	0 9
Number of States producing this information each year	.9
Information produced on special request - manually automatically	9
Number of States producing this information on special request	11

Total Utilization by Type of Service and Sex of Recipient

Information produced routinely	21
Information produced on special request	15 16
Information produced each week - manually automatically	0
Number of States producing this information each week	0
Information produced every 2 weeks - manually automatically	0
Number of States producing this information every 2 weeks	0
Information produced each month - manually automatically	0 10
Number of States producing this information each month	10
Information produced each quarter - manually automatically	0
Number of States producing this information each quarter	2
Information produced every 6 months - manually automatically	0 1
Number of States producing this information every 6 months	1
Information produced each year - manually automatically	0 8
Number of States producing this information each year	8
Information produced on special request - manually automatically	3 12
Number of States producing this information on special request	15

Utilization Profile for Specific Recipient

Information produced routinely	15
Information produced on special request	29
Information is not produced	- 8
Information produced each week - manually	1 2
- automatically	
Number of States producing this information each week	3
Information produced every 2 weeks - manually	0
- automatically	1
Number of States producing this information every	
2 weeks	1
Information produced each month - manually	0
- automatically · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	7
Number of States producing this information each month	7
Information produced each quarter - manually	0
- automatically	3
Number of States producing this information each quarter	3
Information produced every 6 months - manually	0
- automatically	1
Number of States producing this information every 6 months	1
Information produced each year - manually	0
- automatically	0
Number of States producing this information each year	0
Information produced on special request - manually	4
- automatically	25
Number of States producing this information on special request	29

Services Provided by Specific Provider

Information produced routinely	23 24 . 5
Information produced each week - manually	1 2
Number of States producing this information each week	3
Information produced every 2 weeks - manually	0 1
Number of States producing this information every 2 weeks	1
Information produced each month - manually	1 10
Number of States producing this information each month	11
Information produced each quarter - manually	0 8
Number of States producing this information each quarter	8
Information produced every 6 months - manually automatically	0 0 0
Number of States producing this information every 6 months	
Information produced each year - manually automatically	0
Number of States producing this information each year	0
Information produced on special request - manually automatically	2 22
Number of States producing this information on special request	24

Compute Norms or Exception Criteria for Types of Services by Providers

Information produced routinely	25 12
Information is not produced	15
Information produced each week - manually automatically	0
Number of States producing this information each week	0
Information produced every 2 weeks - manually automatically	0
Number of States producing this information every 2 weeks	0
Information produced each month - manually automatically	2 6
Number of States producing this information each month	8
Information produced each quarter - manually automatically	0 11
Number of States producing this information each quarter	11
Information produced every 6 months - manually	0 3
Number of States producing this information every 6 months	3
Information produced each year - manually automatically	2 1
Number of States producing this information each year	3
Information produced on special request - manually automatically	3 9
Number of States producing this information on special request	12

Norms or Exception Criteria for Types of Services for Recipients Information produced routinely..... 17 Information produced on special request..... 15 Information is not produced...... 20 Information produced each week - manually - automatically 1 Number of States producing this information each week 0 Information produced every 2 weeks - manually - automatically Number of States producing this information every 0 Information produced each month - manually 6 - automatically Number of States producing this information each month..... 0 Information produced each quarter - manually....... - automatically Number of States producing this information each quarter..... Information produced every 6 months - manually......... - automatically 3 Number of States producing this information every 6 months..... Information produced each year - manually...... 1 automatically...... 2 Number of States producing this information each year..... 8 Information produced on special request - manually 7 - automatically Number of States producing this information on special request..

QUESTION 10

Listed on the following pages are a number of edits which a State may perform in its claims processing system. For each of these edits we summarized the number of States which:

- --routinely identifies the particular edit for review;
- --identifies the edit before payment only;
- --identifies the edit after payment only;
- --identifies the edit both before and after payment;
- -- require prior authorization;
- --do not require prior authorization;
- --review claims with the aid of a specific norm or exception criteria; and
- --review claims without the aid of a specific norm or exception criteria.

Examples of norms and exception criteria is also included for each of the edits listed.

QUESTION 10

Visits by a Recipient to Many Different Practitioners of the Same Provider Type.

Number of States where this is identified for review	40
provided	0
review but where all services of this type require prior authorization	0
Number of States where this is not identified for review and does not require prior authorization	12
Total number of States responding to questionnaire	52
Number of States where this is identified for review	
before payment Number of States where this is identified for review	9
after payment	26
Number of States where this is identified for review both before and after payment	5
Total number of States identifying this for review	40
Number of States where a sample of claims are manually reviewed without the aid of specific	
norm or exception criteria	0
without the aid of specific norm or exception criteria • • • • • •	9
Number of States which said this was identified for review but could not provide specific norm or exception criteria • • • • • •	3
Number of States where claims for certain recipients are reviewed manually, without the aid of specific norm or exception criteria	1
Total number of States where claims are reviewed without the aid of specific norm or exception criteria	13

