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## What GAO Found

DOD's active, reserve, and National Guard components met most aggregate recruiting and retention goals for enlisted personnel from fiscal years (FY) 2000-2004. However, for FY 2005, 5 of 10 components-the Army, Army Reserve, Army National Guard, Air National Guard, and Navy Reservemissed their recruiting goals by 8 to 20 percent. Most of the components met their aggregate retention goals for FY 2000-2004, but the Navy experienced shortages in FY 2005 of up to 8 percent. Also, factors such as the shrinking numbers of new recruits in delayed entry programs and the use of stop loss, which delays servicemembers from leaving active duty, indicate that the components may experience future recruiting challenges.

All components exceeded authorized personnel levels for some occupational specialties and did not meet others. Specifically, GAO found that 19 percent of DOD's 1,484 occupational specialties were consistently overfilled and 41 percent were consistently underfilled from FY 2000-2005. While the components offered reasons why occupational specialties may be over- or underfilled, GAO believes that consistently over- and underfilled occupational specialties are a systemic problem for DOD that raises two critical questions. First, what is the cost to the taxpayer to retain thousands more personnel than necessary in consistently overfilled occupational specialties? Second, how can DOD components continue to effectively execute their mission with consistently underfilled occupational specialties? In FY 2005, almost 31,000 more servicemembers than authorized served in occupational specialties that have been consistently overfilled. GAO determined that it costs the federal government about $\$ 103,000$ annually, on average, to compensate each enlisted active duty servicemember in FY 2004. In contrast, DOD was unable to fill over 112,000 positions in consistently underfilled occupational specialties, raising concerns about the validity of the authorized personnel levels. DOD requires the active components to report on critical occupational specialties for recruiting and retention, which amounts to at most 16 percent of their 625 specialties. However, DOD does not require them to report on their noncritical occupational specialties, and does not require the reserve or National Guard components to report on any of their 859 specialties. Consequently, DOD does not have the necessary information to develop an effective plan to address the root causes of the components' recruiting and retention challenges.

DOD has taken steps to enhance recruiting and retention, but lacks information on financial incentives provided for certain occupational specialties. GAO found that the components offered financial incentives to servicemembers in consistently overfilled occupational specialties. However, because DOD only requires the components to provide minimal justification for their use of financial incentives, it lacks the information needed to provide assurance to the Secretary of Defense, Congress, and the taxpayer that the increasing amount of funding spent on recruiting and retention is appropriately and effectively targeted to occupational specialties for which the components have the greatest need.
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November 17, 2005

## Congressional Committees

The Department of Defense (DOD) faces a significant challenge in recruiting and retaining hundreds of thousands of new servicemembers each year, not only to meet annual legislatively mandated aggregate personnel levels, but also to meet authorized personnel requirements within its hundreds of occupational specialties. To further complicate an already challenging recruiting environment, DOD reports that over half of today's youth between the ages of 16 and 21 are not qualified to serve in the military because they fail to meet the military's entry standards for education, aptitude, health, moral character, or other requirements. ${ }^{1}$ Furthermore, parents, teachers, and other influencers are less inclined to encourage young people to join the military. In fiscal year 2005 alone, DOD had goals to recruit almost 170,000 personnel into its active duty forces and about 131,000 personnel into its reserve and National Guard components. ${ }^{2}$ Additionally, in 2005, DOD had to retain tens of thousands of personnel to sustain its force of about 2.7 million military servicemembers. ${ }^{3}$ Today's immediate military recruiting and retention challenge centers on the continuing deployment of over 180,000 ground forces from the active, reserve, and National Guard components to the war zone in Iraq. Military officials have testified that fiscal year 2005 proved to be a difficult recruiting year and believe the recruiting environment will become even more challenging in fiscal year 2006. ${ }^{4}$

[^0]The key to managing the force to meet operational demands is for DOD's components to balance accessions and losses within the confines of their legislatively mandated personnel levels. However, simply meeting these mandated aggregate personnel levels could present a misleading picture of recruiting and retention challenges. Detailed information concerning whether the components have met their authorized personnel levels for occupational specialties, the reasons why certain occupational specialties may be over- or underfilled, and justifications for the use of incentives provided to servicemembers are important elements of an effective recruiting and retention plan.

Given the extraordinary scope of the current military overseas operational commitments, many members of Congress have expressed considerable interest in DOD's ability to recruit and retain sufficient numbers of servicemembers with the required skills and experience to accomplish its multiple missions. In response to this broad congressional interest, we undertook a review, under the authority of the Comptroller General, of military recruiting and retention. In March 2005, we submitted a statement for the record to the Subcommittee on Military Personnel, Committee on Armed Services, House of Representatives, which outlined our preliminary observations on DOD's enlisted personnel recruiting and retention issues. ${ }^{5}$ This report, which updates that statement, addresses enlisted servicemembers only. Specifically, for this report we: (1) assessed the extent to which DOD's active, reserve, and National Guard components met their enlisted aggregate recruiting and retention goals; (2) assessed the extent to which the components met their authorized personnel levels for enlisted occupational specialties; and (3) analyzed the steps DOD has taken to address recruiting and retention challenges.

To address these objectives, we examined DOD policies, regulations, and directives related to recruiting and retention of military servicemembers. We also reviewed recruiting and retention reports and briefings issued by GAO, DOD, the military services, the Congressional Research Service, the Congressional Budget Office, and research organizations such as RAND. Additionally, we visited and interviewed officials within the Office of the Under Secretary of Defense for Personnel and Readiness and DOD's 10 components, including the active duty, reserve, and National Guard components' headquarters and their recruiting commands to obtain

[^1]
#### Abstract

insights into recruiting and retention challenges. We also analyzed individual component databases containing recruiting and retention data on active, reserve, and Guard servicemembers. We relied primarily on data covering fiscal years 2000 through June 30, 2005, the last fiscal quarter for which data were complete, but in certain cases, we were able to update the data with more current information. We also determined that the data used in this report were sufficiently reliable for our purposes. We conducted our work from January 2005 through October 2005 in accordance with generally accepted government auditing standards. Additional information on our scope and methodology is presented in appendix I.


While DOD's 10 components generally met their aggregate recruiting and retention goals for enlisted servicemembers in fiscal years 2000 through 2004, they faced greater recruiting difficulties in fiscal year 2005, and several factors suggest they will continue to be challenged in meeting future recruiting and retention goals. With respect to recruiting, most components met their aggregate recruiting goals for fiscal years 2000 through 2004. However, in fiscal year 2005, 5 of the 10 components-the Army, Army Reserve, Army National Guard, Air National Guard, and Navy Reserve-missed their recruiting goals by a range of 8 to 20 percent. Most of the components met their aggregate retention goals for fiscal years 2000 through 2004, but the Navy experienced aggregate shortages by up to 8 percent in fiscal year 2005. However, several factors suggest that the components may have difficulty meeting future recruiting and retention goals. For example, all the active components experienced shortfalls in the number of new recruits in their delayed entry programs, which suggest that they will likely experience difficulties in meeting their aggregate recruiting and retention goals in the future. ${ }^{6}$ The active components prefer to enter each fiscal year with 35 to 65 percent of the coming year's recruiting goals already filled by recruits in the delayed entry program. Current data show, however, that the components are not meeting these targets. Also, through its continued use of the "stop loss" program, the Army prevented over 15,000 soldiers, or less than one-tenth of a percent of DOD's total military force-Army, Army Reserve, and Army National Guard-from leaving active duty in June 2005, even though these soldiers had completed their

[^2]military obligations. ${ }^{7}$ Moreover, since fiscal year 2002, the Army Reserve has called to active duty about 7,000 members of the Individual Ready Reserve to help alleviate personnel shortages in the Army, Army Reserve, and Army National Guard. ${ }^{8}$ As of August 2005, over 4,000 of these soldiers were still on active duty, serving a maximum of 2 years.

All components exceeded authorized personnel levels for some occupational specialties and did not meet others. Specifically, we found that 19 percent of DOD's 1,484 occupational specialties were overfilled and 41 percent were underfilled in at least 5 years from fiscal years 2000 through 2005. Component officials provided several reasons why occupational specialties were over- or underfilled, including high demand occupational specialties that are critical to meet mission, overall force structure changes, and extensive training or qualification requirements. Additionally, officials in some components told us that they assign new recruits to occupational specialties that are overfilled, at times, to meet end-of-year aggregate personnel levels mandated by Congress. We believe that consistently over- and underfilled occupational specialties are a systemic problem for DOD that raises two critical questions. First, what is the cost to the taxpayer to retain thousands more personnel than necessary in consistently overfilled occupational specialties? Second, how can DOD components continue to effectively execute their mission with consistently underfilled occupational specialties? In fiscal year 2005, almost 31,000 more servicemembers than authorized served in occupational specialties that have been consistently overfilled. We determined that it cost the federal government about $\$ 103,000$ annually, on average, to compensate each enlisted active duty servicemember in fiscal year 2004. In contrast, DOD was unable to fill over 112,000 positions in consistently underfilled occupational specialties, raising concerns about the validity of authorized personnel levels. DOD, specifically the Office of the Under Secretary of Defense (OUSD), began requiring the active components to report information on those occupational specialties the components determine to be critical for recruiting in fiscal year 2004, and information on those occupational specialties the components determine to be critical for retention, beginning in fiscal year 2005. Collectively, the critical

[^3]occupational specialties (such as Army Infantrymen and Marine Corps Counter Intelligence Specialists) that the active components report on represent at most 16 percent of DOD's 625 active duty occupational specialties. Consequently, DOD is currently not in a position to monitor and evaluate at least 84 percent of active component occupational specialties because it does not require the active duty components to report on "noncritical" occupational specialties. Moreover, DOD does not require the reserve or National Guard components to report on any of their 859 occupational specialties. In July 2005, DOD issued Directive 1304.20 that requires the components to meet aggregate and occupational specialtyspecific authorized personnel levels. However, until all components report on all over- and underfilled occupational specialties, including information as to the reasons why these occupational specialties are over- and underfilled, and DOD determines if the new directive is having its desired effect, the department cannot develop an effective plan to assist the components in addressing the root causes of recruiting and retention challenges. Moreover, DOD will be unable to address the critical questions we raised concerning the economic impact on the taxpayer of having thousands more personnel than necessary in consistently overfilled occupational specialties and how the department's components can effectively execute their mission with consistently underfilled occupational specialties.

DOD and the components have taken several steps, such as adjusting their recruiting, advertising, and financial incentives programs, to address their recruiting and retention difficulties; however, DOD lacks information on financial incentives provided for certain occupational specialties, which makes it difficult for the department to determine whether the financial incentives are being targeted most effectively. From fiscal years 2000 through 2006, DOD's collective recruiting program budgets ranged from approximately $\$ 631.6$ million to $\$ 800.7$ million; its advertising budgets ranged from approximately $\$ 506.6$ million to $\$ 663.0$ million; and its budgets for financial incentives, such as enlistment or selective reenlistment bonuses, ranged from approximately $\$ 149.3$ million to $\$ 551.6$ million. As in previous years, several components used these programs to address recruiting and retention needs in fiscal year 2005. Five components, for example, increased the number of recruiters, three components made adjustments to their advertising programs, and several components increased the financial incentives they offer to servicemembers serving in specific occupational specialties. While DOD requires the active components to report the rationale for the incentives they provide to servicemembers in critical occupational specialties, it does not require the
components to provide fully transparent rationales for the incentives provided to servicemembers in the remaining noncritical occupational specialties (about 84 percent). In some instances, we found that DOD's active components were paying financial incentives to servicemembers in selected occupational specialties that have been consistently overfilled in the last 5 or 6 years. Officials explained that components target incentives to underfilled pay grades within occupational specialties that may be overfilled as a whole. Additionally, an official also stated that the requirement to meet legislatively mandated aggregate personnel levels result in, at times, offering bonuses to servicemembers who will serve in overfilled specialties, simply to meet their mandated aggregate personnel levels. Because DOD only requires the components to provide minimal justification on their use of financial incentives paid to servicemembers in all occupational specialties, it lacks the information needed to ensure funding spent on recruiting and retention is appropriately and effectively targeted to occupational specialties for which the components have the greatest need and to determine if other types of corrective action are needed.

We are making recommendations that would require the 10 active, reserve, and National Guard components to report all of their over- and underfilled occupational specialties, including reasons why the occupational specialties are over- and underfilled, and to justify their use of financial incentives provided to servicemembers in occupational specialties that have more personnel than authorized. Based on this information, we also recommend that DOD develop a management plan to address recruiting and retention challenges.

In written comments on a draft of this report, DOD partially concurred with our recommendations. DOD's comments are included in this report as appendix V .

## Background

Conditions today present some of the most difficult recruiting and retention challenges DOD has experienced in recent history. Since the September 11, 2001, terrorist attacks on the United States, DOD has launched three major military operations requiring significant numbers of military servicemembers: Operation Noble Eagle, which covers military operations related to homeland security; Operation Enduring Freedom, which includes ongoing military operations in Afghanistan and certain other countries; and Operation Iraqi Freedom, which includes ongoing military operations in Iraq. These military operations have greatly
increased personnel tempo, especially in the Army, Marine Corps, Army National Guard, and Army Reserve, which have provided the bulk of the military servicemembers for operations in Iraq. Additionally, when Hurricanes Katrina and Rita hit the Gulf Coast in August and September 2005, respectively, resulting in possibly the largest natural disaster relief and recovery operations in U.S. history, DOD was called upon to provide extensive search and rescue, evacuation, and medical support.

DOD relies on four active components-the Army, Navy, Marine Corps, and Air Force-and four reserve and two National Guard components-the Army National Guard, Army Reserve, Navy Reserve, Marine Corps Reserve, Air National Guard, and Air Force Reserve-to meet its mission. ${ }^{9}$ Each year, Congress authorizes an annual year-end authorized personnel level for each component. In fiscal year 2005, the authorized personnel levels for the four active, four reserve, and two National Guard components totaled approximately 2.3 million military servicemembers. ${ }^{10}$ In order to meet legislatively mandated authorized personnel levels, DOD must balance accessions and losses. Meeting this authorization requirement is a function of recruiting and retention.

The term recruiting refers to the military components' ability to bring new members into the military to carry out mission-essential tasks in the near term and to begin creating a sufficient pool of entry-level servicemembers to develop into future midlevel and upper-level military leaders. To accomplish this task, active, reserve, and National Guard components set goals for accessions, or new recruits, who will enter basic training each year, and strive to meet their annual goals through their recruiting programs; advertising; and, where needed, financial incentives. The term retention refers to the military services' ability to retain servicemembers with the necessary skills and experience. Again, the components rely on financial incentives, where needed, to meet their retention goals.

The components further delineate their force structure through occupational specialties. These occupational specialties, totaling about 1,500 across DOD in the active, reserve, and National Guard components,

[^4]represent the jobs that are necessary for the components to meet their specific missions. These occupational specialties cover a variety of jobs, such as infantrymen, dental technicians, personnel clerks, journalists, and air traffic controllers.

> DOD's Components Met Most Aggregate Recruiting and Retention Goals in the Past 6 Fiscal Years, but Recent Trends Prompt Concerns

While DOD's active, reserve, and National Guard components met most of their aggregate recruiting and retention goals in the past 6 fiscal years, they faced greater recruiting difficulties in fiscal year 2005. With respect to recruiting, most components met overall goals from fiscal years 2000 through 2004, but 5 of the 10 components experienced recruiting difficulties in fiscal year 2005. Most components also met their aggregate retention goals in the past 6 fiscal years, but the Navy experienced retention shortages in fiscal year 2005. We note, however, that the components have taken certain actions that suggest they may be challenged to meet future recruiting and retention goals.

Components Generally Met Aggregate Recruiting Goals in Past 6 Fiscal Years, but Five Components Experienced Recruiting Difficulties in Fiscal Year 2005

The active, reserve, and National Guard components generally met their aggregate recruiting goals for enlisted servicemembers for the past 6 fiscal years, but 5 of the 10 components experienced recruiting difficulties in 2005. DOD's recruiting data presented in table 1 show that with the exception of the Army, all of the active duty components met their overall recruiting goals. By the end of fiscal year 2005, the Army achieved about 92 percent of its recruiting goal.

Table 1: Percentage of Active Duty Enlisted Aggregate Recruiting Goals Met for Fiscal Years 2000 through 2005

| Fiscal <br> year | Army | Navy | Marine Corps | Air Force |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| 2000 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 102 |
| 2001 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 102 |
| 2002 | 100 | 100 | 101 | 102 |
| 2003 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |

(Continued From Previous Page)

| Fiscal <br> year | Army | Navy | Marine Corps | Air Force |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2004 | 101 | 101 | 100 | 101 |
| 2005 | 92 | 100 | 100 | 102 |
| Source: DOD. |  |  |  |  |

Table 2 shows that, while DOD's reserve and National Guard components generally met or exceeded their enlisted aggregate recruiting goals for fiscal years 2000 through 2004, four components missed their recruiting goals in 1 or 2 years during that period by 6 to 20 percent. For example, the Army National Guard achieved 82 percent and 87 percent of its recruiting objectives in fiscal years 2003 and 2004, respectively, and the Air National Guard achieved 87 percent and 94 percent of its recruiting objectives in fiscal years 2001 and 2004, respectively. Additionally, in fiscal year 2000, both the Navy Reserve and Air Force Reserve missed their recruiting goals by 19 percent and 20 percent, respectively.

Reserve and National Guard recruiting data through the end of fiscal year 2005 show that the reserve and National Guard components experienced difficulties in meeting their 2005 aggregate recruiting goals. Only the Marine Corps Reserve, achieving 102 percent, and the Air Force Reserve, achieving 113 percent, surpassed their goals. The Army Reserve achieved 84 percent of its overall recruiting goal; the Army National Guard, 80 percent; the Air National Guard, 86 percent; and the Navy Reserve, 88 percent. Appendix II contains more detailed information on components' recruiting goals and achievements.

Table 2: Percentage of Reserve Component Enlisted Aggregate Recruiting Goals Met for Fiscal Years 2000 through 2005

| Fiscal <br> year | Army <br> National <br> Guard | Army <br> Reserve | Navy <br> Reserve | Marine <br> Corps <br> Reserve | Air National <br> Guard | Air Force <br> Reserve |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2000 | 113 | 100 | 81 | 101 | 106 | 80 |
| 2001 | 103 | 102 | 101 | 102 | 87 | 110 |
| 2002 | 105 | 107 | 102 | 103 | 106 | 114 |
| 2003 | 82 | 102 | 106 | 101 | 148 | 101 |
| 2004 | 87 | 101 | 111 | 102 | 94 | 111 |
| 2005 | 80 | 84 | 88 | 102 | 86 | 113 |

Source: DOD.

> Components Met Aggregate Retention Goals in Past 6 Fiscal Years, but the Navy Experienced Retention Difficulties in Fiscal Year 2005

As with recruiting, the active, reserve, and National Guard components generally met or surpassed their enlisted aggregate retention goals during the past 6 fiscal years, but in fiscal year 2005, 1 of the 10 components experienced retention shortages. DOD's active components track retention by years of service and first, second, or subsequent enlistments. ${ }^{11}$ Table 3 shows that from fiscal years 2000 through 2004, the Army met all of its retention goals and that the 3 remaining active components missed some of their retention goals in 1 or 2 years during that period by at most 8 percent. For example, the Air Force did not meet its goal for second term

[^5]reenlistments by up to 8 percent during this period. In fact, the Air Force did not meet this goal in 4 of the past 6 fiscal years and missed its goal for career third-term reenlistments in 2000, 2001, and 2002. The Navy did not meet its goal for reenlistments among enlisted servicemembers who have served from 10 to 14 years in 3 of the past 5 fiscal years, and the Marine Corps did not meet its goal for subsequent reenlistments in fiscal year 2003 only.

In fiscal year 2005, retention data again show most active components generally met their enlisted aggregate retention goals, with the exception of the Navy. The Navy did not meet its end-of-year retention goals for servicemembers with less than 6 years of service by about 2 percent, and for servicemembers with 6 to 10 years of service by about 8 percent. Appendix III contains more detailed information on active components' retention goals and achievements.

Table 3: Percentage of Active Duty Enlisted Aggregate Retention Goals Met for Fiscal Years 2000 through 2005

| Component | Percentage of goal met (2000) | Percentage of goal met (2001) | Percentage of goal met (2002) | Percentage of goal met (2003) | Percentage of goal met (2004) | Percentage of goal met (2005) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Army |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Initial | 107.0 | 101.3 | 101.7 | 110.2 | 108.3 | 103.3 |
| Mid-career | 101.8 | 101.6 | 101.6 | 105.9 | 104.1 | 102.7 |
| Career | 106.1 | 101.7 | 104.7 | 100.4 | 109.2 | 128.5 |
| Navy |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zone A | N/A | 99.8 | 104.8 | 110.4 | 96.6 | 97.7 |
| Zone B | N/A | 98.8 | 102.1 | 105.1 | 100.3 | 91.6 |
| Zone C | N/A | 95.5 | 97.1 | 102.2 | 102.2 | 99.8 |
| Marine Corps |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| First term | 100.9 | 100.0 | 102.5 | 99.6 | 100.6 | 103.4 |
| Subsequent | N/A | N/A | 125.5 | 94.2 | 137.3 | 137.6 |
| Air Force ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| First term | 96.5 | 102.0 | 131.1 | 110.0 | 114.5 | 107.7 |
| Second term | 92.9 | 91.9 | 104.4 | 92.3 | 93.3 | 115.9 |
| Career | 95.6 | 94.9 | 99.6 | 100.2 | 102.1 | 105.9 |

Source: DOD.
Note: N/A indicates that data were not available or incomplete.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ The Air Force introduced new metrics—Average Career Length and Cumulative Continuation Ratesin July 2005 to more accurately measure enlisted retention patterns.

DOD tracks reserve and National Guard components' retention through attrition rates, a measure of the ratio of people who leave those components in a given year compared to the components' total authorized personnel levels. These components establish attrition goals, which represent the maximum percentage of the force that they can lose each year and still meet authorized personnel levels. Annual attrition ceilings were originally established in fiscal year 2000 and have remained unchanged for each of the components. Table 4 shows that the reserve and National Guard components were generally at or below their attrition thresholds, thereby meeting their retention goals. Only three components, the Army National Guard, Army Reserve, and Air National Guard exceeded their attrition thresholds during fiscal years 2000 through 2003, and these thresholds were exceeded by about 1 percentage point or less.

Table 4: Percentage of Reserve and National Guard Enlisted Aggregate Attrition Goals and Achievements for Fiscal Years 2000 through 2005

| Component | Goal (ceiling) | Fiscal year 2000 actual | Fiscal year 2001 actual | Fiscal year 2002 actual | Fiscal year 2003 actual | Fiscal <br> year 2004 actual | Fiscal year 2000 actual year to date (June) | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Fiscal } \\ \text { year } 2004 \\ \text { actual } \\ \text { year to } \\ \text { date } \\ \text { (June) } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Fiscal } \\ \text { year } 2005 \\ \text { actual } \\ \text { year to } \\ \text { date } \\ \text { (June) } \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Army National Guard | 19.5 | 18.0 | 20.0 | 20.6 | 18.1 | 18.6 | 14.4 | 14.1 | 15.6 |
| Army Reserve | 28.6 | 29.4 | 27.4 | 24.6 | 22.1 | 22.6 | 21.6 | 15.6 | 17.2 |
| Navy Reserve | 36.0 | 27.1 | 27.6 | 26.5 | 26.5 | 28.2 | 21.7 | 21.8 | 23.9 |
| Marine Corps Reserve | 30.0 | 28.5 | 26.4 | 26.0 | 21.4 | 26.3 | 22.1 | 21.0 | 16.0 |
| Air National Guard | 12.0 | 11.0 | 9.6 | 7.2 | 12.7 | 11.5 | 8.8 | 9.0 | 7.8 |
| Air Force Reserve | 18.0 | 13.9 | 13.4 | 8.7 | 17.0 | 13.6 | 12.0 | 10.3 | 11.1 |

Source: DOD.

Several Factors Suggest
Recruiting and Retention Difficulties Are Likely to Continue

Several factors suggest the components are likely to continue experiencing difficulties in meeting their aggregate recruiting and retention goals in the future. DOD previously reported that over half of today's youth between the ages of 16 and 21 are not qualified to serve in the military because they fail to meet the military's entry standards. ${ }^{12}$ In addition, all active components are experiencing reduced numbers of applicants in their delayed entry programs. Also, each of the components initiated a stop loss program at various times in the past 6 fiscal years that prevented servicemembers from leaving active duty after they completed their obligations, although the Army, Army National Guard, and Army Reserve are the only components still employing stop loss. Furthermore, the Army Reserve has recalled members of the Individual Ready Reserve.

[^6]
## Delayed Entry Program


#### Abstract

All of the active components are experiencing shrinking numbers of new recruits in their delayed entry programs. ${ }^{13}$ According to a DOD official from the Office of the Secretary of Defense, the delayed entry program is viewed as a depository for future soldiers, sailors, and airmen. When prospects sign their contracts, they enter into the pool of applicants awaiting the date that they report to basic training. While in the delayed entry program, the applicants are the responsibility of the recruiter and, as such, are taught basic military protocols and procedures, such as saluting and rank recognition. Applicants generally spend no more than a year in the delayed entry program and no less than 10 days. Before being released from the delayed entry program, applicants are medically qualified, take an initial oath of enlistment, and perform other personal business prior to reporting to basic training. According to the same DOD official, a healthy delayed entry program is imperative to a successful recruiting year. If the active components come in at or near their delayed entry program goals for the year, they can be relatively sure they will achieve their annual recruiting goals. If they fall short of their delayed entry program goals, the components try to make up the shortfalls by sending individuals to basic training as early as the same month in which they sign enlistment papers.


Typically, the active components prefer to enter a fiscal year with 35 percent to 65 percent of their coming year's accession goals, depending on the component, already filled by recruits in the delayed entry program. Figure 1 shows the percentage of new recruits in the delayed entry programs compared to the components' goals for fiscal years 2003 through 2006. For example, the Army's goal is to enter each fiscal year with 35 percent of its upcoming annual accession goal already in the delayed entry program. The Army exceeded this goal when it entered fiscal year 2004 with 46 percent of its annual accession goal already in the program. However, it entered fiscal year 2005 with only 25 percent of its accession goal in the program and, as of August 2005, is projected to enter fiscal year 2006 with 4 percent of its accession goal in the program. The Navy, with an annual goal of 65 percent for its delayed entry program, is projected to enter fiscal year 2006 with almost 36 percent of its accession goal in the program. The Marine Corps, with an annual delayed entry program goal of 43 percent, entered fiscal year 2004 well above this goal, with 71 percent of its accession goal in the program, 54 percent in 2005, and a projected 26 percent in fiscal year 2006. Similarly, the Air Force, with a delayed entry

[^7]program goal of 43 percent, projected to enter fiscal year 2006 with almost 22 percent of its accession goal in the program. The fact that all the active duty components entered fiscal year 2006 with at least 40 percent fewer recruits in their delayed entry programs than desired suggests that the active duty components will likely face recruiting difficulties in fiscal year 2006.

Figure 1: Percentage of New Recruits in Delayed Entry Programs Compared to the Components' Goals for Fiscal Years 2003 through 2006
Percentage of new recruits in delayed entry programs


Source: DOD.
Notes: Data on the Marine Corps's 2003 delayed entry program were not available. All 2006 data cover through the end of July.

Stop Loss
Although some components have employed stop loss over the past several years, the Army, Army Reserve, and Army National Guard are the only components currently using it. The stop loss program, according to several Army officials, is used primarily for units that are deployed and is intended
to maintain unit cohesion. A DOD official told us that in June 2005, the Army stop loss program affected over 15,000 soldiers, or less than onetenth of a percent of DOD's total military force- 9,044 active component soldiers, 3,762 reserve soldiers, and 2,480 National Guard soldiers. The active Army and Army Reserve stop loss program takes effect 90 days prior to unit deployment or with official deployment order notification, and remains in effect through the date of redeployment to permanent duty stations, plus a maximum of 90 days. Army headquarters' officials said that several Army initiatives, such as restructuring and rebalancing the active and reserve component mix, will, over time, eliminate the need for stop loss. Congress has expressed concern that the use of stop loss to meet overall personnel requirements may have a negative impact on recruiting and retention and the public's perception of the military. Another Army official told us that the attention this program has received in the media may have created some of the negative implications on the public's perception of military service. Additionally, an Army Reserve official we spoke with stated that when active duty units are affected by stop loss, servicemembers who have not completed their military obligations in those units may be delayed in transitioning from active duty into the reserve or National Guard components, potentially creating more difficulties for these components to meet their recruiting and retention goals.

Individual Ready Reserve

The Army Reserve has also recalled members of the Individual Ready Reserve-which, according to an Army Reserve official, is used to fill personnel shortfalls in active, reserve, and National Guard units-to address recruiting and retention difficulties. All soldiers, whether in an active, reserve, or National Guard component, agree to at least an 8-year service commitment in their initial enlistment contracts. This obligation exists regardless of how much time is to be served in the active, reserve, or National Guard component, or some combination of the active and reserve or National Guard components under the enlistment contract. If the soldier is separated from the active duty Army, reserve, or National Guard component before the 8 -year commitment has been completed, the soldier may elect to remain in active duty Army, affiliate with the reserves, or be assigned to the Individual Ready Reserve. In the latter case, servicemembers are subject to recall, if needed. Almost 7,000 soldiers, including about 600 servicemembers who volunteered to serve and about 6,400 others under contractual obligation, have been deployed from the

## Individual Ready Reserve since 2002. As of August 2005, over 4,000 of these

 soldiers were still on active duty, serving a maximum of 2 years. ${ }^{14}$
## Components Have Exceeded Authorized Personnel Levels for Some Occupational Specialties and Experienced Shortages in Others


#### Abstract

All components exceeded authorized personnel levels for some occupational specialties and did not meet others. Specifically, we found certain occupational specialties have been consistently over- or underfilled when compared to their actual personnel authorizations. ${ }^{15}$ We believe these consistently overfilled and underfilled occupational specialties raise critical questions. First, what is the cost to the taxpayer to retain thousands more personnel than necessary in consistently overfilled occupational specialties? Second, how can DOD components continue to effectively execute their mission with consistently underfilled occupational specialties? However, because DOD lacks information from the components on all over- and underfilled occupational specialties, including reasons why these occupational specialties are over- and underfilled, it cannot address these questions and develop a plan to assist the components in addressing the root causes of its recruiting and retention challenges.


[^8]
## Certain Occupational Specialties Have Been Consistently Over- or Underfilled Compared to Their Authorized Personnel Levels

Of nearly 1,500 enlisted occupational specialties across DOD, about 19 percent were consistently overfilled and about 41 percent were consistently underfilled from fiscal years 2000 through 2005, as shown in figure $2{ }^{16}$ In fiscal year 2005 alone, within the occupational specialties that consistently exceeded authorization, there were almost 31,000 more servicemembers in these occupational specialties than authorized. At the same time, DOD was not able to fill over 112,000 positions in consistently underfilled occupational specialties.

Figure 2: Percentage of Enlisted Occupational Specialties That Were Consistently Over- or Underfilled across DOD for Fiscal Years 2000 through 2005


Source: GAO analysis of DOD data.
Note: We defined consistently over- or underfilled as being over or under the occupational specialty's authorized level for 5 or 6 fiscal years.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ All other occupational specialties refers to those occupational specialties that have not been consistently over- or underfilled from fiscal years 2000 through 2005.

The percentage of consistently over- and underfilled occupational specialties varies across the components. For example, from fiscal years 2000 through 2005, the percentage of consistently overfilled occupational specialties ranged from 1 percent in the active Navy to 44 percent in the Navy Reserve. Similarly, from fiscal years 2000 through 2005, the percentage of consistently underfilled occupational specialties ranged from

[^9]16 percent in the active Army to 65 percent in the active Navy. Table 5 provides information on consistently over- and underfilled occupational specialties for each component. ${ }^{17}$ Appendix IV provides more detailed information on the occupational specialties that were consistently overand underfilled for each component.

Table 5: Percentage of Consistently Overfilled and Underfilled Active and Reserve Enlisted Occupational Specialties for Fiscal Years 2000 through 2005

| Component | Total number of occupational specialties (2005) | Consistently overfilled ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | Consistently underfilled |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Number of occupational specialties | Percentage of occupational specialties | Number of occupational specialties | Percentage of occupational specialties |
| Army | 182 | 33 | 18 | 29 | 16 |
| Navy | $74{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 1 | 1 | 48 | 65 |
| Marine Corps | 245 | 51 | 21 | 61 | 25 |
| Air Force | 124 | 10 | 8 | 68 | 55 |
| Total active duty | 625 | 95 | 15 | 206 | 33 |
| Army National Guard | 188 | 27 | 14 | 114 | 61 |
| Army Reserve | 174 | 45 | 26 | 72 | 41 |
| Navy Reserve ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 62 | 27 | 44 | 12 | 19 |
| Marine Corps Reserve | 218 | 38 | 17 | 108 | 50 |
| Air National Guard | 103 | 9 | 9 | 59 | 57 |
| Air Force Reserve | 114 | 37 | 32 | 40 | 35 |
| Total reserve and National Guard | 859 | 183 | 21 | 405 | 47 |
| Total active duty, reserve and National Guard | 1,484 | 278 | 19 | 611 | 41 |

Source: GAO analysis of DOD data.
Note: Data for 2000 through 2004 are as of September 30. Data for 2005 are as of June 30.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ We defined consistently over- or underfilled as being over or under the occupational specialty's authorized level for 5 or 6 fiscal years.
${ }^{\text {b }}$ Navy provided data for the occupational specialty rating level and not the skill classification level.

