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What GAO Found

Commercial drivers with serious medical conditions can still meet DOT medical fitness requirements to safely operate a commercial vehicle and thus hold CDLs. However, there is general agreement that careful medical evaluations are necessary to ensure that serious medical conditions do not preclude the safe operation of a commercial vehicle. Because medical determinations rely in large part on subjective factors that are not captured in databases, it is impossible to determine from data matching and mining alone the extent to which commercial drivers have medical conditions that preclude them from safely driving a commercial vehicle and therefore if the certification process is effective. GAO's analysis provides a starting point for exploring the effectiveness of the current CDL medical certification process.

Our analysis of commercial license data from DOT and medical disability data from the Social Security Administration, Office of Personnel Management, and Departments of Veterans Affairs and Labor found that about 563,000 of such individuals had commercial driver licenses and were determined by the federal government to be eligible for full disability benefits. This represented over 4 percent of all commercial driver licenses in the DOT database. Our analysis of 12 selected states indicates that most of these commercial drivers still have active licenses. Specifically, for these 12 selected states, about 85 percent had a current CDL even though they had a medical condition from which they received full federal disability benefits. The majority of these drivers were issued a CDL after the driver was approved for full federal disability benefit.

Our investigations detail examples of 15 cases where careful medical evaluations did not occur on commercial drivers who were receiving full disability benefits for serious medical conditions. The following table details some of the more egregious examples from our investigation.

Examples of Commercial Drivers with Serious Medical Conditions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of driver</th>
<th>State</th>
<th>Medical condition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bus</td>
<td>Florida</td>
<td>Driver receives disability benefits due to breathing insufficiency, for which he uses three daily inhalers. He stated that he occasionally blacks out and forgets things,” but continues to hold a CDL and be hired as a substitute bus driver, despite not having the required medical certificate.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bus</td>
<td>Minnesota</td>
<td>Driver receives disability benefits due to epilepsy. He also suffers from headaches, sleep apnea, asthma, and high blood pressure. Driver and medical examiner agreed that if the driver felt “loopy” he would not drive a commercial vehicle.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Truck</td>
<td>Florida</td>
<td>Driver receives disability benefits for multiple sclerosis, which causes fatigue. Driver hauls circus equipment to various shows, despite not having the required medical certificate.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Truck</td>
<td>Maryland</td>
<td>Driver receives disability benefits for complete deafness. Medical examiner acknowledged error in certifying medical fitness of driver.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: GAO.
Figures

Figure 1: CDL Drivers Receiving Full Federal Disability Benefits for 12 Selected States 9
Figure 2: State Methods to Verify Medical Certification 22

Abbreviations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CDL</td>
<td>Commercial Driver License</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDLIS</td>
<td>Commercial Driver License</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COPD</td>
<td>Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disorder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOL</td>
<td>Department of Labor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOT</td>
<td>Department of Transportation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FMCSA</td>
<td>Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NTSB</td>
<td>National Transportation Safety Board</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OPM</td>
<td>Office of Personnel Management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPE</td>
<td>Skills Performance Evaluation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSA</td>
<td>Social Security Administration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VA</td>
<td>Department of Veterans Affairs</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Millions of American drivers hold commercial driver licenses (CDL), allowing them to operate a variety of commercial vehicles such as school buses, cargo vans, and tractor trailers. To help prevent accidents resulting from commercial drivers with medical conditions, federal law requires medical examiners to certify that commercial drivers are medically fit to operate their vehicles. Specifically, the Department of Transportation (DOT) requires commercial drivers to undergo a medical physical examination at least every 2 years. As part of this medical physical, DOT regulations establish standards that the medical examiner must follow to determine a driver's fitness including reviewing the driver's medical history, performing key medical tests (such as vision and hearing tests), and conducting a physical examination of the commercial driver. Notwithstanding these written regulations, medical examiners must still exercise judgment in determining whether individuals are medically fit to drive commercial vehicles, such as people whose serious medical conditions make them eligible for federal disability benefits.

Not all serious medical conditions interfere with the safe operation of a commercial vehicle. However, some serious medical conditions can and should disqualify a driver from being medically certified according to DOT regulations.

It is unknown to what extent individuals with serious medical conditions hold CDLs and whether there are situations of medically unfit individuals who hold a CDL. In this context, you asked us to (1) determine to the extent possible the number of individuals holding a current CDL who have serious medical conditions, and (2) provide case-study examples of
commercial drivers who hold an active CDL despite having a medical condition that should disqualify them from obtaining a CDL.

To explore the overlap between CDL holders and people with serious medical conditions, we identified individuals who were in both DOT’s Commercial Driver License Information System (CDLIS) database and selected federal disability databases. We presumed that individuals receiving full federal disability benefits were eligible for these benefits because of the seriousness of their medical conditions. DOT’s CDLIS database contains an archive of all CDLs reported to DOT by the states. We obtained this database and compared the CDL holders from CDLIS with the disability recipients of selected federal programs administered by the Social Security Administration (SSA), Department of Veterans Affairs (VA), Office of Personnel Management (OPM), and Department of Labor (DOL). Specifically, we identified CDL holders that are both currently receiving disability benefits and have been identified as 100 percent disabled according to the program’s criteria. We further analyzed the recipient files to extract those medical conditions that would likely disqualify a driver from a CDL, such as deafness and epilepsy. Because CDLIS contains inactive CDLs in its population, we obtained data of active CDLs from a nonrepresentative selection of 12 states to identify those CDL holders in CDLIS that have an active CDL.\(^1\) Further, because commercial drivers may contract a serious medical condition after the issuance of the CDL, we determined the number of individuals that received their CDL subsequent to the federal agencies determination that the individual is eligible for full benefits due to their medical condition. In addition, our estimate does not include drivers with severe medical conditions that are not in the selected federal programs we analyzed.