Examples of norm or exception criteria

More than 1 visit per day

More than 10 visits per month

More than 1 visit per month

More than 2 visits per 6 months

More than 1 physician for the same type of service

More than 4 different physicians or pharmacies in 6 months

More than 7 different physicians in 1 month

More than the mean plus 1 standard deviation

More than the mean plus 2 standard deviations

Excessive Visits to the Same Provider by the Same Recipient

Number of States where this is identified for review	38
Number of States where this type of service is not	
provided	0
Number of States where this is not identified for	
review but where all services of this type require	_
prior authorization	0
Number of States where this is not identified for	
review and does not require prior authorization	14
Total number of States responding to questionnaire	52
·	
Number of States where this is identified for review	11
before payment	11
Number of States where this is identified for review	
after payment	20
Number of States where this is identified for review	. 7
both before and after payment	
Total number of States identifying this for review	38
Number of States where a sample of claims are	-
manually reviewed without the aid of specific	- "1
norm or exception criteria	1
Number of States where claims are reviewed manually	5
without the aid of specific norm or exception criteria • • • • • • •	ر
Number of States which said this was identified for review	2
but could not provide specific norm or exception criteria · · · · · ·	لمة
Total number of States where claims are reviewed without	•
the aid of specific norm or exception criteria	8

Examples of norm or exception criteria :

More than 10 visits per month
More than 50 visits per 6 months
More than 4 visits per month
More than 1 visit per month for chronic conditions,
2 visits per month for acute conditions
More than 24 chiropractor visits per year
More than 1 comprehensive examination per 6 months
More than the mean plus 1 standard deviation
More than the mean plus 2 standard deviations

Excessive Number of Prescriptions Written by Practitioner

Number of States where this is identified for review	27
provided	1
Number of States where this is not identified for	
review but where all services of this type require	
prior authorization	C
Number of States where this is not identified for	
review and does not require prior authorization	24
Total number of States responding to questionnaire	
Number of States where this is identified for review	,
before payment	4
Number of States where this is identified for review	19
after payment	7.2
Number of States where this is identified for review	Z _l
both before and after payment	0.7
Total number of States identifying this for review	
Number of States where a sample of claims are	
nanually reviewed without the aid of specific	•
norm or exception criteria	1
Number of States where claims are reviewed manually	
without the aid of specific norm or exception criteria · · · · · ·	, 8
Number of States which said this was identified for review	
but could not provide specific norm or exception criteria · · · · ·	. 3
Total number of States where claims are reviewed without	٠
the aid of specific norm or exception criteria	12

Examples of norm or exception criteria :

More than 5 prescriptions per person per day

More than 4 prescriptions in the same theraputic class per person
per month

More than 8 prescriptions per patient per month on the average

More than 6,510 total prescriptions per month

More than the mean plus 2 standard deviations

Excessive Number of Prescriptions Received by a Recipient

Number of States where this is identified for review	35
provided	1
review but where all services of this type require prior authorization	0
Number of States where this is not identified for	
review and does not require prior authorization	16
Total number of States responding to questionnaire	52
Number of States where this is identified for review	
before payment	7
Number of States where this is identified for review after payment	23
Number of States where this is identified for review	5
both before and after payment	
Total number of States identifying this for review	35
Number of States where a sample of claims are	
manually reviewed without the aid of specific norm or exception criteria	1
Number of States where claims are reviewed manually	
without the aid of specific norm or exception criteria • • • • • •	8
Number of States which said this was identified for review but could not provide specific norm or exception criteria • • • • • •	2
Total number of States where claims are reviewed without	
the aid of specific norm or exception criteria	11

Examples of norm or exception criteria

More than 200 prescriptions per year for nursing home patients

More than 19 prescriptions per month

More than 7 prescriptions per month

More than 3 prescriptions per month

More than 3 prescriptions in the same theraputic class

Prescriptions costing more than \$9

More than \$20 in drugs in a month

More than \$50 in drugs in a month

More than 5 refills

More than the mean plus 1 standard deviation

More than the mean plus 2 standard deviations

Excessive Use of Ambulance Services

Number of States where this is identified for review	18
Number of States where this type of service is not provided	2
Number of States where this is not identified for review but where all services of this type require prior authorization	0
Number of States where this is not identified for	
review and does not require prior authorization	32
Total number of States responding to questionnaire	32
Number of States where this is identified for review before payment	7
Number of States where this is identified for review	
after payment	5
Number of States where this is identified for review	
both before and after payment	0
Total number of States identifying this for review	18
Number of States where a sample of claims are	
manually reviewed without the aid of specific	
norm or exception criteria	0
Number of States where claims are reviewed manually	
without the aid of specific norm or exception criteria · · · · · ·	. 8
Number of States which said this was identified for review	
but could not provide specific norm or exception criteria • • • • • • • Total number of States where claims are reviewed	. 2
without the aid of specific norm or exception criteria	10

Examples of norm or exception criteria;

More than 1 trip per person or family per day More than 4 trips per person per month More than the mean plus 2 standard deviations $\frac{1}{2}$

Excessive Use of Other Transportation

	ber of States where this is identified for review	•	•	a a		۰	Φ.	13
F Nuc	ber of States where this type of service is not provided		٠.				. 60	15
Į	prior authorization	ø	e.		\$ \$\psi\$	۰	0-	7
3	mber of States where this is not identified for review and does not require prior authorization tal number of States responding to questionnaire	ø	¢.	to .		•	- •	17 52
1	mber of States where this is identified for review before payment	۵	0	•	• 6		Ф	
Nur	aber of States where this is identified for review						-	4
	mber of States where this is identified for review both before and after payment	•	•	•	6 (8	Z
To	tal number of States identifying this for review	Þ	Φ	6	•	» ¤	•	13
	mber of States where a sample of claims are manually reviewed without the aid of specific				- -			
1	norm or exception criteria	•	۰	0	Ф	р Ф	•	
1	without the aid of specific norm or exception criteria mber of States which said this was identified for revi	0 0 F P	۰	۰	•	o a	6	(
•	but could not provide specific norm or exception crite	ria		œ.	۰	6 0	on the]
	l number of States where claims are reviewed without each aid of specific norm or exception criteria	, 0	9	ø		7 (1	o.	7