[^10]${ }^{\text {c }}$ Navy Reserve did not provide data for 2000 and 2001; therefore we defined consistently over- or underfilled for 4 years for the Navy Reserve.

Our analysis further shows the number of servicemembers that exceeded the authorizations assigned to occupational specialties ranged from just 1 servicemember to almost 6,000 servicemembers. For example, the active Army Reserve Technical Engineer occupational specialty was overfilled by 1 servicemember in fiscal year 2000, and the active Navy Seaman occupational specialty was overfilled by almost 6,000 servicemembers in that same year. Similarly, we found shortages in the number of servicemembers assigned to occupational specialties ranged from just 1 servicemember to over 8,200 servicemembers. For example, the Marine Corps Reserve Parachute Rigger occupational specialty was underfilled by 1 servicemember in fiscal year 2000 and the active Navy Hospital Corpsman occupational specialty was underfilled by over 8,200 servicemembers in that same year.

Table 6 presents several reasons provided by component officials to explain why certain occupational specialties have been consistently overfilled. For example, an Air Force Reserve official told us that this component recruited more Tactical Aircraft Avionics Systems personnel than authorized because it is a high-demand, technical occupational specialty that is critical to one of the Air Force Reserve's missions. As a result, this occupational specialty has been consistently overfilled by about 160 to 240 individuals each year for the past 6 years. Furthermore, we found that the Army's Cavalry Scout occupational specialty was overfilled by over 200 to almost 1,000 individuals in the past 5 years and an Army official stated that this occupational specialty was anticipated to increase its personnel authorization levels. According to Army projections, the current strength is still 1,700 short of the fiscal year 2007 target. Moreover, several component officials told us that some of their occupational specialties have consistently been overfilled because their components needed to meet legislatively mandated aggregate personnel levels, and to do so, they assigned personnel to occupational specialties that did not necessarily need additional personnel.

Table 6 also presents several reasons provided by component officials to explain why certain occupational specialties have been consistently underfilled. For example, component officials told us that extensive training requirements have led the Army's Special Forces Medical Sergeant, the Army National Guard's Power-Generation Equipment Repairer, and the Marine Corps's Counterintelligence Specialist occupational specialties to be consistently underfilled for the last 5 or 6 years. Furthermore, an official
in the Army National Guard stated that the occupational specialty, Motor Transport Operator, was consistently underfilled by at least 1,800 to about 4,800 individuals in the past 6 years because the entire nation is short of truck drivers, which poses a recruiting challenge.

Table 6: Examples of Reasons for Consistently Over- or Underfilled Occupational Specialties as Reported by Component Officials

| Reason an occupational specialty was consistently overfilled | Example of occupational specialties |
| :--- | :--- |
| Significant decrease in authorizations over several years | Avenger Crewmember (Army) |
|  | Combat Engineer (Army) |
|  | Personnel Clerk (Marine Corps Reserve) |
|  | Ground Radar Systems (Air Force) |
| Critical technical skill; high demand | Tactical Aircraft Avionics Systems (Air Force Reserve) |
| Heavily utilized and deployed; high turnover | Security Forces (Air Force Reserve) |
| Overall force structure changes-current strength is still short of | Cavalry Scout (Army) |
| future target | Infantryman (Army) |
| Variations in attrition behavior significantly influence low-density | Patient Administration Specialist (Army) |
| occupational specialties | Mortuary Affairs Specialist (Army National Guard) |
| Meet personnel requirements | Supply (Air Force Reserve) |
|  | Personnel (Air Force Reserve) |
| Entry level occupational specialty-need overage to grow to | Automotive Organizational Mechanic (Marine Corps Reserve) |
| intermediate level | Meteorological and Oceanographic Observer (Marine Corps) |
| Reason an occupational specialty was consistently underfilled | Example of occupational specialties |
| High demand and high probability of deployment | Infantryman (Army National Guard) |
| Extensive training or qualification requirements | Special Forces Medical Sergeant (Army) |
|  | Power-Generation Equipment Repairer (Army National Guard) |
|  | Maintenance (Air Force Reserve) |
|  | Special Investigations (Air Force Reserve) |
|  | Counterintelligence Specialist (Marine Corps) |
| Reconnaissance Man (Marine Corps Reserve) |  |
| Clearance requirements and highly technical skills | Signal Collection/ldentification Analyst (Army) |
| Training pipeline delays | Counterintelligence Specialist (Marine Corps) |
| Market shortage | Dental Technician (Navy) |
| Overall force structure changes—increase in authorizations | Motor Transport Operator (Army National Guard) |
|  | Field Artillery Automated Tactical Data System Specialist (Army) |
|  | Combat Control (Air Force) |

Source: DOD.
We believe that consistently over- and underfilled occupational specialties are a systemic problem for DOD that raises questions about the validity of
occupational specialty authorizations. The fact that DOD has consistently experienced almost 280 overfilled occupational specialties raises particular questions about affordability. We determined that it cost the federal government about $\$ 103,000$ annually, on average, to compensate each enlisted active duty servicemember in fiscal year 2004. Accordingly, compensating the almost 31,000 servicemembers who served in occupational specialties that exceeded authorized personnel levels for fiscal year 2005 was costly. Similarly, the consistently underfilled occupational specialties raise the question about the components' ability to continue to achieve their mission. The fact that over 112,000 positions in consistently underfilled occupational specialties were vacant in fiscal year 2005 raises concerns about whether the authorized personnel levels for these occupational specialties are based on valid requirements.

DOD Lacks Information on Recruiting and Retention Challenges for All Over- and Underfilled Occupational Specialties

While the active components have started reporting information to DOD on certain occupational specialties, the department currently lacks information on all occupational specialties, which prevents a complete understanding of the components' recruiting and retention challenges. The Office of the Under Secretary of Defense (OUSD) for Personnel and Readiness directed the active components to report their critical occupational specialties for recruiting, beginning in 2004, in OUSD's personnel and readiness report-an update provided to the Secretary of Defense each quarter. Table 7 provides the OUSD-defined criteria for occupational specialties that are critical for recruiting. An occupational specialty must meet at least one criterion to be considered critical; the fact that an occupational specialty is underfilled is only one of the criteria. Accordingly, the active components identify and report to OUSD about 10 percent of their occupational specialties that they deem critical for recruiting. For example, in OUSD's third quarter fiscal year 2005 personnel and readiness report to the Secretary of Defense, the active components reported accessions information on 67 occupational specialties. In addition, the active components reported the reason why the occupational specialties reported were deemed critical, the accession goal for these occupational specialties, and year-to-date accessions achieved. Beginning in 2005, OUSD further directed the active components to report critical occupational specialties for retention as well. Table 7 also provides the OUSD-defined criteria for occupational specialties that are critical for retention. In fiscal year 2005, each of the active components reported 10 occupational specialties, for a total of 40 occupational specialties, which they deemed critical for retention. Again, the active components reported the reason why the occupational specialties reported were deemed critical;
the retention goal; number of personnel retained to date; and the number of servicemembers authorized and assigned, or the fill rate, for each occupational specialty reported. These 40 occupational specialties, however, represent only 6 percent of the 625 total active duty occupational specialties. Collectively, the critical occupational specialties (such as Army Infantrymen and Marine Corps Counter Intelligence Specialists) that the active components report on represent at most 16 percent of the 625 active duty occupational specialties. ${ }^{18}$ Therefore, OUSD is not receiving information on at least 84 percent of active duty occupational specialties. Furthermore, the reserve and National Guard components are not required to report to OUSD any information on their combined 859 occupational specialties. This means that OUSD receives fill rate information on less than 3 percent of all occupational specialties.

Table 7: OUSD's Criteria for Determining Occupational Specialties That Are Critical for Recruiting and Retention

| Critical for | (1) Crucial to combat readiness |
| :--- | :--- |
| recruiting | (2) Undermanned in the force |
|  | (3) Unfilled class seats |
|  | (4) High volume required |
|  | (5) High entrance standards |
|  | (6) Undesireable duty |


| Critical for | (1) Must have a history of being or must be projected to be |
| :--- | :--- |
| retention | underfilled | underfilled

(2) Must be mission critical, which is defined as
(a) technical skills requiring high training costs, replacement costs, or both;
(b) skills in high demand in the civilian sector;
(c) challenging to recruit into;
(d) crucial to combat readiness; or
(e) low-density/high-demand skill

Source: DOD.
Note: An occupational specialty must meet one criterion to be considered critical for recruiting or for retention.

[^11]In July 2005, DOD issued Directive 1304.20 that requires the components to meet aggregate and occupational-specialty-specific authorized personnel levels. However, this directive does not include any reporting requirements and therefore does not specifically require the components to report on over- and underfilled occupational specialties. Until all components are required to provide complete information on all over- and underfilled occupational specialties, including reasons why these occupational specialties are over- and underfilled, and DOD determines if the new directive is having its desired effect, the department cannot develop an effective plan to assist the components in addressing the root causes of recruiting and retention challenges. Moreover, DOD is not in the position to assess the economic impact on the taxpayer of thousands of consistently overfilled occupational specialties or determine whether it can continue to effectively execute the mission requirements with consistently underfilled occupational specialties.

> DOD Has Taken Steps to Enhance Recruiting and Retention Efforts, but Limited Information Makes It Difficult to Determine Financial Incentives' Effectiveness

DOD's components spend hundreds of millions of dollars each year on programs to enhance their recruiting and retention efforts; however, the department lacks the information needed to determine whether financial incentives are targeted most effectively. Budgets for these programsrecruiting, advertising, and financial incentives-have fluctuated over the last 6 fiscal years. ${ }^{19}$ Specifically, in fiscal year 2005, five components increased their recruiter forces and three components revised their advertising programs. Additionally, various components increased enlistment and reenlistment bonuses to enhance recruiting and retention for specific occupational specialties. However, because OUSD does not require the components to fully justify the financial incentives paid to servicemembers in all occupational specialties, DOD lacks the information needed to ensure that funding spent on recruiting and retention is appropriately and effectively targeted to occupational specialties for which the components have the greatest need or to determine if other types of corrective action are needed. In fact, our analysis shows that components offered some of these incentives to servicemembers in consistently overfilled occupational specialties.

[^12]Recruiting Programs, Advertising, and Financial Incentive Budgets Have Fluctuated

Budget data for fiscal years 2000 through 2006 show that DOD's recruiting programs, advertising, and financial incentive budgets fluctuated from $\$ 1.7$ billion to $\$ 2.1$ billion during those years, and that DOD spent a total of $\$ 9.9$ billion on these programs over the first 5 years. ${ }^{20}$ Fiscal years 2005 and 2006 budget estimates for these programs total $\$ 1.7$ billion and $\$ 1.8$ billion, respectively.

DOD's overall annual expenditures for recruiting programs fluctuated from approximately $\$ 631.6$ million to $\$ 800.7$ million from fiscal years 2000 to 2004, and budgeted estimates of recruiting expenditures for fiscal year 2005 were $\$ 639.5$ million, and for fiscal year 2006, $\$ 726.2$ million. These recruiting expenditures cover essential items for recruiting commands and stations throughout the United States, including meals, lodging, and travel of applicants; recruiter expenses; ${ }^{21}$ vehicle operation and maintenance; office spaces; and other incidental expenses. Figure 3 shows actual recruiting expenditures for fiscal years 2000 through 2004 and budgeted recruiting expenditures for fiscal years 2005 and 2006.

[^13]Figure 3: Total DOD Recruiting Program Budget for Fiscal Years 2000 through 2006
Fiscal year 2005 constant dollars in millions
1000


Note: The data from 2000 through 2004 are actual expenditures. The 2005 and 2006 data are the amounts budgeted for those years.

DOD's annual advertising expenditures fluctuated from approximately $\$ 506.6$ million to $\$ 663.0$ million from fiscal years 2000 to 2004, and budgeted advertising expenditures for fiscal year 2005 were $\$ 570.7$ million, and for fiscal year 2006, $\$ 543.9$ million-a decrease of almost $\$ 27$ million. Figure 4 shows actual advertising expenditures for fiscal years 2000 through 2004 and budgeted advertising expenditures for fiscal years 2005 and 2006.

Figure 4: Total DOD Advertising Budget for Fiscal Years 2000 through 2006
Fiscal year 2005 constant dollars in millions


Source: DOD.
Note: The data from 2000 through 2004 are actual expenditures. The 2005 and 2006 data are the amounts budgeted for those years.

DOD's annual expenditures for enlistment bonuses-targeted to new recruits-fluctuated from approximately $\$ 162.1$ million to $\$ 301.2$ million from fiscal years 2000 to 2004, and budgeted enlistment bonus expenditures for fiscal year 2005 were $\$ 149.3$ million, and for fiscal year 2006, $\$ 175.0$ million. Figure 5 shows actual enlistment bonus expenditures for fiscal years 2000 through 2004 and budgeted enlistment bonus expenditures for fiscal years 2005 and 2006.

Figure 5: Total DOD Enlistment Bonus Program Budget for Fiscal Years 2000 through 2006


Note: The data from 2000 through 2004 are actual expenditures. The 2005 and 2006 data are the amounts budgeted for those years.

DOD's total expenditures for selective reenlistment bonuses-targeted to servicemembers already in the military who reenlist for an additional number of years-fluctuated from approximately $\$ 420.5$ million to $\$ 551.6$ million from fiscal years 2000 to 2004, ${ }^{22}$ and budgeted reenlistment bonus expenditures for fiscal years 2005 and 2006 were $\$ 346.1$ million and $\$ 387.7$ million, respectively. Our analysis of actual expenditures for reenlistment bonuses in fiscal year 2005 for the active Navy, Marine Corps, and Air Force officials, show their expenditures to be within $\$ 13.5$ million, $\$ 4.8$ million, and $\$ 11.0$ million of their budgeted amounts, respectively. ${ }^{23}$ However, it is significant to note that the active Army component spent

[^14]approximately $\$ 426.0$ million on reenlistment bonuses in fiscal year 2005, or almost eight times more than its budgeted amount of $\$ 54.3$ million, to meet its retention goals. Figure 6 shows actual selective reenlistment bonus expenditures for fiscal years 2000 through 2004 and budgeted selective reenlistment bonus expenditures for fiscal years 2005 and 2006.

Figure 6: Total DOD Selective Reenlistment Bonus Program Budget for Fiscal Years 2000 through 2006


Source: DOD.
Note: The data from 2000 through 2004 are actual expenditures. The 2005 and 2006 data are the amounts budgeted for those years.

Five Components Have Increased Number of Recruiters

While the actual number of recruiters fluctuated for all components from fiscal year 2000 through fiscal year 2005, five components-the Army, Army Reserve, Air Force, Air National Guard, and Army National Guardincreased their numbers of recruiters between fiscal years 2004 and 2005. Table 8 shows that the Army added almost 1,300 recruiters for a total of 6,262 recruiters, and the Army Reserve added over 450 recruiters for a total of 1,296 recruiters from September 2004 through June 2005. The Air Force and Air National Guard also increased their number of recruiters, from 1,480 to 1,487 , and from 373 to 376 , respectively. Of all the components, the Army National Guard has shown the greatest increase in its recruiter

> force-increasing the total number of recruiters from 2,702 in fiscal year 2004 to 4,448 in fiscal year 2005.

Table 8: Number of Recruiters by Component

| Components | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | $2005{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Army | 6,257 | 6,116 | 6,552 | 5,503 | 4,965 | 6,262 |
| Navy | 5,000 | 5,000 | 5,000 | 4,470 | 4,370 | 4,200 |
| Marine Corps ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 2,947 | 3,038 | 3,215 | 3,353 | 3,330 | 3,031 |
| Air Force | 1,238 | 1,452 | 1,590 | 1,572 | 1,480 | 1,487 |
| Army National Guard | 2,650 | 2,664 | 2,622 | 2,580 | 2,702 | 4,448 |
| Army Reserve | 1,259 | 1,100 | 1,034 | 905 | 841 | 1,296 |
| Navy Reserve | N/A | N/A | 733 | 733 | 733 | 733 |
| Air National Guard | 347 | 353 | 371 | 373 | 373 | 376 |
| Air Force Reserve | 229 | 237 | 263 | 264 | 258 | 245 |
|  |  | conents. cates that a cover Reserve | not ava | mplete. <br> Marine C |  |  |

## Some Components Have Made Adjustments to Their Advertising Programs

In fiscal year 2005, the Army, Army Reserve, and Army National Guard made specific adjustments to their advertising programs. ${ }^{24}$ A primary focus of their recent advertising efforts has shifted to the "influencers," those individuals who play a pivotal role in a potential recruit's decision to join the military, including parents, teachers, coaches, other school officials, and extended family members. For example, the Army focused efforts on using its recruiting Web site as a vehicle to provide video testimonials of soldiers explaining, in their own words, what it means to be a soldier and why others should enlist. The Army and Army Reserve increased support to local recruiters through more public affairs efforts and by encouraging command support of their Special Recruiter Assistance Program. This

[^15]program offers soldiers who have served in Operation Iraqi Freedom or Operation Enduring Freedom the opportunity to return to their hometowns and assist the local recruiters in gaining high school graduate leads and enlistments.

Army officials stated that this program not only improves the number of contacts it makes, but it also provides interested individuals with a different perspective on operations overseas, which can assist in counteracting some of the negative information potential recruits receive from the media or influencers. The Army National Guard refocused its advertising by standardizing the appearance of its storefront recruiting offices to increase recognition and opening career centers in locations that provided it greater market exposure and access to the target populations. Additionally, the Army National Guard initiated a new "American Soldier" campaign that refines its message since September 11, 2001, and reflects the new realities of a prolonged recruiting and retention environment.

## Some DOD Components Have Increased Financial Incentives to Recruits and Servicemembers

DOD components also made adjustments to their financial incentives-the most costly of the three tools-to improve their ability to recruit and retain servicemembers. Over the last fiscal year, DOD made changes to existing financial incentives and introduced new financial incentives. For example, DOD expanded the pool of servicemembers who are eligible to receive a selective reenlistment bonus. Selective reenlistment bonuses are designed to provide a financial incentive for an adequate number of qualified midcareer enlisted members to reenlist in designated "critical" occupational specialties where retention levels are insufficient to sustain current or projected levels necessary for a component to accomplish its mission. The statutory authority for this bonus was amended in the Fiscal Year 2004 Authorization Act to allow the Secretary of Defense to waive the critical skill ${ }^{25}$ requirement for members who reenlist or extend an enlistment while serving in Afghanistan, Iraq, or Kuwait in support of Operations Enduring Freedom and Iraqi Freedom. ${ }^{26}$

In addition, in February 2005, DOD announced a new retention bonus for Special Operations Forces servicemembers (Army Special Forces, Navy SEALs, Air Force pararescue, plus a few other occupational specialties)

[^16]who decide to remain in the military beyond 19 years of service. The largest bonus, $\$ 150,000$, may be provided to eligible servicemembers who sign up for an additional 6 years of service. Eligible servicemembers who sign up for shorter extensions may qualify for smaller bonuses; servicemembers who extend for 1 additional year, for example, receive $\$ 8,000$.

Individual components also implemented changes over the last fiscal year. Specifically, to address recruiting, the active Army component implemented a minimum $\$ 5,000$ bonus for all qualified recruits-generally based on graduation from high school and their scores on the Armed Forces Qualification Test-who enlist for 3 or more years in any military occupational specialty. Additionally, qualified recruits with bachelor's degrees who enlist for 2 or more years in any occupational specialty may now receive bonuses up to $\$ 8,000$; previously there were no bonuses for recruits with those educational qualifications. The Army also increased its maximum enlistment bonus amount, from $\$ 10,000$ up to $\$ 14,000$, for applicants who enlist for 3 or more years into certain occupational specialties, such as Cannon Crewmember, Cavalry Scout, and CryptoLinguist Analyst.

To address retention, the active Army, Navy, and Air Force components implemented the Critical Skills Retention Bonus program and the Assignment Incentive Pay program. The Critical Skills Retention Bonus program allows the components to target reenlistment bonuses at certain occupational specialties that have been identified as critical. The bonus is adjusted to meet current operational needs. For example, in fiscal year 2004, the Army offered the Critical Skills Retention Bonus to soldiers who were serving in special operations occupational specialties. In fiscal year 2005 , the Army granted eligibility to 11 additional occupational specialties. These occupational specialties included recruiters, unmanned aerial vehicle operators, psychological operations specialists, and explosive ordnance disposal specialists. The Assignment Incentive Pay program, which provides up to $\$ 1,500$ a month for enlisted servicemembers, was approved by the Under Secretary of Defense for implementation by the Navy, Air Force, and Army in early 2005. ${ }^{27}$ Assignment Incentive Pay is used to encourage servicemembers to volunteer for difficult-to-fill occupational specialties or assignments in less desirable locations. For example, for personnel in special operations occupational specialties to qualify for this pay, servicemembers must have more than 25 years of service, be

[^17]designated by the Special Operations Command combatant commander as "operators," and remain on active duty for an additional minimum of 12 months.

The Army also implemented a Life Cycle Unit Bonus program designed to encourage servicemembers to commit to hard-to-fill occupational specialties in targeted units in fiscal year 2005. Army officials stated that this may help them address shortages of soldiers assigned to units at certain locations. When the program began, soldiers received up to $\$ 15,000$ if they reenlisted and agreed to serve in certain units stationed at Fort Campbell, Kentucky; Fort Bliss and Fort Hood, Texas; and Fort Lewis, Washington.

During fiscal year 2005, the Army Reserve and Army National Guard also increased some of their incentives to address recruiting and retention efforts. In fiscal year 2005, the Army allowed the reserve and National Guard components to increase their prior service enlistment bonus from $\$ 8,000$ up to $\$ 15,000$. Additionally, the bonus amount for a new recruit with no prior military experience increased from $\$ 8,000$ to $\$ 10,000$.

Components Provide Limited Justifications to DOD on Financial Incentives Given to Servicemembers in Overfilled Occupational Specialties

Although we found that the components regularly offered financial incentives to servicemembers in consistently underfilled occupational specialties, we also found that each of the active duty components provided enlistment bonuses, selective reenlistment bonuses, or both to servicemembers in consistently overfilled occupational specialties. ${ }^{28}$ DOD only requires the components to provide general justifications for their financial incentives in their budget documents and does not require the components to specifically provide justifications for these incentives for noncritical occupational specialties, which make up at least 84 percent of all occupational specialties. Table 9 shows the number of consistently overfilled active component occupational specialties in which servicemembers received enlistment bonuses, selective reenlistment bonuses, or both, from fiscal years 2000 through 2005.

[^18]Table 9: Number of Consistently Overfilled Occupational Specialties for Which Active Duty Servicemembers Received Enlistment Bonuses, Selective Reenlistment Bonuses, or Both for 5 or 6 Years from 2000 through 2005

| Active duty component | Total number of occupational specialties (2005) | Number of consistently overfilled occupational specialties | Number of consistently overfilled occupational specialties |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Enlistment bonus | Selective reenlistment bonus |
| Army | 182 | 33 | 12 | 1 |
| Navy | 74 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| Marine Corps | 245 | 51 | 29 | 22 |
| Air Force | 124 | 10 | 1 | 1 |
| Total | 625 | 95 | 43 | 24 |

Source: GAO analysis of DOD data.
The number of consistently overfilled occupational specialties in which servicemembers received enlistment bonuses, selective reenlistment bonuses, or both for 5 or 6 years is relatively low when compared to the total number of occupational specialties. However, the number of overfilled occupational specialties for which bonuses were authorized in a particular year can be considerably higher.

Table 10 shows the number of all overfilled occupational specialties in which servicemembers received either an enlistment or selective reenlistment bonus for fiscal years 2000 through 2005. For example, in 2003,278 out of all 625 active duty occupational specialties, or 44 percent, were overfilled, and servicemembers in these occupational specialties received enlistment or selective reenlistment bonuses.

Table 10: Number of Overfilled Occupational Specialties for Which Active Duty Servicemembers Received Bonuses from 2000 through 2005

| Active duty <br> component | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Army | 54 | 67 | 84 | 86 | $\mathbf{7 9}$ | 64 |
| Navy | 2 | 2 | 1 | 42 | 18 | 22 |
| Marine Corps | 77 | 92 | 98 | 121 | 82 | 106 |
| Air Force | 15 | 8 | 14 | 29 | 27 | 9 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 4 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 7 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1}$ |

Component officials provided reasons why they offered enlistment and selective reenlistment bonuses to servicemembers in overfilled occupational specialties. Specifically, an Army official explained that they will target their bonuses to servicemembers at specific pay grades that are actually underfilled, even if the occupational specialty as a whole may be overfilled. Additionally, an official we spoke with stated that the requirement to meet legislatively mandated aggregate personnel levels results in, at times, offering bonuses to servicemembers who will serve in overfilled specialties, simply to meet their overall mandated personnel levels.

Because enlistment and selective reenlistment bonuses generally range from a few thousand dollars up to $\$ 60,000$, providing these bonuses to servicemembers in overfilled occupational specialties can be quite costly. ${ }^{29}$ While OUSD requires components to report incentives given to servicemembers in critical occupational specialties, it does not require the components to provide fully transparent rationales for incentives it provides to servicemembers in noncritical occupational specialties, which as we have stated, make up at least 84 percent of the components' total occupational specialties. Some of these noncritical occupational specialties have been consistently overfilled in the past 6 fiscal years. By not requiring the components to fully justify their rationale for providing incentives to servicemembers in the consistently over- and underfilled occupational specialties, OUSD lacks the information needed to provide assurance to the Secretary of Defense and Congress that the amount of funding spent on recruiting and retention efforts is appropriately and effectively targeted to occupational specialties for which the components have the greatest need.

Although DOD has reported that the components have generally met overall recruiting and retention goals for the past several years, meeting these goals in the aggregate can disguise the true challenges behind the components' ability to recruit and retain servicemembers in the occupational specialities needed to fulfill their mission requirements. The fact that several occupational specialties have been consistently overfilled raises critical questions about affordability and whether the department is using its recruiting and retention resources most effectively. Similarly,

[^19]DOD's consistently underfilled occupational specialties raise concerns as to how the department can meet operational demands with what appear to be chronic shortages in certain occupational specialties. This latter issue is particularly relevant given the stresses on the force from prolonged operations in Iraq; the Global War on Terrorism; and most recently, significant disaster relief efforts in the Gulf Coast region. DOD is not in a position to develop a comprehensive recruiting and retention plan to address these and other issues because it lacks complete information from the 10 components on the occupational specialties that are over- or underfilled and the reasons why these conditions exist. Moreover, without this information, the department is not in a position to effectively communicate its true recruiting and retention challenges to Congress.

Recently, Congress has provided increasing amounts of funding to assist DOD's recruiting and retention efforts. While some components have used this funding to increase financial incentives to address both aggregate and occupational-specialty-specific recruiting and retention challenges, these increases in incentives are costly. Given the fiscally constrained environment we are facing now and in years to come, DOD can no longer afford to take a "business as usual" approach to managing its force. In some cases, DOD's components have provided financial incentives to servicemembers in occupational specialties that are overfilled. While there may be valid reasons for providing these incentives to some servicemembers in these occupational specialities, DOD does not require the components to fully justify their decisions on financial incentives, which restricts the department's ability to provide assurance to the Secretary of Defense, Congress, and the taxpayer that the increasing funding spent on recruiting and retention is appropriately and effectively targeted to occupational specialties for which components have the greatest need.

## Recommendations for Executive Action

To provide greater understanding of the recruiting and retention issues and improve the department's oversight for these issues, we recommend that the Secretary of Defense direct the Under Secretary of Defense for Personnel and Readiness, in concert with the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Reserve Affairs, to take the following two actions:

- Require the 10 components to
- report annually on all (not just critical) over- and underfilled occupational specialties,
- provide an analysis of why occupational specialties are over- and underfilled, and
- report annually on and justify their use of enlistment and reenlistment bonuses provided to servicemembers in occupational specialties that exceed their authorized personnel levels.
- Develop a management action plan that will help the components to identify and address the root causes of their recruiting and retention challenges.


## Agency Comments and Our Evaluation

In written comments on a draft of this report, DOD partially concurred with our two recommendations. DOD's comments are included in this report as appendix V .

DOD partially concurred with our first recommendation to require the ten components to report annually to the Office of the Under Secretary of Defense for Personnel and Readiness on all over- and underfilled occupational specialties; provide an analysis of why specific occupational specialties are over- and underfilled; and report annually on and justify their use of enlistment and reenlistment bonuses provided to servicemembers in occupational specialties that exceed their authorized personnel levels. DOD stated that it already has visibility over occupational specialties deemed most critical for retention, which it captures through the Balanced Scorecard process. However, as we note in this report, the occupational specialties that the components report as critical for recruitment or retention account for only a small percentage of the total number of occupational specialties-at most only 16 percent of all occupational specialties are reported as critical. Therefore, the department does not have visibility over at least 84 percent of its occupational specialties. DOD asserted that our definition of over- and underfilled occupational specialties (those that were over- or under their authorized levels by one or more individuals) is unreasonably strict. However, as we note in this report, we established this definition because we found that DOD lacks common criteria that define thresholds for over- and underfilled occupational specialties. In prior work, we determined that it costs the federal government about $\$ 103,000$ annually, on average, to compensate each enlisted active duty servicemember in fiscal year 2004; thus we believe each individual serving in an occupational specialty that is over the authorized personnel level represents a significant cost to the government. For example, we found about 8,400 active duty servicemembers serving in
consistently overfilled occupational specialties in 2005 . If we apply the 2004 average compensation amount to the 8,400 servicemembers, the additional cost to the taxpayer would be about $\$ 870$ million. In addition, the taxpayer bears the unnecessary costs of supporting over 22,000 servicemembers in consistently overfilled occupational specialties in the reserve and National Guard components. DOD also provided in its response an example of an overfilled occupational specialty, and its rationale that this occupational specialty was overfilled as a function of ramping up to meet a future strength requirement. Our report acknowledges this and other reasons the components provided for overand underfilled occupational specialties. We believe that this example underscores the need for our recommendation that the components provide an analysis of why occupational specialties are over- or underfilled. Without this type of analysis, OSD is not in a position to assess the extent to which all specialties are over- or underfilled, determine if the overfilled and underfilled positions are justified, and identify any needed corrective action. Also, DOD agreed with the need to closely manage financial incentive programs. However, we believe that DOD needs sufficient information from the components to determine if the reasons for offering bonuses to individuals in consistently overfilled occupational specialties are, indeed, justified. We continue to be concerned that all financial incentives may not be justified. Currently, the components are not required to provide fully transparent rationales for bonuses they provide to servicemembers in noncritical occupational specialties, which as we have stated earlier, make up at least 84 percent of the components total occupational specialties. Without greater transparency over the use of financial incentives, the department cannot truly know if funding spent on recruiting and retention efforts is appropriately and effectively targeted to occupational specialties for which the components have the greatest need.

DOD partially concurred with our second recommendation to develop a management action plan that will help the components to identify and address the root causes of their recruiting and retention challenges. In response, DOD noted that its Enlisted Personnel Management Plan (EPMP), formally established by DOD Directive 1304.20 in July 2005, substantially achieves this recommendation. We believe DOD is moving in the right direction in addressing recruiting and retention challenges and, in this report, we acknowledge that DOD issued directive 1304.20 that requires the components to meet aggregate and occupational specialty specific authorized personnel levels. However, this plan cited in DOD's comments did not exist at the time we conducted our audit work and we believe DOD needs to continue to move forward to establish this plan.

DOD also stated that it has already laid the framework for this type of plan through monthly reviews of other reports and quarterly reviews of its Balanced Scorecard reports. DOD claimed these omissions in our draft report result in an incomplete description of management controls. We disagree since as stated earlier, the EPMP did not exist at the time we conducted our audit work and furthermore, we do acknowledge in this report that the department receives quarterly updates of critical occupational specialties through the Office of the Under Secretary of Defense's personnel and readiness report. Nevertheless, we continue to believe that these updates do not provide OSD with full transparency and oversight since these quarterly updates do not include information on at least 84 percent of active duty occupational specialties or any of the combined 859 occupational specialties of the reserve and National Guard components.

We are sending copies of this report to interested congressional members; the Secretaries of Defense, the Army, the Navy and the Air Force; the Commandant of the Marine Corps; and the Chiefs of the National Guard Bureau, the Army Reserve, the Army National Guard, the Air Force Reserve, the Air National Guard, the Navy Reserve, and the Marine Corps Reserve. We will also make copies available to others upon request. In addition, the report will be available at no charge on GAO's Web site at http://www.gao.gov.

Should you or your staff have any questions regarding this report, please contact me at (202) 512-5559 or stewartd@gao.gov. Contact points for our Offices of Congressional Relations and Public Affairs may be found on the last page of this report. GAO staff members who made key contributions to this report are listed in appendix VI.