To provide case-study examples of commercial drivers who hold active CDLs and also receive full federal benefits due to a CDL disqualifying medical condition, we focused on four states as agreed to with your staff—Florida, Maryland, Minnesota, and Virginia. From these four states, we selected, for detailed investigation, 15 commercial drivers that we identified based on our data analysis and mining. For each case, we interviewed, as appropriate, the commercial driver, the driver’s employer, and the driver’s physician to determine whether, under current DOT

\(^1\)The 12 selected states were California, Florida, Illinois, Kentucky, Maryland, Michigan, Minnesota, Montana, Tennessee, Texas, Virginia, and Wisconsin. The 12 states were selected primarily based on the size of the CDL population. These 12 selected states represented about 42 percent of all CDLs contained in CDLIS.
regulations and guidelines, the medical condition should have precluded the driver from holding a valid CDL. For these 15 cases, we also reviewed relevant state department of motor vehicle reports, police reports, and other public records.

We performed our investigative work from May 2007 to June 2008 in accordance with standards prescribed by the President’s Council on Integrity and Efficiency. A detailed discussion of our scope and methodology is presented in appendix I.

Commercial drivers with serious medical conditions, even those whose conditions qualify them for full federal disability benefits, can still meet DOT medical fitness requirements to safely operate a commercial vehicle and thus hold CDLs. However, there is general agreement that careful medical evaluations are necessary to ensure that serious medical conditions do not preclude the safe operation of a commercial vehicle. Because medical determinations rely in large part on subjective factors that are not captured in databases, it is impossible to determine from data mining and matching the extent to which commercial drivers have medical conditions that preclude them from safely driving a commercial vehicle and therefore if the certification process is effective. As such our analysis provides a starting point for exploring the effectiveness of the current CDL medical certification process.

Our analysis of commercial license data from DOT and medical disability data from SSA, VA, OPM, and DOL found that about 563,000 individuals had CDLs and were determined by the federal government to be eligible for full federal benefits due to their medical condition. This represented over 4 percent of all CDLs in the DOT database. Further, our analysis also showed that over 1,000 of these drivers were diagnosed with vision, hearing, or seizure disorders, which are medical conditions that would generally deny the granting of a CDL.

While some of the 563,000 commercial drivers may have inactive licenses, our analysis of 12 selected states indicates that most of these commercial drivers still have active licenses. Specifically, of the 563,000 CDL holders

---

A certain number of commercial drivers may also not be legally entitled to federal disability payments because they do not have a qualifying disability. For example, our review did not make a determination as to whether commercial drivers committed fraud in their application for disability benefits.
receiving full disability benefits, about 135,000 were from our 12 selected states. Of these 135,000 commercial drivers, about 114,000, or approximately 85 percent, had a current CDL while also receiving full federal disability benefits. Further, approximately 85,000, or about 63 percent of the active commercial drivers from the 12 selected states, were issued a CDL after the driver was approved for full federal benefits.

Our investigations detail examples of 15 cases where careful medical evaluations did not occur on commercial drivers with serious medical conditions. Some of the more egregious examples of our investigations include the following:

- A bus driver in Maryland has been receiving Social Security disability benefits since March 2006 due to his heart conditions. In June 2006, approximately 3 months after Social Security determined the driver was fully disabled, the Maryland driver license agency renewed his CDL. The bus driver provided our investigator a forged medical certificate. Specifically, we found that (1) the medical certificate did not have the required medical license number; (2) the physician did not have any record that the bus driver underwent a medical examination for a CDL; and (3) the physician denied conducting a CDL medical exam or signing the medical certificate.

- A truck driver has received SSA disability benefits for over 10 years for several medical conditions, including complications due to an amputation. The truck driver stated he has a prosthetic right leg due to a farm accident in 1985. Drivers with amputations are disqualified unless they obtain a Skills Performance Evaluation (SPE) from DOT. To test his prosthetic leg, the truck driver stated that he was required to push the doctor across the room in a rolling chair. The doctor signed the medical certificate without indicating that an SPE must be obtained from DOT.

We provided a draft of our report to DOT for review and comment. In response to our draft, DOT commented that our first objective incorrectly implied that individuals who are fully disabled with severe medical conditions cannot safely drive commercial vehicles. In addition, DOT stated that our report did not accurately characterize the 15 cases where careful medical evaluations did not occur because 9 drivers were not evaluated by a medical examiner. We believe our report clearly acknowledges that it is impossible to determine the extent to which these commercial drivers have a medical condition that would preclude them from safely driving a commercial vehicle and that our analysis simply
provides a starting point for exploring the effectiveness of the current CDL medical certification process. We also believe that we fairly characterize that all 15 cases did not have a careful medical evaluation because for all 15 cases the medical evaluation was inadequate or did not occur. DOT also provided us a technical comment which we incorporated in the report. See the “Agency Comments and Our Evaluation” section of this report for a more detailed discussion of agency comments from DOT.