Examples of norm or exception criteria:

More than 8 taxi trips per month More than 8 private automobile trips per month More than the mean plus 2 standard deviations

Excessive Medical Visits Per Family

Number of States where this is identified for review	13
Number of States where this type of service is not provided	0
Number of States where this is not identified for review but where all services of this type require	
prior authorization	0
Number of States where this is not identified for review and does not require prior authorization	39
Total number of States responding to questionnaire	
Number of States where this is identified for review before payment Number of States where this is identified for review	. 1
after payment	. 6
Number of States where this is identified for review both before and after payment	. 6
Total number of States identifying this for review	
Number of States where a sample of claims are ranually reviewed without the aid of specific	
norm or exception criteria	. 1
Number of States where claims are reviewed manually without the aid of specific norm or exception criteria • • • • • •	. 4
Number of States which said this was identified for review but could not provide specific norm or exception criteria • • • • •	. 1
Total number of States where claims are reviewed without	
the aid of specific norm or exception criteria	6

Examples of norm or exception criteria :

More than 3 family members on same day More than 2 visits by same family in a month More than the mean plus 2 standard deviations

Excessive Prescriptions per Family

Number of States where this is identified for review 14
Number of States where this type of service is not provided
Number of States where this is not identified for review but where all services of this type require
prior authorization
Number of States where this is not identified for review and does not require prior authorization
Total number of States responding to questionnaire
Number of States where this is identified for review before payment
Number of States where this is identified for review after payment
Number of States where this is identified for review both before and after payment
Total number of States identifying this for review
Number of States where a sample of claims are
manually reviewed without the aid of specific norm or exception criteria
Number of States where claims are reviewed manually
without the aid of specific norm or exception criteria
Number of States which said this was identified for review
but could not provide specific norm or exception criteria · · · · · · · Total number of States where claims are reviewed without the aid
of specific norm or exception criteria

Examples of norm or exception criteria ;

More than 19 prescriptions per family per month More than the mean plus 2 standard deviations

Excessive Optometric Services

Number of States where this is identified for review Number of States where this type of service is not	0-	•	•	q	•					•	26
NEWDEL OF STATES MHELE FHISTFIRE OF SETAICE IS HOL			•			•	•	٠.	ш.	•	20
provided	0		0	٥	9		•	•	Φ.	Φ.	3
Number of States where this is not identified for review but where all services of this type require				•	-				-	٠	
prior authorization		•	۰	٥	Ф		0	9	•	•	2
Number of States where this is not identified for review and does not require prior authorization .										_	21
Total number of States responding to questionnaire.											
-								•			
Number of States where this is identified for review before payment	a		o	Ф	0	,	ø.	•		٥	9
Number of States where this is identified for review after payment											. 12
Number of States where this is identified for review both before and after payment											. 5
Total number of States identifying this for review .											26
Number of States where a sample of claims are											
nanually reviewed without the aid of specific norm or exception criteria	9	•	۰	•		.	ø	•	Ф	•	1
Number of States where claims are reviewed manually											6
without the aid of specific norm or exception crite Number of States which said this was identified for r					•	0	0	۵	ь	•	
but could not provide specific norm or exception or		tei	ria	3 .		• .	0	۰	•	۰	. 2
Total number of States where claims are reviewed withou the aid of specific norm or exception criteria		• •		۰ .	o		۰	•			9

Examples of norm or exception criteria:

More than 1 pair of glasses per year per person
More than 1 refraction per year per person
Optometrists with more than \$1,000 per year in Medicaid earnings
Retailers with more than \$2,000 per year in Medicaid earnings
More than 1 refraction per 2 years
More than the mean plus 2 standard deviations

Excessive Podiatry Services

Number of States where this is identified for review
Number of States where this type of service is not provided
Number of States where this is not identified for review but where all services of this type require
prior authorization
Number of States where this is not identified for review and does not require prior authorization
Total number of States responding to questionnaire
Number of States where this is identified for review before payment
Number of States where this is identified for review after payment
Number of States where this is identified for review both before and after payment
Total number of States identifying this for review
Number of States where a sample of claims are manually reviewed without the aid of specific
norm or exception criteria
without the aid of specific rorn or exception criteria
but could not provide specific norm or exception criteria · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
the aid of specific norm or exception criteria

Examples of norm or exception criteria:

More than 5 visits in a month or 20 visits in a year

More than 24 visits in a year

More than \$100 in a month for a recipient or \$1000 in a month

for a podiatrist

More than 15 flexible CaSts per year

More than the mean plus 2 standard deviations

Excessive Physician Visits to Patients in Medical Institutions

Number of States where this is identified for review	6	9	٠.	6		13
Number of States where this type of service is not provided	Ф	e	٠	s .	•	0
Number of States where this is not identified for review but where all services of this type require	-	•				
prior authorization	0		•		•	0
Number of States where this is not identified for review and does not require prior authorization					_ 1	.9
Total number of States responding to questionnaire					e- ==	2
		-	•			
Number of States where this is identified for review					1	በ
before payment	• •		Φ	•		.0
after payment		p 65	o	Ф.	. 1	.0
Number of States where this is identified for review						
both before and after payment				0	D ~	3
Number of States where a sample of claims are manually reviewed without the aid of specific norm or exception criteria	•	- op do		Φ	ь.	1
Number of States where claims are reviewed manually without the aid of specific norm or exception criteria • •	9	e 4	. 6	6	Ф	6
Number of States which said this was identified for review but could not provide specific norm or exception criteria						
lotal number of States where claims are reviewed without						
the aid of specific norm or exception criteria	•	e •		a	e	9
Examples of norm or exception criteria:						
More than 1 visit per day in hospital						
More than 5 visits per month in nursing home						
More than 1 visit per month in nursing home More than 1 visit per month in intermediate care facility						
More than 7 visits per month in intermediate care facility						
More than the mean plus 1 standard deviation						
More than the mean plus 2 standard deviations						