Derek B. Stewart, Director
Defense Capabilities and Management
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## Scope and Methodology

To conduct this body of work, we examined Department of Defense (DOD) policies, regulations, and directives related to recruiting and retention of military servicemembers. We also reviewed recruiting and retention reports and briefings issued by GAO, DOD, the military services, the Congressional Research Service, the Congressional Budget Office, and research organizations such as RAND. Furthermore, we analyzed individual components' databases containing recruiting and retention data on active, reserve, and National Guard servicemembers. In the course of our work, we contacted and visited the organizations and offices listed in table 11.

Table 11: Organizations and Offices Contacted during Our Review

| Name of organization or office | Location |
| :--- | :--- |
| Headquarters U.S. Air Force, Deputy Chief of <br> Staff, Personnel | Arlington, VA |
| Headquarters, U.S. Air Force, Office of Air Force <br> Reserve | Rosslyn, VA |
| Air Force Recruiting Service | Randolph Air Force Base, TX |
| Air Force Personnel Center | Randolph Air Force Base, TX |
| Air Force's 341 <br> Recruiting Group | Lackland Air Force Base, TX |
| Air National Guard, Office of Recruiting and <br> Retention, National Guard Bureau | Arlington, VA |
| Army National Guard, Retention/Attrition Branch <br> and Accessions Branch, National Guard Bureau | Arlington, VA |
| Headquarters, Department of the Army, Office of <br> the Deputy Chief of Staff for Personnel | Arlington, VA |
| U.S. Army Accessions Command | Fort Monroe, VA |
| U.S. Army Recruiting Command | Fort Knox, KY |
| U.S. Army Reserve Command | Fort McPherson, GA |
| Office of the Chief Army Reserve | Arlington, VA |
| Marine Corps Recruiting Command | Quantico, VA |
| Marine Corps Manpower \& Reserve Affairs | Quantico, VA |
| Marine Forces Reserve | New Orleans, LA |
| Navy Recruiting Command | Millington, TN |


| (Continued From Previous Page) |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Name of organization or office | Location |
| Navy Personnel Command | Millington, TN |
| Navy Reserve Forces Command | New Orleans, LA |
| Office of the Under Secretary of Defense for | Arlington, VA |
| Personnel and Readiness |  |

To determine the extent to which the active duty, reserve, and National Guard components met their aggregate recruiting and retention goals, we compared accession and reenlistment goals to their actual figures for fiscal years 2000 through 2005. Additionally, through interviews with agency officials, we obtained data on the extent to which the components have instituted stop loss in some units, recalled members of the Individual Ready Reserve, and recruited new enlistees into their delayed entry programs.

To determine the extent to which the components have met their authorized personnel levels for specific occupational specialties, we obtained data from the components on the number of servicemembers authorized and assigned for each occupational specialty as of September 30 for fiscal years 2000 through 2004 and June 30 for fiscal year 2005. We calculated the fill rate for each occupational specialty by dividing the number of servicemembers assigned to the occupational specialty by the authorization. We then counted the number of years that each occupational specialty in each component was over- or underfilled. Because most of the components have not identified acceptable thresholds for over- and underfilled occupational specialties, we used the strictest interpretation of these terms. In our analysis, if a component had one person more than its authorization, we considered the occupational specialty to be overfilled. Similiarly, if a component had one person less than its authorization, we considered the occupational specialty to be underfilled. If an occupational specialty was overfilled for at least 5 of the 6 years, we considered the occupational specialty "consistently" overfilled. Similarly, if an occupational specialty was underfilled for at least 5 of the 6 years, we considered the occupational specialty "consistently" underfilled. Some occupational specialties have changed over the 6 -year period of our analyses. In these cases, we combined the original and new occupational specialties. For example, in the Army, the occupational specialty for Divers changed the identifier from 00B to 21D in 2004. We combined these two occupational specialties by summing the entries for each year and retaining it in our data set as one entry. In those cases where we could not determine
how to merge occupational specialties, we retained the original data in our data set.

To analyze the steps DOD and the components have taken to address their recruiting and retention difficulties, we interviewed key DOD officials from each component, including headquarters and recruiting commands, to obtain an understanding of recruiter, advertising, and incentive programs as well as overall recruiting and retention difficulties. We determined, through a review of DOD budget justifications for fiscal years 2000 through 2006, the associated costs of these programs. We also interviewed Office of the Secretary of Defense officials to understand overall DOD policies and future direction for reviewing recruiting and retention goals. We obtained and reviewed various accession plans, incentive programs, and marketing initiatives. To determine the extent to which personnel in consistently overfilled occupational specialties received bonuses, we obtained data from the active components on the occupational specialties for which servicemembers were qualified to receive enlistment or reenlistment bonuses in fiscal years 2000 through 2004 and for fiscal year 2005 as of June 30. We compared the occupational specialties for which servicemembers received bonuses to those occupational specialties that we found to be consistently overfilled, as well as those that were overfilled in any year. We limited our analysis to the active components because the reserve components tend to provide enlistment and reenlistment bonuses geographically. All dollar amounts were adjusted for inflation using the gross domestic product price index published by the Bureau of Economic Analysis.

To determine the reliability of data obtained for this report, we interviewed personnel from each component knowledgeable about the data sources we used, inquiring about their methods for ensuring that the data were accurate. We reviewed available data for inconsistencies and, when applicable, followed up with personnel to assess data validity and reliability. We determined that the data were sufficiently reliable to answer our objectives.

We conducted our work from January 2005 through October 2005 in accordance with generally accepted government auditing standards.

## Enlisted Aggregate Recruiting Goals and Achievements for Fiscal Years 2000 through 2005

Tables 12 and 13 show the active, Reserve, and National Guard components' recruiting achievements for fiscal years 2000 through 2005.

Table 12: Active Components' Enlisted Aggregate Recruiting Goals and Achievements for Fiscal Years 2000 through 2005

|  | Army |  |  | Navy |  |  | Marine Corps |  |  | Air Force |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fiscal year | Goal | Actual | Percentage of goal met | Goal | Actual | Percentage of goal met | Goal | Actual | Percentage of goal met | Goal | Actual | Percentage of goal met |
| 2000 | 80,000 | 80,113 | 100 | 55,000 | 55,147 | 100 | 32,417 | 32,440 | 100 | 34,600 | 35,217 | 102 |
| 2001 | 75,800 | 75,855 | 100 | 53,520 | 53,690 | 100 | 31,404 | 31,429 | 100 | 34,600 | 35,381 | 102 |
| 2002 | 79,500 | 79,585 | 100 | 46,150 | 46,155 | 100 | 32,593 | 32,767 | 101 | 37,283 | 37,967 | 102 |
| 2003 | 73,800 | 74,132 | 100 | 41,065 | 41,076 | 100 | 32,501 | 32,530 | 100 | 37,000 | 37,141 | 100 |
| 2004 | 77,000 | 77,586 | 101 | 39,620 | 39,871 | 101 | 30,608 | 30,618 | 100 | 34,080 | 34,361 | 101 |
| 2005 | 80,000 | 73,373 | 92 | 37,635 | 37,703 | 100 | 32,917 | 32,961 | 100 | 18,900 | 19,222 | 102 |

Table 13: Reserve and National Guard Components' Enlisted Aggregate Recruiting Goals and Achievements for Fiscal Years 2000 through 2005

|  | Army National Guard |  |  | Army Reserve |  |  | Navy Reserve |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Goal | Actual | Percentage of goal met | Goal | Actual | Percentage of goal met | Goal | Actual | Percentage of goal met |
| Fiscal Year |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2000 | 54,034 | 61,260 | 113 | 48,461 | 48,596 | 100 | 18,410 | 14,911 | 81 |
| 2001 | 60,252 | 61,956 | 103 | 34,910 | 35,522 | 102 | 15,250 | 15,344 | 101 |
| 2002 | 60,504 | 63,251 | 105 | 38,251 | 41,385 | 107 | 15,000 | 15,355 | 102 |
| 2003 | 66,000 | 54,202 | 82 | 40,900 | 41,851 | 102 | 12,000 | 12,772 | 106 |
| 2004 | 56,002 | 48,793 | 87 | 32,275 | 32,710 | 101 | 10,101 | 11,246 | 111 |
| 2005 | 63,002 | 50,219 | 80 | 28,485 | 23,859 | 84 | 11,141 | 9,788 | 88 |


|  | Marine Corps Reserve |  |  | Air National Guard |  |  | Air Force Reserve |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Goal | Actual | Percentage of goal met | Goal | Actual | Percentage of goal met | Goal | Actual | Percentage of goal met |
| Fiscal Year |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2000 | 9,341 | 9,465 | 101 | 10,080 | 10,730 | 106 | 9,624 | 7,740 | 80 |
| 2001 | 8,945 | 9,117 | 102 | 11,808 | 10,258 | 87 | 8,051 | 8,826 | 110 |
| 2002 | 9,835 | 10,090 | 103 | 9,570 | 10,122 | 106 | 6,080 | 6,926 | 114 |
| 2003 | 8,173 | 8,222 | 101 | 5,712 | 8,471 | 148 | 7,512 | 7,557 | 101 |
| 2004 | 8,087 | 8,248 | 102 | 8,842 | 8,276 | 94 | 7,997 | 8,904 | 111 |
| 2005 | 8,180 | 8,350 | 102 | 10,272 | 8,859 | 86 | 8,801 | 9,942 | 113 |

## Active Duty Enlisted Aggregate Retention Goals and Achievements for Fiscal Years 2000 through 2005

|  | Fiscal year 2000 |  |  | Fiscal year 2001 |  |  | Fiscal year 2002 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Service | Goal | Actual | Percentage of goal met | Goal | Actual | Percentage of goal met | Goal | Actual | Percentage of goal met |
| Army |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Initial |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 20,000 | 21,402 | 107.0\% | 19,750 | 20,000 | 101.3\% | 19,100 | 19,433 | 101.7\% |
| Mid-career |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 23,700 | 24,118 | 101.8\% | 23,350 | 23,727 | 101.6\% | 22,700 | 23,074 | 101.6\% |
| Career |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 24,300 | 25,791 | 106.1\% | 20,900 | 21,255 | 101.7\% | 15,000 | 15,700 | 104.7\% |
| Navy |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zone A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | N/A | 29.6\% | N/A | 57\% | 56.9\% | 99.8\% | 56\% | 58.7\% | 104.8\% |
| Zone B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | N/A | 46.5\% | N/A | 69\% | 68.2\% | 98.8\% | 73\% | 74.5\% | 102.1\% |
| Zone C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | N/A | 56.6\% | N/A | 89\% | 85.0\% | 95.5\% | 90\% | 87.4\% | 97.1\% |
| Marine Corps |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| First term |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 5,791 | 5,846 | 100.9\% | 6,144 | 6,144 | 100.0\% | 5,900 | 6,050 | 102.5\% |
| Subsequent |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | N/A | 63.4\% | N/A | N/A | 5,900 | N/A | 5,784 | 7,258 | 125.5\% |
| Air Force ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| First term |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 55\% | 53.1\% | 96.5\% | 55\% | 56.1\% | 102.0\% | 55\% | 72.1\% | 131.1\% |
| Second term |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 75\% | 69.7\% | 92.9\% | 75\% | 68.9\% | 91.9\% | 75\% | 78.3\% | 104.4\% |
| Career |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 95\% | 90.8\% | 95.6\% | 95\% | 90.2\% | 94.9\% | 95\% | 94.6\% | 99.6\% |

Note: N/A indicates that data were not available or incomplete.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ The Air Force introduced new metrics-Average Career Length and Cumulative Continuation
Rates-in July 2005 to more accurately measure enlisted retention patterns.

| Fiscal year 2003 |  |  | Fiscal year 2004 |  |  | Fiscal year 2005 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Goal | Actual | Percentage of goal met | Goal | Actual | Percentage of goal met | Goal | Actual | Percentage of goal met |
| 19,821 | 21,838 | 110.2\% | 23,000 | 24,903 | 108.3\% | 26,935 | 27,818 | 103.3\% |
| 18,422 | 19,509 | 105.9\% | 20,292 | 21,120 | 104.1\% | 23,773 | 24,407 | 102.7\% |
| 12,757 | 12,804 | 100.4\% | 12,808 | 13,987 | 109.2\% | 13,454 | 17,287 | 128.5\% |
| 56\% | 61.8\% | 110.4\% | 56\% | 54.1\% | 96.6\% | 53\% | 51.8\% | 97.7\% |
| 73\% | 76.7\% | 105.1\% | 70\% | 70.2\% | 100.3\% | 69\% | 63.2\% | 91.6\% |
| 86\% | 87.9\% | 102.2\% | 85\% | 86.9\% | 102.2\% | 85\% | 84.8\% | 99.8\% |
| 6,025 | 6,001 | 99.6\% | 5,974 | 6,011 | 100.6\% | 5,949 | 6,152 | 103.4\% |
| 6,172 | 5,815 | 94.2\% | 5,628 | 7,729 | 137.3\% | 5,079 | 6,987 | 137.6\% |
| 55\% | 60.5\% | 110.0\% | 55\% | 63\% | 114.5\% | 52\% | 56\% | 107.7\% |
| 75\% | 72.9\% | 92.3\% | 75\% | 70\% | 93.3\% | 69\% | 80\% | 115.9\% |
| 95\% | 95.2\% | 100.2\% | 95\% | 97\% | 102.1\% | 85\% | 90\% | 105.9\% |

The tables presented in appendix IV show each component's consistently over- and underfilled occupational specialties for fiscal year 2000 through June 2005.

# Active, Reserve, and National Guard Components' Consistently Over- and Underfilled Occupational Specialties 

Table 14: Army's Consistently Overfilled Occupational Specialties

| Occupational specialty title | 2000 |  |  | 2001 |  | 2002 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Authorized level | Percentage filled | Bonus ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Authorized level | Percentage filled Bonus ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Authorized level | Percentage filled | Bonus ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| AH-64D Armament/ Electrical/ Avionic Systems Repairer | 97 | 239.2 | SRB, EB | 276 | 104.7 SRB, EB | 503 |  | SRB, EB |
| Avenger Crewmember | 2,618 | 98.3 | SRB, EB | 2,510 | 101.3 EB | 2,307 | 102.0 | SRB, EB |
| Avenger System Repairer | 226 | 91.6 | SRB, EB | 223 | 109.4 SRB, EB | 227 | 118.1 | SRB, EB |
| Avionic Communications Equipment Repairer | 172 | 107.0 | SRB, EB | 168 | 124.4 SRB, EB | 171 | 115.8 | EB |
| Bridge Crewmember | 689 | 100.9 | SRB, EB | 643 | 124.7 SRB, EB | 660 | 104.8 | EB |
| Cannon Crewmember | 8,795 | 103.1 | SRB, EB | 8,541 | 96.9 SRB, EB | 8,546 | 101.7 | SRB, EB |
| Cavalry Scout | 6,524 | 98.8 | SRB, EB | 6,522 | 103.8 SRB, EB | 6,612 | 103.4 | SRB, EB |
| Combat Documentation/ Production Specialist | 357 | 114.8 | EB | 358 | 112.8 EB | 350 | 101.1 | EB |
| Combat Engineer | 8,109 | 109.4 | SRB, EB | 8,148 | 104.2 SRB, EB | 8,169 | 97.0 | EB |
| Construction Engineering Supervisor | 423 | 95.3 |  | 419 | 102.9 | 439 | 103.4 |  |
| Construction Equipment Supervisor | 447 | 101.3 |  | 431 | 106.3 | 466 | 103.9 |  |
| Electronic Maintenance Chief | 436 | 101.8 |  | 409 | 102.2 | 396 | 102.5 |  |
| Firefighter | 214 | 133.2 | EB | 243 | 103.3 EB | 242 | 96.3 | EB |
| General Engineering Supervisor | 135 | 103.0 |  | 131 | 101.5 | 132 | 96.2 |  |
| Infantry Senior Sergeant | 181 | 105.0 |  | 276 | 450.7 | 1,283 | 98.0 |  |
| Interior Electrician | 134 | 120.1 | EB | 127 | 147.2 SRB, EB | 128 | 152.3 | EB |
| M1 Armor Crewman | 9,560 | 102.5 | EB | 9,338 | 95.8 SRB, EB | 8,987 | 102.0 | EB |
| Machinist | 384 | 112.0 | SRB, EB | 382 | 114.7 EB | 378 | 103.7 | EB |
| Microwave Systems Operator-Maintainer | 1,179 | 96.6 | SRB, EB | 1,146 | 106.4 SRB, EB | 1,114 | 116.0 | SRB, EB |
| Mortuary Affairs Specialist | 326 | 107.4 | EB | 339 | 113.6 EB | 358 | 106.7 | EB |
| Multimedia Illustrator | 285 | 116.1 | EB | 279 | 109.7 SRB, EB | 281 | 104.3 | EB |
| OH-58D Armament/ Electrical/ Avionics Systems Repairer | 0 | 0.0 | EB | 48 | 1554.2 SRB, EB | 511 | 156.0 | SRB, EB |
| OH-58D Helicopter Repairer | 1,067 | 98.8 | SRB, EB | 1,072 | 108.2 SRB, EB | 1,063 | 109.1 | EB |
| Patient Administration Specialist | 758 | 114.0 | EB | 757 | 110.2 EB | 741 | 104.9 |  |

Active, Reserve, and National Guard
Components' Consistently Over- and
Underfilled Occupational Specialties

| 2003 |  |  | 2004 |  |  | 2005 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Authorized level | Percentage filled | Bonus ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Authorized level | Percentage filled | Bonus ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Authorized level | Percentage filled | Bonus ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| 592 | 100.3 | EB | 714 | 112.3 | EB | 834 | 113.9 | EB |
| 2,258 | 102.7 | EB | 1,771 | 116.4 |  | 1,190 | 140.9 | EB |
| 219 | 113.2 | SRB, EB | 178 | 115.7 | SRB, EB | 165 | 119.4 | EB |
| 167 | 124.0 |  | 162 | 119.1 |  | 227 | 79.7 | SRB, EB |
| 622 | 109.6 | EB | 625 | 107.0 | SRB, EB | 743 | 93.4 | SRB, EB |
| 8,519 | 107.0 | EB | 8,870 | 105.5 | EB | 8,831 | 102.7 | EB |
| 6,742 | 110.4 | EB | 7,502 | 103.4 | SRB, EB | 7,569 | 113.0 | SRB, EB |
| 342 | 102.9 |  | 337 | 100.9 |  | 299 | 108.0 | EB |
| 8,230 | 103.0 | SRB, EB | 8,047 | 108.9 | SRB, EB | 7,710 | 109.4 | SRB, EB |
| 447 | 106.3 |  | 457 | 106.8 |  | 479 | 102.3 |  |
| 466 | 100.9 |  | 469 | 104.9 |  | 453 | 104.2 |  |
| 426 | 107.5 |  | 415 | 102.4 | SRB | 372 | 116.7 |  |
| 249 | 102.8 |  | 249 | 113.7 | SRB | 206 | 123.8 | SRB, EB |
| 132 | 111.4 |  | 137 | 102.9 |  | 128 | 102.3 |  |
| 1,266 | 108.5 |  | 1,320 | 104.6 |  | 1,432 | 101.3 |  |
| 128 | 139.1 |  | 131 | 109.2 | SRB | 131 | 101.5 | SRB, EB |
| 8,931 | 110.9 | EB | 9,201 | 112.8 | SRB, EB | 8,866 | 110.6 | EB |
| 362 | 100.6 |  | 342 | 102.9 |  | 313 | 121.7 |  |
| 1,080 | 123.6 | EB | 1039 | 116.4 | EB | 981 | 108.3 | EB |
| 339 | 119.8 |  | 345 | 109.3 | SRB | 343 | 112.5 | SRB, EB |
| 271 | 105.9 | EB | 267 | 102.2 | EB | 267 | 102.6 | EB |
| 637 | 133.0 | EB | 794 | 106.4 | SRB, EB |  | 734109.8 | EB |
| 917 | 119.1 |  | 865 | 118.5 |  | 738 | 119.4 | EB |
| 698 | 109.9 |  | 721 | 107.4 |  | 730 | 108.1 | EB |

> Appendix IV
> Active, Reserve, and National Guard
> Components' Consistently Over- and
> Underfilled Occupational Specialties
(Continued From Previous Page)

| Occupational specialty title | 2000 |  |  | 2001 |  | 2002 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Authorized level | Percentage filled | Bonus ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Authorized level | Percentage filled Bonus ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Authorized level | Percentage filled | Bonus ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| Senior Noncommissioned Logistician | 130 | 113.8 |  | 135 | 117.0 | 140 | 105.7 |  |
| Senior Signal Sergeant | 106 | 119.8 |  | 102 | 110.8 | 107 | 93.5 |  |
| Shower/ Laundry and Clothing Repair Specialist | 847 | 116.3 | EB | 873 | 121.9 EB | 896 | 114.8 | EB |
| Signals Intelligence (Electronic Warfare)/ Senior Sergeant/ Chief | 31 | 116.1 |  | 36 | 569.4 | 227 | 102.6 |  |
| Special Band Member | 280 | 188.2 | EB | 521 | 99.8 SRB, EB | 521 | 101.7 | SRB, EB |
| Technical Engineer | 245 | 114.7 | EB | 246 | 133.7 SRB, EB | 258 | 128.3 | EB |
| Test, Measurement, and Diagnostic Equipment Maintenance Support Specialist | 168 | 132.7 | EB | 168 | 130.4 SRB, EB | 179 | 106.7 | EB |
| Topographic Surveyor | 110 | 107.3 | SRB | 110 | 107.3 SRB, EB | 110 | 114.5 | EB |
| Visual Information Operations Chief | 114 | 93.9 |  | 113 | 105.3 | 113 | 108.0 |  |
|  |  | Source: <br> a"SRB" <br> bonus. | O analysis of <br> means at <br> EB" mean | OD data. <br> ast one person at least one p | in the occupational specia rson in the occupational | $y$ received a s ecialty receiv | ected reenlist an enlistmen | ment bonus. |

Appendix IV
Active, Reserve, and National Guard
Components' Consistently Over- and
Underfilled Occupational Specialties

| 2003 |  |  | 2004 |  |  | 2005 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Authorized level | Percentage filled | Bonus ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Authorized level | Percentage filled | Bonus ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Authorized level | Percentage filled | Bonus ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| 138 | 119.6 |  | 139 | 105.0 |  | 154 | 94.2 |  |
| 100 | 108.0 |  | 99 | 108.1 |  | 98 | 109.2 |  |
| 811 | 109.2 | EB | 803 | 100.1 | EB | 800 | 94.0 | SRB, EB |
| 220 | 113.6 |  | 232 | 99.6 |  | 214 | 103.7 |  |
| 521 | 103.5 | SRB | 523 | 102.3 | SRB, EB | 523 | 101.9 | SRB, EB |
| 256 | 115.6 |  | 256 | 105.1 |  | 240 | 100.8 | EB |
| 170 | 120.0 | EB | 175 | 112.6 | SRB, EB | 190 | 91.6 | SRB |
| 111 | 107.2 |  | 110 | 105.5 | SRB | 112 | 111.6 | SRB, EB |
| 110 | 114.5 |  | 107 | 106.5 |  | 97 | 114.4 |  |

Active, Reserve, and National Guard
Components' Consistently Over- and
Underfilled Occupational Specialties

Table 15: Army’s Consistently Underfilled Occupational Specialties

| Occupational specialty title | 2000 |  |  | 2001 |  |  | 2002 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Authorized level | Percentage filled | Bonus ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Authorized level | Percentage filled | Bonus ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Authorized level | Percentage filled | Bonus ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| Administrative Specialist | 9,382 | 95.8 |  | 9,112 | 99.7 | SRB | 8,969 | 97.4 |  |
| Aircraft Pneudraulics Repairer | 364 | 89.0 | SRB, EB | 360 | 93.6 | SRB, EB | 362 | 95.3 | SRB, EB |
| Army Bandperson | 1,330 | 96.8 | SRB, EB | 1,316 | 95.5 | SRB, EB | 1,334 | 90.9 | SRB, EB |
| Automated Logistical Specialist | 11,922 | 95.2 | EB | 11,802 | 97.5 | SRB, EB | 11,648 | 96.6 | EB |
| Aviation Operations Specialist | 1,686 | 93.4 | SRB, EB | 1,695 | 99.1 | SRB, EB | 1,724 | 99.9 | EB |
| Avionic Mechanic | 524 | 106.5 | EB | 508 | 87.0 | SRB, EB | 637 | 62.6 | EB |
| Career Counselor | 724 | 95.3 |  | 720 | 100.3 |  | 772 | 93.8 |  |
| Chaplain Assistant | 1,274 | 98.8 | EB | 1,289 | 101.0 | SRB, EB | 1,295 | 97.0 | EB |
| Chemical Operations Specialist | 6,091 | 93.9 | SRB, EB | 6,066 | 96.0 | SRB, EB | 6,092 | 98.2 | SRB, EB |
| Explosive Ordnance Disposal Specialist | 965 | 89.2 | SRB, EB | 947 | 87.1 | SRB, EB | 950 | 84.6 | EB |
| Field Artillery Automated Tactical Data System Specialist | 148 | 0.0 | EB | 1,189 | 33.4 | SRB, EB | 1,605 | 58.9 | SRB, EB |
| Health Care Specialist | 16,001 | 96.8 | EB | 16,073 | 96.2 | SRB, EB | 16,244 | 91.0 | EB |
| Heavy Construction Equipment Operator | 1,380 | 113.9 | SRB, EB | 1,173 | 92.8 | SRB, EB | 798 | 88.3 | SRB, EB |
| Human Intelligence Collector | 577 | 90.8 | SRB, EB | 604 | 84.1 | SRB, EB | 614 | 86.6 | EB |
| Human Resources Information Systems Management Specialist | 657 | 94.2 | SRB, EB | 635 | 97.0 | SRB, EB | 623 | 95.0 | EB |
| Operating Room Specialist | 981 | 94.4 | SRB, EB | 983 | 96.3 | SRB, EB | 941 | 95.0 | SRB, EB |
| Paralegal Specialist | 1,496 | 96.3 | SRB, EB | 1,483 | 98.9 | SRB, EB | 1,487 | 96.8 | EB |
| Power-Generation Equipment Repairer | 2,984 | 95.9 | SRB, EB | 2,981 | 97.6 | SRB, EB | 2,960 | 95.9 | EB |
| Public Affairs Specialist | 354 | 90.1 | SRB, EB | 349 | 88.0 | SRB, EB | 355 | 89.9 | SRB, EB |
| Recruiter | 3,242 | 91.2 | SRB | 3,164 | 98.1 | SRB | 3,212 | 100.0 | SRB |
| Respiratory Specialist | 230 | 92.6 | SRB, EB | 231 | 90.9 | SRB, EB | 240 | 87.1 | SRB |

Active, Reserve, and National Guard
Components' Consistently Over- and
Underfilled Occupational Specialties

| 2003 |  |  | 2004 |  |  | 2005 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Authorized level | Percentage filled | Bonus ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Authorized level | Percentage filled | Bonus ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Authorized level | Percentage filled | Bonus ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| 8,848 | 93.6 |  | 8,532 | 89.6 |  | 5,983 | 108.3 | EB |
| 368 | 97.6 | SRB, EB | 360 | 101.7 | SRB, EB | 385 | 96.6 | EB |
| 1,337 | 93.5 | SRB, EB | 1,335 | 95.1 | SRB, EB | 1,339 | 94.7 | SRB, EB |
| 11,715 | 99.0 | EB | 11,490 | 99.3 | EB | 11,395 | 98.9 | SRB, EB |
| 1,875 | 95.1 | EB | 2,113 | 86.2 | EB | 1,962 | 99.9 | EB |
| 435 | 91.7 |  | 451 | 95.6 | SRB | 446 | 98.9 | SRB, EB |
| 771 | 96.6 |  | 769 | 97.7 |  | 766 | 97.9 |  |
| 1,304 | 98.7 | EB | 1,329 | 98.0 | EB | 1,343 | 93.5 | EB |
| 6,410 | 99.7 | SRB, EB | 6,600 | 97.9 | SRB, EB | 0 | 0.0 | SRB, EB |
| 963 | 87.5 | SRB, EB | 989 | 79.3 | SRB, EB | 1,011 | 82.2 | SRB, EB |


| 2,240 | 79.8 | SRB, EB | 2,693 | 76.4 | SRB, EB | 2,757 | 77.4 | SRB, EB |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 16,421 | 96.1 | EB | 17,075 | 97.0 | SRB, EB | 17,788 | 92.9 | SRB, EB |
| 695 | 74.8 | SRB, EB | 1828 | 72.0 | SRB, EB | 1228 | 99.2 |  |
| 626 | 90.4 | EB | 798 | 71.2 | SRB, EB | 1013 | 64.9 | SRB |


| 614 | 98.4 | EB | 610 | 97.5 | EB | 521 | 105.0 | EB |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 944 | 95.4 |  | 936 | 99.8 |  | 884 | 107.2 | EB |
| 1,513 | 100.4 |  | 1,556 | 96.1 |  | 1,459 | 99.2 | EB |
| 2,970 | 100.7 | EB | 3,098 | 97.4 | SRB, EB | 3,130 | 98.6 | SRB, EB |
| 359 | 93.3 |  | 368 | 95.9 | SRB | 261 | 115.3 | SRB, EB |
| 3,082 | 95.5 |  | 3,087 | 97.1 | SRB | 3,050 | 99.9 | SRB |
| 234 | 89.3 | SRB | 230 | 93.9 | SRB | 235 | 103.4 | SRB, EB |

## Appendix IV <br> Active, Reserve, and National Guard <br> Components' Consistently Over- and <br> Underfilled Occupational Specialties

(Continued From Previous Page)

|  | 2000 |  |  | 2001 |  |  | 2002 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Occupational specialty title | Authorized level | Percentage filled | Bonus ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Authorized level | Percentage filled | Bonus ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Authorized level | Percentage filled | Bonus ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| Satellite |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Communication |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Systems Operator- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maintainer | 1,624 | 95.0 | RB, EB | 1,611 | 93.5 | SRB, EB | 1,769 | 92.9 | SRB, EB |
| Signals |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Collector/Analyst | 487 | 86.0 | RB, EB | 523 | 82.8 | SRB, EB | 476 | 103.4 | SRB, EB |
| Special Duty Assignment | 109 | 10.1 |  | 108 | 7.4 |  | 118 | 4.2 |  |
| Special Forces |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Assistant Operations and Intelligence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sergeant | 474 | 84.0 |  | 460 | 78.7 | SRB | 472 | 66.1 | SRB |
| Special Forces |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sergeant | 903 | 81.1 | SRB | 882 | 86.1 | SRB | 893 | 93.1 | SRB |
| Special Forces |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Engineer Sergeant | 760 | 85.8 | SRB | 742 | 83.3 | SRB | 751 | 83.5 | SRB |
| Special Forces |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Medical Sergeant | 779 | 78.9 | SRB | 770 | 78.4 | SRB | 789 | 81.4 | SRB |
| Veterinary Food |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Inspection Specialist | 849 | 100.2 | EB | 849 | 93.4 | EB | 845 | 98.3 | EB |
|  |  | Source: | GAO analysis | DOD data. |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | a"SRB bonus | " means a "EB" me | east one pers s at least one | in the occupatio erson in the oc | onal specia cupational | Ity received a specialty recei | elected reenlis d an enlistme | tment <br> nt bonus. |

Appendix IV
Active, Reserve, and National Guard
Components' Consistently Over- and
Underfilled Occupational Specialties

| 2003 |  |  | 2004 |  |  | 2005 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Authorized level | Percentage filled filled | Bonus ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Authorized level | Percentage filled | Bonus ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Authorized level | Percentage filled | Bonus ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| 1,799 | 107.1 | SRB, EB | 2106 | 95.9 | SRB, EB | 2,145 | 98.3 | SRB, EB |
| 500 | 97.8 |  | 517 | 97.7 | SRB | 577 | 87.9 | SRB, EB |
| 116 | 5.2 |  | 116 | 7.8 |  | 252 | 8.7 |  |
| 471 | 58.6 | SRB | 475 | 55.4 | SRB | 486 | 43.8 | SRB |
| 881 | 96.7 | SRB | 888 | 91.1 | SRB | 896 | 89.8 | SRB |
| 739 | 92.7 | SRB | 746 | 95.4 | SRB | 757 | 103.6 | SRB |
| 782 | 86.6 | SRB | 769 | 80.8 | SRB | 783 | 78.9 | SRB |
| 847 | 99.4 | EB | 845 | 97.2 | SRB, EB | 892 | 95.6 | SRB, EB |

Appendix IV
Active, Reserve, and National Guard
Components' Consistently Over- and
Underfilled Occupational Specialties

Table 16: Navy's Consistently Overfilled Occupational Specialties

|  | 2000 |  |  | 2001 |  |  | 2002 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Occupational specialty title | Authorized level | Percentage filled | Bonus ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Authorized level | Percentage filled | Bonus ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Authorized level | Percentage filled | Bonus ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| Seaman | 16,172 | 136.4 | EB | 15,735 | 120.8 | EB | 15,978 | 102.8 | EB |
|  |  |  | RB" mean nus. "EB" | sis of DOD data. <br> at least one p means at least | son in the occup e person in the | ational sp occupatio | ialty received specialty rec | selected reenli ved an enlistme | tment <br> t bonus. |

