

United States Government Accountability Office Washington, DC 20548

April 15, 2010

The Honorable Daniel Inouye Chairman The Honorable Thad Cochran Ranking Member Subcommittee on Defense Committee on Appropriations United States Senate

Subject: Defense Management: Observations on Department of Defense and Military Service Fiscal Year 2011 Requirements for Corrosion Prevention and Control

This report formally transmits the attached briefing (see enc. I) in response to the Senate Appropriations Committee Report accompanying the Department of Defense Appropriations Bill for fiscal year 2010 (S. Rep. No. 111-74, pp. 155-156). The Committee Report requires the Government Accountability Office to provide information on the differences between Department of Defense and Military Service requirements for corrosion prevention and control projects for fiscal year 2011 and provide the results to the Senate Appropriations Committee within 60 days after submission of the Department of Defense budget. On April 2, 2010, we provided the briefing to your Committee's staff to satisfy the direction to provide information and the 60-day reporting requirement. As the Committee Report also requires, we will provide a report later in the year on selected corrosion control projects. The Related GAO Products section at the end of this report lists additional GAO publications on this issue.

We are sending copies of this report to the Secretary of Defense; the Deputy Secretary of Defense; the Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller); the Under Secretary of Defense (Acquisition, Technology and Logistics); the Secretaries of the Army, Navy, and Air Force; and the Commandant of the Marine Corps. This report will also be available at no charge on our Web site at <u>http://www.gao.gov</u>. Should you or your staff have any questions concerning this report, please contact me at (202) 512-8246 or <u>edwardsj@gao.gov</u>. Contact points for our Offices of Congressional Relations and Public Affairs may be found on the last page of this report. Key contributors to this report were Ann Borseth, Assistant Director; Janine Cantin; and Foster Kerrison.

nek_E Edwards

Jack E. Edwards Director, Defense Capabilities and Management



Briefing for the Senate Appropriations Committee Subcommittee on Defense April 2, 2010

















 The Corrosion Office uses a multi-step process to develop the CPC budget. This process starts with the Services estimating their CPC project requirements. The Corrosion Office then adjusts these Service-provided preliminary project estimates by a historical acceptance rate for CPC projects and adds an estimated amount for Corrosion Office-funded, DODwide activities. This total is revised by OUSD(AT&L), based on funding priorities, to determine the estimated funding request for the budget.

9



- In developing its FY11 CPC budget and the information for the related corrosion report, the Corrosion Office
 - asked the Services in September 2009 to estimate the total number of projects that would need funding in FY11 and the cost of these projects, which totaled \$64.4 million;
 - assumed, based on historical trends, that about 63 percent of the total cost of the Service projects would be accepted for funding;
 - adjusted the \$64.4 million project cost estimate by the 63 percent to determine the total estimated requirements for CPC projects, which produced an estimate of \$40.6 million for FY11;
 - estimated an additional **\$6.4 million** for other non-project-related corrosion activities funded by the Corrosion Office, based on activities identified as necessary to execute the Corrosion Prevention and Mitigation Strategic Plan; and
 - added the \$40.6 million and the \$6.4 million for a total estimated CPC requirement of \$47.0 million.



- According to Corrosion Office officials, they submitted a request for FY11 of \$25 million to OUSD(AT&L), which is less than the \$47 million identified requirement. Corrosion Office officials believe that an annual budget of approximately \$25 million would have been sufficient to meet most of the essential CPC projects and activities.
- According to these officials, OUSD(AT&L) denied part of the \$25 million request as acceptable offsets within OUSD(AT&L) could not be identified.
 - According to DOD's corrosion funding report, global commitments, constrained budgets, and competing requirements preclude full funding of CPC requirements.
 - Officials from the Office of the Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller) previously told us that program offices may consider ROI benefits in developing budget submissions; however, requirements for systems and Services, rather than ROI, drive funding levels in DOD's annual budget request.
- The final amount requested in the FY11 budget for CPC was \$12.0 million, which Corrosion Office officials told us was determined by OUSD(AT&L) and is a slight increase to DOD's 6-year budget projections.