Insufficient Physican Visits to Patients in Medical Institutions

Number of States where this is identified for review	3
Number of States where this type of service is not	_
provided	Ĺ
Number of States where this is not identified for	
review but where all services of this type require	
prior authorization	C
Number of States where this is not identified for	
review and does not require prior authorization 3	9
Total number of States responding to questionnaire	2
rocar mander or praces responding to decorporating a second second	_
Number of States where this is identified for review	
	2
before payment	-
Number of States where this is identified for review	ç
after payment	_
Number of States where this is identified for review	-
both before and after payment	-
Total number of States identifying this for review	-
Number of States where a sample of claims are	
ranually reviewed without the aid of specific	_
norm or exception criteria	Ĺ
Number of States where claims are reviewed manually	
without the aid of specific norm or exception criteria	4
Number of States which said this was identified for review	
but could not provide specific norm or exception criteria · · · · ·	1
Total number of States where claims are reviewed without	
the aid of specific norm or exception criteria	5
care or opposite strate or especial expectations of the contract of the c	~

Examples of norm or exception criteria:

Less than 1 visit per 30 days in nursing homes Less than 1 visit per 60 days in intermediate care facilities Less than the mean minus 2 standard deviations

Excessive House Visits by a Physician

Number of States where this is identified for review Number of States where this type of service is not	•	•	٠	•	• .	6	•	•	. 28
provided	•	œ.	۰	•		•	•	•	. 0
review but where all services of this type require prior authorization	٠	9		•		0	•	•	. 0
Number of States where this is not identified for review and does not require prior authorization .		•		Ф	0	•	φ.	Ф	. 24
Total number of States responding to questionnaire .	•	•	9	•	4P -	•		•	0
Number of States where this is identified for review before payment	es	b	•	•	43	Ф	Ф	6	. 7
Number of States where this is identified for review after payment									
Number of States where this is identified for review both before and after payment	•	ø	۰	۰	9	0	•	¢	. 9 28
Number of States where a sample of claims are	•	Ф	ta	9	•		•	0	₀ = 0
manually reviewed without the aid of specific norm or exception criteria				_				_	. 0
Number of States where claims are reviewed manually without the aid of specific norm or exception crite									. 5
Number of States which said this was identified for r but could not provide specific norm or exception or	ev it	ie er	T.T						
Total number of States where claims are reviewed without the aid of specific norm or exception criteria	uc						-		

Examples of norm or exception criteria:

More than 4 house visits per month
More than 1 house visit per month
More than the mean plus 1 standard deviation
More than the mean plus 2 standard deviations
More than 8 house visits per 100 claims

Excessive Number of Emergency Visits to a Dentist

Number of States where this is identified for review	٥	er er	٠	•		•	20
Number of States where this type of service is not provided	a	•	0	•	ъ.	•	0
Number of States where this is not identified for review but where all services of this type require prior authorization		•					
Number of States where this is not identified for review and does not require prior authorization	•	•	Ф	D	Ф	۰	25
Total number of States responding to questionnaire	•	•	s -	9 e	103	•	J 64
Number of States where this is identified for review before payment	•	ø		•			8
before payment Number of States where this is identified for review after payment	6	•		•	•	49	. 9
Number of States where this is identified for review both before and after payment		•	0	۰		0	3
Total number of States identifying this for review	a	6	•	6	ø	•	20
Number of States where a sample of claims are ranually reviewed without the aid of specific					٠.	-	. 4
norm or exception criteria							
without the aid of specific norm or exception criteria . Number of States which said this was identified for review							
but could not provide specific norm or exception criteria Total number of States where claims are reviewed without		•	•	۰	٥	0	2
the aid of specific norm or exception criteria	ø	0	•	•	۰.	•	10

Examples of norm or exception criteria:

More than 1 emergency visit per person per 6 months
More than 2 emergency visits per person per year
More than the mean plus 2 standard deviations

Physicians Performing Many Surgeries

More than 1 per person per month

More than the mean plus 2 standard deviations

Number of States where this is identified for review	32
provided	0
Number of States where this is not identified for review but where all services of this type require	
prior authorization	U
review and does not require prior authorization	20
Total number of States responding to questionnaire	52
Number of States where this is identified for review	_
before payment	. 7
after payment	21
Number of States where this is identified for review	- 21
both before and after payment	. 4
Total number of States identifying this for review	, 32
Number of States where a sample of claims are manually reviewed without the aid of specific	
norm or exception criteria	• 1
Number of States where claims are reviewed manually without the aid of specific norm or exception criteria	. 10
Number of States which said this was identified for review	, TO
but could not provide specific norm or exception criteria · · · · ·	• 3
Total number of States where claims are reviewed without	
the aid of specific norm or exception criteria	. 14
Examples of norm or exception criteria:	
More than 2 surgeries in a day More than 40 surgeries per year for general practitioners More than 1 per person per year	
More than 1 per person per 3 months	