Background

The loss of lives and property resulting from commercial motor vehicle accidents has been a focus of public concern for several years. In 2006, about 5,300 people died as a result of crashes involving large commercial trucks or buses, and about 126,000 more were injured. A recent study performed by DOT showed that a significant number of commercial driver crashes were due to a physical impairment of the driver. Specifically, DOT found that about 12 percent of the crashes where the crash cause could be identified were due to drivers falling asleep, being disabled by a heart attack or seizure, or other physical impairments.

The Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration (FMCSA) within DOT shoulders the primary federal responsibility for reducing crashes, injuries, and fatalities involving large trucks and buses. FMCSA’s primary means of preventing these crashes is to develop and enforce regulations to help ensure that drivers and motor carriers are operating in a safe manner. FMCSA’s regulations, among other things, require that drivers of commercial motor vehicles are 21 years old, can read and speak the English language, have a current and valid commercial motor vehicle operator's license, have successfully completed a driver’s road test, and are physically qualified to drive. As part of these regulations, FMCSA established standards for the physical qualifications of commercial drivers, including the requirement of a medical certification from a medical examiner stating that the commercial driver is physically qualified.

---

3These figures are from 2006, the most recent year for which data are available.

4DOT, Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration, Large Truck Crash Causation Study, Publication No.: FMCSA-RRA-07-017 (July 2007).

5The FMCSA develops requirements for operating commercial vehicles, while states issue CDLs. Along with verifying identity, residency, and driving skills, states must also verify that the commercial driver applicant is medically qualified for the license prior to issuance of the CDL.
to operate a commercial motor vehicle. See appendix II for a description of the federal medical requirements.

The National Transportation Safety Board (NTSB), an independent federal agency that investigates transportation accidents, considers the medical fitness of commercial drivers a major concern. Over the past several years, NTSB has reported on serious flaws in the medical certification process of commercial drivers. NTSB stated that these flaws can lead to increased highway fatalities and injuries for commercial vehicle drivers, their passengers, and the motoring public.

In 2001 NTSB recommended eight safety actions to improve the oversight of the medical certification process, in response to a bus crash that killed 22 people in Louisiana. According to NTSB, currently all eight of the recommendations remain open. In response to FMCSA’s failure to adequately address NTSB’s recommendations, NTSB placed the oversight of medical fitness on its “Most Wanted” list in 2003. Table 1 details each of NTSB’s recommendations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1: NTSB’s Recommendations to FMCSA for Preventing Unqualified Drivers from Operating Commercial Vehicles</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Description of NTSB recommendation</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Individuals performing medical examinations for drivers are qualified to do so and are educated about occupational issues for drivers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Every prior application by an individual for medical certification is recorded and reviewed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Medical certification regulations are updated periodically to permit trained examiners to clearly determine whether drivers with common medical conditions should be issued a medical certificate</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Federal regulations require that commercial drivers provide evidence of their medical certifications to employers (e.g., motor carrier companies). In addition, commercial drivers are required to carry their medical certifications when operating a commercial vehicle, and as such, may be required to show such certifications to inspectors (e.g., roadside check) or law enforcement, if requested.

Although federal regulations apply specifically to interstate drivers, at least 47 of the 50 states have adopted the federal medical requirements for their intrastate commercial drivers.

NTSB is an independent U.S. federal agency that investigates every civil aviation accident in the United States and significant accidents in the other modes of transportation.
### Description of NTSB recommendation

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>Individuals performing examinations have specific guidance and a readily identifiable source of information for questions on such examinations.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>The review process prevents, or identifies and corrects, the inappropriate issuance of medical certification.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td>Enforcement authorities can identify invalid medical certification during safety inspections and routine stops.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td>Enforcement authorities can prevent an uncertified driver from driving until an appropriate medical examination takes place.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.</td>
<td>Mechanisms for reporting medical conditions to the medical certification and reviewing authority and for evaluating these conditions between medical certification exams are in place, and that individuals, health care providers, and employers are aware of these mechanisms.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: NTSB.

Several fatality crashes highlight the need for and the importance of having an effective medical certificate process. For example,

- In July 2000, a truck collided with a Tennessee Highway Patrol vehicle protecting a highway work zone. The patrol car exploded at impact, killing the state trooper. The driver of the truck had previously been diagnosed with sleep apnea and hypothyroidism, and had a similar crash in 1997, when he struck the rear of a patrol car in Utah. NTSB stated that it believes that if a comprehensive medical oversight program been in place at the time of the accident, this driver, with known and potentially incapacitating medical conditions, would have been less likely to have been operating a commercial vehicle. This accident, the NTSB said, “demonstrates how easily unfit drivers are able to take advantage of the inadequacies of the current medical system, resulting in potentially fatal consequences.”

- In May 2005, a truck collided with a sports utility vehicle in Kansas killing a mother and her 10-month-old baby. Prior to the accident, a physician diagnosed the truck driver with a severe form of sleep apnea. The truck driver subsequently went to another physician who issued the medical certificate because the driver did not disclose this illness. The truck driver was found guilty of two counts of vehicular manslaughter.

- In August 2005 in New York, a truck collided with a motor vehicle, killing the occupants. The truck driver admitted to forging a medical certificate required to get his CDL license because he had been diagnosed with a seizure disorder. The truck driver recently pled guilty of two counts of manslaughter.
Commercial drivers with serious medical conditions can still meet DOT medical fitness requirements to safely operate a commercial vehicle and thus hold CDLs. However, there is general agreement that careful medical evaluations are necessary to ensure that serious medical conditions do not preclude the safe operation of a commercial vehicle. It is impossible to determine from data analysis which commercial drivers receiving disability benefits have a medical condition that precludes them from safely driving a commercial vehicle because medical determinations are largely based on subjective factors that are not captured in databases. As such our analysis provides a starting point for exploring the effectiveness of the current CDL medical certification process.