•



- The **\$12.0 million**⁵ requested for CPC in FY11 was requested by appropriation account:
 - \$7.2 million in the Operation and Maintenance account, and
 - **\$4.8 million** in the Research, Development, Test, and Evaluation account.
 - As a comparison, for FY10, requested CPC funding totaled \$13.1 million--\$8.2 million in the Operation and Maintenance account and \$4.9 million in the Research, Development, Test, and Evaluation account.
- This amount excludes funding that the Services contribute, which has averaged about **\$11.1 million** per year.

⁵ The Corrosion Office FY11 funding report identified \$300,000 more (for a total of \$12.3 million) than that requested in the FY11 budget. Based on discussion with Corrosion Office officials, we corrected the funding report data to reflect a reduction of \$300,000 for corrosion activities.



Objective 2: Extent Budget Request Met Estimated CPC Requirements—Funding Examples

- Of the \$12.0 million identified in the FY11 budget request, Corrosion Office officials expect about \$8.75 million is to fund corrosion projects, and \$3.25 million is to fund corrosion activities.
- Examples of specific corrosion prevention projects include
 - enhanced primers and top coatings for ship's tanks and voids,
 - CH-47D engine compressor blade erosion/corrosion coatings,
 - improved wash-down systems for the Marine Corps amphibious assault vehicles, and
 - structural health and corrosion degradation indices for bridges.
- Activities may include such things as cost studies, training, and development and operation of the Corrosion Office's Web site. For FY11, the Corrosion Office estimated a funding shortfall of **\$3.2 million** for this category due to its increased efforts to provide training opportunities and enhance communications and outreach efforts.







Objective 2: Extent Budget Request Met Estimated CPC Requirements—Estimated v. Actual

Table 1: CPC Project Funding (FY09 through FY11) Dollars in millions

Fiscal year	Cost of all Service submitted projects		Cost of accepted projects (DOD requirements)		Amount budgeted		Unfunded requirement ^a	
	Estimated ^b	Actual	Estimated	Actual	Estimated	Actual	Estimated	Actual
2009	47.6	18.4	28.5	13.7	10.7	9.8	17.8	3.9
2010	35.8	21.5	21.5	16.1	9.5	7.3	12.0	8.8
2011	64.4	N/A	40.6	N/A	8.7	N/A	31.8	N/A

Source: GAO analysis of DOD data.

^a Unfunded requirements are projects that are accepted but not funded and represent the difference between the "DOD

requirements" column and the "Amount budgeted" column. ^b The preliminary estimates for FY09 through FY11 were developed for DOD's reports to Congress, pursuant to 10 USC § 2228(e). FY09 was the first year that the Corrosion Office estimated CPC requirements.









- As part of the project selection process, the Corrosion Office requires that an ROI cost-benefit analysis be submitted with project plans.
 - The Services estimate ROI as the ratio of the present value of benefits to the present value of the project's total cost based on funding requested from DOD and the Service's contribution.
 - Corrosion Office guidance uses a 7 percent annual discount rate by default to estimate the present value of benefits and costs. According to Corrosion Office officials, this is a conservative estimate to avoid overstating the ROI.
 - Submitted ROI analyses and estimated project savings vary by individual project and may span many years.
- Corrosion Office officials informed us that the Project Point of Contact in each Service estimates the ROI, and the Military Department Corrosion Executive approves the analyses submitted to DOD. However, the Military Department Corrosion Executives said that while they have not yet taken on this responsibility, they plan to do so in the future.

Page 24



Corrosion Office officials said that in September 2009 they began to receive Service ROI status reports for corrosion projects funded in FY05, the first year CPC funds were provided. (According to these officials, projects are to be completed in a 2-year period. The Services then validate the ROI assumptions no later than 2 years after project implementation.)

- The officials told us that they expect to begin analyzing these ROI status reports in summer 2010.
- The Corrosion Office plans to use these reports to determine if previous years' ROI estimates were accurate.