Excessive Number of Institutional Admittances by a Practitioner

Number of States where this is identified for review	•	a	•	•	•	c			24
Number of States where this type of service is not							-		_
provided	•	•	•		Φ	Ф.	6 6	. 40	U
Number of States where this is not identified for review but where all services of this type require				•	-				
prior authorization	•		•	•	a.	•	Φ .	9	0
Number of States where this is not identified for									
review and does not require prior authorization .	e	ø	Φ_	•	Ф	Ф	0		28
Total number of States responding to questionnaire .	Φ	٥	۰	•	•	0			52
		,							
						-			
Number of States where this is identified for review								٠	,
before payment	•	0	Ф	0	Φ	•	•		4
Number of States where this is identified for review									-g 'y
after payment		۰.	D	•	•	10-	•	• •	1/
Number of States where this is identified for review									3
both before and after payment	9	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	. j
Total number of States identifying this for review .		•	ø	0	•	•	•	•	.24
Number of States where a sample of claims are									
ranually reviewed without the aid of specific		:							٠.
norm or exception criteria	٥	•	ø	٠	9	•	•	•	٠U
Number of States where claims are reviewed manually	٠.								_
without the aid of specific norm or exception crite				٥	•	•	•	•	° ŏ
Number of States which said this was identified for a									-9
but could not provide specific norm or exception co			ia	. •	9	•	0	•	• 1
Total number of States where claims are reviewed witho									
the aid of specific norm or exception criteria	9	8	•	•	o ⊦ o	•	۰	•	. 9

Examples of norm or exception criteria:

More than 25 percent of patients
More than 1 per person per year
More than the mean plus 1 standard deviation
More than the mean plus 2 standard deviations
More than 7 admittances per 100 patients

Excessive number of injections fer kecipient
Number of States where this is identified for review
provided
prior authorization
Number of States where this is not identified for review and does not require prior authorization
Total number of States responding to questionnaire
Number of States where this is identified for review
before payment Number of States where this is identified for review
Number of States where this is identified for review
both before and after payment
Number of States where a sample of claims are manually reviewed without the aid of specific
Number of States where claims are reviewed manually
without the aid of specific norm or exception criteria
but could not provide specific norm or exception criteria • • • • • • 2 Total number of States where claims are reviewed without
the aid of specific norm or exception criteria
Examples of norm or exception criteria:
More than 1 injection per person per visit
More than 8 injections per person per month More than 60 injections per person per year
More than 1 injection per person per 2 visits
More than 1 injection per person per month More than the mean plus 1 standard deviation
More than the mean plus 2 standard deviations

Excessive Referrals to Other Practitioners

Number of States where this is identified for review		•		•	•	•	•	٠	•]	4
Number of States where this type of service is not							•			
provided	•	•	•	۰	6-	o	•	o.	•	0
Number of States where this is not identified for				•				٠.	-	
review but where all services of this type require				٠.						
prior authorization		•	۰	۰		•	•	Φ.	•	0
Nu⊇ber of States where this is not identified for					-					
review and does not require prior authorization .	•	σ.	•	۰	•	4	•		. 3	8
Total number of States responding to questionnaire .										
1 10 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11							-		-	-
•						•	•			
Number of States where this is identified for review						-				
before payment		9	۰	0	۰			9		L
Number of States where this is identified for review										-7
after payment	٠		•	٠		•	۰	•	•	7
Number of States where this is identified for review		٠.								
both before and after payment		•	۰	٠.		a		٠	an an	3
Total number of States identifying this for review .										
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•	•	·	Ū	•		_	•	ر "	
Number of States where a sample of claims are										
ranually reviewed without the aid of specific	-		٠					٠		•
norm or exception criteria	_			_			_	_		Λ
Number of States where claims are reviewed manually		ų.	5		•	4	•		an.	U
without the aid of specific norm or exception crite			_	_					_	5
without the aid of specific hold of exception circle Number of States which said this was identified for r							6	•	. •	-,7
but could not provide specific norm or exception or										1
Total number of Ctates where aleins are marriaged and	سا مان	.er	ıa		•	•	•	•		-8-
Total number of States where claims are reviewed without the sid of execution and a second of execution are second of execution and a second of exec										~
the aid of specific norm or exception criteria	8	0	۰	6	•	9	0	0 (0	O

Examples of norm or exception criteria:

More than 10 referrals per quarter
More than 3 referrals per patient
More than 1 referral per patient
More than the mean plus 2 standard deviations

Frequent Replacement of Eyeglasses

Number of States where this is identified for review $\dots \dots \dots$ 3	2
Number of States where this type of service is not	4
	1
Number of States where this is not identified for	
review but where all services of this type require	
prior authorization	7
Number of States where this is not identified for	
review and does not require prior authorization	2
Total number of States responding to questionnaire	
rocar manufact of practical replantations of a continuation is a continuation of the c	-
Number of States where this is identified for review	
	.2
before payment	
after payment	
Number of States where this is identified for review	
	_
	9
Total number of States identifying this for review	12
Number of States where a sample of claims are	
manually reviewed without the aid of specific	
norm or exception criteria	1
Number of States where claims are reviewed manually	
without the aid of specific norm or exception criteria • • • • • •	б
Number of States which said this was identified for review	
	2
but could not provide specific norm or exception criteria · · · · ·	4-0
Number of States where claims are only reviewed if a	1
special request is made	T
Total number of States where claims are reviewed without the	
aid of specific norm or exception criteria	U
Examples of norm or exception criteria:	
More than 1 pair of glasses per 6 months	
More than 1 pair of glasses per year	
More than 1 pair of glasses per 2 years	
More than 1 pair of glasses per year for children, more	
than 1 pair of glasses per 2 years for adults	
More than the mean plus 2 standard deviations	