Our analysis of DOT data and disability data from the four selected federal agencies, SSA, VA, OPM, and DOL, found that about 563,000 individuals had been issued CDLs and were receiving full medical disability benefits.\(^9\) This represented over 4 percent of all CDLs in the DOT database. However, because DOT’s database does include drivers that had suspended, revoked, or lapsed licenses,\(^10\) the actual number of active commercial drivers that receive full federal disability benefits cannot be determined. Also, our analysis does not include drivers with severe medical conditions that are not in the specific disability programs we selected.

The majority of the individuals with serious medical conditions from our 12 selected states had an active CDL. Specifically, as shown in figure 1, of the 563,000 CDL holders receiving full disability benefits, about 135,000 of those individuals were from our 12 selected states. About 114,000 of these 135,000 individuals, or about 85 percent, had an active CDL according to CDL data provided by the 12 selected states.

Further, our analysis of the state CDL data indicates that most of the licenses were issued after the commercial driver was found to be eligible for full disability benefits. Specifically, about 85,000 of the 135,000 individuals, or about 63 percent, had their CDL issued after the federal agency determined that the individual met the federal requirements for full disability benefits according to data from our four selected federal agencies.

\(^9\)SSA and VA accounted for 99 percent of the identified drivers.

\(^10\)CDLIS is used to ensure that drivers do not obtain CDLs from multiple states. As such, it is an archival system and includes licenses that are expired or suspended. CDLIS does not contain an identifier to indicate whether the license is currently active.
Because much of the determination of the medical fitness of commercial drivers relies on subjective factors, and because there are ways to circumvent the process (as shown below), it is impossible to determine the extent to which these commercial drivers have a medical condition that would preclude them from safely driving a commercial vehicle.\textsuperscript{11} As such our analysis provides a starting point for exploring the effectiveness of the current CDL medical certification process. However, because these individuals are receiving full disability benefits, it is likely that these medical conditions are severe. Further, our analysis also showed that over 1,000 of these drivers are diagnosed with vision, hearing, or seizure disorders, which are medical conditions that would routinely deny the granting of a CDL.

\textsuperscript{11}Federal disability programs such as the SSA’s “Ticket to Work” do allow certain fully disabled recipients to work and still receive their disability benefits.
Our investigations detail examples of 15 cases where careful medical evaluations did not occur on commercial drivers who were receiving full medical disability benefits. The case studies were selected from approximately 30,000 individuals from Florida, Maryland, Minnesota, and Virginia that had their CDL issued after the federal agency determined that the individual met the federal requirements for full medical disability benefits. For all 15 cases, we found that the states renewed the drivers’ CDLs after the drivers were found by the federal government to be eligible for full disability benefits. For more detailed information on criteria for selection of the 15 cases, see appendix I.

On the basis of our investigation of these 15 cases, we identified instances where careful medical examinations did not occur. Most states do not require commercial drivers to provide medical certifications to be issued a CDL. Instead, many states only require individuals to self-certify that a medical examiner granted them a medical certification allowing them to operate commercial vehicles, thus meeting the minimum federal requirements. As a result, we found several commercial drivers who made false assertions on their self-certification that they received a medical certification when in fact no certification was made. For more information on state requirements for medical certifications, see appendix IV.

In addition, our investigations found that commercial drivers produced fraudulent documentation regarding their medical certification. Specifically, we found instances where commercial drivers forged a medical examiner’s signature on a medical certification form. In addition, we also found a driver who failed to disclose to the medical examiner that another doctor had prescribed him morphine for his back pain.

Finally, our investigations found certain medical examiners did not follow the federal requirements in the determination of medical fitness of commercial drivers. For example, one medical examiner represented to GAO that she did not know that a driver’s deafness would disqualify the individual from receiving a medical certification.

\[\text{DOT is finalizing a proposed rule to merge information from the medical examiner’s certificate into the commercial driver license process as required by the Motor Carrier Safety Improvement Act of 1999. The new rule would require drivers to provide a copy of their current medical examiner’s certificate to their state driver license agency. This new rule would make the state driver license agencies responsible for ensuring that holders of commercial driver licenses have current medical certificates.}\]
Table 2 highlights 5 of the 15 drivers we investigated. For all cases we investigated, the CDL was issued after the driver’s disability benefits started. Appendix V provides details on the other 10 cases we examined. We are referring all 15 cases to the respective state driver license agency for further investigation.