Appendix IV
Active, Reserve, and National Guard
Components' Consistently Over- and
Underfilled Occupational Specialties

| 2003 |  |  | 2004 |  |  | 2005 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Authorized level | Percentage filled | Bonus ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Authorized level | Percentage filled | Bonus ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Authorized level | Percentage filled | Bonus ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| 15,245 | 100.7 | EB | 13,929 | 126.4 | EB | 13,208 | 98.3 | EB |

Table 17: Navy’s Consistently Underfilled Occupational Specialties

| Occupational specialty title | 2000 |  |  | 2001 |  |  | 2002 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Authorized level | Percentage filled | Bonus ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Authorized level | Percentage filled | Bonus ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Authorized level | Percentage filled | Bonus ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| Aerographer's Mate | 1,408 | 74.9 | SRB, EB | 1,397 | 79.0 | SRB, EB | 1,412 | 81.7 | SRB, EB |
| Air Traffic Controller | 2,673 | 73.6 | SRB, EB | 2,694 | 77.8 | SRB, EB | 2,706 | 77.6 | SRB, EB |
| Aircrew Survival Equipmentman | 1,552 | 67.5 | SRB, EB | 1,620 | 74.3 | SRB, EB | 1,702 | 74.3 | SRB, EB |
| Aviation Boatswain's Mate | 6,614 | 74.9 | SRB, EB | 6,773 | 80.9 | SRB, EB | 6,839 | 81.8 | SRB, EB |
| Aviation Electronics Technician | 10,334 | 72.0 | SRB, EB | 10,402 | 72.4 | SRB, EB | 10,618 | 73.1 | SRB, EB |
| Aviation Machinist's Mate | 6,71 | 74.7 | SRB, EB | 6,851 | 81.3 | SRB, EB | 6,952 | 82.0 | SRB, EB |
| Aviation Maintenance Administrationman | 3,036 | 74.6 |  | 3,079 | 77.0 | EB | 3,155 | 75.9 | EB |
| Aviation Ordnanceman | 6,605 | 70.0 | SRB, EB | 6,924 | 74.4 | SRB, EB | 7,508 | 73.5 | SRB, EB |
| Aviation Structural Mechanic | 10,298 | 12.3 | SRB, EB | 10,446 | 70.4 | SRB, EB | 10,738 | 74.9 | SRB, EB |
| Aviation Warfare Systems Operator | 2,316 | 65.9 | SRB | 2,391 | 67.6 | SRB | 2,403 | 66.7 | SRB |
| Builder | 2,381 | 76.1 | SRB | 2,389 | 77.2 | SRB | 2,480 | 80.4 | SRB, EB |
| Command Master Chief | 656 | 69.5 |  | 665 | 74.9 |  | 674 | 73.6 |  |
| Construction Electrician | 1,160 | 74.8 | SRB | 1,172 | 75.2 | SRB, EB | 1,233 | 74.9 | SRB |
| Construction Mechanic | 1,540 | 79.2 | SRB | 1,556 | 72.8 | SRB, EB | 1,648 | 74.0 | SRB, EB |
| Constructionman | 356 | 14.6 |  | 348 | 45.4 |  | 347 | 8.4 |  |
| Cryptologic Technician | 10,440 | 74.8 | SRB, EB | 10,442 | 77.1 | SRB, EB | 10,441 | 82.9 | SRB, EB |
| Damage Controlman | 3,460 | 78.4 | SRB, EB | 3,440 | 84.4 | SRB, EB | 3,523 | 85.9 | SRB, EB |
| Dental Technician | 3,192 | 71.1 | SRB, EB | 3,240 | 78.7 | SRB | 3,169 | 78.6 | SRB |
| Disbursing Clerk | 1,757 | 65.2 | SRB, EB | 1,745 | 74.7 | SRB, EB | 1,760 | 79.1 | SRB, EB |
| Diver | 1,159 | 62.4 | EB | 1,177 | 63.4 | EB | 1,253 | 60.4 | EB |
| Electronics Technician | 15,879 | 73.2 | SRB | 15,619 | 79.1 | SRB | 15,925 | 79.5 | SRB |
| Engineman | 5,288 | 76.4 | SRB, EB | 5,325 | 80.4 | SRB, EB | 5,461 | 84.5 | SRB, EB |
| Equipment Operator | 1,444 | 69.9 | SRB | 1,461 | 65.2 | SRB, EB | 1,509 | 74.4 | SRB, EB |
| Explosive Ordnance Disposal | 889 | 50.5 | SRB, | 910 | 48.9 | EB | 962 | 50.0 | SRB |
| Fire Control Technician | 1,363 | 59.9 | SRB | 1,389 | 61.3 | SRB | 1,412 | 65.6 | SRB |
| Fire Controlman | 8,461 | 72.1 | SRB | 8,535 | 84.6 | SRB | 8,637 | 85.2 | SRB |
| Hospital Corpsman | 24,204 | 65.7 | SRB, EB | 24,369 | 67.2 | SRB, EB | 24,787 | 70.9 | SRB, EB |
| Hull Maintenance Technician | 3,732 | 62.0 | SRB, EB | 3,661 | 68.2 | SRB, EB | 3,561 | 79.7 | SRB, EB |


| 2003 |  |  | 2004 |  |  | 2005 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Authorized level | Percentage filled | Bonus ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Authorized level | Percentage filled | Bonus ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Authorized level | Percentage filled | Bonus ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| 1,406 | 100.6 | SRB, EB | 1,424 | 90.0 | SRB, EB | 1,300 | 97.5 | SRB, EB |
| 2,664 | 101.7 | SRB, EB | 2,660 | 95.6 | SRB, EB | 2,684 | 93.1 | SRB, EB |
| 1,663 | 104.8 | SRB, EB | 1,739 | 95.6 | SRB, EB | 1,924 | 94.5 | SRB, EB |
| 6,681 | 106.3 | SRB, EB | 6,727 | 94.8 | SRB, EB | 12,137 | 66.9 | SRB, EB |
| 10,385 | 103.7 | SRB | 10,295 | 99.4 | SRB | 10,385 | 95.6 | SRB |
| 6,805 | 110.4 | SRB, EB | 6,992 | 98.3 | SRB, EB | 7,923 | 87.2 | SRB, EB |
| 3,079 | 97.4 | EB | 3,120 | 96.0 | EB | 3,300 | 98.3 | EB |
| 7,211 | 105.5 | SRB, EB | 7,294 | 98.9 | SRB, EB | 9,181 | 85.4 | SRB, EB |
| 10,613 | 101.2 | SRB, EB | 10,641 | 97.3 | SRB, EB | 11,916 | 89.8 | SRB, EB |
| 2,404 | 97.7 | SRB | 2,498 | 91.4 | SRB | 2,216 | 102.9 | SRB |
| 2,435 | 105.6 | SRB, EB | 2,483 | 95.6 | SRB, EB | 2,480 | 93.3 | SRB, EB |
| 678 | 100.4 |  | 693 | 97.4 |  | 674 | 98.2 |  |
| 1,229 | 103.3 | SRB, EB | 1,235 | 97.5 | SRB, EB | 1,239 | 94.4 | SRB, EB |
| 1,646 | 99.0 | SRB, EB | 1,777 | 92.6 | SRB, EB | 1,792 | 98.4 | SRB, EB |
| 347 | 6.9 |  | 348 | 4.0 |  | 347 | 6.9 |  |
| 10,622 | 122.1 | SRB, EB | 12,762 | 98.2 | SRB, EB | 12,455 | 97.3 | SRB, EB |
| 3,355 | 101.9 | SRB, EB | 3,858 | 75.6 | EB | 3,744 | 85.5 | SRB, EB |
| 3,092 | 96.9 | SRB, EB | 3,136 | 89.6 | SRB, EB | 2,910 | 97.1 | SRB, EB |
| 1,658 | 107.2 | SRB, EB | 1,665 | 94.2 | EB | 1,547 | 95.2 | SRB, EB |
| 1,226 | 82.1 | SRB | 1,270 | 76.8 | SRB | 1,258 | 77.4 | SRB |
| 15,400 | 104.5 | SRB | 15,460 | 98.3 | SRB | 15,144 | 98.0 | SRB |
| 5,212 | 102.9 | SRB, EB | 5,566 | 85.0 | EB | 5,616 | 87.9 | SRB, EB |
| 1,473 | 110.0 | SRB, EB | 1,550 | 98.5 | SRB, EB | 1,546 | 95.3 | SRB, EB |
| 979 | 76.6 | SRB | 908 | 93.9 | SRB | 1,019 | 86.6 | SRB |
| 1,377 | 99.7 | SRB | 1,426 | 95.9 |  | 1,468 | 96.8 |  |
| 8,141 | 108.0 | SRB | 7,894 | 99.1 | SRB | 7,421 | 99.5 | SRB |
| 24,264 | 95.7 | SRB, EB | 24,685 | 94.6 | SRB, EB | 23,872 | 99.3 | SRB, EB |
| 3,399 | 104.2 | SRB, EB | 3,501 | 97.5 | SRB, EB | 3,427 | 98.7 | SRB, EB |
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## (Continued From Previous Page)

|  | 2000 |  |  | 2001 |  |  | 2002 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Occupational specialty title | Authorized level | Percentage filled | Bonus ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Authorized level | Percentage filled | Bonus ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Authorized level | Percentage filled | Bonus ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| Illustrator/ Draftsman | 145 | 67.6 |  | 132 | 76.5 |  | 126 | 77.8 |  |
| Information Systems Technician | 11,728 | 75.4 | RB, EB | 11,434 | 76.6 | SRB, EB | 11,479 | 74.2 | SRB, EB |
| Intelligence Specialist | 2,111 | 74.4 | SRB, EB | 2,152 | 81.9 | SRB, EB | 2,305 | 81.3 | SRB, EB |
| Interior Communications Electrician | 3,001 | 65.1 | RB, EB | 2,889 | 75.2 | SRB, EB | 2,858 | 83.4 | SRB, EB |
| Journalist | 679 | 61.3 | EB | 656 | 71.6 |  | 659 | 75.7 | EB |
| Lithographer | 236 | 67.8 |  | 235 | 74.0 |  | 228 | 89.5 | SRB, EB |
| Machinist's Mate | 17,666 | 75.9 | RB, EB | 17,647 | 76.9 | SRB, EB | 17,920 | 79.1 | SRB, EB |
| Mineman | 686 | 70.7 | SRB, EB | 718 | 77.4 | SRB, EB | 780 | 88.6 | SRB, EB |
| Musician | 738 | 66.1 | EB | 748 | 66.3 | EB | 811 | 66.1 | EB |
| Navy Counselor | 1,357 | 69.6 | SRB | 1,379 | 69.3 | SRB | 1,532 | 61.2 | SRB |
| Officer Candidate School | 4,943 | 0.0 |  | 4,411 | 0.0 |  | 4,411 | 0.0 |  |
| Personnelman | 3,588 | 65.8 | EB | 3,600 | 70.2 | EB | 3,614 | 72.9 | EB |
| Religious Program Specialist | 843 | 73.9 | EB | 855 | 78.1 |  | 859 | 78.3 |  |
| Seal, Special Warfare | 1,775 | 55.7 | SRB | 1,759 | 55.4 | SRB | 1,690 | 60.3 | SRB |
| Sonar Technician | 6,348 | 72.4 | SRB, EB | 6,281 | 72.3 | SRB, EB | 6,307 | 75.8 | SRB, EB |
| Special Warfare Combatant Crewmembers | 390 | 29.0 | SRB | 395 | 34.7 | SRB | 458 | 32.8 | SRB |
| Storekeeper | 6,326 | 65.2 | EB | 6,352 | 71.5 | SRB | 6,904 | 73.2 | SRB, EB |
| Utilities Constructionman | 16 | 56.3 |  | 17 | 70.6 |  | 19 | 63.2 |  |
| Utilitiesman | 902 | 69.5 | SRB | 918 | 66.7 | SRB, EB | 976 | 73.9 | SRB, EB |
| Yeoman | 6,891 | 76.8 | SRB, EB | 6,803 | 77.5 | SRB, EB | 6,829 | 75.0 | SRB, EB |

Source: GAO analysis of DOD data.
a"SRB" means at least one person in the occupational specialty received a selected reenlistment bonus. "EB" means at least one person in the occupational specialty received an enlistment bonus.
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| 2003 |  |  | 2004 |  |  | 2005 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Authorized level | Percentage filled | Bonus ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Authorized level | Percentage filled | Bonus ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Authorized level | Percentage filled | Bonus ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| 116 | 107.8 |  | 115 | 95.7 |  | 110 | 96.4 |  |
| 10,964 | 94.8 | SRB, EB | 11,051 | 91.5 | SRB, EB | 11,077 | 91.0 | SRB, EB |
| 2,257 | 102.3 | SRB, EB | 2,373 | 88.0 | SRB, EB | 2,368 | 86.3 | SRB, EB |
| 2,719 | 105.2 | SRB, EB | 2,722 | 96.1 | SRB, EB | 2,619 | 97.6 | SRB, EB |
| 623 | 110.6 | EB | 654 | 94.8 | EB | 677 | 90.4 | EB |
| 249 | 97.6 | EB | 250 | 96.8 | EB | 262 | 94.7 | EB |
| 17,306 | 105.4 | SRB, EB | 18,797 | 93.3 | SRB, EB | 18,516 | 96.3 | SRB, EB |
| 939 | 96.6 | SRB, EB | 954 | 90.7 | SRB, EB | 980 | 89.9 | SRB, EB |
| 755 | 93.1 | EB | 772 | 92.6 | EB | 771 | 97.3 | EB |
| 1,450 | 96.8 |  | 1,505 | 94.0 |  | 1,489 | 94.4 |  |
| 4,484 | 0.0 |  | 5,175 | 0.0 |  | 5,079 | 0.0 |  |
| 3,485 | 97.5 | EB | 3,540 | 93.1 | EB | 3,426 | 102.7 | EB |
| 838 | 93.3 | EB | 874 | 89.6 | EB | 890 | 98.4 | EB |
| 1,666 | 89.9 | SRB | 1,718 | 89.3 | SRB | 1,751 | 86.2 | SRB |
| 6,023 | 103.2 | SRB, EB | 6,063 | 94.0 | SRB, EB | 5,836 | 96.5 | SRB, EB |
| 473 | 52.0 | SRB | 502 | 112.7 | SRB | 701 | 82.0 | SRB |
| 9,954 | 97.8 | SRB, EB | 9,927 | 100.6 | SRB, EB | 9,901 | 99.8 | SRB, EB |
| 17 | 117.6 |  | 18 | 88.9 |  | 18 | 94.4 |  |
| 975 | 105.4 | SRB, EB | 1,025 | 96.7 | SRB, EB | 1,028 | 97.5 | SRB, EB |
| 6,560 | 94.2 | SRB, EB | 6,313 | 96.7 | SRB, EB | 6,165 | 97.4 | SRB, EB |
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Table 18: Marine Corps's Consistently Overfilled Occupational Specialties

| Occupational specialty title | 2000 |  |  | 2001 |  |  | 2002 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Authorized level | Percentage filled | Bonus ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Authorized level | Percentage filled | Bonus ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Authorized level | Percentage filled Bonus ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| Aircraft Maintenance Chief | 112 | 100.9 |  | 241 | 101.2 |  | 243 | 99.6 |
| Administrative Clerk | 3,022 | 117.5 | EB | 3,078 | 107.5 | EB | 3,032 | 102.7 EB |
| Air Control Electronics Operator | 130 | 110.8 | SRB, EB | 130 | 125.4 | SRB, EB | 136 | 131.6 SRB, EB |
| Air Traffic Control Communications Technician | 185 | 105.4 | SRB, EB | 176 | 112.5 | SRB | 166 | 116.3 SRB, EB |
| Air Traffic Control Navigational Aids Technician | 123 | 117.9 | SRB, EB | 120 | 116.7 | SRB | 103 | 128.2 SRB, EB |
| Aircraft Communications/ Navigation Systems Technician, KC-130 | 83 | 112.0 | SRB | 76 | 130.3 | SRB | 81 | 112.3 SRB, EB |
| Aircraft Communications/ Navigation/Electrical Systems Technician, CH-46 | 317 | 109.5 | SRB,EB | 312 | 117.3 | SRB, EB | 300 | 115.3 SRB, EB |
| Aircraft Communications/ Navigation/ Electrical/ Weapon Systems Technician, U/AH-1 | 490 | 105.3 | SRB, EB | 485 | 108.9 | SRB, EB | 484 | 102.7 SRB, EB |
| Aircraft Communications/ Navigation/ Radar Systems Technician, EA-6B | 57 | 124.6 | SRB | 63 | 119.0 | SRB | 60 | 113.3 SRB, EB |
| Aircraft Electrical/ Instrument/ Flight Control Systems Technician, Fixed Wing | 157 | 131.2 | SRB, EB | 159 | 128.9 | SRB, EB | 156 | 116.0 SRB, EB |
| Aircraft Electrical/ Instrument/ Flight Control Systems Technician, Helicopter | 175 | 136.6 | SRB | 169 | 118.3 | SRB, EB | 160 | 111.3 SRB, EB |
| Aircraft Forward Looking Infrared/ Electro-optical Technician | 75 | 92.0 | SRB | 80 | 103.8 | SRB, EB | 74 | 104.1 SRB, EB |
| Aircraft Navigation Systems Technician, IFF/ RADAR/ TACAN | 267 | 101.5 | SRB, EB | 278 | 101.1 | SRB, EB | 267 | 118.0 SRB, EB |
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| 2003 |  |  | 2004 |  |  | 2005 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Authorized level | Percentage filled | Bonus ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Authorized level | Percentage filled | Bonus ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Authorized level | Percentage filled | Bonus ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| 247 | 102.8 |  | 246 | 100.8 |  | 270 | 101.5 |  |
| 2963 | 102.0 | EB | 2978 | 103.8 | EB | 3155 | 101.6 | EB |
| 132 | 136.4 | SRB, EB | 137 | 133.6 | SRB, EB | 135 | 129.6 | SRB, EB |
| 169 | 105.9 | SRB, EB | 179 | 96.1 | SRB, EB | 167 | 101.2 | SRB, EB |
| 98 | 117.3 | EB | 111 | 91.0 | SRB, EB | 101 | 106.9 | EB |


| 84 | 109.5 | SRB, EB | 85 | 101.2 | SRB, EB | 100 | 84.0 | SRB, EB |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| 293 | 118.1 | SRB, EB | 350 | 98.6 | SRB, EB | 308 | 103.2 | SRB, EB |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| 463 | 111.4 | SRB, EB | 535 | 96.3 | SRB, EB | 504 | 100.2 | SRB, EB |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| 54 | 125.9 | $\mathrm{SRB}, \mathrm{EB}$ | 57 | 105.3 | $\mathrm{SRB}, \mathrm{EB}$ | 58 | 103.4 | SRB, EB |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| 162 | 114.2 | SRB, EB | 175 | 99.4 | SRB, EB | 150 | 106.0 | EB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 160 | 101.3 | SRB, EB | 179 | 95.5 | SRB, EB | 160 | 109.4 | EB |
| 75 | 110.7 | SRB, EB | 83 | 107.2 | SRB, EB | 70 | 111.4 | SRB, EB |
| 270 | 111.5 | SRB, EB | 303 | 92.1 | SRB, EB | 269 | 101.9 | SRB, EB |
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## (Continued From Previous Page)

| Occupational specialty title | 2000 |  |  | 2001 |  |  | 2002 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Authorized level | Percentage filled | Bonus ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Authorized level | Percentage filled | Bonus ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Authorized level | Percentage filled | Bonus ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| Aircraft Ordnance Technician | 1,217 | 104.2 | SRB, EB | 1,213 | 102.8 | SRB, EB | 1,276 | 102.2 | SRB, EB |
| Aircraft Rescue and Firefighting Specialist | 1,036 | 107.1 | SRB, EB | 1,039 | 100.6 | SRB, EB | 1,004 | 105.2 | SRB, EB |
| Antitank Missileman | 866 | 123.2 | SRB, EB | 845 | 108.6 | SRB, EB | 824 | 101. | RB, EB |
| Artillery Meteorological Man | 51 | 105.9 | SRB, EB | 51 | 103.9 | EB | 58 | 100.0 | SRB, EB |
| Aviation Ordnance Systems Technician | 907 | 111.6 | SRB, EB | 955 | 106.6 | SRB, EB | 984 | 104. | SRB, EB |
| Aviation Radar Repairer | 85 | 149.4 | SRB, EB | 83 | 125.3 | SRB | 75 | 169. | SRB, EB |
| Combat Lithographer | 0 | 0.0 |  | 88 | 108.0 |  | 87 | 114.9 | SRB |
| Combat Photographer | 164 | 109.8 | SRB, EB | 160 | 119.4 | EB | 167 | 106.0 |  |
| Combat Videographer | 94 | 137.2 | EB | 104 | 108.7 | EB | 108 | 100.9 | EB |
| Correctional Specialist | 628 | 108.8 | SRB, EB | 641 | 110.8 | SRB, EB | 600 | 115.0 | SRB, EB |
| Digital Multi-channel Wideband <br> Transmission <br> Equipment Operator | 619 | 102.6 | SRB | 625 | 98.2 | SRB, EB | 624 | 109.1 | SRB, EB |
| Electrician | 563 | 100.7 | SRB, EB | 565 | 102.3 | EB | 581 | 99.1 | SRB, EB |
| Electro-Optical Ordnance Repairer | 399 | 108.3 | SRB, EB | 397 | 115.1 | SRB, EB | 382 | 119.1 | SRB, EB |
| Engineer Assistant | 118 | 122.0 |  | 114 | 121.9 |  | 130 | 113.1 |  |
| Engineer Equipment Mechanic | 1,106 | 96.7 | EB | 1,109 | 103.6 | EB | 1,148 | 106.5 | EB |
| Field Artillery Cannoneer | 2,047 |  | SRB, EB | 2,039 | 102.0 | SRB, EB | 2,029 | 104.0 | SRB, EB |
| Field Artillery Fire Control Man | 589 | 94.7 | SRB, EB | 529 | 115.9 | SRB, EB | 575 | 116.2 | SRB, EB |
| Field Artillery Radar Operator | 96 | 95.8 | SRB, EB | 93 | 108.6 | SRB, EB | 96 | 108.3 | SRB, EB |
| Fixed-Wing Aircraft Power Plants Mechanic, T-56 | 0 | 0.0 |  | 79 | 125.3 | SRB | 91 | 104.4 | SRB |
| Fleet Satellite Communications Terminal Operator | 26 | 111.5 |  | 30 | 106.7 | SRB | 32 | 106.3 | SRB |
| Geographic Intelligence Specialist | 133 | 117.3 | SRB, EB | 133 | 112.8 | SRB, EB | 124 | 130.6 | SRB, EB |
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| 2003 |  |  | 2004 |  |  | 2005 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Authorized level | Percentage filled | Bonus ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Authorized level | Percentage filled | Bonus ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Authorized level | Percentage filled | Bonus ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| 1,266 | 107.6 | SRB, EB | 1,308 | 107.4 | SRB, EB | 1,306 | 109.5 | SRB, EB |
| 962 | 109.8 | EB | 987 | 112.5 | EB | 962 | 110.2 | EB |
| 846 | 107.6 | SRB, EB | 889 | 101.6 | SRB, EB | 852 | 113.5 | SRB |
| 50 | 124.0 | SRB, EB | 52 | 107.7 | SRB, EB | 49 | 122.4 | EB |
| 947 | 110.0 | SRB, EB | 958 | 105.5 | SRB, EB | 933 | 103.8 | SRB, EB |
| 78 | 153.8 | SRB, EB | 92 | 140.2 | SRB, EB | 87 | 155.2 | EB |
| 84 | 113.1 | SRB, EB | 75 | 110.7 |  | 60 | 130.0 |  |
| 160 | 111.3 | EB | 161 | 107.5 |  | 129 | 119.4 | EB |
| 96 | 111.5 | EB | 94 | 106.4 | SRB, EB | 109 | 93.6 | SRB, EB |
| 590 | 106.1 | EB | 566 | 106.9 | EB | 581 | 107.2 | SRB, EB |


| 619 | 118.3 | SRB, EB | 621 | 111.6 | EB | 620 | 100.2 | EB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 570 | 102.1 | EB | 559 | 112.2 | EB | 493 | 127.6 | EB |
| 381 | 115.5 | SRB, EB | 401 | 106.2 | SRB, EB | 383 | 108.4 | SRB, EB |
| 121 | 119.0 | SRB, EB | 116 | 108.6 | EB | 109 | 110.1 |  |
| 1,139 | 112.8 | EB | 1,124 | 116.9 | EB | 1,122 | 123.4 | EB |
| 2,118 | 101.4 | SRB, EB | 2,040 | 108.2 | SRB, EB | 2,058 | 112.1 | SRB, EB |
| 565 | 124.2 | SRB, EB | 572 | 119.9 | SRB, EB | 559 | 124.5 | SRB, EB |
| 93 | 114.0 | SRB, EB | 93 | 107.5 | SRB, EB | 94 | 107.4 | EB |
| 87 | 111.5 | EB | 82 | 106.1 | SRB | 74 | 109.5 | EB |
| 32 | 115.6 | SRB | 27 | 118.5 |  | 27 | 3.7 |  |
| 121 | 124.8 | SRB, EB | 132 | 112.1 | SRB, EB | 130 | 119.2 | SRB, EB |
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|  | 2000 |  |  | 2001 |  |  | 2002 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Occupational specialty title | Authorized level | Percentage filled | Bonus ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Authorized level | Percentage filled | Bonus ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Authorized level | Percentage filled | Bonus ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| Helicopter Airframe Mechanic, CH-46 | 354 | 109.9 | SRB | 342 | 108.8 | SRB, EB | 316 | 120.3 | SRB |
| Helicopter Crew Chief, CH-53 | 360 | 103.9 | SRB, EB | 354 | 117.2 | SRB, EB | 358 | 105.9 | SRB, EB |
| Helicopter Mechanic, UH/AH-1 | 626 | 107.0 | SRB | 595 | 114.3 | SRB, EB | 639 | 104.4 | SRB, EB |
| Helicopter Mechanic, CH-53 | 560 | 103.2 | SRB | 562 | 101.4 | SRB, EB | 556 | 110.4 | RB, EB |
| Helicopter/ Tiltrotor Dynamic Components Mechanic | 187 | 108.6 | SRB | 185 | 111.4 | SRB | 191 | 107.9 | RB, EB |
| Hygiene Equipment Operator | 736 | 103.7 | SRB, EB | 714 | 107.3 | EB | 743 | 101.6 | EB |
| Infantry Unit Leader | 2,479 | 101.2 |  | 2,462 | 102.0 |  | 2,452 | 89.6 |  |
| Legal Services Specialist | 429 | 110.3 | EB | 427 | 111.5 |  | 438 | 110.0 | SRB |
| Machinist | 139 | 101.4 | SRB | 133 | 105.3 | SRB | 150 | 94.0 | RB, EB |
| Metal Worker | 254 | 108.7 |  | 260 | 100.8 | EB | 275 | 99.6 | SRB, EB |
| Meteorology/ Oceanographic Observer | 162 | 114.2 | SRB, EB | 167 | 114.4 | SRB, EB | 146 | 146.6 | RB, EB |
| Military Police | 2,830 | 103.3 | EB | 2,875 | 105.2 | EB | 2,809 | 106.7 | RB, EB |
| Packaging Specialist | 186 | 117.2 | SRB | 188 | 114.9 |  | 202 | 112.4 |  |
| Personnel Clerk | 2,722 | 109.4 | EB | 2,697 | 111.2 | EB | 2,720 | 104.9 | EB |
| Sergeant Major/First Sergeant | 1,087 | 111.2 |  | 1,091 | 109.0 |  | 1,091 | 108.1 |  |
| Traffic Management Specialist | 550 | 107.3 | EB | 561 | 110.2 |  | 555 | 107.7 | EB |
| Warehouse Clerk | 2,546 | 104.0 | EB | 2,556 | 104.5 | EB | 2,579 | 104.8 | EB |

a"SRB" means at least one person in the occupational specialty received a selected reenlistment bonus. "EB" means at least one person in the occupational specialty received an enlistment bonus.
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| 2003 |  |  | 2004 |  |  | 2005 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Authorized level | Percentage filled | Bonus ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Authorized level | Percentage filled | Bonus ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Authorized level | Percentage filled | Bonus ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| 374 | 100.5 | SRB, EB | 429 | 87.6 | SRB, EB | 379 | 102.6 | SRB |
| 358 | 105.0 | SRB | 361 | 98.3 | SRB, EB | 343 | 104.1 | SRB, EB |
| 641 | 110.3 | SRB, EB | 646 | 103.6 | SRB, EB | 633 | 99.7 | SRB |
| 561 | 104.3 | SRB, EB | 549 | 103.8 | SRB, EB | 553 | 100.9 | SRB, EB |
| 187 | 112.3 | SRB, EB | 189 | 100.5 | EB | 182 | 101.1 |  |
| 726 | 105.9 | EB | 713 | 108.8 | EB | 632 | 126.3 | EB |
| 2,233 | 101.7 | SRB | 2,249 | 105.6 | SRB | 657 | 347.3 |  |
| 438 | 105.7 | EB | 435 | 106.9 | EB | 434 | 109.0 | EB |
| 137 | 110.2 | SRB, EB | 135 | 111.1 | SRB, EB | 137 | 117.5 | SRB, EB |
| 259 | 113.5 | EB | 256 | 122.3 | EB | 258 | 127.1 | EB |
| 153 | 139.2 | SRB, EB | 159 | 134.0 | SRB, EB | 166 | 121.7 | SRB, EB |
| 2,981 | 97.3 | SRB, EB | 2,865 | 100.3 | SRB, EB | 2,878 | 100.2 | SRB, EB |
| 196 | 106.6 |  | 189 | 113.8 | EB | 191 | 113.6 |  |
| 2,671 | 101.7 | EB | 2,649 | 100.2 | EB | 2,571 | 103.8 | EB |
| 1,127 | 102.1 |  | 1,173 | 96.8 |  | 1,185 | 104.1 |  |
| 541 | 106.1 | EB | 520 | 113.3 | EB | 521 | 114.6 | EB |
| 2,515 | 110.4 | EB | 2,480 | 113.1 | EB | 2,444 | 116.9 | EB |

Active, Reserve, and National Guard
Components' Consistently Over- and
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| Occupational specialty title | 2000 |  |  | 2001 |  |  | 2002 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Authorized level | Percentage filled | Bonus ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Authorized level | Percentage filled | Bonus ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Authorized level | Percentage filled | Bonus ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| Counterintelligence/ Human Intelligence Specialist | 255 | 56.5 | SRB | 243 |  | SRB, EB | 238 | 83.2 | SRB |
| Landing Support Specialist | 816 | 92.6 | SRB, EB | 819 | 93.3 | SRB, EB | 830 | 93.7 S | SRB, EB |
| Combat Service Support Chief | 370 | 87.3 | SRB | 369 | 92.1 | SRB | 374 | 91.2 | SRB |
| Radio Chief | 887 | 90.8 | SRB | 887 | 85.2 | SRB, EB | 859 | 94.9 |  |
| Field Artillery Operations Man | 216 | 82.9 | SRB | 212 | 85.4 | SRB | 217 | 77.9 |  |
| Fabric Repair Specialist | 104 | 88.5 | SRB | 100 | 87.0 |  | 112 | 79.5 | SRB |
| Basic Engineer, Construction, Facilities, and Equipment Marine | 594 | 18.9 |  | 573 | 39.8 |  | 554 | 41.5 |  |
| M1A1 Tank Crewman | 577 | 91.5 | SRB | 576 | 89.9 | SRB | 554 | 99.6 | SRB |
| Basic Ground Ordnance Maintenance Marine | 330 | 53.9 |  | 325 | 57.2 | EB | 316 | 51.9 |  |
| Assault Amphibious Vehicle Repairer/ Technician | 659 | 90.4 | SRB, EB | 668 | 85.3 | SRB, EB | 680 | 88.7 S | SRB, EB |
| Explosive Ordnance Disposal Technician | 342 | 77.2 | SRB | 351 | 75.8 | SRB, EB | 342 | 81.0 | SRB |
| Special Intelligence System Administrator /Communicator | 340 | 95.6 | SRB | 343 | 88.6 | SRB | 339 | 90.9 S | RB, EB |
| Asia-Pacific Cryptologic Linguist | 81 | 90.1 | EB | 86 | 60.5 | SRB | 88 | 58.0 S | SRB, EB |
| Satellite Communications Technician | 106 | 65.1 | SRB | 105 | 70.5 | SRB, EB | 99 | 75.8 | SRB |
| Basic Food Service Marine | 248 | 18.1 |  | 248 | 18.5 |  | 180 | 41.7 |  |
| Non-Appropriated Funds Audit Technician | 66 | 63.6 | SRB | 62 | 77.4 | EB | 52 | 84.6 | SRB |
| Combat Correspondent | 405 | 86.7 | SRB, EB | 433 | 76.9 | SRB, EB | 414 | 82.6 S | SRB, EB |
| Basic Visual Information Support Marine | 45 | 44.4 |  | 41 | 34.1 |  | 40 | 17.5 |  |
| Basic Nuclear, Biological, and Chemical Defense Marine | 56 | 19.6 |  | 55 | 30.9 |  | 55 | 96.4 |  |
| Basic Military Police and Corrections Marine | 262 | 6.9 |  | 263 | 30.8 |  | 259 | 39.0 |  |
| Aviation Radar Technician | 78 | 69.2 | SRB | 76 | 67.1 |  | 81 | 61.7 | SRB |
| Air Traffic Control Systems Maintenance Chief | 25 | 84.0 |  | 23 | 87.0 |  | 22 | 86.4 |  |