Frequent Replacement of Dentures

Number of States where this is identified for review	20
Number of States where this type of service is not provided	0
Number of States where this is not identified for review but where all services of this type require prior authorization	
Number of States where this is not identified for review and does not require prior authorization	12
Total number of States responding to questionnaire	<i></i>
Number of States where this is identified for review	10
before payment Number of States where this is identified for review	
after payment	
both before and after payment	4 20
	2 U
Number of States where a sample of claims are manually reviewed without the aid of specific	
norm or exception criteria	
without the aid of specific norm or exception criteria	3
but could not provide specific norm or exception criteria · · · · ·	1
Total number of States where claims are reviewed without the aid of specific norm or exception criteria	
Examples of norm or exception criteria:	
More than 1 set of dentures per year More than 1 set of dentures per 2 years More than 1 set of dentures per 5 years More than 1 set of dentures per 10 years More than 1 reline of dentures per 2 years More than the mean plus 2 standard deviations	

Frequent Replacement of Hearing Aids

Number of States where this is identified for review	•	•	٠	٥	•	0	.	. e	17
Number of States where this type of service is not							-		
provided	Ф	٠	•	•	•	٠	•		a
Number of States where this is not identified for				•				٠	
review but where all services of this type require									
prior authorization	D		•	•	•	ь.	•		. a
Number of States where this is not identified for					•				
review and does not require prior authorization .	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	6 6	, a
Total number of States responding to questionnaire .		0		•	•	•		a .	, 52
are the second and second are second as the second are second are second as the second are second as the second are second as the second are second are second as the second are second as the second are second as the secon							-		
•						•	•		
Number of States where this is identified for review						•			
before payment	_			•				9 1 0	. 7
Number of States where this is identified for review	-	•	•	-	•	•	-		•
after payment		٠.	•						. 3
Number of States where this is identified for review	•	٠.	Ī		_	•	•		•
both before and after payment				٠.					. 7
Total number of States identifying this for review .			•			_	_	_	17
rotar number of praces racheriting three for review .		•	Ф		•	•	•	w .	() min V
Number of States where a sample of claims are									
nanually reviewed without the aid of specific							•		-
							-		1
norm or exception criteria		0	0	•	ø	Ð	•	0	a <u>l</u>
Number of States where claims are reviewed manually									c
without the aid of specific norm or exception crite				•	•	•	Φ	Ф	ر. •
Number of States which said this was identified for r									a
but could not provide specific norm or exception or					Φ	۰	0	Ф	Ф Д
Total number of States where claims are reviewed without									
aid of specific norm or exception criteria	•	0	•	۰ ،		, 0		•	. 8

Examples of norm or exception criteria:

More than 1 hearing aid per year More than 1 hearing aid per 5 years More than the mean plus 2 standard deviations

Note a: We did not ask the States whether they provided this service or whether prior authorization is required to receive the service.

Prescribing of Narcotic or Dangerous Drugs

Examples of norm or exception criteria:

More than 10 per patient per month
More than 4 neurologicals per patient per month
More than 3 per patient per month
More than the mean plus 2 standard deviations

Excessive Number of Small Quantity Prescriptions

Tumber of States where this is identified for review	- 29
provided ,	1
Number of States where this is not identified for review but where all services of this type require	
prior authorization	0
review and does not require prior authorization	22
Cotal number of States responding to questionnaire	, , , 52
Number of States where this is identified for review before payment	. 9
after payment	
Number of States where this is identified for review both before and after payment	5
Total number of States identifying this for review	29
Number of States where a sample of claims are ranually reviewed without the aid of specific	
rorm or exception criteria	• • 1
without the aid of specific porm or exception criteria • • • • •	• • • 10
Number of Staces which said this was identified for review but could not provide specific norm or exception criteria • • • •	4
Fotal number of States where claims are reviewed without the aid of specific norm or exception criteria	

Examples of norm or exception criteria:

Less than a 30 day supply
Dispensed quantity less than prescribed quantity
Less than the mean minus 2 standard deviations

Excessive Number of X-rays for a Recipient

Number of States where this is identified for review	28
provided	0
review but where all services of this type require prior authorization	C
Number of States where this is not identified for review and does not require prior authorization	24
Total number of States responding to questionnaire	
Number of States where this is identified for review	
before payment	LO
after payment	<u>L</u> 4
Number of States where this is identified for review both before and after payment	4
Total number of States identifying this for review	
Number of States where a sample of claims are manually reviewed without the aid of specific	
norm or exception criteria	7
without the aid of specific norm or exception criteria • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	LC
but could not provide specific norm or exception criteria · · · · · · Total number of States where claims are reviewed without	L
the aid of specific norm or exception criteria	14

Examples of norm or exception criteria:

More than 10 X-rays per 30 days More than 1 X-ray of same body area per visit More than 5 X-rays per month