### Table 2: Summary Information on Five Commercial Drivers with Active Licenses despite Serious Medical Conditions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case</th>
<th>State</th>
<th>Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Maryland</td>
<td>- Bus driver receives Social Security disability benefits since March 2006 due to an aneurysm of the aorta and valvular heart disease.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Three months after disability determination, the state renewed bus driver’s CDL for 5 years.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- The bus driver provided our investigator a forged medical certificate, without the required medical license number. Medical examiner denied conducting CDL medical exam or signing the medical certificate.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Virginia</td>
<td>- Truck driver receives Social Security disability benefits since 1995 for leg amputation, among other medical conditions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Medical examiner did not require truck driver to obtain the mandatory Skills Performance Evaluation (SPE).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- In 2007, the state driver license agency renewed driver’s CDL for 5 years with a “Tanker” endorsement.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Florida</td>
<td>- Bus driver receives Social Security disability benefits since 1994 for chronic obstructive pulmonary disorder (COPD).³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Bus driver currently uses three daily inhalers to control breathing, and stated that he “occasionally blacks out and forgets things.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Driver continues to be hired as a substitute bus driver, despite not having a medical certificate.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Bus driver’s CDL expires in 2010.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Minnesota</td>
<td>- Bus driver receives Social Security disability benefits since 2004 for epilepsy, among other medical conditions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Medical examiner certified the driver in 2007 despite previously prescribing him daily antiseizure medication. DOT guidance states that this disqualifies the driver.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- The driver and medical examiner agreed that if the driver felt “loopy” he would not drive a commercial vehicle.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Driver stated that he also suffers from headaches, sleep apnea, asthma, and high blood pressure.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- The state driver license agency renewed the CDL for 4 years in 2007.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Florida</td>
<td>- Truck driver receives Veteran Affairs disability benefits since 1990 for multiple sclerosis.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Driver stated that the medical condition causes fatigue.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Driver stated that the last medical certificate received was in the late 1990s.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- The driver’s relative occasionally employs the driver to haul circus equipment to various shows, despite not having a current medical certificate.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- The state driver license agency renewed the CDL for about 4 years in 2007.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: GAO.

³Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) is a term referring to two lung diseases, chronic bronchitis and emphysema.
The following provides illustrative detailed information on three of the cases we examined.

Case 1: A bus driver in Maryland has been receiving Social Security disability benefits since March 2006 due to his heart conditions. Specifically, the driver had open heart surgery in 2003 to repair a ruptured aorta, had a stroke in 2005, and shortly thereafter had another surgery to replace a heart valve. In June 2006, approximately 3 months after Social Security determined the driver was fully disabled; the Maryland driver license agency renewed his CDL for 5 years with a “Passenger” endorsement. The bus driver provided our investigator a forged medical certificate. Specifically, we found that

- the medical certificate did not have the required medical license number,
- the physician did not have any record that the bus driver underwent a medical examination for a CDL, and
- the physician denied conducting a CDL medical exam or signing the medical certificate.

Surprisingly, the medical practice also had a copy of the forged medical certificate in its files. The medical practice’s staff stated, however, that it is not uncommon for a patient to bring documents to the office and ask that they be stored in their medical records. The driver’s CDL does not expire until 2011.

Case 2: A Virginia truck driver has received SSA disability benefits for over 10 years. The driver’s disability records indicate that that driver had multiple medical conditions, including complications due to an amputation, and that the driver is “also essentially illiterate.”\(^{13}\) The truck driver has a prosthetic right leg resulting from a farm accident. Although the driver possesses a current medical certificate, the medical examiner did not specify on the medical certificate that it is only valid when

\(^{13}\)To be qualified for a CDL, DOT regulations require that a driver “can read and speak the English language sufficiently to converse with the general public, to understand highway traffic signs and signals in the English language, to respond to official inquiries, and to make entries on reports and records.” 49 C.F.R. §391.11(b)(2).
accompanied with a Skills Performance Evaluation (SPE) certificate. To test his prosthetic leg, the truck driver stated that he was asked to push the medical examiner across the room in a rolling chair with the prosthetic leg. In our investigation, we attempted to contact the medical examiner but discovered that he is no longer employed by that clinic. The state revoked his medical license due to illegally distributing controlled substances.

In 2006, the truck driver was involved in a single vehicle accident when the load in his truck shifted when making a turn and the truck overturned. Prior to October 2007, the truck driver had a CDL with both “Tanker” and “Hazmat” endorsements. In October 2007, the state driver license agency renewed his CDL with a “Tanker” endorsement, which will not expire until 2012.

**Case 3:** A bus driver has been receiving Social Security disability benefits since 1994 for chronic obstructive pulmonary disorder (COPD). The bus driver currently uses three daily inhalers to control his breathing and has a breathing test conducted every 6 months. The bus driver stated that he “gets winded” when he walks to his mailbox and he “occasionally blacks out and forgets things.” However, the driver stated that he has no problem driving a bus, however, he cannot handle luggage or perform any other strenuous duties.

Despite not possessing a valid medical certificate, companies continue to hire him as a bus driver on an ad hoc basis. For example, the driver drove a passenger bus as recently as 1 month prior to the time of our interview. The driver stated that the companies have not asked to see his medical certificate. He further stated that because most companies are “hurting for drivers,” they “don’t ask a lot of questions” and pay many of their drivers in cash. The driver’s CDL expires in 2010.

**Agency Comments and Our Evaluation**

We provided a draft of our report to DOT for review and comment. We received e-mail comments on the draft on June 16, 2008, from FMCSA’s Office of Medical Programs. In FMCSA’s response, FMCSA stated that our first objective implies that individuals who are fully disabled have severe

---

14Drivers with amputations are disqualified from receiving a medical certificate unless they obtain an SPE from DOT.
medical conditions that may also prevent safe driving. FMCSA stated the following:

- Disability, even full disability associated with a diagnosis, does not necessarily mean that an individual is medically unfit to operate a commercial vehicle.

- Disability is not related necessarily to when a medical condition occurred or recurs. The onset of a disease or disabling medical condition is more relevant to medical fitness than when the disability benefits and payments began. As an example, a fully disabled individual may have accommodated to the disability and may improve with treatment while receiving lifelong disability payments.