Active, Reserve, and National Guard
Components' Consistently Over- and
Underfilled Occupational Specialties

| 2003 |  |  | 2004 |  |  | 2005 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Authorized level | Percentage filled | Bonus ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Authorized level | Percentage filled | Bonus ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Authorized level | Percentage filled | Bonus ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| 444 | 73.4 | SRB | 444 | 77.3 | SRB | 442 | 83.9 | SRB |
| 818 | 92.5 | SRB, EB | 825 | 91.8 | SRB, EB | 825 | 91.4 | EB |
| 373 | 90.3 | SRB | 372 | 93.0 | SRB | 339 | 96.8 | SRB |
| 1,054 | 80.3 |  | 927 | 95.5 | SRB | 942 | 89.9 | SRB |
| 210 | 83.8 | SRB | 209 | 86.6 | SRB | 215 | 91.2 | SRB |
| 102 | 91.2 |  | 99 | 56.6 |  | 99 | 36.4 |  |
| 624 | 33.5 |  | 525 | 59.2 |  | 593 | 61.6 |  |
| 556 | 98.6 | SRB, EB | 578 | 90.3 | SRB, EB | 563 | 95.6 | SRB |
| 431 | 32.9 |  | 357 | 58.3 |  | 431 | 66.1 |  |


| 661 | 96.2 | SRB, EB | 664 | 95.8 | SRB, EB | 672 | 93.9 | SRB, EB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 356 | 79.8 | SRB | 394 | 74.9 | SRB | 361 | 80.6 | SRB |
| 365 | 97.3 | SRB, EB | 391 | 92.6 | SRB, EB | 434 | 95.6 | SRB, EB |
| 112 | 51.8 | SRB, EB | 133 | 60.2 | SRB, EB | 118 | 78.0 | SRB, EB |
| 99 | 74.7 | SRB | 112 | 72.3 | SRB | 118 | 68.6 | SRB |
| 224 | 27.7 |  | 207 | 16.9 |  | 241 | 34.0 |  |
| 53 | 79.2 | SRB | 48 | 93.8 | SRB | 50 | 82.0 |  |
| 417 | 89.7 | SRB, EB | 432 | 93.1 | SRB, EB | 419 | 98.1 | SRB, EB |
| 55 | 38.2 |  | 32 | 78.1 |  | 39 | 94.9 |  |
| 112 | 50.9 |  | 92 | 57.6 |  | 120 | 59.2 |  |
| 291 | 36.8 |  | 211 | 65.4 |  | 225 | 98.7 |  |
| 74 | 70.3 | SRB | 73 | 72.6 | SRB | 73 | 75.3 | SRB |
| 21 | 85.7 |  | 22 | 95.5 |  | 25 | 88.0 |  |

Active, Reserve, and National Guard
Components' Consistently Over- and
Underfilled Occupational Specialties
(Continued From Previous Page)

| Occupational specialty title | 2000 |  |  | 2001 |  |  | 2002 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Authorized level | Percentage filled | Bonus ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Authorized level | Percentage filled | Bonus ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Authorized level | Percentage filled | Bonus ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| Tactical Data Systems Administrator | 62 | 59.7 | SRB | 66 | 54.5 | SRB, EB | 67 | 59.7 | SRB |
| Individual Material Readiness List Asset Manager | 296 | 99.3 | SRB, EB | 303 | 99.0 | SRB, EB | 378 | 79.6 | SRB, EB |
| Helicopter Crew Chief, UH-1 | 192 | 93.2 | SRB | 194 | 88.1 | SRB | 202 | 79.2 | RB, EB |
| Advanced Aircraft Communication/Navigation Systems Technician | 214 | 85.5 | SRB | 218 | 75.2 | SRB | 206 | 83.5 | SRB |
| Advanced Aircraft Electrical/Instrument/Flight Control Systems Technician | 107 | 78.5 | SRB | 101 | 89.1 | SRB | 103 | 99.0 | SRB |
| Advanced Automatic Test Equipment Technician | 114 | 85.1 | SRB | 119 | 72.3 | SRB | 116 | 88.8 | SRB |
| Meteorology/ Oceanographic Forecaster | 142 | 73.9 | SRB | 138 | 79.0 | SRB, EB | 144 | 78.5 | SRB |
| Tactical Air Defense Controller | 141 | 69.5 | SRB | 151 | 61.6 | SRB, EB | 148 | 64.2 | SRB |
| Air Traffic Controller | 643 | 84.1 | SRB, EB | 633 | 85.6 | SRB, EB | 650 | 93.5 | RB, EB |
| Unmanned Aerial Vehicle Operator | 82 | 72.0 | SRB, EB | 86 | 77.9 | SRB, EB | 93 | 74.2 | SRB, EB |
| Airborne Radio Operator/ Inflight Refueling Observer/ Loadmaster | 121 | 76.9 | SRB | 120 | 76.7 | SRB, EB | 117 | 80.3 S | RB, EB |
| Career Recruiter | 554 | 72.0 | SRB | 554 | 78.3 | SRB | 540 | 78.1 |  |
| Personnel/ Administrative Chief | 1,774 | 97.6 |  | 1,773 | 98.3 |  | 1,724 | 98.5 | EB |
| Imagery Analysis Specialist | 308 | 81.8 | SRB | 307 | 86.6 | SRB, EB | 279 | 102.2 | SRB |
| Reconnaissance Man | 517 | 71.6 | SRB, EB | 485 | 97.7 | SRB, EB | 612 | 130.2 | SRB |
| Wire Chief | 298 | 84.9 | SRB | 296 | 85.8 | SRB | 286 | 97.6 |  |
| Fire Support Man | 339 | 113.0 | SRB, EB | 374 | 96.8 | SRB, EB | 386 | 89.1 S | SRB, EB |
| Electrical Equipment Repair Specialist | 689 | 97.1 | SRB, EB | 706 | 98.3 |  | 710 | 96.5 | EB |
| Utilities Chief | 180 | 92.8 |  | 179 | 86.0 |  | 173 | 90.2 |  |
| Engineer Equipment Chief | 208 | 80.3 | SRB | 213 | 74.6 |  | 209 | 74.6 |  |
| Light Armored Vehicle Repairer/ Technician | 404 | 93.3 | EB | 423 | 89.8 | SRB | 437 | 87.9 | SRB, EB |
| Senior Ground Ordnance Weapons Chief | 36 | 88.9 |  | 36 | 88.9 |  | 34 | 88.2 |  |
| Criminal Investigator Agent | 197 | 37.6 | SRB | 195 | 31.8 | SRB | 190 | 31.6 | SRB |

Appendix IV
Active, Reserve, and National Guard
Components' Consistently Over- and
Underfilled Occupational Specialties

| 2003 |  |  | 2004 |  |  | 2005 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Authorized level | Percentage filled | Bonus ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Authorized level | Percentage filled | Bonus ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Authorized level | Percentage filled | Bonus ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| 68 | 69.1 | SRB | 65 | 80.0 | SRB | 65 | 86.2 | SRB |
| 300 | 94.3 | SRB, EB | 305 | 89.5 | EB | 303 | 92.4 | EB |
| 207 | 87.0 | SRB, EB | 238 | 76.1 | SRB | 218 | 97.7 | SRB |
| 195 | 80.0 |  | 185 | 84.9 | SRB | 173 | 93.6 | SRB |


| 106 | 94.3 |  | 103 | 90.3 | SRB | 104 | 85.6 | SRB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 112 | 94.6 | SRB | 112 | 92.9 |  | 116 | 88.8 | SRB |
| 135 | 88.1 | SRB | 128 | 90.6 | SRB | 129 | 90.7 | SRB |
| 135 | 74.1 | SRB | 134 | 67.2 | SRB | 143 | 60.1 | SRB |
| 647 | 94.9 | SRB, EB | 715 | 84.2 | SRB, EB | 664 | 90.7 | SRB, EB |
| 86 | 77.9 | SRB, EB | 84 | 79.8 | SRB, EB | 91 | 79.1 | SRB, EB |
| 114 | 81.6 | SRB | 130 | 76.2 | SRB, EB | 145 | 69.7 | SRB, EB |
| 541 | 76.5 | SRB | 541 | 81.5 | SRB | 541 | 82.1 | SRB |
| 1,694 | 99.3 |  | 1,759 | 94.7 |  | 1,586 | 104.9 |  |
| 293 | 99.3 | SRB | 295 | 89.5 | SRB | 289 | 90.7 | SRB |
| 874 | 91.1 | SRB | 926 | 88.1 | SRB, EB | 919 | 99.2 | SRB, EB |
| 304 | 101.6 |  | 318 | 92.8 | SRB | 320 | 91.6 |  |
| 381 | 89.2 | SRB, EB | 386 | 98.4 | SRB, EB | 510 | 81.8 | SRB, EB |
| 699 | 96.1 | EB | 690 | 98.7 | EB | 666 | 103.6 | EB |
| 171 | 92.4 |  | 165 | 102.4 |  | 158 | 94.3 |  |
| 204 | 98.5 | SRB | 204 | 101.0 | SRB | 183 | 91.3 |  |
| 426 | 92.7 | SRB, EB | 428 | 93.5 | SRB, EB | 411 | 102.7 | SRB, EB |
| 37 | 91.9 |  | 37 | 105.4 |  | 41 | 78.0 | SRB |
| 97 | 66.0 |  | 83 | 95.2 | SRB | 88 | 118.2 | SRB |

Appendix IV
Active, Reserve, and National Guard
Components' Consistently Over- and
Underfilled Occupational Specialties

| Occupational specialty title | 2000 |  |  | 2001 |  |  | 2002 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Authorized level | Percentage filled | Bonus ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Authorized level | Percentage filled | Bonus ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Authorized level | Percentage filled | Bonus ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| Aircraft Electronic Countermeasures Systems Technician, Helicopter | 104 | 101.0 SRB, EB |  | 106 | 87.7 | SRB | 104 | 98.1 SRB, EB |  |
| Aircraft Electronic Countermeasures Systems RADCOM/ CATIIID Technician | 87 | 94.3 | EB | 92 | 89.1 | EB | 112 | 98.2 | SRB, EB |
| Aviation Supply Specialist | 1,544 | 97.4 SRB, EB |  | 1561 | 98.1 SRB, EB |  | 1574 | 101.5 SRB, EB |  |
| Tactical Systems Operator/ Mission Specialist | 95 | 80.0 | SRB | 97 | 73.2 | SRB | 80 | 81.3 | SRB |
| Metrology Technician | 59 | 88.1 | SRB | 55 | 87.3 | EB | 58 | 79.3 | SRB |
| Legal Services Reporter | 52 | 59.6 | SRB | 52 | 71.2 |  | 49 | 77.6 | SRB |
| Electronics Maintenance Chief | 10 | 90.0 |  | 11 | 63.6 |  | 11 | 90.9 |  |
| Tiltrotor Mechanic, MV-22 | 0 | 0.0 |  | 100 | 50.0 |  | 123 | 35.0 | SRB |
| Tiltrotor Crew Chief, MV-22 | 0 | 0.0 |  | 83 | 12.0 | EB | 112 | 7.1 | SRB |
| Fixed-Wing Aircraft Airframe Mechanic, F/A-18 | 0 | 0.0 |  | 423 | 95.7 SRB, EB |  | 437 | 92.0 SRB, EB |  |
| Fixed-Wing Aircraft Safety Equipment Mechanic, EA-6 | 0 | 0.0 |  | 43 | 93.0 |  | 50 | 90.0 SRB, EB |  |
| Hybrid Test Set Technician | 0 | 0.0 |  | 70 | 98.6 | EB | 73 | 98.6 SRB, EB |  |
| Middle East Cryptologic Linguist | 178 | 95.5 | SRB | 175 | 93.7 | SRB | 171 | 102.9 SRB, EB |  |
| Finance Technician | 874 | 105.9 | EB | 885 | 96.8 | SRB, EB | 875 | 96.7 | EB |
| Organizational Automotive Mechanic | 3,298 | 89.3 | SRB, EB | 3,323 | 91.4 SRB, EB |  | 3471 | 88.1 | EB |
| Programmer, ADA | 334 | 92.2 | SRB | 330 | 95.2 | SRB | 328 | 73.8 | SRB |

Source: GAO analysis of DOD data.
a"SRB" means at least one person in the occupational specialty received a selected reenlistment bonus. "EB" means at least one person in the occupational specialty received an enlistment bonus.

Appendix IV
Active, Reserve, and National Guard
Components' Consistently Over- and
Underfilled Occupational Specialties

| 2003 |  |  | 2004 |  |  | 2005 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Authorized level | Percentage filled | Bonus ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Authorized level | Percentage filled | Bonus ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Authorized level | Percentage filled | Bonus ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| 128 | 95.3 | SRB, EB | 149 | 94.6 | SRB, EB | 155 | 94.2 | SRB, EB |
| 115 | 103.5 | SRB, EB | 128 | 90.6 | SRB, EB | 119 | 94.1 | SRB, EB |
| 1,556 | 99.0 | EB | 1,522 | 97.8 | EB | 1,568 | 93.2 | EB |
| 89 | 80.9 | SRB | 107 | 78.5 | SRB, EB | 65 | 132.3 | SRB |
| 60 | 83.3 | SRB | 56 | 100.0 | SRB | 60 | 90.0 |  |
| 47 | 78.7 | SRB | 49 | 77.6 |  | 38 | 100.0 | SRB |
| 10 | 100.0 |  | 10 | 90.0 |  | 11 | 90.9 |  |
| 119 | 65.5 | SRB | 110 | 78.2 | SRB, EB | 115 | 99.1 | SRB |
| 124 | 8.9 | SRB | 83 | 49.4 | EB | 100 | 49.0 | SRB |
| 421 | 99.5 | SRB, EB | 430 | 94.4 | SRB, EB | 469 | 89.8 | SRB, EB |
| 48 | 83.3 | SRB | 44 | 93.2 | SRB | 59 | 78.0 |  |
| 73 | 93.2 | SRB, EB | 78 | 89.7 | SRB, EB | 71 | 98.6 | SRB, EB |
| 187 | 92.0 | SRB, EB | 232 | 74.6 | SRB, EB | 220 | 76.4 | SRB, EB |
| 871 | 94.5 | EB | 858 | 95.8 | EB | 844 | 98.5 | EB |
| 3,397 | 92.8 | EB | 3,418 | 98.2 | EB | 3,278 | 105.7 | EB |
| 239 | 74.1 | SRB, EB | 154 | 54.5 |  | 1 | 4,800.0 |  |

Appendix IV
Active, Reserve, and National Guard
Components' Consistently Over- and
Underfilled Occupational Specialties

Table 20: Air Force's Consistently Overfilled Occupational Specialties

|  | 2000 |  |  | 2001 |  |  | 2002 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Occupational specialty title | Authorized level | Percentage filled | Bonus ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Authorized level | Percentage filled | Bonus ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Authorized level | Percentage filled | Bonus ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| Space System Operations | 1,222 | 101.6 | SRB, EB | 1,207 | 102.5 | SRB, EB | 1,163 | 108.8 | SRB |
| Cryptologic Linguist | 2,388 | 112.3 | SRB, EB | 1,508 | 135.4 | SRB, EB | 1,532 | 118.7 | SRB, EB |
| Ground Radar System | 956 | 110.4 | SRB, EB | 903 | 109.9 | SRB | 898 | 111.6 | SRB, EB |
| Electromagnetic Spectrum Management | 896 | 118.5 | SRB | 690 | 155.9 | SRB | 659 | 153.3 |  |
| Enlisted Aide | 81 | 112.3 |  | 78 | 117.9 |  | 80 | 108.8 |  |
| Communication Signals Intelligence | 1,532 | 110.0 | SRB, EB | 1,526 | 104.6 | SRB, EB | 1,446 | 112.2 | SRB, EB |
| Aircrew Life Support | 1,754 | 103.5 | SRB, EB | 1,792 | 100.6 | SRB | 1,803 | 101.1 | SRB |
| Operations Management | 426 | 95.8 | SRB, EB | 417 | 128.3 | SRB, EB | 405 | 148.6 | SRB, EB |
| Correction Custody Supervisor | 9 | 100.0 |  | 5 | 180.0 |  | 5 | 120.0 |  |
| Senior Enlisted Advisor | 126 | 100.8 |  | 125 | 100.0 |  | 127 | 106.3 |  |

Source: GAO analysis of DOD data.
a"SRB" means at least one person in the occupational specialty received a selected reenlistment bonus. "EB" means at least one person in the occupational specialty received an enlistment bonus.

Appendix IV
Active, Reserve, and National Guard
Components' Consistently Over- and
Underfilled Occupational Specialties

| 2003 |  |  | 2004 |  |  | 2005 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Authorized level | Percentage filled | Bonus ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Authorized level | Percentage filled | Bonus ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Authorized level | Percentage filled | Bonus ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| 1,050 | 124.8 | SRB | 1,018 | 116.0 |  | 1,013 | 101.1 |  |
| 1,513 | 111.6 | SRB, EB | 1,453 | 131.9 | SRB, EB | 1,760 | 111.4 | SRB |
| 866 | 123.1 | SRB, EB | 800 | 131.5 |  | 816 | 115.6 |  |
| 678 | 131.9 |  | 644 | 120.0 |  | 656 | 104.0 |  |
| 78 | 109.0 |  | 79 | 111.4 |  | 79 | 108.9 |  |
| 1,458 | 104.3 | SRB, EB | 1,469 | 103.8 |  | 1,475 | 96.0 | EB |
| 1,792 | 103.9 | SRB | 1,752 | 102.7 |  | 1,679 | 97.4 | SRB, EB |
| 399 | 172.9 | SRB | 392 | 171.2 |  | 378 | 148.4 |  |
| 6 | 133.3 |  | 7 | 114.3 |  | 4 | 125.0 |  |
| 129 | 100.8 |  | 133 | 103.0 |  | 131 | 103.1 |  |

Active, Reserve, and National Guard
Components' Consistently Over- and
Underfilled Occupational Specialties

Table 21: Air Force's Consistently Underfilled Occupational Specialties

| Occupational specialty title | 2000 |  |  | 2001 |  |  | 2002 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Authorized level | Percentage filled | Bonus ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Authorized level | Percentage filled | Bonus ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Authorized level | Percentage filled | Bonus ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| Aerospace Physiology | 303 | 98.7 | SRB | 319 | 95.0 | SRB | 342 | 88.6 | SRB |
| Air Transportation | 24,844 | 94.7 | SRB, EB | 24,014 | 93.7 | SRB, EB | 23,836 | 94.8 | SRB, EB |
| Airborne <br> Communication and Electronic Systems | 705 | 89.6 | SRB, EB | 923 | 85.3 | SRB, EB | 959 | 83.9 | RB, EB |
| Airborne Cryptologic Linguist | 0 | 0.0 |  | 855 | 71.3 |  | 887 | 70.6 | SRB, EB |
| Airborne Missions Systems | 321 | 103.4 | SRB, EB | 344 | 94.5 | SRB, EB | 344 | 91.9 | SRB, EB |
| Airborne Battle Management | 823 | 84.4 | SRB, EB | 883 | 76.7 | SRB, EB | 880 | 81.7 | RB, EB |
| Aircraft Fabrication | 4,776 | 89.1 | SRB, EB | 4,953 | 82.7 | SRB | 5,511 | 75.9 | SRB, EB |
| Aircraft Loadmaster | 1,879 | 93.1 | SRB, EB | 1,927 | 86.5 | SRB | 1,928 | 86.0 | RB, EB |
| Airfield Management | 744 | 86.3 | SRB | 819 | 82.1 | SRB | 827 | 87.8 | SRB |
| Awaiting Retraining - Reasons Within Control |  |  |  | 1 | 0.0 |  | 1 | 0.0 |  |
| Bioenvironmental Engineering | 957 | 85.4 | SRB, EB | 951 | 85.6 | SRB | 953 | 88.2 | SRB |
| Biomedical Equipment | 502 | 84.5 | SRB, EB | 502 | 79.1 | SRB, EB | 506 | 84.4 | SRB |
| Cardiopulmonary Laboratory | 283 | 101.4 | SRB, EB | 272 | 88.2 | SRB | 272 | 79.8 | SRB |
| Combat Control | 437 | 75.7 | SRB, EB | 431 | 76.1 | SRB, EB | 426 | 74.9 | SRB, EB |
| Communication Computer Systems Planning and Implementation | 626 | 96.3 | SRB, EB | 638 | 90.3 | SRB | 647 | 86.4 | SRB |
| Computer Network, Switching, and Crypto Systems | 3,071 | 93.2 | SRB, EB | 3,011 | 80.6 | SRB, EB | 3,005 | 79.0 | SRB, EB |
| Contracting | 1,377 | 91.5 | SRB, EB | 1,407 | 85.2 | SRB | 1,398 | 89.6 | SRB |
| Courier | 90 | 102.2 |  | 93 | 94.6 |  | 93 | 92.5 |  |
| Dental | 2,584 | 94.8 | SRB, EB | 2,579 | 91.2 | SRB | 2,595 | 89.7 | SRB |
| Diet Therapy | 409 | 96.6 | SRB | 381 | 89.8 | SRB | 394 | 87.1 | SRB |
| Dormitory Manager | 380 | 92.4 |  | 351 | 94.0 |  | 346 | 100.0 |  |
| Education and Training | 1,640 | 97.1 |  | 1,543 | 92.4 |  | 1,485 | 89.3 |  |
| Electronic Signals Intelligence Exploitation | 748 | 93.6 | SRB, EB | 755 | 81.7 | SRB, EB | 743 | 81.2 | SRB, EB |

Active, Reserve, and National Guard
Components' Consistently Over- and
Underfilled Occupational Specialties

| 2003 |  |  | 2004 |  |  | 2005 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Authorized level | Percentage filled | Bonus ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Authorized level | Percentage filled | Bonus ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Authorized level | Percentage filled | Bonus ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| 361 | 91.1 |  | 360 | 90.6 |  | 356 | 93.0 |  |
| 23,079 | 99.4 | SRB, EB | 22,101 | 102.3 | SRB | 22,081 | 96.3 |  |
| 919 | 93.5 | SRB, EB | 935 | 94.2 | SRB | 940 | 93.8 | SRB |
| 928 | 60.0 | SRB, EB | 940 | 53.1 | SRB, EB | 1110 | 48.5 | SRB, EB |
| 345 | 89.6 | SRB, EB | 348 | 89.4 | SRB | 346 | 98.0 | SRB |
| 826 | 92.9 | SRB, EB | 838 | 97.4 | SRB | 832 | 99.8 | SRB |
| 5,420 | 86.3 | SRB, EB | 5,398 | 96.2 | SRB, EB | 5,325 | 97.1 |  |
| 1,988 | 90.2 | SRB, EB | 1,987 | 97.5 | SRB | 2,104 | 93.6 | SRB |
| 828 | 96.9 | SRB | 806 | 106.0 |  | 789 | 99.0 |  |


| 1 | 0.0 |  | 1 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.0 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 968 | 90.1 | SRB | 977 | 89.0 | 962 | 85.8 |
| 526 | 87.5 |  | 523 | 98.1 | 514 | 102.3 |
| 267 | 79.8 |  | 267 | 88.4 |  | 264 |
| 427 | 69.6 | SRB, EB | 433 | 83.1 | SRB, EB | 473 |


| 654 | 91.9 | SRB | 643 | 98.1 |  | 642 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2,924 | 81.8 | SRB, EB | 2,759 | 91.2 | SRB | 2,775 |
| 1,422 | 87.1 | SRB | 1,406 | 89.7 |  | 1,349 |
| 93 | 89.2 |  | 88 | 98.9 | 90 | 94.5 |
| 2,497 | 97.0 | SRB | 2,498 | 102.0 | 2,457 | 99.8 |
| 401 | 85.0 | SRB | 399 | 96.0 | 391 | 101.0 |
| 329 | 98.5 |  | 318 | 87.1 |  | 316 |
| 1,455 | 82.8 |  | 1,412 | 84.3 |  | 1,359 |
| 747 | 79.7 | SRB, EB | 727 | 92.6 | SRB | 779 |

Active, Reserve, and National Guard
Components' Consistently Over- and
Underfilled Occupational Specialties
(Continued From Previous Page)

| Occupational specialty title | 2000 |  |  | 2001 |  |  | 2002 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Authorized level | Percentage filled | Bonus ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Authorized level | Percentage filled | Bonus ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Authorized level | Percentage filled | Bonus ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| Engineering | 1,030 | 92.2 | SRB, EB | 1,019 | 83.3 | SRB | 994 | 87.3 | SRB |
| Enlisted Accessions Recruiter | 2,543 | 91.4 |  | 2,826 | 87.8 |  | 2,830 | 92.3 |  |
| Explosive Ordnance Disposal | 913 | 97.5 | SRB, EB | 952 | 98.7 | SRB, EB | 978 | 96.6 | SRB, EB |
| First Sergeant | 1,297 | 93.8 |  | 1,279 | 90.1 |  | 1,284 | 92.9 |  |
| Flight Attendant | 193 | 96.9 | SRB | 209 | 83.3 | SRB | 217 | 83.4 | SRB, EB |
| Flight Engineer | 1,876 | 101.0 |  | 1,834 | 92.3 | SRB | 1,712 | 97.1 | SRB |
| Health Services Management | 3,219 | 94.8 | SRB, EB | 3,170 | 87.6 | SRB | 3,104 | 92.3 | SRB |
| Historian | 114 | 92.1 |  | 112 | 90.2 |  | 115 | 90.4 |  |
| Imagery Analysis | 1,018 | 107.7 | SRB, EB | 1,044 | 97.2 | SRB, EB | 1,070 | 89.1 | SRB, EB |
| Information Management | 10,731 | 100.7 | SRB | 10,543 | 97.6 | SRB | 10,431 | 95.2 | SRB |
| Intelligence Applications | 2,294 | 92.5 | SRB, EB | 2,322 | 87.9 | SRB, EB | 2,450 | 85.3 | SRB, EB |
| Interpreter/ Translator | 64 | 96.9 |  | 64 | 81.3 |  | 56 | 67.9 |  |
| Linguist Debriefer | 68 | 77.9 |  | 69 | 78.3 |  | 66 | 77.3 |  |
| Logistics Plans | 757 | 100.4 |  | 771 | 87.9 |  | 775 | 87.0 | SRB |
| Manpower | 763 | 99.6 | SRB | 762 | 89.1 | SRB | 740 | 85.9 | SRB |
| Medical Materiel | 1,153 | 95.7 | EB | 1,071 | 95.6 |  | 1,092 | 89.2 |  |
| Mental Health Service | 665 | 97.7 | SRB | 661 | 91.8 | SRB | 669 | 86.5 | SRB |
| Military Training Leader | 369 | 101.6 |  | 385 | 92.5 |  | 420 | 89.0 |  |
| Munitions Systems | 6,696 | 86.9 | SRB, EB | 6,709 | 85.2 | SRB, EB | 7,154 | 81.8 | SRB, EB |
| Network Intelligence Analysis | 1,408 | 88.7 | SRB, EB | 1,452 | 75.6 | SRB, EB | 1,481 | 74.9 | SRB, EB |
| Operation Resource Management | 1,829 | 97.0 | SRB, EB | 1,820 | 94.3 | SRB | 1,828 | 96.9 | SRB |
| Optometry | 245 | 98.0 | SRB, EB | 275 | 81.8 | SRB | 274 | 86.5 | SRB |
| Paralegal | 1,053 | 91.8 | SRB | 1,070 | 86.1 | SRB | 1,089 | 84.9 | SRB |
| Pararescue | 313 | 86.9 | SRB, EB | 325 | 87.1 | SRB, EB | 329 | 81.5 | SRB, EB |
| Personnel | 8,060 | 92.9 | SRB, EB | 7,989 | 92.0 | SRB | 7,849 | 92.1 | SRB |
| Pharmacy | 1,002 | 91.7 | SRB, EB | 1,018 | 90.4 | SRB | 1,032 | 89.0 | SRB |
| Postal Specialist | 628 | 99.2 |  | 657 | 97.3 |  | 687 | 91.7 |  |
| Professional Military Education Instructor | 579 | 98.1 |  | 601 | 94.0 |  | 649 | 91.5 |  |
| Public Health | 657 | 94.7 | SRB, EB | 651 | 92.0 | SRB | 745 | 82.0 | SRB |

Appendix IV
Active, Reserve, and National Guard
Components' Consistently Over- and
Underfilled Occupational Specialties

| 2003 |  |  | 2004 |  |  | 2005 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Authorized level | Percentage filled | Bonus ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Authorized level | Percentage filled | Bonus ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Authorized level | Percentage filled | Bonus ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| 972 | 94.5 | SRB | 963 | 98.7 |  | 942 | 98.1 |  |
| 2,828 | 93.4 |  | 2,777 | 94.5 |  | 2,747 | 93.4 |  |
| 989 | 99.2 | SRB, EB | 1,013 | 106.0 | SRB, EB | 1,091 | 98.2 |  |
| 1,260 | 90.2 |  | 1,255 | 93.9 |  | 1,236 | 98.5 |  |
| 207 | 86.5 | SRB | 211 | 91.5 |  | 198 | 106.6 |  |
| 1,682 | 93.9 | SRB | 1,655 | 96.6 | SRB | 1,632 | 96.0 | SRB |
| 3,219 | 96.1 | SRB | 3,171 | 99.9 |  | 3,186 | 93.9 |  |
| 115 | 89.6 |  | 105 | 97.1 |  | 31 | 248.4 |  |
| 1,094 | 88.3 | SRB, EB | 1,151 | 93.0 | SRB | 1,224 | 95.5 |  |
| 10,280 | 96.5 | SRB | 9,886 | 95.9 |  | 9,578 | 90.1 |  |
| 2,420 | 88.4 | SRB, EB | 2,524 | 90.8 | SRB | 2,509 | 93.0 | SRB |
| 58 | 55.2 |  | 86 | 37.2 |  | 56 | 101.8 |  |
| 54 | 88.9 |  | 53 | 81.1 |  | 53 | 73.6 |  |
| 783 | 86.3 | SRB | 774 | 89.9 | SRB | 777 | 90.9 |  |
| 709 | 86.0 |  | 666 | 89.9 |  | 678 | 85.3 |  |
| 1,068 | 92.4 | SRB | 1,060 | 93.9 |  | 1,051 | 94.4 |  |
| 669 | 87.4 | SRB | 662 | 98.9 |  | 658 | 97.7 |  |
| 420 | 97.4 |  | 340 | 97.4 |  | 407 | 81.6 |  |
| 7,184 | 91.3 | SRB, EB | 7,147 | 99.4 | EB | 7,084 | 97.3 |  |
| 1,504 | 77.6 | SRB, EB | 1,520 | 89.8 | SRB | 1,579 | 93.3 | SRB |
| 1,826 | 93.8 | SRB | 1,785 | 96.7 |  | 1,698 | 93.9 |  |
| 293 | 84.6 | SRB | 299 | 93.3 |  | 294 | 99.0 |  |
| 1,097 | 85.1 | SRB | 1,081 | 87.8 | SRB | 1,041 | 89.9 |  |
| 339 | 71.4 | SRB, EB | 361 | 67.3 | SRB, EB | 362 | 66.9 |  |
| 7,719 | 90.3 |  | 7,346 | 89.9 |  | 6,859 | 88.2 |  |
| 1,025 | 92.9 | SRB | 1,030 | 96.4 |  | 1,019 | 96.2 |  |
| 632 | 99.2 |  | 621 | 95.0 |  | 616 | 92.9 |  |
| 656 | 95.0 |  | 649 | 99.5 |  | 642 | 102.0 |  |
| 1,060 | 90.8 | SRB | 1,057 | 87.9 |  | 1,043 | 85.5 |  |

Appendix IV
Active, Reserve, and National Guard
Components' Consistently Over- and
Underfilled Occupational Specialties
(Continued From Previous Page)

| Occupational specialty title | 2000 |  |  | 2001 |  |  | 2002 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Authorized level | Percentage filled | Bonus ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Authorized level | Percentage filled | Bonus ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Authorized level | Percentage filled | Bonus ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| Safety | 388 | 104.9 | SRB | 395 | 95.9 |  | 409 | 86.8 |  |
| Services | 4,549 | 94.4 | SRB, EB | 4,532 | 97.9 | SRB | 4,504 | 99.1 | SRB |
| Special Investigations | 766 | 94.3 | SRB | 768 | 92.4 | SRB | 777 | 97.6 | SRB |
| Survival, Evasion, Resistance, and Escape | 350 | 90.6 | SRB, EB | 381 | 81.4 | SRB, EB | 383 | 73.6 | SRB, EB |
| Tactical Air Command and Control | 1,027 | 89.6 | SRB | 1,017 | 88.7 | SRB, EB | 1,053 | 89.7 | SRB |
| Weather | 2,415 | 91.2 | EB | 2,472 | 83.1 | EB | 2,465 | 86.3 | EB |
| Public Affairs | 711 | 94.0 | SRB, EB | 714 | 87.7 | SRB | 722 | 85.0 | SRB |
| Regional Band | 580 | 94.1 | EB | 597 | 91.3 |  | 597 | 94.0 |  |
| Visual Information Services | 1,420 | 96.0 | SRB, EB | 1,390 | 89.6 | SRB | 1,405 | 86.5 | SRB |
| Aerospace Medical Service | 5,934 | 95.7 | SRB, EB | 5,772 | 92.3 | SRB | 5,850 | 94.4 | SRB |
| Medical Laboratory | 1,355 | 91.2 | SRB, EB | 1,302 | 86.0 | SRB | 1,306 | 86.1 | SRB |
| Tactical Aircraft Avionics Systems | 13,203 | 94.6 | SRB, EB | 12,936 | 91.3 | SRB, EB | 14,260 | 86.8 | SRB, EB |
| Telephone and Distributed Communication Systems | 2,383 | 82.3 | SRB, EB | 2,039 | 87.6 | SRB, EB | 1,967 | 88.4 | SRB, EB |
| Security Forces | 20,710 | 99.6 | SRB, EB | 20,732 | 98.8 | SRB, EB | 21,388 | 98.6 | SRB, EB |
| Physical Medicine | 581 | 105.7 | SRB | 609 | 94.3 | SRB | 600 | 93.5 | SRB |
| Manpower | 23 | 87.0 |  | 22 | 77.3 |  | 22 | 72.7 |  |

Source: GAO analysis of DOD data.
a"SRB" means at least one person in the occupational specialty received a selected reenlistment bonus. "EB" means at least one person in the occupational specialty received an enlistment bonus.