More than 1 X-ray per 2 visits

More than 4 X-rays per 6 visits

More than \$50 in X-rays in a month

More than \$100 in X-rays in a year

More than the mean plus 2 standard deviations

Excessive Number of X-rays by a Practitioner

Number of States where this is identified for review	•		•	D	•	•	0	0	30
Number of States where this type of service is not							•		
provided	Φ-	•	٠	•		•	0	•	• C
Number of States where this is not identified for				-	-				
review but where all services of this type require				٠					
prior authorization	•	۰		•	e -	0.	•	e.	. 1
Number of States where this is not identified for					•				
review and does not require prior authorization .	ø		•	•	•	٥	9	ø	- 21
Total number of States responding to questionnaire .									
					-				
•		٠				•	•		
Number of States where this is identified for review									
before payment	•	0	۰	•		•	۰	•	- 5
before payment									
after payment	•	•	۰	•		•		•	-21
Number of States where this is identified for review									
both before and after payment		٠	•	•	٠	۰			. 4
Total number of States identifying this for review .									
Number of States where a sample of claims are						-			
manually reviewed without the aid of specific									•
norm or exception criteria		a	•	٥		۰			. 1
Number of States where claims are reviewed manually									
without the aid of specific norm or exception crite	- 7° -7°	2	۰	۰	۰	•	•	۰	. 9
Number of States which said this was identified for a									-
but could not provide specific norm or exception or	. C V	~~ ~~	ะค -ริก		В	۰			. 2
Total number of States where claims are reviewed witho		د ت	La						-
aid of specific norm or exception criteria									-1 -0
are or shectite norm or excelition criteria" " " " "	•	0	0						12

Examples of norm or exception criteria:

More than 1 X-ray per patient per day
More than 1 X-ray per patient per 2 visits
More than the mean plus 1 standard deviation
More than the mean plus 2 standard deviations

Excessive Number of Laboratory Tests for a Recipient

Number of States where this is identified for review		6			29
Number of States where this type of service is not					
provided		•	•	s . e	0
Number of States where this is not identified for					
review but where all services of this type require					
prior authorization	o 0	•	•	, o	. 0
Number of States where this is not identified for		•			_
review and does not require prior authorization				e (. 23
Total number of States responding to questionnaire		_			. 52
forst number of praces teshourth to diescroumatre	9 10	٠			Eur
·.			-		
or and the Court of the Court o			•		
Number of States where this is identified for review				-	
before payment	9 0	•	Ф	0 1	• 9
Number of States where this is identified for review					
after payment	o •	Φ	•	•	-15
Number of States where this is identified for review					
both before and after payment		0	•	•	- 5
Total number of States identifying this for review		•	۰	ø	-29
Number of States where a sample of claims are					
nanually reviewed without the aid of specific					
norm or exception criteria			•	Ф	. 1
Number of States where claims are reviewed manually					-1-
without the aid of specific norm or exception criteria	•			0	۰ ۶
Mumber of States which said this was identified for review					٠
*				•	۰.
but could not provide specific norm or exception criteria	-		Ū	•	٠.
Total number of States where claims are reviewed without the					_
aid of specific norm or exception criteria	0 0		•	o	. 9

Examples of norm or exception criteria:

More than 3 tests per visit
More than 10 tests per month
More than 5 tests per month
More than 52 tests per year
More than 4 per 2 visits
More than \$50 of tests in a month
More than \$100 of tests in a year
More than the mean plus 2 standard deviations

Excessive Number of Laboratory Tests by a Practitioner

Number of States where this is identified for review	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	۵.	- 31
Number of States where this type of service is not									-
provided	•	•	ø	٠		•	•	φ.	. 0
Number of States where this is not identified for review but where all services of this type require			•	•	•				
prior authorization	•	•	٠	۰	•	•	•	D	• 0
Number of States where this is not identified for review and does not require prior authorization .	_	_					0	6	. 21
Total number of States responding to questionnaire .									
total number of States responding to descroundance .	•	•	•		٠.	٠.		•	- w/ 6w
		•				•	•		
Number of States where this is identified for review									
before payment	٠	•	0	•	•	•	•	0	• 5
Number of States where this is identified for review									•
after payment		•	٥	٥	Ð	•	Ф	•	-20
Number of States where this is identified for review									
both before and after payment	10	٠	٥	•	Φ	ø	•	Ф	- 6
Total number of States identifying this for review .	D	•	۰	•	•	۰	•	ø	-31
Number of States where a sample of claims are									
manually reviewed without the aid of specific									•
norm or exception criteria	_								. I
Number of States where claims are reviewed manually	•		•	-	•		-		
without the aid of specific norm or exception crite	7-1	a	•	•	9		۰	D.	-12
Number of States which said this was identified for a									
but could not provide specific norm or exception or				٠		0	۰	0	. 1
Total number of States where claims are reviewed witho	114			•			_		
the aid of specific norm or exception criteria	-					_			14
	• •	, ,	• •	, 6	• •	۰	•	0	-il- "Y

Examples of norm or exception criteria:

More than 4 tests per patient per 2 visits
The ratio of tests to patients is greater than 60 percent
More than the mean plus 1 standard deviation
More than the mean plus 2 standard deviations

Receiving Narcotics or Dangerous Drugs

Number of States where this is identified for review	30
Number of States where this type of service is not	
provided	1
Number of States where this is not identified for	
review but where all services of this type require	
prior authorization	0
Number of States where this is not identified for	
review and does not require prior authorization	21
Total number of States responding to questionnaire	52
Number of States where this is identified for review	
before payment	2
Number of States where this is identified for review	
after payment	21
Number of States where this is identified for review	
both before and after payment	7
Total number of States identifying this for review	30
Total Hamsel of Sacres regularlying and and an analysis	-
Number of States where a sample of claims are	
nanually reviewed without the aid of specific	
norm or exception criteria	1
Number of States where claims are reviewed manually	
without the aid of specific norm or exception criteria	10
Number of States which said this was identified for review	
Aumoer of States which said this was identified for leview	A
but could not provide specific norm or exception criteria · · · · ·	
Number of States where claims are reviewed only if a special	
request is made	1
Total number of States where claims are reviewed without	
the aid of specific norm or exception criteria	16

Examples of norm or exception criteria:

More than 10 prescriptions per month
More than 4 neurologicals per month
More than 100 units per month
More than the mean plus 2 standard deviations

Who Reviews Claims That Exceed the Norm Or Exception Criteria?