- In general, a medical diagnosis alone is not adequate to determine medical fitness to operate a commercial vehicle safely. As an example, multiple sclerosis, while disabling, has several progressive phases, and is not necessarily disqualifying.

In addition, FMCSA did not believe that we accurately characterized the 15 cases where careful medical evaluations did not occur. FMCSA stated that this implies these drivers were evaluated by someone for medical fitness for duty, but in 9 cases, the driver was not certified or not evaluated by a medical examiner.

We believe our report clearly acknowledges that it is impossible to determine the extent to which these commercial drivers have medical conditions that would preclude them from safely driving a commercial vehicle. In the report, we state that commercial drivers with serious medical conditions can still meet DOT medical fitness requirements to safely operate a commercial vehicle and thus hold CDLs. Further, our report acknowledged that because medical determinations rely in large part on subjective factors that are not captured in databases, it is impossible to determine from data mining and matching the extent to which commercial drivers have a medical condition that precludes them from safely driving a commercial vehicle and therefore if the certification process is effective. Thus, our analysis provides a starting point for exploring the effectiveness of the current CDL medical certification process.

We also believe that we fairly characterize that all 15 cases did not have a careful medical evaluation. For all 15 cases that we reviewed, we found that the medical evaluation was not adequate or did not occur. Thus, we
conclude that a careful medical evaluation did not occur for all 15 drivers in our case studies.

FMCSA also provided us a technical comment which we incorporated in the report.

As agreed with your offices, unless you publicly release its contents earlier we plan no further distribution of this report until 30 days from its date. At that time, we will send copies of this report to the Secretary of Transportation. We will make copies available to others upon request. In addition, the report will be available at no charge on the GAO Web site at http://www.gao.gov.

Please contact me at (202) 512-6722 or kutzg@gao.gov if you have any questions concerning this report. Contact points for our Offices of Congressional Relations and Public Affairs may be found on the last page of this report. GAO staff who made key contributions to this report are listed in appendix VI.

[Signature]

Gregory D. Kutz
Managing Director
Forensic Audits and Special Investigations
Appendix I: Scope and Methodology

To determine to the extent possible the number of individuals holding a current commercial driver license (CDL) who have serious medical conditions, we presumed that individuals receiving full federal disability benefits were eligible for these benefits because of the seriousness of their medical conditions. As such, we obtained and analyzed the Department of Transportation’s (DOT) Commercial Driver License Information System (CDLIS) database as of May 2007. For the Social Security Administration (SSA) and the Department of Veterans Affairs (VA) we provided the CDLIS commercial driver information to those agencies. SSA and VA then matched the commercial drivers to the individuals receiving benefits for their disability programs and provided us those results. We also obtained and analyzed the recipient files for four additional federal disability programs. These include the Office of Personnel Management’s (OPM) civil service retirement program and the three programs administered by the Department of Labor: Black Lung, Federal Employee Compensation Act, and the Energy Employees Occupational Illness Compensation Program. We matched the CDL holders from CDLIS to the four federal disability recipient files based on social security number, name, and date of birth. We further analyzed the CDL and disability data to ensure that the commercial drivers met the following criteria:

- the individual must be currently receiving disability benefits, and
- the individual must be identified as 100 percent disabled according to the program's criteria.

Because CDLIS is an archival database, the CDLIS data contain information on expired CDLs. To identify the active drivers within CDLIS, we obtained CDL data from a nonrepresentative selection of 12 states. The 12 selected states, representing about 42 percent of all CDLs contained in CDLIS, are: California, Florida, Illinois, Kentucky, Maryland, Michigan, Minnesota, Montana, Tennessee, Texas, Virginia, and Wisconsin. The 12 states were selected primarily based on the size of the CDL population. Because commercial drivers may contract a serious medical condition after the issuance of the CDL, we also determined the number of

\[1\] OPM's disability criteria do not allow for precise identification of 100 percent disabled. Its definition of disabled is becoming “disabled because of a medical condition, resulting in a deficiency in performance, conduct, or attendance, or if there is no such deficiency, the disabling medical condition must be incompatible with either useful and efficient service or retention in the position.” 5 C.F.R §844.103. Of the 563,000 CDLIS-disability matches, about 1,500 (0.26 percent) came from OPM.
individuals that received their CDL subsequent to when the federal agencies determined the individual to be eligible for full disability benefits. Our estimate does not include drivers with severe medical conditions that are not in the selected programs we analyzed.

We matched the 12 state CDL files to the six CDLIS-disability match files based on driver license number, and identified those CDLs that were current based on license status.

To provide case-study examples of commercial drivers who hold active CDLs while also receiving federal disability payments for a disqualifying medical condition, we focused on four states—Florida, Maryland, Minnesota, and Virginia. From these four states, we selected, in a nonrepresentative fashion, 15 commercial drivers for detailed investigation. We identified these driver cases based on our data analysis and mining. For each case, we interviewed, as appropriate, the commercial driver, the driver’s employer, and the driver’s physician to determine whether the medical condition should have precluded the driver from holding a valid CDL. For these 15 cases, we also reviewed state department of motor vehicle reports, police reports, and other public records.

Data Reliability

To determine the reliability of DOT’s CDLIS data, we used SSA’s Enumeration and Verification System to verify key data elements in the database that were used to perform our work.

For the federal disability databases, we assessed the reliability of the data from SSA and VA, which comprise 99 percent of the CDLIS-disability matches. To verify its reliability, we reviewed program logic used by the agencies to match the CDLIS data with their federal disability recipients. We also reviewed the current Performance and Accountability Reports for the agencies to verify that their systems had successfully undergone the required stewardship reviews.