- Based on the 6-year average estimated ROI, the Corrosion Office projects an ROI of 47:1 for all accepted (both funded and unfunded) FY11 projects and 2:1 for activities.
- If DOD's estimated ROIs for projects and activities are accurate,
 - the \$12.0 million identified in the FY11 budget request, if approved, would result in a potential cost avoidance of approximately \$418 million.
- Based on historical averages, the Corrosion Office estimates an ROI of about 43:1 for accepted, but unfunded projects, and 2:1 for activities.
 - Using DOD's estimated ROI, the Corrosion Office's FY11 estimated unfunded requirements of **\$35.0 million**, would result in a potential cost avoidance for these requirements (projects and activities) of **\$1.4 billion**.

24





Related GAO Products

Defense Management: Observations on DOD's Fiscal Year 2010 Budget Request for Corrosion Prevention and Control. GAO-09-732R. Washington, D.C.: June 1, 2009.

Defense Management: Observations on DOD's Analysis of Options for Improving Corrosion Prevention and Control through Earlier Planning in the Requirements and Acquisition Processes. GAO-09-694R. Washington, D.C.: May 29, 2009.

Defense Management: Observations on DOD's FY 2009 Budget Request for Corrosion Prevention and Control. GAO-08-663R. Washington, D.C.: April 15, 2008.

Defense Management: High-Level Leadership Commitment and Actions Are Needed to Address Corrosion Issues. GAO-07-618. Washington, D.C.: April 30, 2007.

Defense Management: Additional Measures to Reduce Corrosion of Prepositioned Military Assets Could Achieve Cost Savings. GAO-06-709. Washington, D.C.: June 14, 2006.

Defense Management: Opportunities Exist to Improve Implementation of DOD's Long-Term Corrosion Strategy. GAO-04-640. Washington, D.C.: June 23, 2004.

Defense Management: Opportunities to Reduce Corrosion Costs and Increase Readiness. GAO-03-753. Washington, D.C.: July 7, 2003.

Defense Infrastructure: Changes in Funding Priorities and Strategic Planning Needed to Improve the Condition of Military Facilities. GAO-03-274. Washington, D.C.: February 19, 2003.

(351484)

This is a work of the U.S. government and is not subject to copyright protection in the United States. The published product may be reproduced and distributed in its entirety without further permission from GAO. However, because this work may contain copyrighted images or other material, permission from the copyright holder may be necessary if you wish to reproduce this material separately.

GAO's Mission	The Government Accountability Office, the audit, evaluation, and investigative arm of Congress, exists to support Congress in meeting its constitutional responsibilities and to help improve the performance and accountability of the federal government for the American people. GAO examines the use of public funds; evaluates federal programs and policies; and provides analyses, recommendations, and other assistance to help Congress make informed oversight, policy, and funding decisions. GAO's commitment to good government is reflected in its core values of accountability, integrity, and reliability.					
Obtaining Copies of GAO Reports and Testimony	The fastest and easiest way to obtain copies of GAO documents at no cost is through GAO's Web site (www.gao.gov). Each weekday afternoon, GAO posts on its Web site newly released reports, testimony, and correspondence. To have GAO e-mail you a list of newly posted products, go to www.gao.gov and select "E-mail Updates."					
Order by Phone	The price of each GAO publication reflects GAO's actual cost of production and distribution and depends on the number of pages in the publication and whether the publication is printed in color or black and white. Pricing and ordering information is posted on GAO's Web site, http://www.gao.gov/ordering.htm.					
	Place orders by calling (202) 512-6000, toll free (866) 801-7077, or TDD (202) 512-2537.					
	Orders may be paid for using American Express, Discover Card, MasterCard, Visa, check, or money order. Call for additional information.					
To Report Fraud,	Contact:					
Waste, and Abuse in Federal Programs	Web site: www.gao.gov/fraudnet/fraudnet.htm E-mail: fraudnet@gao.gov Automated answering system: (800) 424-5454 or (202) 512-7470					
Congressional Relations	Ralph Dawn, Managing Director, dawnr@gao.gov, (202) 512-4400 U.S. Government Accountability Office, 441 G Street NW, Room 7125 Washington, DC 20548					
Public Affairs	Chuck Young, Managing Director, youngc1@gao.gov, (202) 512-4800 U.S. Government Accountability Office, 441 G Street NW, Room 7149 Washington, DC 20548					