	Number of States
The Title XIX single State agency	0 6
Other contractor(s) such as medical or dental society	, 19

QUESTION 12 and 17

How Many Personnel (on a full-time equivalent basis) Are Assigned to Review Claims Which Exceed the Norm or Exception Criteria? How Many Personnel Are Assigned to Conduct Quality of Care Reviews?

Employees Reviewing Claims Which Exceeded Norm Or Exception Criteria

The total number of professional and clerical staff assigned this function ranged from 0 in 4 States to as many as 144 in one State. One quarter of one professional staff member's time was devoted to this function in one State compared to 53 professional staff assigned full-time to this function in another State.

Seven States had no clerical personnel assigned to this function whereas one State had 91 clerical workers performing these reviews on a full-time basis.

Employees Performing Quality of Care Reviews

The total number of professional and clerical staff who conduct quality of care reviews ranged from 0 in 9 States to 580 in one State.

One quarter of one professional staff member's time was spent conducting quality of care reviews in one State compared to 370 professional staff assigned full-time to this function in another State.

Thirteen States had no clerical staff involved in conducting quality of care reviews while one State had 400 clerical staff performing quality of care reviews.

Employees Reviewing Claims Which Exceed Norm or Exception Criteria and Also Perform Quality of Care Reviews

The total number of professional and/or clerical staff performing both of these utilization review functions ranged from one quarter of one staff member's time in one State to 494 full-time staff in another State.

No. of No. of No. of	NIIIIII
No. of No. of No. of No. of	
employees States States States	
0 3 9 0	
0.25-5 17 11 4	
5.25-10 5 3 4	
10.25-20 6 5 0	
20.25-50 3 4 1	
51-100 1 0	
more than 100 1 2 1	
varies 1 1 0	
N/A 5 7 0	
$\overline{42}$ $\overline{42}$ $\overline{79}$ $\overline{10}$	

Which of the Following Profiles Are Produced for Review Because of Claims Identified as Exceeding Norm or Exception Criteria?	Number State	-
Profiles for all such recipients:		
for all services	25 2 1	28
Profiles for <u>all</u> such providers:		
for all services	30 3 1	34
Profiles for a <u>sample</u> of such recipients:		
all services	12	13
Profiles for a <u>sample</u> of such providers:		
all services	12 1	13
Profiles for all recipients and providers always available for all services from the computer		1
None of these:		
profiles not produced for review		8
One type of profile listed above is produced for review	8	
Two types of profiles listed above are produced for review .	. 31	
Three types of profiles listed above are produced for review	. 1	
Four types of profiles listed above are produced for review	4	
No profiles produced for review	· 8 52	

How Frequently are Quality of Care Reviews Conducted for the Following Providers?

Physicians	Number of States
Continuous (ongoing)WeeklyMonthlyQuarterlyAnnuallyBy special requestNot at all	29 1 1 2 0 7 12 52
Dentists	
Continuous (ongoing)WeeklyMonthlyQuarterlyAnnuallyBy special requestNot at all	28 1 0 3 1 6 13 52
Optometrists	
Continuous (ongoing)WeeklyMonthlyQuarterlyAnnuallyBy special requestNot at allService not provided	19 1 3 3 0 8 15 3 52

QUESTION 15 (continued)	Number of States
Podiatrists	
Continuous (ongoing)WeeklyMonthlyQuarterlyAnnuallyBy special requestNot at allService not provided	16 1 2 1 0 7 13 12 52
Chiropractors	
Continuous (ongoing)WeeklyMonthlyQuarterlyAnnuallyBy special requestNot at allService not provided	8 0 1 2 1 5 13 22 52
<u>Other</u>	
Continuous (ongoing)WeeklyMonthlyQuarterlyAnnuallyBy special requestNot at allNo response	12 0 0 0 0 2 5 33 52

Who Conducts Quality of Care Reviews? Number of States 16 0 3 0 Other contractor(s) such as medical or dental society... 4 20

On What Basis Are Quality of Care Reviews Conducted?

	Number of States
From provider claims identified as exceeding norm or exception criteria	34
norm or exception criteria	26
norm or exception criteria	20 10 9
	-
States using one of the methods listed above	. 8 . 17 . 7 . 0 . 3

How Are Quality of Care Reviews Conducted?	Number of States
Desk review of provider claims	39
Desk review of provider profiles	28
Desk review of recipient profiles	30
Review of medical records at the provider's office	32
Peer review	37
Outcome studies	10
Other	The state of the s
States using one of the methods listed above	2
States using two of the methods listed above	5
States using three of the methods listed above	7
States using four of the methods listed above	5
States using five of the methods listed above ,	12
States using six of the methods listed above	10
States using seven of the methods listed above	2
No quality of care reviews performed	9 52