For the 12 selected states’ CDL databases, we performed electronic testing of the specific data elements in the database that were used to perform our work. In addition, for 5 of the 12 states we verified the query logic used to create the CDL extract files. For the other 7 states we were unable to obtain the query logic.
We performed our investigative work from May 2007 to June 2008 in accordance with standards prescribed by the President’s Council on Integrity and Efficiency.
Appendix II: Medical Certificate Requirements

Federal regulations require that commercial drivers be examined and certified by a licensed medical examiner, such as licensed physician, physician's assistant, and nurse practitioner, to ensure they meet minimum physical qualifications prior to driving. It is the responsibility of both drivers and motor carriers employing drivers to ensure that drivers’ medical certificates are current. According to federal regulations, the medical examiner must be knowledgeable about the regulatory physical qualifications and guidelines as well as the driver's responsibilities and work environment. In general, the medical certification procedures include the following steps:

- The driver completes and certifies a medical certification form that includes information about the driver's health history. The form is provided to the medical examiner as part of the examination.

- The medical examiner discusses the driver’s health history and the side effects of prescribed medication and common over-the-counter medications.

- The medical examiner tests the driver’s vision, hearing, blood pressure, pulse rate, and urine specimen (for testing sugar and protein levels).

- The medical examiner conducts a physical examination and makes a determination on driver fitness.

- If the medical examiner determines the driver is fit to drive, he/she signs the medical certificate, which the driver must carry with his/her license. The certificate must be dated. The medical examiner keeps a copy in his/her records, and provides a copy to the driver’s employer.

- When the medical examiner finds medical conditions that prevent certification of the physical condition of the driver and this finding is in conflict with the findings of another medical examiner or the driver's personal physician, the driver can apply to the Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration (FMCSA) for a determination.

Federal regulations and the accompanying medical guidance provide criteria to the medical examiners for determining the physical condition of commercial drivers. Although the medical examiner makes the determination as to whether the driver is medically fit to operate a commercial vehicle, the following provides a general overview of the nature of the physical qualifications:
Appendix II: Medical Certificate Requirements

- no loss of physical limbs, including a foot, a leg, a hand, or an arm;
- no impairment of limbs that would interfere with grasping or their ability to perform normal tasks;¹
- no established medical history or clinical diagnosis of diabetes currently requiring insulin for control, respiratory dysfunction, or high blood pressure that would affect their ability to control or drive a commercial motor vehicle;
- no current diagnosis of a variety of coronary conditions and cardiovascular disease including congestive heart failure;
- no mental disease or psychiatric disorder that would interfere with their ability to drive a commercial vehicle safely;
- has distant visual acuity and hearing ability that meets stated standards;
- does not use a controlled substance or habit-forming drug; and
- has no current clinical diagnosis of alcoholism.

When operating a commercial motor vehicle, drivers must have a copy of the medical examiner’s certificate in their possession.² Motor carriers, in turn, are required to maintain a copy of the certificate in their files. When drivers are stopped for a roadside inspection, state inspectors can review the medical examiner’s certificate. During compliance reviews of motor carriers, FMCSA investigators may also verify the validity of medical certifications on file with the motor carrier.

¹If one of these first two conditions exists, the commercial driver is required to obtain a Skills Performance Evaluation (SPE) certificate.

²The Department of Transportation is finalizing a proposed rule to merge information from the medical examiner’s certificate into the commercial driver license process as required by the Motor Carrier Safety Improvement Act of 1999. The new rule would require drivers to provide a copy of their current medical examiner’s certificate to their state driver license agency. This new rule would make the state driver license agencies responsible for ensuring that holders of commercial driver licenses have current medical certificates.
Appendix III: Information on Commercial Drivers for the 12 Selected States

In the main portion of the report, we state that from the 12 selected states 114,000 commercial drivers had a current commercial driver license (CDL) even though they had a medical condition from which they received full federal disability benefits. Further, approximately 85,000, or about 63 percent of the active commercial drivers, were issued a CDL after the driver was approved for full federal disability benefit payments. Table 3 below provides details by each selected state on the number of (1) commercial drivers with active CDLs, (2) commercial drivers with an active CDL even though they had a medical condition from which they received full federal disability benefits, and (3) commercial drivers that were issued a CDL after the driver was approved for full federal disability benefit payments.

Table 3: Detail Information on Commercial Drivers for 12 Selected States

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
<th>Drivers with active CDLs</th>
<th>Drivers with active CDLs receiving full federal disability payments</th>
<th>Drivers where CDL issued after full federal disability was approved</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>California</td>
<td>683,300</td>
<td>11,600</td>
<td>6,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Florida</td>
<td>603,700</td>
<td>22,500</td>
<td>19,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illinois</td>
<td>377,800</td>
<td>7,800</td>
<td>6,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kentucky</td>
<td>142,100</td>
<td>4,800</td>
<td>3,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maryland</td>
<td>129,300</td>
<td>2,400</td>
<td>1,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michigan</td>
<td>377,300</td>
<td>14,900</td>
<td>12,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minnesota</td>
<td>240,100</td>
<td>5,500</td>
<td>4,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montana</td>
<td>51,100</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tennessee</td>
<td>206,600</td>
<td>6,200</td>
<td>4,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texas</td>
<td>819,300</td>
<td>22,600</td>
<td>16,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Virginia</td>
<td>215,500</td>
<td>7,800</td>
<td>5,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wisconsin</td>
<td>297,900</td>
<td>8,200</td>
<td>5,900</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: GAO.