## Appendix IV

Active, Reserve, and National Guard
Components' Consistently Over- and
Underfilled Occupational Specialties

| 2003 |  |  | 2004 |  |  | 2005 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Authorized level | Percentage filled | Bonus ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Authorized level | Percentage filled | Bonus ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Authorized level | Percentage filled | Bonus ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| 404 | 89.4 |  | 393 | 91.3 |  | 394 | 90.1 | SRB, EB |
| 4,494 | 96.5 |  | 4,403 | 93.8 |  | 4,651 | 83.1 |  |
| 778 | 99.4 | SRB | 952 | 84.5 |  | 1,082 | 80.4 |  |
| 390 | 84.4 | SRB, EB | 405 | 100.7 | SRB, EB | 427 | 80.8 |  |
| 1,048 | 94.4 | SRB | 1,080 | 95.5 | SRB, EB | 1,062 | 98.0 | SRB, EB |
| 2,397 | 95.4 | EB | 2,364 | 99.3 |  | 2,265 | 100.6 |  |
| 716 | 89.1 | SRB | 708 | 91.2 | SRB | 684 | 89.5 |  |
| 599 | 97.2 |  | 599 | 96.8 |  | 599 | 90.8 |  |
| 1,407 | 87.9 | SRB | 1,374 | 92.4 |  | 1,354 | 92.6 |  |
| 6,354 | 98.1 | SRB | 6,349 | 100.0 |  | 6,265 | 98.3 |  |
| 1,250 | 90.8 | SRB | 1,261 | 93.8 |  | 1,237 | 98.2 |  |
| 14,464 | 93.5 | SRB, EB | 14,356 | 100.5 | SRB | 14,205 | 97.2 |  |


| 1,933 | 93.2 | SRB, EB | 1,827 | 104.1 | SRB | 1,872 | 99.7 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 21,769 | 99.5 | SRB, EB | 22,541 | 103.1 | SRB | 23,586 | 95.5 |
| 619 | 91.4 | SRB | 625 | 93.4 |  | 613 | 94.9 |
| 21 | 85.7 |  | 20 | 80.0 |  |  |  |

Table 22: Army National Guard's Consistently Overfilled Occupational Specialties

| Occupational specialty title | 2000 |  | 2001 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Authorized level | Percentage filled | Authorized level | Percentage filled |
| Aviation Operations Specialist | 1,348 | 109.9 | 1,307 | 112.6 |
| Avionic Mechanic | 509 | 113.2 | 521 | 114.8 |
| Career Counselor | 16 | 237.5 | 16 | 206.3 |
| Chaplain Assistant | 719 | 112.7 | 703 | 127.2 |
| Criminal Investigation Division Special Agent | 35 | 105.7 | 30 | 143.3 |
| Civil Affairs Specialist | 2 | 34,700.0 | 2 | 10,450.0 |
| Dental Specialist | 305 | 130.2 | 287 | 139.7 |
| Financial Management Technician | 1,450 | 115.5 | 1,444 | 115.7 |
| Firefighter | 274 | 136.5 | 291 | 123.4 |
| Human Resources Specialist | 14,193 | 123.9 | 14,185 | 127.6 |
| Information Technology Specialist | 1,600 | 112.4 | 1,667 | 115.5 |
| Interior Electrician | 523 | 121.8 | 533 | 124.4 |
| Medical Laboratory Specialist | 80 | 132.5 | 76 | 132.9 |
| Medical Logistics Specialist | 235 | 159.1 | 222 | 162.6 |
| Multimedia Illustrator | 106 | 112.3 | 113 | 117.7 |
| Operating Room Specialist | 36 | 188.9 | 34 | 202.9 |
| Paralegal Specialist | 1,078 | 101.4 | 1,011 | 107.3 |
| Patient Administration Specialist | 298 | 157.0 | 281 | 163.0 |
| Pharmacy Specialist | 32 | 115.6 | 32 | 140.6 |
| Radiology Specialist | 79 | 212.7 | 78 | 184.6 |
| Recruiter | 28 | 367.9 | 28 | 300.0 |
| Recruiting and Retention Non-Commissioned Officer | 3,354 | 109.8 | 3,509 | 106.4 |
| Shower/ Laundry and Clothing Repair Specialist | 137 | 143.1 | 135 | 144.4 |
| Special Forces Medical Sergeant | 317 | 125.6 | 333 | 112.0 |
| Special Forces Weapons Sergeant | 322 | 134.2 | 332 | 130.1 |
| UH-1 Helicopter Repairer | 1,176 | 113.0 | 1,098 | 107.4 |
| Unit Supply Specialist | 10,919 | 108.7 | 10,967 | 111.6 |

[^20]| 2002 |  | 2003 |  | 2004 |  | 2005 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Authorized level | Percentage filled | Authorized level | Percentage filled | Authorized level | Percentage filled | Authorized level | Percentage filled |
| 1,319 | 109.6 | 1,415 | 103.5 | 1,587 | 101.9 | 1,589 | 100.5 |
| 515 | 117.1 | 492 | 119.1 | 543 | 109.4 | 543 | 109.8 |
| 16 | 131.3 | 15 | 126.7 | 10 | 90.0 | 7 | 142.9 |
| 737 | 113.6 | 758 | 111.1 | 755 | 120.8 | 760 | 112.1 |
| 32 | 131.3 | 25 | 172.0 | 41 | 95.1 | 41 | 139.0 |
| 3 | 3,066.7 | 4 | 4,000.0 | 2 | 1,700.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 275 | 145.8 | 273 | 151.3 | 288 | 149.0 | 280 | 152.1 |
| 1,524 | 112.4 | 1,487 | 116.4 | 1,545 | 106.1 | 1,643 | 96.2 |
| 334 | 110.2 | 390 | 104.9 | 369 | 140.9 | 372 | 124.2 |
| 13,956 | 129.8 | 13,762 | 133.9 | 14,539 | 122.0 | 14,572 | 121.0 |
| 1,758 | 113.3 | 1,806 | 111.5 | 1,851 | 105.3 | 1,821 | 102.8 |
| 539 | 117.4 | 552 | 112.9 | 603 | 106.5 | 599 | 100.5 |
| 82 | 139.0 | 101 | 119.8 | 106 | 131.1 | 95 | 140.0 |
| 231 | 141.6 | 245 | 139.6 | 260 | 129.2 | 248 | 123.8 |
| 104 | 136.5 | 112 | 113.4 | 110 | 110.0 | 113 | 110.6 |
| 34 | 197.1 | 14 | 285.7 | 3 | 300.0 | 1 | 200.0 |
| 1,071 | 106.2 | 1,102 | 103.0 | 1,102 | 102.9 | 1,088 | 98.4 |
| 284 | 164.8 | 278 | 168.3 | 291 | 161.2 | 280 | 168.6 |
| 32 | 162.5 | 31 | 164.5 | 31 | 135.5 | 30 | 140.0 |
| 83 | 213.3 | 102 | 189.2 | 128 | 193.8 | 130 | 210.0 |
| 31 | 200.0 | 26 | 165.4 | 18 | 211.1 | 13 | 261.5 |
| 3,464 | 107.3 | 3,217 | 116.2 | 3,470 | 111.0 | 3,709 | 120.9 |
| 22 | 813.6 | 0 | 0.0 | 125 | 168.8 | 127 | 162.2 |
| 316 | 120.6 | 336 | 112.2 | 324 | 116.7 | 322 | 105.6 |
| 334 | 133.5 | 361 | 126.0 | 369 | 107.3 | 374 | 117.9 |
| 1,063 | 102.5 | 272 | 114.7 | 151 | 128.5 | 155 | 101.9 |
| 10,992 | 110.8 | 10,993 | 108.4 | 11,015 | 104.9 | 10,930 | 104.7 |

Table 23: Army National Guard's Consistently Underfilled Occupational Specialties

| Occupational specialty title | 2000 |  | 2001 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Authorized level | Percentage filled | Authorized level | Percentage filled |
| AH-64 Attack Helicopter Repairer | 691 | 88.7 | 657 | 91.6 |
| AH-64A Armament/ Electrical/ Avionics System Repairer | 469 | 65.2 | 454 | 67.2 |
| Air Defense Artillery Senior Sergeant | 173 | 89.6 | 167 | 91.0 |
| Air Defense Command, Control, Communications, Computers, and Intelligence Tactical Operations Center Enhanced Operator/Maintainer | 248 | 47.2 | 380 | 41.1 |
| Air Traffic Control Equipment Repairer | 75 | 78.7 | 79 | 74.7 |
| Air Traffic Control Operator | 536 | 67.5 | 545 | 58.9 |
| Aircraft Components Repair Supervisor | 201 | 95.5 | 197 | 99.0 |
| Aircraft Electrician | 537 | 86.4 | 542 | 91.3 |
| Aircraft Pneudraulics Repairer | 382 | 56.8 | 375 | 62.4 |
| Aircraft Powerplant Repairer | 676 | 80.6 | 666 | 86.3 |
| Aircraft Powertrain Repairer | 430 | 75.1 | 432 | 70.1 |
| Aircraft Structural Repairer | 608 | 69.4 | 597 | 79.4 |
| Ammunition Specialist | 1,143 | 75.0 | 1,144 | 76.1 |
| Apache Attack Helicopter Systems Repairer | 50 | 62.0 | 41 | 53.7 |
| Armament Repairer | 1,265 | 59.8 | 1,130 | 62.2 |
| Armor Senior Sergeant | 425 | 98.1 | 431 | 94.7 |
| Artillery Mechanic | 1,539 | 84.0 | 1,528 | 74.3 |
| Automotive Electrical Systems Repairer | 672 | 71.6 | 647 | 71.3 |
| Avenger Crewmember | 1,515 | 77.8 | 1,670 | 74.8 |
| Avenger System Repairer | 223 | 65.0 | 222 | 70.7 |
| Avionic Communications Equipment Repairer | 185 | 89.4 | 183 | 92.3 |
| Avionic System Repairer | 349 | 79.9 | 314 | 85.7 |
| Bradley Fighting Vehicle System Maintainer | 3,163 | 80.3 | 3,141 | 71.2 |
| Bradley Linebacker Crewmember | 433 | 49.2 | 440 | 56.8 |
| Bridge Crewmember | 1,478 | 79.1 | 1,396 | 88.0 |
| Cable Systems Installer-Maintainer | 1,794 | 98.0 | 1,757 | 96.1 |
| Cannon Crewmember | 17,805 | 85.0 | 17,504 | 83.8 |
| Cannon Fire Direction Specialist | 3,325 | 91.0 | 3,215 | 88.4 |
| Cargo Specialist | 46 | 63.0 | 48 | 62.5 |
| Carpentry and Masonry Specialist | 2,942 | 91.5 | 3,022 | 91.3 |
| Cavalry Scout | 5,574 | 83.2 | 5,712 | 82.4 |
| CH-47 Helicopter Repairer | 1,120 | 79.3 | 1,074 | 84.7 |


| 2002 |  | 2003 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Authorized <br> level | Percentage <br> filled | Authorized <br> level | Percentage <br> filled | Authorized <br> level | Percentage <br> filled | Authorized <br> level | Percentage <br> filled |
| 662 | 101.1 | 1,069 | 93.7 | 1,404 | 84.4 | 1,415 | 81.0 |
| 442 | 74.7 | 578 | 77.3 | 719 | 70.9 | 730 | 69.3 |
| 160 | 97.5 | 161 | 93.2 | 166 | 86.1 | 153 | 85.6 |


| 408 | 91.7 | 474 | 81.6 | 590 | 77.1 | 646 | 66.4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 78 | 82.1 | 73 | 72.6 | 66 | 74.2 | 72 | 75.0 |
| 541 | 67.1 | 514 | 72.6 | 522 | 70.1 | 544 | 67.8 |
| 198 | 98.5 | 184 | 100.5 | 196 | 95.9 | 203 | 91.1 |
| 533 | 91.2 | 450 | 100.9 | 453 | 90.3 | 444 | 91.7 |
| 362 | 61.3 | 346 | 66.8 | 358 | 60.3 | 350 | 64.6 |
| 647 | 93.2 | 538 | 98.3 | 554 | 95.8 | 542 | 97.6 |
| 406 | 82.0 | 352 | 83.0 | 384 | 79.9 | 379 | 80.7 |
| 594 | 84.0 | 568 | 88.2 | 602 | 83.1 | 592 | 84.8 |
| 1,119 | 72.9 | 1,012 | 78.7 | 999 | 74.6 | 969 | 69.0 |
| 30 | 60.0 | 26 | 61.5 | 51 | 51.0 | 51 | 51.0 |
| 1,046 | 63.0 | 998 | 65.0 | 971 | 59.4 | 989 | 56.2 |
| 454 | 90.1 | 471 | 89.8 | 459 | 93.0 | 456 | 89.7 |
| 1,304 | 77.8 | 1,129 | 78.5 | 946 | 72.0 | 956 | 66.0 |
| 642 | 69.0 | 671 | 68.1 | 637 | 64.1 | 474 | 54.0 |
| 1,472 | 94.9 | 1,889 | 85.9 | 2,267 | 81.3 | 2,186 | 80.0 |
| 225 | 66.7 | 230 | 63.9 | 243 | 57.6 | 243 | 51.0 |
| 174 | 98.3 | 208 | 76.4 | 184 | 87.5 | 176 | 89.8 |
| 306 | 86.9 | 370 | 72.4 | 369 | 79.7 | 350 | 79.4 |
| 2,986 | 68.1 | 2,504 | 75.9 | 1,848 | 75.3 | 1,664 | 70.6 |
| 375 | 63.5 | 59 | 108.5 | 58 | 89.7 | 59 | 61.0 |
| 1,318 | 93.7 | 1,177 | 96.9 | 1,171 | 81.4 | 1,286 | 66.5 |
| 1,770 | 93.1 | 1,905 | 83.2 | 1,539 | 84.0 | 1,521 | 72.9 |
| 16,840 | 84.5 | 15,813 | 86.5 | 14,054 | 82.9 | 14,324 | 82.1 |
| 2,823 | 84.3 | 2,543 | 82.6 | 2,065 | 73.0 | 2,050 | 62.6 |
| 63 | 55.6 | 63 | 92.1 | 67 | 74.6 | 66 | 63.6 |
| 3,063 | 91.3 | 3,195 | 89.8 | 3,590 | 87.9 | 3,519 | 74.5 |
| 6,057 | 81.0 | 6,110 | 84.9 | 5,824 | 87.0 | 5,763 | 84.6 |
| 1,053 | 87.1 | 1,347 | 88.5 | 1,310 | 87.5 | 1,319 | 83.9 |

## (Continued From Previous Page)

| Occupational specialty title | 2000 |  | 2001 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Authorized level | Percentage filled | Authorized level | Percentage filled |
| Chemical Operations Specialist | 5,954 | 75.9 | 6,188 | 79.0 |
| Combat Engineer | 15,509 | 79.1 | 15,062 | 78.1 |
| Combat Engineering Senior Sergeant | 342 | 105.0 | 330 | 98.5 |
| Communications Interceptor/Locator | 42 | 71.4 | 34 | 91.2 |
| Concrete and Asphalt Equipment Operator | 266 | 71.1 | 278 | 70.5 |
| Construction Equipment Repairer | 3,328 | 91.1 | 3,229 | 92.5 |
| Construction Equipment Supervisor | 748 | 99.1 | 754 | 95.5 |
| Cryptologic Communications Interceptor/ Locator | 479 | 64.5 | 422 | 70.1 |
| Electronic Intelligence Interceptor/ Analyst | 29 | 93.1 | 25 | 124.0 |
| Electronic and Missile Systems Maintenance Chief | 23 | 91.3 | 26 | 84.6 |
| Electronic Maintenance Chief | 278 | 89.9 | 270 | 88.1 |
| Explosive Ordnance Disposal Specialist | 80 | 125.0 | 99 | 89.9 |
| Field Artillery Automated Tactical Data System Specialist | 0 | 0.0 | 258 | 44.6 |
| Field Artillery Firefinder Radar Operator | 403 | 85.4 | 408 | 85.0 |
| Field Artillery Meteorological Crewmember | 375 | 84.5 | 342 | 93.0 |
| Field Artillery Senior Sergeant | 733 | 100.5 | 744 | 99.6 |
| Field Artillery Surveyor | 957 | 93.7 | 954 | 91.3 |
| Fire Control Systems Repairer | 344 | 61.9 | 315 | 62.2 |
| Fire Support Specialist | 4,943 | 75.3 | 4,821 | 74.7 |
| Food Service Operations | 11,682 | 89.2 | 11,607 | 90.1 |
| General Construction Equipment Operator | 2,340 | 85.3 | 2,314 | 88.8 |
| Ground Surveillance Systems Operator | 345 | 73.3 | 332 | 77.4 |
| Heavy Construction Equipment Operator | 3,912 | 95.2 | 3,941 | 99.8 |
| Human Intelligence Collector | 560 | 80.7 | 595 | 80.3 |
| Human Resources Information Systems Management Specialist | 249 | 103.6 | 251 | 96.4 |
| Indirect Fire Infantryman | 4,722 | 85.6 | 4,676 | 83.5 |
| Infantryman | 40,541 | 82.0 | 39,924 | 82.5 |
| Information Systems Chief | 62 | 100.0 | 71 | 98.6 |
| Integrated Family of Test Equipment Operator and Maintainer | 110 | 72.7 | 110 | 73.6 |
| Land Combat Electronic Missile System Repairer | 647 | 66.5 | 646 | 59.3 |
| M1 Abrams Tank System Maintainer | 2,132 | 77.1 | 2,153 | 67.7 |
| M1 Armor Crewman | 10,791 | 76.6 | 10,847 | 71.8 |

Active, Reserve, and National Guard
Components' Consistently Over- and
Underfilled Occupational Specialties

| 2002 |  | 2003 |  | 2004 |  | 2005 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Authorized level | Percentage filled | Authorized level | Percentage filled | Authorized level | Percentage filled | Authorized level | Percentage filled |
| 6,581 | 83.3 | 6,377 | 88.7 | 6,606 | 80.4 | 6,606 | 76.6 |
| 14,683 | 80.8 | 13,686 | 89.2 | 13,090 | 90.7 | 12,998 | 86.6 |
| 319 | 97.8 | 298 | 99.7 | 300 | 96.3 | 303 | 90.8 |
| 32 | 125.0 | 57 | 64.9 | 46 | 78.3 | 45 | 84.4 |
| 257 | 78.6 | 291 | 70.1 | 343 | 59.5 | 355 | 50.4 |
| 2,973 | 98.5 | 2,917 | 90.4 | 2,948 | 83.0 | 2,977 | 79.4 |
| 738 | 98.8 | 762 | 92.3 | 773 | 93.3 | 756 | 89.0 |
| 406 | 75.4 | 354 | 82.2 | 379 | 68.6 | 383 | 70.0 |
| 29 | 82.8 | 36 | 47.2 | 30 | 70.0 | 34 | 35.3 |
| 26 | 80.8 | 26 | 80.8 | 23 | 87.0 | 21 | 90.5 |
| 263 | 84.4 | 264 | 86.7 | 263 | 89.4 | 260 | 81.9 |
| 136 | 81.6 | 188 | 72.9 | 188 | 84.0 | 183 | 85.2 |
| 774 | 69.4 | 978 | 62.3 | 1,227 | 61.0 | 1,368 | 63.6 |
| 398 | 80.9 | 398 | 83.9 | 390 | 97.4 | 390 | 93.3 |
| 297 | 107.4 | 291 | 96.2 | 298 | 83.2 | 298 | 72.5 |
| 741 | 96.6 | 724 | 95.3 | 698 | 92.4 | 684 | 90.4 |
| 937 | 88.0 | 885 | 87.2 | 847 | 73.6 | 834 | 66.1 |
| 289 | 62.6 | 284 | 66.5 | 272 | 62.5 | 281 | 54.8 |
| 4,632 | 73.3 | 4,722 | 67.8 | 4,225 | 66.7 | 4,344 | 60.2 |
| 11,413 | 87.6 | 11,652 | 83.7 | 11,456 | 80.4 | 11,282 | 78.0 |
| 2,269 | 88.7 | 2,255 | 87.4 | 2,281 | 77.9 | 2,263 | 70.9 |
| 330 | 93.9 | 452 | 71.0 | 413 | 81.1 | 437 | 74.8 |
| 3,870 | 97.1 | 3,955 | 92.9 | 4,084 | 87.5 | 4,058 | 78.1 |
| 583 | 91.4 | 648 | 88.0 | 641 | 82.8 | 835 | 71.0 |
| 372 | 64.2 | 393 | 83.2 | 402 | 81.8 | 418 | 73.7 |
| 4,429 | 78.1 | 4,190 | 81.7 | 4,268 | 75.9 | 4,290 | 68.9 |
| 39,902 | 82.3 | 39,273 | 83.7 | 37,905 | 86.3 | 38,442 | 85.0 |
| 102 | 73.5 | 120 | 85.0 | 129 | 95.3 | 140 | 85.7 |
| 111 | 68.5 | 156 | 59.6 | 185 | 53.5 | 175 | 46.3 |
| 645 | 59.4 | 592 | 59.1 | 565 | 54.0 | 549 | 52.8 |
| 2,053 | 66.8 | 1,960 | 68.7 | 1,779 | 66.3 | 1,727 | 64.0 |
| 10,223 | 74.8 | 9,409 | 79.9 | 9,444 | 78.2 | 9,439 | 77.4 |


| (Continued From Previous Page) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2000 |  | 2001 |  |
| Occupational specialty title | Authorized level | Percentage filled | Authorized level | Percentage filled |
| Machinist | 630 | 82.2 | 613 | 85.2 |
| Portable Air Defense System Crewmember | 3,158 | 55.6 | 2,689 | 62.5 |
| Mechanical Maintenance Supervisor | 956 | 88.6 | 1,016 | 90.6 |
| Mental Health Specialist | 0 | 0.0 | 143 | 81.8 |
| Metal Worker | 1,153 | 93.4 | 1,136 | 99.0 |
| Microwave Systems Operator-Maintainer | 168 | 78.0 | 136 | 88.2 |
| Military Intelligence Systems Maintainer/ Integrator | 52 | 42.3 | 42 | 73.8 |
| Military Police | 12,017 | 95.8 | 12,011 | 95.2 |
| Mortuary Affairs Specialist | 60 | 71.7 | 65 | 93.8 |
| Motor Transport Operator | 19,286 | 85.2 | 19,472 | 89.2 |
| Multichannel Transmission Systems Operator-Maintainer | 3,903 | 76.0 | 3,838 | 74.4 |
| Multiple Launch Rocket System Operational Fire Direction Specialist | 960 | 75.9 | 1,086 | 78.5 |
| Multiple Launch Rocket System / High Mobility Artillery Rocket System Crewmember | 2,057 | 94.6 | 2,293 | 94.8 |
| Multiple Launch Rocket System Repairer | 346 | 69.1 | 361 | 72.6 |
| Network Switching Systems Operator-Maintainer | 2,123 | 79.7 | 2,104 | 76.2 |
| Optical Laboratory Specialist | 30 | 83.3 | 29 | 89.7 |
| Patriot Fire Control Enhanced Operator/Maintainer | 104 | 50.0 | 128 | 46.9 |
| Patriot Launching Station Enhanced Operator/ Maintainer | 223 | 63.7 | 274 | 51.1 |
| Patriot System Repairer | 23 | 69.6 | 22 | 63.6 |
| Petroleum Laboratory Specialist | 196 | 62.2 | 231 | 58.9 |
| Petroleum Supply Specialist | 7,770 | 70.7 | 7,873 | 70.1 |
| Plumber | 511 | 80.2 | 535 | 80.6 |
| Power-Generation Equipment Repairer | 3,376 | 78.1 | 3,256 | 80.1 |
| Quarrying Specialist | 343 | 63.0 | 362 | 74.6 |
| Quartermaster and Chemical Equipment Repairer | 1,937 | 67.5 | 1,848 | 67.9 |
| Radar Repairer | 185 | 53.0 | 163 | 57.7 |
| Radio and Communications Security Repairer | 1,186 | 71.4 | 1,159 | 71.6 |
| Radio Operator-Maintainer | 3,570 | 77.6 | 3,413 | 80.8 |
| Signal Support Systems Specialist | 7,354 | 93.1 | 7,141 | 94.5 |
| Signals Collector/ Analyst | 6 | 50.0 | 5 | 60.0 |
| Signals Intelligence Analyst | 246 | 74.4 | 238 | 82.4 |

Active, Reserve, and National Guard
Components' Consistently Over- and
Underfilled Occupational Specialties

| 2002 |  | 2003 |  | 2004 |  | 2005 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Authorized level | Percentage filled | Authorized level | Percentage filled | Authorized level | Percentage filled | Authorized level | Percentage filled |
| 622 | 82.8 | 652 | 78.8 | 642 | 80.4 | 644 | 76.2 |
| 2,366 | 57.9 | 2,065 | 68.9 | 1,459 | 67.2 | 1,130 | 58.8 |
| 1,060 | 93.9 | 1,093 | 95.3 | 1,079 | 93.3 | 1,061 | 93.6 |
| 143 | 93.0 | 152 | 86.8 | 163 | 88.3 | 147 | 96.6 |
| 1,123 | 97.4 | 1,115 | 94.7 | 1,110 | 89.8 | 1,116 | 83.2 |
| 115 | 97.4 | 125 | 88.0 | 124 | 72.6 | 118 | 67.8 |
| 44 | 86.4 | 96 | 45.8 | 70 | 77.1 | 76 | 77.6 |
| 12,572 | 96.2 | 13,613 | 91.1 | 15,557 | 102.7 | 16,429 | 88.1 |
| 64 | 90.6 | 66 | 83.3 | 71 | 94.4 | 70 | 90.0 |
| 19,844 | 90.6 | 22,146 | 83.1 | 21,412 | 83.9 | 21,564 | 77.6 |
| 4,050 | 70.4 | 4,013 | 71.8 | 3,932 | 74.2 | 3,911 | 68.2 |
| 1,296 | 78.2 | 1,238 | 94.4 | 1,523 | 80.4 | 1,340 | 72.3 |
| 2,480 | 94.8 | 2,514 | 100.5 | 3,106 | 82.1 | 2,802 | 85.9 |
| 344 | 67.2 | 395 | 55.4 | 382 | 62.6 | 368 | 56.8 |
| 2,234 | 73.8 | 2,235 | 73.4 | 2,193 | 73.3 | 2,148 | 64.7 |
| 34 | 64.7 | 36 | 80.6 | 37 | 64.9 | 34 | 91.2 |
| 101 | 43.6 | 101 | 55.4 | 101 | 49.5 | 101 | 25.7 |
| 221 | 60.6 | 227 | 60.4 | 226 | 53.5 | 225 | 30.7 |
| 29 | 58.6 | 29 | 65.5 | 32 | 37.5 | 19 | 42.1 |
| 200 | 77.5 | 218 | 62.8 | 205 | 67.8 | 201 | 70.6 |
| 7,686 | 73.0 | 7,456 | 76.9 | 7,684 | 71.1 | 7,560 | 68.5 |
| 545 | 77.6 | 553 | 81.2 | 611 | 75.1 | 606 | 65.7 |
| 3,321 | 81.2 | 3,381 | 78.5 | 3,376 | 77.3 | 3,389 | 72.0 |
| 431 | 65.4 | 331 | 111.2 | 358 | 77.7 | 268 | 58.2 |
| 1,692 | 72.7 | 1,647 | 75.2 | 1,560 | 67.9 | 1,501 | 61.9 |
| 183 | 63.9 | 201 | 62.7 | 210 | 65.2 | 211 | 58.3 |
| 1,122 | 71.7 | 1,082 | 71.7 | 1051 | 68.3 | 1,025 | 63.1 |
| 3,188 | 82.7 | 2,897 | 84.5 | 2,808 | 81.4 | 2,631 | 78.6 |
| 6,845 | 93.8 | 6,483 | 93.9 | 6,299 | 93.8 | 6,252 | 86.6 |
| 5 | 80.0 | 5 | 40.0 | 5 | 40.0 | 5 | 40.0 |
| 241 | 80.9 | 265 | 66.4 | 247 | 73.3 | 270 | 71.1 |

Appendix IV
Active, Reserve, and National Guard
Components' Consistently Over- and
Underfilled Occupational Specialties
(Continued From Previous Page)

| Occupational specialty title | 2000 |  | 2001 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Authorized level | Percentage filled | Authorized level | Percentage filled |
| Small Arms Artillery Repairer | 573 | 94.4 | 587 | 92.8 |
| Special Electronic Devices Repairer | 1,078 | 78.3 | 999 | 84.3 |
| Special Forces Assistant Operations and Intelligence Sergeant | 159 | 70.4 | 166 | 60.2 |
| Special Forces Senior Sergeant | 233 | 104.7 | 240 | 92.9 |
| Special Purpose Equipment Repairer | 281 | 87.2 | 269 | 91.1 |
| Tactical Automated Fire Control Systems Specialist | 1,018 | 97.3 | 1,004 | 87.9 |
| Telecommunications Operations Chief | 762 | 93.7 | 762 | 96.9 |
| Test, Measurement, and Diagnostic Equipment Maintenance Support Specialist | 38 | 68.4 | 35 | 65.7 |
| Topographic Surveyor | 20 | 90.0 | 17 | 94.1 |
| Track Vehicle Repairer | 5,096 | 79.1 | 4,776 | 81.7 |
| Translator / Interpreter | 600 | 81.0 | 572 | 80.9 |
| Transmission and Distribution Specialist | 13 | 69.2 | 11 | 90.9 |
| Transportation Management Coordinator | 334 | 98.5 | 337 | 94.1 |
| Transportation Senior Sergeant | 198 | 98.0 | 199 | 99.5 |
| UH-60 Helicopter Repairer | 2,498 | 95.6 | 2,513 | 98.2 |
| Utilities Equipment Repairer | 1,387 | 87.2 | 1,270 | 89.4 |
| Water Treatment Specialist | 1,424 | 93.2 | 1,446 | 99.4 |
| Watercraft Engineer | 16 | 87.5 | 16 | 93.8 |
| Watercraft Operator | 17 | 88.2 | 17 | 94.1 |