Note: Numbers are rounded to the nearest hundred.
Appendix IV: Methods Used by States to Verify Commercial Driver Medical Certification

The states have adopted different levels of control to verify that commercial driver license applicants meet the Department of Transportation (DOT) medical certification requirements. As shown in figure 2, 25 states, or 50 percent, allow drivers to self-certify that they meet the requirements. The self-certification is often simply a check-box on the application. Eighteen states, or 36 percent, require that the commercial driver show the DOT medical certificate to the driver licensing agency at the time of application. Further, 6 states, or 12 percent, not only require that the driver show the DOT medical certificate at the time of application but also maintain a copy of the certificate in the driving records of the applicant. Finally, 1 state did not respond to the inquiries.

Figure 2: State Methods to Verify Medical Certification

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Allow driver to self-certify</th>
<th>Driver shows certificate</th>
<th>Driver's license agency retains copy of certificate</th>
<th>No answer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>25 (50.0%)</td>
<td>18 (36.0%)</td>
<td>6 (12.0%)</td>
<td>1 (2.0%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: State driver license agencies.
Table 2 in the main portion of the report provides information on five detailed case studies. Table 4 shows the remaining case studies that we investigated. As with the five cases discussed in the body of this testimony, we found drivers with a valid commercial driver license (CDL) who also had serious medical conditions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case</th>
<th>State</th>
<th>Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 6    | Maryland | • Truck driver receiving Federal Employee’s Compensation Act benefits since 2000 due to back injury.  
• Truck driver prescribed morphine for pain since at least 2004. Medical examiner stated that he was not aware of the prescription and would not have certified the driver under that condition.  
• Truck driver is currently bedridden and on bottled oxygen for lung disease.  
• The state driver license agency last renewed the CDL in 2002. |
| 7    | Maryland | • Truck driver receiving Social Security disability benefits since 2001 due to asbestosis.  
• The driver provided Maryland a medical certificate in 2007.  
• Medical examiner denied signing the medical certificate form and has not performed any medical examination of truck driver for over 5 years. Medical examiner stated that the examiner’s phone number and certificate number on the medical certificate were erroneous.  
• Medical examiner stated that the driver was not medically fit to drive because of the medical conditions.  
• The state driver license agency last renewed the CDL in 2007. |
• Bus driver never had CDL medical examination performed. Bus driver stated employer did not require medical certification.  
• Bus driver drove school bus for several years in early 2000s while receiving Social Security disability benefits.  
• Police officer cited bus driver as responsible for three-vehicle accident in 2006. The accident caused injuries to 16 people.  
• The state driver license agency last renewed the CDL in 2006. |
| 9    | Virginia | • Truck driver receiving Veterans Affairs (VA) disability benefits since 1998 for multiple conditions including emphysema, spinal arthritis, and limited arm motion.  
• Truck driver drove dump truck and snow plow.  
• Truck driver did not obtain a medical certificate. Truck driver stated that at times he had difficulty breathing and likely would not pass the medical examination for a CDL.  
• The state driver license agency last renewed the CDL in 2005. |
| 10   | Florida | • Truck driver receiving Social Security disability benefits since 2002 due to an amputation.  
• Driver stated that he also has had two surgeries on his back and cannot sit or stand for extended periods of time.  
• Driver does not have current medical certificate, and stated that he “does not think he would pass” the medical examination.  
• Driver denied driving a commercial vehicle in the past year. When told that DOT records indicate he had a roadside inspection in 2007 he became evasive and terminated the interview.  
• The state driver license agency last renewed the CDL in 2003. |
### Appendix V: Commercial Drivers with Serious Medical Conditions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case</th>
<th>State</th>
<th>Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 11   | Minnesota | Truck driver receiving Social Security disability benefits since 2001 for multiple medical conditions, including leg amputation.  
Medical examiner did not indicate on medical certificate that a Skills Performance Evaluation (SPE) is required. The truck driver stated that he did not have an SPE or a waiver.  
The state driver license agency last renewed the CDL in 2005. |
| 12   | Minnesota | Bus driver receiving VA disability since 2001 for multiple sclerosis.  
The driver could not provide a copy of the CDL medical certificate. Driver stated that he did not know when he last took a medical certification examination.  
The state driver license agency last renewed the CDL in 2004. |
| 13   | Minnesota | Commercial driver receiving VA disability since 2004 for epilepsy, schizophrenia, posttraumatic stress disorder, and depression.  
The driver could not provide a copy of the CDL medical certificate. Driver stated he had not taken a medical certification examination since early 2000s.  
Driver stated that he is taking several medications to control his mental illnesses.  
The state driver license agency last renewed the CDL in 2004. |
| 14   | Virginia | Truck driver receiving Social Security disability benefits since 2001 for chronic obstructive pulmonary disorder (COPD) and heart failure.  
Truck driver never had medical certification examination performed. Driver stated that he would probably not pass the CDL medical examination.  
Truck driver stated he occasionally drove a dump truck.  
The state driver license agency last renewed the CDL in 2007. |
| 15   | Maryland | Truck driver receiving Social Security disability benefits since 2001 due to complete deafness.  
Truck driver operates a dump truck as part of an excavating business.  
Medical examiner admitted error in certifying medical fitness of driver.  
The state driver license agency last renewed the CDL in 2006. |

Source: GAO.
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