Appendix IV
Active, Reserve, and National Guard
Components' Consistently Over- and
Underfilled Occupational Specialties

| 2002 |  | 2003 |  | 2004 |  | 2005 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Authorized level | Percentage filled | Authorized level | Percentage filled | Authorized level | Percentage filled | Authorized level | Percentage filled |
| 620 | 92.4 | 593 | 94.4 | 594 | 96.0 | 597 | 92.6 |
| 944 | 88.6 | 935 | 82.6 | 957 | 76.1 | 987 | 65.5 |
| 166 | 77.1 | 174 | 74.7 | 172 | 58.7 | 171 | 57.3 |
| 247 | 97.6 | 263 | 95.1 | 259 | 84.9 | 260 | 90.4 |
| 272 | 93.8 | 272 | 85.3 | 272 | 86.4 | 276 | 83.0 |
| 912 | 90.5 | 949 | 88.5 | 865 | 77.0 | 811 | 71.6 |
| 775 | 94.6 | 802 | 90.1 | 804 | 86.9 | 793 | 88.4 |
| 36 | 77.8 | 65 | 44.6 | 33 | 78.8 | 32 | 93.8 |
| 19 | 73.7 | 19 | 94.7 | 19 | 84.2 | 19 | 63.2 |
| 4,715 | 78.3 | 4,739 | 77.1 | 4,956 | 75.3 | 4,865 | 69.2 |
| 541 | 86.1 | 547 | 64.9 | 528 | 71.2 | 300 | 65.7 |
| 10 | 90.0 | 10 | 60.0 | 10 | 70.0 | 10 | 110.0 |
| 334 | 95.5 | 369 | 98.4 | 379 | 91.6 | 369 | 86.4 |
| 199 | 98.5 | 224 | 92.0 | 222 | 96.8 | 225 | 103.1 |
| 2,595 | 97.8 | 3,094 | 109.0 | 3,454 | 96.6 | 3,426 | 97.8 |
| 1,202 | 89.0 | 1,275 | 83.1 | 1,270 | 79.8 | 1,280 | 71.3 |
| 1,627 | 89.3 | 1,606 | 93.3 | 1,799 | 80.1 | 1,810 | 71.7 |
| 16 | 87.5 | 18 | 83.3 | 18 | 72.2 | 18 | 61.1 |
| 17 | 105.9 | 18 | 88.9 | 18 | 88.9 | 18 | 72.2 |


| Occupational specialty title | 2000 |  | 2001 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Authorized level | Percentage filled | Authorized level | Percentage filled |
| Air Defense Command, Control, Communications, Computers, and |  |  |  |  |
| Intelligence Tactical Operations Center Enhanced Operator/ |  |  |  |  |
| Maintainer | 3 | 966.7 | 3 | 1,133.3 |
| Air Traffic Control Operator | 12 | 291.7 | 12 | 308.3 |
| Aircraft Structural Repairer | 44 | 113.6 | 40 | 122.5 |
| Armor Senior Sergeant | 44 | 213.6 | 42 | 242.9 |
| Artillery Mechanic | 47 | 191.5 | 33 | 227.3 |
| Avenger Crewmember | 2 | 8,250.0 | 4 | 3,475.0 |
| Aviation Operations Specialist | 147 | 118.4 | 134 | 135.8 |
| Avionic Communications Equipment Repairer | 9 | 322.2 | 9 | 277.8 |
| Avionic Mechanic | 38 | 128.9 | 32 | 150.0 |
| Bradley Fighting Vehicle System Maintainer | 59 | 325.4 | 47 | 393.6 |
| Cannon Crewmember | 451 | 291.6 | 452 | 265.9 |
| Cavalry Scout | 372 | 175.0 | 341 | 182.1 |
| Combat Engineering Senior Sergeant | 148 | 120.9 | 139 | 123.7 |
| Communications Interceptor/Locator | 2 | 3,050.0 | 2 | 2,550.0 |
| Cryptologic Communications Interceptor/Locator | 107 | 243.0 | 101 | 229.7 |
| Electronic Intelligence Interceptor/Analyst | 87 | 140.2 | 85 | 144.7 |
| Field Artillery Senior Sergeant | 34 | 247.1 | 34 | 252.9 |
| Fire Control Repairer | 12 | 216.7 | 10 | 280.0 |
| Fire Support Specialist | 30 | 430.0 | 25 | 844.0 |
| Food Service Operations | 3,693 | 104.3 | 3,661 | 109.2 |
| Ground Surveillance Systems Operator | 4 | 1,450.0 | 4 | 1,250.0 |
| Indirect Fire Infantryman | 140 | 335.7 | 154 | 281.2 |
| Infantryman | 4,647 | 141.9 | 3,192 | 196.9 |
| Information Technology Specialist | 1,307 | 107.7 | 1,275 | 112.5 |
| Land Combat Electronic Missile System Repairer | 7 | 428.6 | 16 | 131.3 |
| M1 Abrams Tank System Maintainer | 98 | 195.9 | 75 | 253.3 |
| M1 Armor Crewman | 390 | 268.7 | 392 | 253.6 |
| Microwave Systems Operator-Maintainer | 90 | 140.0 | 91 | 130.8 |
| Multichannel Transmission Systems Operator-Maintainer | 536 | 125.9 | 530 | 125.5 |
| Multiple Launch Rocket System Repairer | 2 | 1,200.0 | 4 | 600.0 |
| Network Switching Systems Operator-Maintainer | 335 | 105.7 | 326 | 119.3 |
| Patient Administration Specialist | 1,192 | 95.1 | 901 | 128.0 |


| 2002 |  | 2003 |  | 2004 |  | 2005 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Authorized level | Percentage filled | Authorized level | Percentage filled | Authorized level | Percentage filled | Authorized level | Percentage filled |
| 3 | 866.7 | 3 | 933.3 | 3 | 766.7 | 3 | 766.7 |
| 12 | 358.3 | 12 | 291.7 | 12 | 325.0 | 12 | 241.7 |
| 37 | 113.5 | 37 | 124.3 | 36 | 130.6 | 36 | 125.0 |
| 83 | 125.3 | 38 | 223.7 | 39 | 212.8 | 40 | 225.0 |
| 33 | 184.8 | 33 | 200.0 | 33 | 212.1 | 21 | 204.8 |
| 2 | 5,800.0 | 2 | 5,150.0 | 2 | 5,000.0 | 2 | 4,150.0 |
| 139 | 127.3 | 143 | 116.8 | 159 | 111.9 | 161 | 101.2 |
| 7 | 200.0 | 7 | 242.9 | 8 | 150.0 | 8 | 150.0 |
| 31 | 148.4 | 32 | 131.3 | 28 | 171.4 | 32 | 118.8 |
| 71 | 249.3 | 45 | 333.3 | 43 | 344.2 | 29 | 355.2 |
| 819 | 132.2 | 372 | 256.7 | 242 | 352.1 | 242 | 301.2 |
| 639 | 89.5 | 271 | 188.6 | 282 | 196.1 | 281 | 167.3 |
| 203 | 83.3 | 134 | 123.1 | 132 | 129.5 | 135 | 129.6 |
| 2 | 2,200.0 | 2 | 1,450.0 | 2 | 1,400.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 98 | 219.4 | 98 | 210.2 | 98 | 153.1 | 56 | 217.9 |
| 86 | 118.6 | 89 | 103.4 | 86 | 118.6 | 87 | 94.3 |
| 69 | 114.5 | 35 | 208.6 | 24 | 262.5 | 24 | 250.0 |
| 10 | 250.0 | 10 | 170.0 | 9 | 188.9 | 9 | 133.3 |
| 31 | 629.0 | 30 | 656.7 | 30 | 680.0 | 32 | 487.5 |
| 3,652 | 107.9 | 3,558 | 105.7 | 3,548 | 102.1 | 3,487 | 91.9 |
| 8 | 487.5 | 6 | 600.0 | 6 | 583.3 | 6 | 466.7 |
| 169 | 224.9 | 62 | 580.6 | 62 | 509.7 | 62 | 437.1 |
| 4,110 | 140.3 | 1,996 | 243.4 | 1,834 | 260.1 | 1,826 | 233.3 |
| 1,444 | 101.0 | 1,395 | 105.3 | 1,372 | 107.1 | 1,582 | 84.6 |
| 10 | 330.0 | 10 | 220.0 | 11 | 145.5 | 11 | 145.5 |
| 99 | 163.6 | 43 | 337.2 | 43 | 244.2 | 27 | 303.7 |
| 729 | 128.7 | 313 | 255.3 | 320 | 229.4 | 320 | 191.3 |
| 93 | 128.0 | 87 | 113.8 | 81 | 119.8 | 81 | 106.2 |
| 523 | 131.5 | 486 | 133.7 | 484 | 134.9 | 495 | 113.1 |
| 3 | 600.0 | 3 | 533.3 | 3 | 400.0 | 3 | 266.7 |
| 352 | 109.7 | 355 | 115.5 | 350 | 115.4 | 340 | 105.9 |
| 916 | 127.3 | 920 | 121.7 | 914 | 111.5 | 846 | 109.8 |

Appendix IV
Active, Reserve, and National Guard
Components' Consistently Over- and
Underfilled Occupational Specialties
(Continued From Previous Page)

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ |  | 2001 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Occupational specialty title | Authorized <br> level | Percentage <br> filled | Authorized <br> level | Percentage <br> filled |
| Radio Operator-Maintainer | 179 | 233.0 | 110 | 303.6 |
| Radiology Specialist | 662 | 82.0 | 538 | 106.1 |
| Satellite Communication Systems Operator-Maintainer | 3 | 833.3 | 4 | 500.0 |
| Signals Collector/ Analyst | 1 | $2,000.0$ | 0 | 0 |
| Signals Intelligence Analyst | 109 | 158.7 | 105 | 149.5 |
| Signals Intelligence (Electronic Warfare)/ Senior Sergeant/ Chief | 2 | $1,400.0$ | 0 | 0 |
| Special Forces Communications Sergeant | 1 | 700.0 | 1 | 700.0 |
| Special Forces Senior Sergeant | 15 | 106.7 | 12 | 133.3 |
| Special Forces Weapons Sergeant | 2 | 450.0 | 2 | 350.0 |
| Transportation Senior Sergeant | 436 | 112.4 | 448 | 124.8 |
| UH-1 Helicopter Repairer | 2 | $3,700.0$ | 2 | $2,450.0$ |
| UH-60 Helicopter Repairer | 42 | 240.5 | 46 | 245.7 |
| Visual Information Operations Chief | 20 | 80.0 | 19 | 105.3 |

Appendix IV
Active, Reserve, and National Guard
Components' Consistently Over- and
Underfilled Occupational Specialties

| 2002 |  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | 2005 |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Authorized <br> level | Percentage <br> filled | Authorized <br> level | Percentage <br> filled | Authorized <br> level | Percentage <br> filled | Authorized <br> level | Percentage <br> filled |
| 59 | 423.7 | 48 | 422.9 | 45 | 431.1 | 46 | 295.7 |
| 537 | 109.9 | 430 | 134.2 | 399 | 148.9 | 343 | 165.0 |
| 3 | 900.0 | 5 | 600.0 | 4 | 900.0 | 69 | 53.6 |
| 2 | 950.0 | 2 | 750.0 | 2 | 950.0 | 800.0 |  |
| 104 | 137.5 | 116 | 118.1 | 116 | 127.6 | 115 | 118.3 |
| 6 | 266.7 | 7 | 200.0 | 7 | 214.3 | 9 | 155.6 |
| 1 | 500.0 | 1 | 200.0 | 1 | 200.0 | 1 | 300.0 |
| 16 | 87.5 | 15 | 133.3 | 11 | 163.6 | 11 | 127.3 |
| 2 | 200.0 | 2 | 150.0 | 1 | 400.0 | 1 | 500.0 |
| 561 | 102.7 | 433 | 128.9 | 440 | 125.7 | 457 | 126.5 |
| 2 | $1,900.0$ | 2 | $1,650.0$ | 2 | $1,250.0$ | 2 | 600.0 |
| 75 | 178.7 | 74 | 173.0 | 71 | 174.6 | 71 | 163.4 |
| 19 | 126.3 | 19 | 110.5 | 18 | 172.2 | 22 | 145.5 |

Table 25: Army Reserve's Consistently Underfilled Occupational Specialties

| Occupational specialty title | 2000 |  | 2001 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Authorized level | Percentage filled | Authorized level | Percentage filled |
| AH-64 Attack Helicopter Repairer | 159 | 101.3 | 165 | 87.3 |
| AH-64A Armament/Electrical/Avionic Systems Repairer | 78 | 89.7 | 80 | 87.5 |
| Aircraft Components Repair Supervisor | 13 | 38.5 | 15 | 40.0 |
| Ammunition Specialist | 2,488 | 66.3 | 2,537 | 71.7 |
| Army Bandperson | 800 | 64.4 | 760 | 74.3 |
| Bridge Crewmember | 865 | 67.9 | 669 | 100.3 |
| Cargo Specialist | 1,806 | 84.6 | 1,893 | 88.9 |
| CH-47 Helicopter Repairer | 481 | 54.5 | 394 | 73.9 |
| Chemical Operations Specialist | 5,779 | 68.0 | 5634 | 78.2 |
| Chief Public Affairs Noncommissioned Officer | 55 | 74.5 | 57 | 75.4 |
| Criminal Investigation Division Special Agent | 152 | 85.5 | 152 | 94.7 |
| Command Sergeant Major | 787 | 86.8 | 761 | 88.2 |
| Combat Documentation/ Production Specialist | 199 | 78.9 | 180 | 88.3 |
| Concrete and Asphalt Equipment Operator | 232 | 72.8 | 228 | 82.9 |
| Construction Engineering Supervisor | 1,768 | 43.0 | 1,851 | 41.4 |
| Construction Equipment Repairer | 2,150 | 77.6 | 2,019 | 85.6 |
| Electronic and Missile Systems Maintenance Chief | 6 | 50.0 | 9 | 33.3 |
| Electronic Maintenance Chief | 123 | 44.7 | 120 | 55.8 |
| Financial Management Technician | 1,752 | 91.7 | 1,734 | 92.7 |
| Firefighter | 495 | 71.1 | 523 | 69.8 |
| General Construction Equipment Operator | 1,819 | 74.8 | 1,798 | 79.9 |
| Health Care Specialist | 13,116 | 81.8 | 11,295 | 92.8 |
| Heavy Construction Equipment Operator | 2,385 | 63.7 | 2,318 | 71.1 |
| Hospital Food Service Specialist | 1,564 | 58.7 | 1261 | 77.8 |
| Human Intelligence Collector | 389 | 50.9 | 325 | 55.1 |
| Human Resources Information Systems Management Specialist | 343 | 69.7 | 342 | 66.1 |
| Human Resources Specialist | 17,810 | 75.7 | 7,224 | 201.7 |
| Imagery Analyst | 336 | 48.5 | 262 | 72.1 |
| Integrated Family of Test Equipment Operator and Maintainer | 2 | 750.0 | 22 | 40.9 |
| Intelligence Analyst | 1,353 | 77.1 | 1,376 | 77.8 |
| Interior Electrician | 369 | 103.8 | 377 | 94.7 |
| Light-Wheel Vehicle Mechanic | 9,574 | 80.6 | 8,905 | 91.4 |


| 2002 |  | 2003 |  | 2004 |  | 2005 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Authorized level | Percentage filled | Authorized level | Percentage filled | Authorized level | Percentage filled | Authorized level | Percentage filled |
| 161 | 88.2 | 161 | 87.6 | 201 | 75.6 | 187 | 78.6 |
| 64 | 98.4 | 64 | 93.8 | 34 | 129.4 | 68 | 70.6 |
| 13 | 53.8 | 13 | 53.8 | 13 | 53.8 | 15 | 40.0 |
| 2,209 | 79.4 | 2,319 | 83.3 | 2,627 | 72.2 | 2,501 | 70.4 |
| 760 | 78.8 | 742 | 83.4 | 760 | 87.5 | 760 | 87.6 |
| 702 | 90.6 | 941 | 75.7 | 942 | 73.5 | 1,078 | 53.2 |
| 1,952 | 84.7 | 1,720 | 94.6 | 1,675 | 95.3 | 1,890 | 75.8 |
| 391 | 77.5 | 395 | 79.7 | 388 | 80.9 | 388 | 77.3 |
| 6,197 | 77.6 | 5,520 | 90.8 | 5,647 | 81.2 | 5,292 | 78.3 |
| 60 | 80.0 | 55 | 87.3 | 52 | 163.5 | 171 | 50.3 |
| 152 | 99.3 | 183 | 81.4 | 184 | 77.7 | 186 | 71.0 |
| 1,015 | 65.8 | 763 | 90.6 | 768 | 85.4 | 772 | 85.2 |
| 167 | 99.4 | 165 | 91.5 | 164 | 92.1 | 162 | 81.5 |
| 229 | 80.8 | 265 | 71.3 | 265 | 75.5 | 270 | 70.0 |
| 2,010 | 37.8 | 1,360 | 60.0 | 1,358 | 66.9 | 1,319 | 70.6 |
| 1,838 | 90.0 | 1,772 | 95.0 | 1,776 | 92.6 | 1,777 | 83.2 |
| 4 | 50.0 | 4 | 50.0 | 4 | 25.0 | 6 | 16.7 |
| 95 | 61.1 | 95 | 55.8 | 94 | 62.8 | 82 | 72.0 |
| 1,875 | 81.5 | 1,722 | 91.8 | 1,727 | 85.5 | 1,756 | 77.4 |
| 459 | 81.9 | 384 | 104.7 | 530 | 73.8 | 396 | 87.9 |
| 1,631 | 88.9 | 1,642 | 88.9 | 1,709 | 83.9 | 1,676 | 76.5 |
| 11,664 | 83.5 | 11,026 | 86.8 | 10,166 | 90.3 | 9,315 | 87.5 |
| 2,402 | 70.6 | 2,405 | 74.0 | 2,437 | 72.6 | 2,366 | 65.7 |
| 1,218 | 88.2 | 1,220 | 77.5 | 1,125 | 81.3 | 1,010 | 76.6 |
| 352 | 56.5 | 387 | 51.4 | 387 | 52.2 | 688 | 26.7 |
| 410 | 57.6 | 344 | 66.0 | 344 | 67.4 | 341 | 60.4 |
| 27,147 | 54.0 | 20,557 | 0.0 | 20,899 | 0.0 | 6 | 0.0 |
| 269 | 78.8 | 280 | 80.7 | 273 | 72.5 | 405 | 42.2 |
| 25 | 32.0 | 25 | 16.0 | 25 | 28.0 | 14 | 28.6 |
| 1,565 | 68.8 | 1,385 | 80.0 | 1,323 | 85.1 | 1,602 | 67.7 |
| 383 | 91.9 | 386 | 94.8 | 386 | 92.2 | 382 | 84.6 |
| 9,329 | 86.7 | 9,023 | 90.8 | 9,010 | 85.6 | 8,219 | 89.0 |

(Continued From Previous Page)

| Occupational specialty title | 2000 |  | 2001 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Authorized level | Percentage filled | Authorized level | Percentage filled |
| Lithographer | 291 | 74.9 | 260 | 86.5 |
| Machinist | 249 | 73.1 | 208 | 96.2 |
| Mechanical Maintenance Supervisor | 468 | 64.1 | 503 | 71.0 |
| Medical Equipment Repairer | 427 | 72.8 | 397 | 89.7 |
| Medical Laboratory Specialist | 1,489 | 53.7 | 1,292 | 60.6 |
| Medical Logistics Specialist | 1,810 | 80.7 | 1,574 | 82.1 |
| Mental Health Specialist | 658 | 74.6 | 594 | 79.3 |
| Mortuary Affairs Specialist | 416 | 70.9 | 300 | 95.7 |
| Motor Transport Operator | 13,524 | 70.5 | 13,742 | 74.9 |
| Optical Laboratory Specialist | 116 | 72.4 | 114 | 71.1 |
| Parachute Rigger | 327 | 70.6 | 330 | 81.2 |
| Paralegal Specialist | 1,077 | 71.5 | 1,130 | 78.8 |
| Patriot System Repairer | 2 | 0.0 | 4 | 0.0 |
| Petroleum Laboratory Specialist | 301 | 49.2 | 294 | 65.6 |
| Petroleum Supply Specialist | 5,105 | 75.2 | 5,286 | 90.8 |
| Plumber | 476 | 67.2 | 525 | 67.4 |
| Preventive Medicine Specialist | 398 | 65.1 | 370 | 81.1 |
| Prime Power Production Specialist | 88 | 14.8 | 88 | 17.0 |
| Public Affairs Broadcast Specialist | 282 | 64.9 | 285 | 72.6 |
| Public Affairs Specialist | 363 | 67.5 | 368 | 82.6 |
| Quarrying Specialist | 428 | 55.6 | 428 | 67.8 |
| Quartermaster and Chemical Equipment Repairer | 941 | 84.9 | 878 | 95.9 |
| Radar Repairer | 30 | 53.3 | 31 | 45.2 |
| Radio and Communications Security Repairer | 385 | 63.4 | 348 | 75.9 |
| Railway Equipment Repairer | 89 | 82.0 | 89 | 75.3 |
| Railway Operations Crewmember | 294 | 81.3 | 290 | 79.3 |
| Railway Section Repairer | 133 | 75.2 | 133 | 78.2 |
| Senior Noncommissioned Logistician | 126 | 85.7 | 140 | 85.0 |
| Shower/ Laundry and Clothing Repair Specialist | 2,094 | 81.3 | 1,977 | 87.1 |
| Special Electronic Devices Repairer | 335 | 73.4 | 289 | 106.2 |
| Special Forces Assistant Operations and Intelligence Sergeant | 6 | 0.0 | 3 | 0.0 |
| Telecommunications Operations Chief | 719 | 49.9 | 696 | 55.3 |
| Translator/ Interpreter | 1,186 | 10.9 | 614 | 19.7 |

Active, Reserve, and National Guard
Components' Consistently Over- and
Underfilled Occupational Specialties

| $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | 2003 |  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | 2005 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

Appendix IV
Active, Reserve, and National Guard
Components' Consistently Over- and
Underfilled Occupational Specialties
(Continued From Previous Page)

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ |  | 2001 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Occupational specialty title | Authorized <br> level | Percentage <br> filled | Authorized <br> level | Percentage <br> filled |
| Transmission and Distribution Specialist | 65 | 44.6 | 65 | 35.4 |
| Transportation Management Coordinator | 2,899 | 64.2 | 3,100 | 81.5 |
| Unit Supply Specialist | 7,628 | 69.7 | 7,095 | 75.0 |
| Veterinary Food Inspection Specialist | 408 | 77.0 | 387 | 91.7 |
| Visual Information Equipment Operator-Maintainer | 75 | 66.7 | 75 | 73.3 |
| Water Treatment Specialist | 1,750 | 70.9 | 2,004 | 81.0 |
| Watercraft Engineer | 431 | 53.4 | 393 | 66.9 |

Appendix IV
Active, Reserve, and National Guard
Components' Consistently Over- and
Underfilled Occupational Specialties

| $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Authorized <br> level | Percentage <br> filled | Authorized <br> level | Percentage <br> filled | Authorized <br> level | Percentage <br> filled | Authorized <br> level | Percentage <br> filled |
| 33 | 66.7 | 32 | 62.5 | 32 | 59.4 | 32 | 46.9 |
| 3,088 | 86.6 | 3,020 | 93.3 | 3,020 | 92.3 | 2,938 | 86.7 |
| 8,918 | 58.1 | 7,009 | 75.9 | 7,298 | 75.0 | 7,400 | 69.9 |
| 381 | 88.2 | 381 | 92.1 | 381 | 91.9 | 381 | 76.1 |
| 77 | 80.5 | 77 | 89.6 | 77 | 84.4 | 77 | 77.9 |
| 2,021 | 89.6 | 2,026 | 85.5 | 2,028 | 85.3 | 2,030 | 73.2 |
| 379 | 68.9 | 275 | 93.1 | 230 | 108.3 | 434 | 51.8 |

Table 26: Navy Reserve's Consistently Overfilled Occupational Specialties

|  | 2002 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Occupational specialty title | Authorized level | Percentage filled |
| Aviation Boatswain's Mate | 622 | 113.0 |
| Aviation Electronics Technician | 863 | 101.0 |
| Aviation Machinist's Mate | 702 | 103.4 |
| Aviation Maintenance Administrationman | 248 | 110.9 |
| Aviation Maintenanceman | 10 | 120.0 |
| Aviation Support Equipment Technician | 193 | 133.7 |
| Constructionman | 28 | 117.9 |
| Damage Controlman | 366 | 104.9 |
| Disbursing Clerk | 251 | 137.1 |
| Electrician's Mate | 622 | 117.0 |
| Engineman | 955 | 101.3 |
| Fire Controlman | 352 | 107.1 |
| Fireman | 117 | 394.9 |
| Gas Turbine System Technician | 283 | 104.6 |
| Information System Technician | 2,552 | 118.3 |
| Interior Communications Electrician | 219 | 108.2 |
| Journalist | 186 | 134.4 |
| Machinery Repairman | 272 | 111.4 |
| Machinist's Mate | 925 | 111.2 |
| Personnelman | 640 | 121.9 |
| Photographer's Mate | 206 | 119.9 |
| Postal Clerk | 274 | 178 |
| Seaman | 437 | 107.3 |
| Ship's Serviceman | 4,185 | 17 |
| Storekeeper | 2,214 | 886.5 |
| Utilities Constructionman | 115.8 |  |
| Yeoman | 105.2 |  |


| 2003 |  | 2004 |  | 2005 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Authorized level | Percentage filled | Authorized level | Percentage filled | Authorized level | Percentage filled |
| 584 | 124.5 | 354 | 190.7 | 395 | 157.5 |
| 853 | 109.7 | 793 | 108.7 | 642 | 121.7 |
| 707 | 120.4 | 663 | 108.0 | 578 | 117.5 |
| 250 | 131.6 | 207 | 129.5 | 180 | 128.3 |
| 10 | 160.0 | 8 | 175.0 | 7 | 171.4 |
| 194 | 130.9 | 152 | 152.0 | 142 | 150.7 |
| 34 | 111.8 | 25 | 136.0 | 25 | 112.0 |
| 333 | 118.3 | 213 | 179.8 | 201 | 187.6 |
| 251 | 118.7 | 214 | 129.9 | 210 | 127.1 |
| 635 | 113.9 | 374 | 183.7 | 364 | 162.6 |
| 963 | 105.6 | 744 | 119.4 | 708 | 117.2 |
| 319 | 119.1 | 257 | 140.9 | 239 | 138.1 |
| 130 | 176.2 | 22 | 700.0 | 14 | 1378.6 |
| 248 | 129.4 | 115 | 253.9 | 111 | 250.5 |
| 2,504 | 118.3 | 2,171 | 128.8 | 2111 | 119.4 |
| 196 | 133.7 | 141 | 175.9 | 110 | 172.7 |
| 183 | 129.0 | 162 | 132.7 | 165 | 109.1 |
| 249 | 114.1 | 175 | 141.7 | 179 | 124.0 |
| 806 | 116.4 | 549 | 153.2 | 500 | 152.0 |
| 635 | 119.2 | 565 | 133.1 | 541 | 129.4 |
| 181 | 128.7 | 147 | 149.0 | 140 | 124.3 |
| 260 | 114.6 | 229 | 118.8 | 193 | 125.9 |
| 152 | 648.0 | 84 | 1,002.4 | 73 | 1016.4 |
| 407 | 124.1 | 283 | 165.7 | 216 | 181.0 |
| 4,679 | 115.6 | 3,863 | 131.4 | 3,683 | 128.6 |
| 19 | 126.3 | 16 | 131.3 | 16 | 106.3 |
| 2,151 | 119.1 | 1,818 | 127.2 | 1,770 | 120.6 |

Active, Reserve, and National Guard
Components' Consistently Over- and
Underfilled Occupational Specialties

Table 27: Navy Reserve's Consistently Underfilled Occupational Specialties

|  |  | $\mathbf{c}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Occupational specialty title | Authorized level | Percentage filled |
| Aerographer's Mate | 218 | 75.7 |
| Air Traffic Controller | 164 | 88.4 |
| Aircrew Survival Equipmentman | 151 | 74.2 |
| Aviation Electrician's Mate | 649 | 82.3 |
| Aviation Structural Mechanic | 1,015 | 92.0 |
| Aviation Warfare Systems Operator | 354 | 76.0 |
| Construction Mechanic | 1989 | 79.1 |
| Cryptologic Technician | 893 | 75.3 |
| Engineering Aide | 431 | 75.4 |
| Master-At-Arms | 1,630 | 60.9 |
| Mineman | 240 | 49.6 |
| Steelworker | 789 | 96.1 |

Source: GAO analysis of DOD data.

| 2003 |  | 2004 |  | 2005 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Authorized level | Percentage filled | Authorized level | Percentage filled | Authorized level | Percentage filled |
| 187 | 97.9 | 185 | 95.7 | 182 | 84.1 |
| 165 | 70.9 | 135 | 80.0 | 148 | 69.6 |
| 139 | 77.7 | 130 | 68.5 | 110 | 80.0 |
| 646 | 83.3 | 599 | 84.8 | 497 | 91.8 |
| 1,031 | 95.6 | 941 | 99.5 | 833 | 99.6 |
| 345 | 73.3 | 259 | 88.4 | 210 | 94.3 |
| 1,752 | 89.0 | 1,643 | 85.6 | 1613 | 78.1 |
| 892 | 77.8 | 807 | 90.5 | 833 | 99.6 |
| 332 | 83.7 | 313 | 78.0 | 301 | 74.8 |
| 2,462 | 56.2 | 2,428 | 70.6 | 2,139 | 81.2 |
| 306 | 50.7 | 252 | 65.5 | 238 | 67.6 |
| 803 | 92.9 | 769 | 86.5 | 755 | 81.9 |

Table 28: Marine Corps Reserve's Consistently Overfilled Occupational Specialties

| Occupational specialty title | 2000 |  | 2001 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Authorized level | Percentage filled | Authorized level | Percentage filled |
| Personnel Clerk | 329 | 173.9 | 330 | 173.3 |
| Administrative Clerk | 395 | 149.4 | 411 | 143.6 |
| Infantry Assaultman | 459 | 125.9 | 459 | 126.1 |
| Logistics/ Embarkation and Combat Service Support Specialist | 193 | 140.9 | 194 | 140.2 |
| Fire Support Man | 144 | 170.1 | 240 | 102.1 |
| Electrician | 154 | 120.1 | 154 | 120.1 |
| Small Arms Repairer/Technician | 206 | 155.8 | 200 | 159.5 |
| Supply Administration and Operations Clerk | 616 | 140.9 | 614 | 141.0 |
| Packaging Specialist | 9 | 166.7 | 9 | 166.7 |
| Organizational Automotive Mechanic | 922 | 117.0 | 926 | 116.4 |
| Motor Transport Maintenance Chief | 45 | 122.2 | 46 | 119.6 |
| Motor Vehicle Operator | 1,521 | 112.7 | 1,585 | 108.1 |
| Legal Services Specialist | 12 | 208.3 | 11 | 227.3 |
| Helicopter Power Plants Mechanic, T-64 | 7 | 157.1 | 7 | 142.9 |
| Fixed-Wing Aircraft Mechanic, KC-130 | 22 | 118.2 | 22 | 118.2 |
| Fixed-Wing Aircraft Mechanic, F/A-18 | 45 | 124.4 | 45 | 124.4 |
| Fixed-Wing Aircraft Airframe Mechanic, KC-130 | 16 | 150.0 | 16 | 150.0 |
| Fixed-Wing Aircraft Airframe Mechanic, F/A-18 | 16 | 162.5 | 17 | 152.9 |
| Fixed-Wing Aircraft Safety Equipment Mechanic, KC-130 | 4 | 175.0 | 4 | 175.0 |
| Aircraft Communications Systems Technician | 14 | 114.3 | 14 | 114.3 |
| Aircraft Electrical/Instrument/Flight Control Systems Technician, Fixed-Wing | 12 | 166.7 | 12 | 158.3 |
| Aircraft Electrical/ Instrument/Flight Control Systems Technician, Helicopter | 16 | 112.5 | 16 | 112.5 |
| Avionics Test Set Technician | 8 | 125.0 | 8 | 125.0 |
| Aviation Ordnance Systems Technician | 86 | 104.7 | 86 | 104.7 |
| Aviation Operations Specialist | 48 | 133.3 | 41 | 156.1 |
| Field Radio Operator | 1,768 | 104.7 | 1741 | 106.3 |
| Engineer Equipment Mechanic | 311 | 102.9 | 311 | 103.2 |
| Towed Artillery Systems Technician | 41 | 122.0 | 41 | 122.0 |
| Test Measurement and Diagnostic Equipment Technician | 5 | 120.0 | 7 | 85.7 |
| Nuclear, Biological, and Chemical Defense Specialist | 160 | 105.6 | 170 | 99.4 |
| Military Police | 632 | 109.2 | 645 | 106.7 |


| 2002 |  | 2003 |  | 2004 |  | 2005 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Authorized level | Percentage filled | Authorized level | Percentage filled | Authorized level | Percentage filled | Authorized level | Percentage filled |
| 329 | 162.3 | 329 | 154.1 | 325 | 127.1 | 297 | 129.0 |
| 395 | 142.3 | 396 | 131.1 | 397 | 119.9 | 329 | 128.0 |
| 459 | 117.2 | 459 | 117.2 | 459 | 109.4 | 501 | 102.4 |
| 193 | 140.4 | 193 | 123.8 | 189 | 116.9 | 175 | 120.6 |
| 144 | 176.4 | 159 | 163.5 | 230 | 112.2 | 187 | 119.3 |
| 154 | 113.0 | 154 | 112.3 | 147 | 126.5 | 148 | 125.0 |
| 206 | 143.7 | 206 | 145.6 | 210 | 127.6 | 199 | 125.1 |
| 616 | 128.1 | 614 | 125.7 | 560 | 116.1 | 517 | 110.1 |
| 9 | 122.2 | 9 | 155.6 | 9 | 133.3 | 8 | 125.0 |
| 922 | 110.5 | 922 | 116.7 | 923 | 120.8 | 856 | 126.1 |
| 45 | 102.2 | 46 | 113.0 | 46 | 119.6 | 40 | 112.5 |
| 1,521 | 112.9 | 1,521 | 112.2 | 1,518 | 113.4 | 1,400 | 118.9 |
| 12 | 141.7 | 12 | 158.3 | 12 | 175.0 | 9 | 177.8 |
| 7 | 171.4 | 7 | 142.9 | 7 | 157.1 | 7 | 114.3 |
| 22 | 150.0 | 22 | 154.5 | 22 | 150.0 | 22 | 145.5 |
| 45 | 115.6 | 45 | 115.6 | 34 | 141.2 | 34 | 126.5 |
| 16 | 150.0 | 16 | 187.5 | 16 | 175.0 | 16 | 156.3 |
| 16 | 156.3 | 16 | 118.8 | 12 | 183.3 | 12 | 191.7 |
| 4 | 125.0 | 4 | 150.0 | 4 | 150.0 | 4 | 175.0 |
| 14 | 121.4 | 14 | 150.0 | 14 | 121.4 | 14 | 142.9 |
| 12 | 175.0 | 12 | 125.0 | 11 | 172.7 | 11 | 145.5 |
| 16 | 125.0 | 16 | 125.0 | 16 | 106.3 | 16 | 118.8 |
| 8 | 162.5 | 8 | 125.0 | 6 | 183.3 | 6 | 150.0 |
| 86 | 112.8 | 86 | 103.5 | 81 | 108.6 | 74 | 120.3 |
| 48 | 122.9 | 48 | 118.8 | 38 | 150.0 | 32 | 187.5 |
| 1,768 | 103.9 | 1,781 | 106.1 | 1,753 | 103.4 | 1,614 | 98.1 |
| 311 | 98.4 | 318 | 107.5 | 317 | 102.5 | 316 | 102.5 |
| 41 | 122.0 | 41 | 129.3 | 40 | 107.5 | 34 | 97.1 |
| 5 | 140.0 | 5 | 180.0 | 5 | 200.0 | 5 | 200.0 |
| 160 | 101.9 | 161 | 113.0 | 165 | 103.0 | 162 | 103.7 |
| 632 | 95.1 | 632 | 105.5 | 632 | 106.0 | 709 | 108.3 |

Appendix IV
Active, Reserve, and National Guard
Components' Consistently Over- and
Underfilled Occupational Specialties
(Continued From Previous Page)

| Occupational specialty title | 2000 |  | 2001 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Authorized level | Percentage filled | Authorized level | Percentage filled |
| Aircraft Intermediate Level Structures Mechanic | 13 | 176.9 | 27 | 85.2 |
| Helicopter Mechanic, CH-53 | 12 | 133.3 | 12 | 133.3 |
| Fixed-Wing Aircraft Power Plants Mechanic, F-404 | 12 | 108.3 | 14 | 92.9 |
| Aircraft Navigation Systems Technician | 16 | 131.3 | 16 | 131.3 |
| Radar Systems Test Station Technician | 8 | 112.5 | 8 | 100.0 |
| Aircraft Electronic Countermeasures Systems Technician, Fixed-Wing | 10 | 140.0 | 10 | 140.0 |
| Barracks and Grounds Marine | 2 | 600.0 | 2 | 450.0 |

Source: GAO analysis of DOD data.

Appendix IV
Active, Reserve, and National Guard
Components' Consistently Over- and
Underfilled Occupational Specialties

| 2002 |  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | 2005 |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Authorized <br> level | Percentage <br> filled | Authorized <br> level | Percentage <br> filled | Authorized <br> level | Percentage <br> filled | Authorized <br> level | Percentage <br> filled |
| 13 | 200.0 | 13 | 200.0 | 13 | 230.8 | 13 | 176.9 |
| 12 | 100.0 | 12 | 116.7 | 12 | 133.3 | 12 | 133.3 |
| 12 | 141.7 | 12 | 125.0 | 9 | 222.2 | 9 | 144.4 |
| 16 | 137.5 | 16 | 131.3 | 16 | 100.0 | 125.0 |  |
| 8 | 112.5 | 8 | 112.5 | 6 | 133.3 | 6 | 150.0 |
| 10 | 140.0 | 10 | 120.0 | 10 | 120.0 | 10 | 80.0 |
| 2 | 150.0 | 2 | 200.0 | 2 | 250.0 | 0 |  |

Table 29: Marine Corps Reserve's Consistently Underfilled Occupational Specialties

| Occupational specialty title | 2000 |  | 2001 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Authorized level | Percentage filled | Authorized level | Percentage filled |
| Scout Sniper | 153 | 71.2 | 153 | 70.6 |
| Microminiature Repairer | 6 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Reconnaissance Man, Parachute Qualified | 6 | 16.7 | 2 | 50.0 |
| 2M/ATE Technician | 43 | 60.5 | 44 | 59.1 |
| AN/MSC-63A Maintenance Technician | 3 | 0.0 | 4 | 0.0 |
| Wire Chief | 68 | 41.2 | 66 | 42.4 |
| Accident Investigator | 14 | 7.1 | 15 | 6.7 |
| Advanced Aircraft Communication/Navigation Systems Technician | 15 | 120.0 | 20 | 90.0 |
| Advanced Aircraft Electrical/Instrument/Flight Control Systems Technician | 7 | 85.7 | 8 | 75.0 |
| Advanced Automatic Test Equipment Technician | 7 | 42.9 | 8 | 37.5 |
| Air Control Electronics Operator | 64 | 43.8 | 64 | 43.8 |
| Air Traffic Control Systems Maintenance Chief | 2 | 0.0 | 2 | 0.0 |
| Air Traffic Controller-Tower | 10 | 90.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Airborne Radio Operator/ Inflight Refueling Observer/ Loadmaster | 23 | 65.2 | 12 | 125.0 |
| Aircraft Communications/Navigation/ Electrical/Weapon Systems Technician, U/AH-1 | 65 | 69.2 | 67 | 67.2 |
| Aircraft Cryptographic Systems Technician | 4 | 25.0 | 4 | 25.0 |
| Aircraft Electronic Countermeasures Systems Technician, Helicopter | 18 | 33.3 | 13 | 46.2 |
| Aircraft Intermediate Level Hydraulic/Pneumatic Mechanic | 24 | 83.3 | 25 | 80.0 |
| Aircraft Maintenance SE Electrician/ Refrigeration Mechanic | 42 | 92.9 | 42 | 92.9 |
| Aircraft Maintenance SE Hydraulic/ Pneumatic/ Structures Mechanic | 40 | 85.0 | 41 | 82.9 |
| Aircraft Nondestructive Inspection Technician | 13 | 0.0 | 2 | 0.0 |
| Aircraft Power Plants Test Cell Operator | 10 | 30.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Aircraft Welder | 4 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Ammunition Technician | 511 | 79.3 | 509 | 79.6 |
| Antitank Missileman | 567 | 93.1 | 567 | 93.3 |
| Artillery Electronics Technician | 24 | 20.8 | 24 | 20.8 |
| Assault Amphibious Vehicle Repairer/Technician | 129 | 72.1 | 129 | 72.1 |
| Assault Amphibious Vehicle Crewman | 325 | 90.5 | 325 | 90.5 |
| Intermediate Automotive Mechanic | 162 | 21.0 | 162 | 21.0 |
| Aviation Information Systems Specialist | 51 | 0.0 | 51 | 0.0 |

$\left.\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrr}\hline \text { 2002 } & \text { 2003 } & & \mathbf{2 0 0 4} & \text { 2005 }\end{array} \begin{array}{r}\text { Percentage } \\ \text { filled }\end{array}\right)$
(Continued From Previous Page)

| Occupational specialty title | 2000 |  | 2001 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Authorized level | Percentage filled | Authorized level | Percentage filled |
| Aviation Maintenance Controller/ Production Controller | 9 | 11.1 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Aviation Meteorological Equipment Technician | 9 | 77.8 | 9 | 77.8 |
| Aviation Ordnance Chief | 7 | 57.1 | 7 | 57.1 |
| Aviation Precision Measurement Equipment/Calibration and Repair Technician | 29 | 75.9 | 30 | 73.3 |
| Aviation Radar Repairer | 24 | 25.0 | 24 | 25.0 |
| Aviation Supply Specialist | 223 | 95.1 | 228 | 93.0 |
| Avionics Maintenance Chief | 7 | 85.7 | 7 | 85.7 |
| Bulk Fuel Specialist | 917 | 71.6 | 916 | 71.5 |
| College Degree - Enlisted | 1 | 0.0 | 2 | 0.0 |
| Combat Correspondent | 23 | 52.2 | 26 | 46.2 |
| Combat Photographer | 6 | 50.0 | 6 | 50.0 |
| Combat Service Support Chief | 59 | 72.9 | 59 | 72.9 |
| Communications Chief | 50 | 76.0 | 58 | 67.2 |
| Contract Specialist | 12 | 8.3 | 12 | 8.3 |
| Counterintelligence/ Human Intelligence Specialist | 45 | 55.6 | 46 | 54.3 |
| Crash/Fire/Rescue Vehicle Mechanic | 3 | 33.3 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Criminal Investigator Agent | 30 | 33.3 | 33 | 30.3 |
| Data Chief | 37 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Defense Message System Specialist | 46 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Electronic Switching Equipment Technician | 17 | 88.2 | 17 | 88.2 |
| Electronics Maintenance Chief | 13 | 46.2 | 14 | 42.9 |
| Electronics Maintenance Technician | 67 | 0.0 | 72 | 0.0 |
| Engineer Assistant | 39 | 82.1 | 39 | 82.1 |
| Engineer Equipment Chief | 35 | 77.1 | 36 | 75.0 |
| Expeditionary Airfield Systems Technician | 74 | 63.5 | 75 | 62.7 |
| Explosive Ordnance Disposal Technician | 18 | 22.2 | 18 | 22.2 |
| Field Artillery Cannoneer | 957 | 92.9 | 957 | 93.0 |
| Field Artillery Operations Man | 32 | 46.9 | 32 | 46.9 |
| Field Artillery Radar Operator | 40 | 42.5 | 40 | 42.5 |
| Tactical Systems Operator/ Mission Specialist | 15 | 40.0 | 15 | 40.0 |
| Fiscal/Budget Technician | 14 | 50.0 | 14 | 50.0 |
| Fixed-Wing Aircraft Flight Engineer, KC-130 | 18 | 33.3 | 17 | 35.3 |
| Food Service Specialist | 825 | 83.4 | 826 | 83.3 |

Appendix IV
Active, Reserve, and National Guard
Components' Consistently Over- and
Underfilled Occupational Specialties

| $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ |  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ |  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | 2005 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

## (Continued From Previous Page)

| Occupational specialty title | 2000 |  | 2001 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Authorized level | Percentage filled | Authorized level | Percentage filled |
| Fuel and Electrical Systems Mechanic | 24 | 4.2 | 24 | 4.2 |
| Ground Communications Organizational Repairer | 275 | 0.0 | 272 | 0.0 |
| Ground Radio Intermediate Repairer | 109 | 0.0 | 114 | 0.0 |
| Ground Safety Specialist | 3 | 0.0 | 2 | 0.0 |
| Hazardous Material/ Hazardous Waste | 45 | 35.6 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Helicopter Airframe Mechanic, UH/AH-1 | 69 | 85.5 | 71 | 83.1 |
| Helicopter Crew Chief, UH-1 | 22 | 45.5 | 22 | 45.5 |
| Helicopter Tiltrotor Dynamic Components Mechanic | 25 | 60.0 | 25 | 60.0 |
| Hygiene Equipment Operator | 220 | 81.4 | 220 | 81.4 |
| Interrogator/ Debriefer | 43 | 81.4 | 43 | 81.4 |
| Landing Support Specialist | 476 | 83.6 | 478 | 83.1 |
| Licensing Examiner | 4 | 25.0 | 3 | 33.3 |
| Light Armored Vehicle Repairer/Technician | 89 | 78.7 | 89 | 78.7 |
| Logistics Vehicle System Operator | 718 | 72.3 | 718 | 72.4 |
| Low Altitude Air Defense Gunner | 200 | 74.5 | 200 | 74.5 |
| Machinist | 40 | 45.0 | 40 | 45.0 |
| Marine Air Ground Task Force Planning Specialist | 45 | 48.9 | 40 | 55.0 |
| Main Battle Tank Repairer/Technician | 203 | 67.0 | 202 | 66.8 |
| Metal Worker | 93 | 79.6 | 93 | 79.6 |
| Meteorological and Oceanographic Forecaster | 23 | 8.7 | 23 | 8.7 |
| Meteorological and Oceanographic Observer | 36 | 86.1 | 36 | 86.1 |
| Metrology Technician | 2 | 50.0 | 2 | 50.0 |
| Motor Transport Operations Chief | 173 | 61.3 | 175 | 61.1 |
| Ordnance Vehicle Maintenance Chief | 12 | 41.7 | 12 | 41.7 |
| Radar Approach Controller | 5 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Radio Chief | 110 | 53.6 | 103 | 56.3 |
| Reconnaissance Man | 407 | 28.7 | 368 | 31.5 |
| Refrigeration Mechanic | 95 | 88.4 | 95 | 88.4 |
| Satellite Communications Technician | 5 | 60.0 | 5 | 60.0 |
| Semitrailer Refueler Operator | 66 | 6.1 | 1 | 400.0 |
| Senior Air Traffic Controller | 3 | 66.7 | 3 | 66.7 |
| Sergeant Major/First Sergeant | 219 | 93.2 | 220 | 92.3 |
| Small Craft Mechanic | 22 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Tactical Air Defense Controller | 33 | 18.2 | 33 | 18.2 |

Active, Reserve, and National Guard
Components' Consistently Over- and
Underfilled Occupational Specialties

| $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Authorized <br> level | Percentage <br> filled | Authorized <br> level | Percentage <br> filled | Authorized <br> level | Percentage <br> filled | Authorized <br> level | Percentage <br> filled |
| 24 | 16.7 | 24 | 37.5 | 24 | 41.7 | $\mathbf{3 3 . 3}$ |  |

Appendix IV
Active, Reserve, and National Guard
Components' Consistently Over- and
Underfilled Occupational Specialties
(Continued From Previous Page)

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Occupational specialty title | Authorized <br> level | Percentage <br> filled | Authorized <br> level | Percentage <br> filled |
| Tactical Air Operations Module Technician | 12 | 41.7 | 12 |  |
| Tactical Data Network Gateway Systems Administrator | 18 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.7 |
| Tactical Data Systems Administrator | 6 | 0.0 | 6 | 0.0 |
| Tactical Data Systems Equipment Repairer | 19 | 78.9 | 20 | 75.0 |
| Tactical Network Specialist | 227 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Technical Controller | 22 | 22.7 | 17 | 29.4 |
| Telephone Systems/Personal Computer Intermediate Repairer | 96 | 0.0 | 96 | 0.0 |
| Traffic Management Specialist | 133 | 74.4 | 133 | 74.4 |
| Unit Level Circuit Switch Operator/Maintainer | 100 | 69.0 | 98 | 70.4 |
| Utilities Chief | 20 | 80.0 | 20 | 80.0 |
| Vehicle Recovery Operator | 86 | 32.6 | 86 | 32.6 |

Appendix IV
Active, Reserve, and National Guard
Components' Consistently Over- and
Underfilled Occupational Specialties

| 2002 |  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Authorized <br> level | Percentage <br> filled | Authorized <br> level | Percentage <br> filled | Authorized <br> level | Percentage <br> filled | Authorized <br> level | Percentage <br> filled |
| 12 | 41.7 | 12 | 75.0 | 12 | 75.0 | 12 | 83.3 |
| 18 | 0.0 | 18 | 0.0 | 18 | 5.6 | 18 | 5.6 |
| 6 | 16.7 | 6 | 16.7 | 6 | 0.0 | 6 | 0.0 |
| 19 | 94.7 | 19 | 100.0 | 19 | 84.2 | 20 | 95.0 |
| 227 | 0.0 | 230 | 50.4 | 244 | 59.8 | 236 | 66.1 |
| 22 | 18.2 | 22 | 18.2 | 22 | 31.8 | 13 | 53.8 |
| 96 | 58.3 | 96 | 72.9 | 99 | 74.7 | 97 | 87.6 |
| 133 | 80.5 | 133 | 87.2 | 133 | 87.2 | 133 | 77.4 |
| 100 | 56.0 | 100 | 73.0 | 100 | 80.0 | 98 | 75.5 |
| 20 | 55.0 | 20 | 55.0 | 15 | 66.7 | 15 | 73.3 |
| 86 | 44.2 | 86 | 39.5 | 86 | 41.9 | 79 | 57.0 |

Active, Reserve, and National Guard
Components' Consistently Over- and
Underfilled Occupational Specialties

Table 30: Air National Guard's Consistently Overfilled Occupational Specialties

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ |  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Occupational specialty title | Authorized <br> level | Percentage <br> filled | Authorized <br> level | Percentage <br> filled |
| Airfield Management | 298 | 110.1 | $\mathbf{2 9 8}$ | 109.4 |
| Basic Enlisted Airman | 90 | $4,117.8$ | 90 | $4,224.4$ |
| Bioenvironmental Engineering | 178 | 105.1 | 178 | 103.4 |
| Enlisted Accessions Recruiter | 503 | 98.6 | 503 | 101.6 |
| Military Equal Opportunity | 96 | 105.2 | 96 | 95.8 |
| Operations Management | 215 | 125.6 | 215 | 114.4 |
| Public Affairs | 107 | 104.7 | 107 | 98.1 |
| Unallotted Airman | 91 | $2,623.1$ | 91 | $2,605.5$ |
| Visual Information Services | 858 | 100.9 | 858 | 96.2 |

Active, Reserve, and National Guard
Components' Consistently Over- and
Underfilled Occupational Specialties

| 2002 |  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ |  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

Table 31: Air National Guard's Consistently Underfilled Occupational Specialties

| Occupational specialty title | 2000 |  | 2001 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Authorized level | Percentage filled | Authorized level | Percentage filled |
| Aircraft Armament Systems | 3,078 | 81.6 | 3,078 | 83.7 |
| Aerospace Control and Warning System | 904 | 88.8 | 904 | 91.3 |
| Aerospace Maintenance | 5,176 | 85.7 | 5,176 | 87.2 |
| Medical Services | 2,152 | 96.5 | 2,152 | 93.9 |
| Aerospace Systems | 8,760 | 83.0 | 8,760 | 83.0 |
| Air Traffic Control | 418 | 74.2 | 418 | 74.4 |
| Air Transportation | 2,051 | 87.0 | 2,051 | 86.3 |
| Airborne Communications and Electrical Systems | 80 | 65.0 | 80 | 101.3 |
| Airborne Cryptologic Linguist | 100 | 0.0 | 100 | 62.0 |
| Aircraft Fabrication | 2,568 | 86.6 | 2,568 | 86.3 |
| Aircraft Loadmaster | 834 | 95.2 | 834 | 98.2 |
| Operations Resource Management | 396 | 96.0 | 396 | 97.7 |
| Biomedical Equipment | 156 | 88.5 | 156 | 92.9 |
| Chaplain Assistant | 269 | 93.7 | 269 | 87.0 |
| Communication Signals Intelligence | 5 | 120.0 | 5 | 80.0 |
| Combat Control | 26 | 57.7 | 26 | 46.2 |
| Communication - Computer Systems Control | 389 | 89.5 | 389 | 86.4 |
| Communication Systems | 3,621 | 82.4 | 3,621 | 81.2 |
| Communication, Network, Switching and Cryptologic Systems | 1,489 | 90.8 | 1,489 | 86.0 |
| Contracting | 392 | 88.5 | 392 | 88.3 |
| Cryptologic Linguist | 10 | 700.0 | 10 | 50.0 |
| Diet Therapy | 49 | 69.4 | 49 | 61.2 |
| Education and Training | 1,229 | 94.7 | 1,229 | 91.5 |
| Electrical Signals Intelligence Exploitation | 8 | 25.0 | 8 | 25.0 |
| Engineering | 470 | 89.6 | 470 | 88.9 |
| Explosive Ordnance Disposal | 90 | 63.3 | 90 | 75.6 |
| Fire Protection | 2,038 | 88.3 | 2,038 | 88.4 |
| First Sergeant | 885 | 97.3 | 885 | 93.9 |
| Flight Attendant | 23 | 0.0 | 23 | 91.3 |
| Flight Engineer | 631 | 94.8 | 631 | 95.1 |
| Fuels | 1,513 | 89.2 | 1,513 | 88.2 |
| Ground Radar Systems | 747 | 88.2 | 747 | 82.7 |
| Imagery Analysis | 126 | 73.8 | 126 | 74.6 |


| 2002 |  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ |  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | 2005 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

Active, Reserve, and National Guard
Components' Consistently Over- and
Underfilled Occupational Specialties
(Continued From Previous Page)

| Occupational specialty title | 2000 |  | 2001 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Authorized level | Percentage filled | Authorized level | Percentage filled |
| Logistics Plans | 508 | 98.4 | 508 | 98.0 |
| Maintenance Management Analyst | 298 | 76.5 | 298 | 76.5 |
| Maintenance Production | 450 | 95.3 | 450 | 93.8 |
| Medical Laboratory | 258 | 92.6 | 258 | 85.3 |
| Medical Materiel | 259 | 87.3 | 259 | 87.6 |
| Munitions Systems | 1,934 | 76.9 | 1,934 | 80.6 |
| Network Intelligence Analysis | 8 | 12.5 | 8 | 37.5 |
| Intelligence Applications | 558 | 90.0 | 558 | 88.2 |
| Paralegal | 185 | 88.1 | 185 | 86.5 |
| Pararescue | 118 | 86.4 | 118 | 88.1 |
| Pavement and Construction Equipment | 1,007 | 95.3 | 1,007 | 89.5 |
| Personnel | 2,645 | 97.4 | 2,645 | 94.7 |
| Radio Communications Systems | 344 | 70.1 | 344 | 70.9 |
| Readiness | 674 | 76.0 | 674 | 76.7 |
| Services | 2,586 | 94.8 | 2,586 | 98.8 |
| Space Systems Operations | 50 | 60.0 | 50 | 80.0 |
| Structural | 869 | 92.5 | 869 | 86.7 |
| Survival, Evasion, Resistance, and Escape | 1 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.0 |
| Tactical Air Command and Control | 320 | 55.0 | 320 | 60.0 |
| Tactical Aircraft Avionics Systems | 5,133 | 86.2 | 5,133 | 86.8 |
| Telephone and Distributed Communication Systems | 1,539 | 88.4 | 1,539 | 84.8 |
| Traffic Management | 646 | 89.9 | 646 | 88.7 |
| Utilities Systems | 1,220 | 86.8 | 1,220 | 83.9 |
| Vehicle and Vehicular Equipment Maintenance | 1,836 | 96.7 | 1,836 | 94.2 |
| Vehicle Operations | 1,089 | 85.0 | 1,089 | 89.2 |
| Weather | 502 | 83.1 | 502 | 82.9 |

Appendix IV
Active, Reserve, and National Guard
Components' Consistently Over- and
Underfilled Occupational Specialties

| 2002 | 2003 |  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | 2005 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

Table 32: Air Force Reserve's Consistently Overfilled Occupational Specialties

| Occupational specialty title | 2000 |  | 2001 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Authorized level | Percentage filled | Authorized level | Percentage filled |
| Aerospace Control and Warning Systems | 7 | 414.3 | 7 | 457.1 |
| Air Traffic Control | 24 | 241.7 | 25 | 232.0 |
| Airborne Communications and Electronics Systems | 56 | 105.4 | 56 | 112.5 |
| Aircraft Armament Systems | 614 | 118.2 | 580 | 120.2 |
| Aircrew Life Support | 369 | 105.7 | 381 | 111.5 |
| Avionics Test Station and Components | 68 | 145.6 | 76 | 132.9 |
| Communication Systems | 263 | 126.6 | 272 | 121.0 |
| Communication, Network, Switching and Cryptologic Systems | 162 | 116.7 | 286 | 109.8 |
| Communication-Computer Systems Control | 39 | 151.3 | 46 | 139.1 |
| Communications Signals Intelligence | 34 | 252.9 | 35 | 220.0 |
| Communications-Computer Systems Planning and Implementation | 5 | 160.0 | 6 | 100.0 |
| Cryptologic Linguist | 89 | 150.6 | 60 | 148.3 |
| Dental | 225 | 136.0 | 219 | 135.6 |
| Diagnostic Imaging | 82 | 170.7 | 82 | 159.8 |
| Electrical | 947 | 93.5 | 922 | 102.6 |
| Engineering | 167 | 121.0 | 179 | 114.5 |
| Fire Protection | 1,008 | 112.5 | 1,019 | 118.7 |
| Ground Radar Systems | 8 | 350.0 | 8 | 362.5 |
| Heating, Ventilation, Air Conditioning, and Refrigeration | 389 | 118.0 | 397 | 115.9 |
| Information Management | 1,920 | 111.8 | 1,932 | 112.3 |
| Medical Laboratory | 265 | 109.1 | 246 | 116.3 |
| Personnel | 1,546 | 101.9 | 1,393 | 115.9 |
| Physical Medicine | 13 | 246.2 | 11 | 263.6 |
| Precision Measurement Equipment Laboratory | 1 | 4,100.0 | 2 | 1,600.0 |
| Public Health | 87 | 101.1 | 76 | 111.8 |
| Radio Communications Systems | 264 | 127.7 | 254 | 125.2 |
| Security Forces | 3,471 | 119.6 | 3,479 | 122.7 |
| Services | 1,168 | 108.2 | 1,193 | 107.1 |
| Supply Management | 1,468 | 121.5 | 1,460 | 120.7 |
| Surgical Service | 128 | 107.8 | 126 | 118.3 |
| Tactical Aircraft Avionics Systems | 1,095 | 118.5 | 1,088 | 119.1 |


| 2002 |  | 2003 |  | 2004 |  | 2005 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Authorized level | Percentage filled | Authorized level | Percentage filled | Authorized level | Percentage filled | Authorized level | Percentage filled |
| 4 | 600.0 | 4 | 425.0 | 10 | 260.0 | 36 | 75.0 |
| 28 | 185.7 | 23 | 200.0 | 23 | 165.2 | 24 | 170.8 |
| 59 | 100.0 | 50 | 108.0 | 50 | 110.0 | 49 | 106.1 |
| 580 | 123.8 | 571 | 117.2 | 579 | 111.4 | 579 | 119.2 |
| 378 | 123.5 | 379 | 127.2 | 389 | 128.0 | 396 | 124.2 |
| 80 | 130.0 | 171 | 163.2 | 193 | 133.7 | 206 | 115.0 |
| 300 | 110.3 | 297 | 113.8 | 299 | 117.1 | 290 | 127.2 |
| 303 | 110.2 | 309 | 106.1 | 311 | 101.6 | 274 | 112.4 |
| 44 | 150.0 | 49 | 140.8 | 60 | 135.0 | 84 | 116.7 |
| 30 | 233.3 | 36 | 161.1 | 30 | 150.0 | 30 | 173.3 |
| 4 | 250.0 | 2 | 150.0 | 1 | 300.0 | 1 | 300.0 |
| 62 | 127.4 | 66 | 134.8 | 61 | 137.7 | 61 | 139.3 |
| 222 | 150.5 | 226 | 144.7 | 342 | 101.2 | 339 | 111.8 |
| 82 | 178.0 | 83 | 160.2 | 86 | 140.7 | 84 | 136.9 |
| 910 | 110.2 | 906 | 107.6 | 903 | 107.0 | 815 | 121.2 |
| 189 | 114.8 | 179 | 119.6 | 184 | 112.0 | 224 | 94.2 |
| 1,051 | 122.5 | 1,087 | 111.1 | 1,111 | 109.2 | 1,104 | 113.6 |
| 8 | 300.0 | 7 | 314.3 | 8 | 262.5 | 12 | 216.7 |
| 404 | 115.6 | 404 | 113.9 | 402 | 114.4 | 398 | 119.6 |
| 1,929 | 115.3 | 1,955 | 113.0 | 1,908 | 116.1 | 1,923 | 113.2 |
| 246 | 109.8 | 210 | 115.7 | 215 | 115.8 | 213 | 123.9 |
| 1,361 | 122.0 | 1,417 | 117.4 | 1,463 | 112.5 | 1,481 | 115.2 |
| 11 | 263.6 | 13 | 223.1 | 13 | 192.3 | 13 | 153.8 |
| 2 | 1,250.0 | 1 | 1,300.0 | 2 | 1,000.0 | 2 | 1,200.0 |
| 80 | 120.0 | 79 | 160.8 | 103 | 113.6 | 102 | 114.7 |
| 235 | 135.3 | 193 | 152.3 | 191 | 141.4 | 188 | 136.7 |
| 3,436 | 130.8 | 3,476 | 118.6 | 3,467 | 116.1 | 3,530 | 118.7 |
| 1,202 | 111.0 | 1,215 | 114.3 | 1,200 | 115.9 | 1,197 | 117.0 |
| 1,412 | 123.9 | 1,422 | 116.4 | 1,418 | 114.0 | 1,303 | 120.9 |
| 126 | 126.2 | 101 | 150.5 | 118 | 124.6 | 77 | 167.5 |
| 1,142 | 117.2 | 1,147 | 114.0 | 1,100 | 120.3 | 1,125 | 121.6 |

Appendix IV
Active, Reserve, and National Guard
Components' Consistently Over- and
Underfilled Occupational Specialties
(Continued From Previous Page)

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ |  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Occupational specialty title | Authorized <br> level | Percentage <br> filled | Authorized <br> level | Percentage <br> filled |
| Telephone and Distributed Communication Systems | 56 | 183.9 | 57 | 186.0 |
| Traffic Management | 355 | 100.0 | 353 | 109.9 |
| Vehicle and Vehicular Equipment Maintenance | 394 | 111.4 | 394 | 117.0 |
| Vehicle Operations | 387 | 108.0 | 381 | 117.8 |
| Visual Information Services | 171 | 90.6 | 174 | 105.7 |
| Weather | 41 | 158.5 | 43 | 144.2 |

Appendix IV
Active, Reserve, and National Guard
Components' Consistently Over- and
Underfilled Occupational Specialties

| 2002 |  | 2003 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Authorized <br> level | Percentage <br> filled | Authorized <br> level | Percentage <br> filled | Authorized <br> level | Percentage <br> filled | Authorized <br> level | Percentage <br> filled |
| 80 | 137.5 | 83 | 141.0 | 89 | 130.3 | 74 | 159.5 |
| 349 | 120.6 | 356 | 114.6 | 341 | 119.6 | 343 | 120.4 |
| 400 | 117.5 | 410 | 118.0 | 411 | 115.8 | 410 | 119.8 |
| 383 | 120.1 | 372 | 125.3 | 372 | 123.7 | 374 | 118.7 |
| 169 | 119.5 | 167 | 106.0 | 163 | 108.0 | 162 | 109.3 |
| 43 | 130.2 | 42 | 111.9 | 49 | 106.1 | 79 | 79.7 |

Table 33: Air Force Reserve's Consistently Underfilled Occupational Specialties

| Occupational specialty title | 2000 |  | 2001 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Authorized level | Percentage filled | Authorized level | Percentage filled |
| Aerospace Maintenance | 5,030 | 91.8 | 5,086 | 91.2 |
| Aerospace Systems | 5,026 | 95.9 | 5,040 | 95.5 |
| Air Transportation | 7,176 | 82.6 | 7,028 | 88.6 |
| Aircraft Loadmaster | 1,300 | 97.8 | 1,311 | 96.0 |
| Biomedical Equipment | 126 | 70.6 | 137 | 73.7 |
| Career Assistance Advisor |  |  | 189 | 4.8 |
| Chaplain Assistant | 174 | 81.6 | 175 | 77.7 |
| Command Post | 336 | 81.5 | 336 | 87.2 |
| Contracting | 48 | 91.7 | 46 | 84.8 |
| Courier | 28 | 78.6 | 28 | 64.3 |
| Diet Therapy | 114 | 82.5 | 115 | 72.2 |
| Education and Training | 584 | 76.0 | 587 | 79.9 |
| Electronic Signals Intelligence Exploitation | 48 | 85.4 | 53 | 79.2 |
| Electronic System Security Assessment | 57 | 78.9 | 57 | 93.0 |
| Explosive Ordnance Disposal | 259 | 42.9 | 257 | 55.6 |
| First Sergeant | 404 | 88.9 | 431 | 83.1 |
| Historian | 55 | 50.9 | 56 | 50.0 |
| Imagery Analysis | 224 | 40.6 | 214 | 44.4 |
| In-flight Refueling | 264 | 91.3 | 268 | 91.8 |
| Intelligence Applications | 712 | 57.7 | 711 | 64.3 |
| Linguist Debriefer | 45 | 26.7 | 20 | 45.0 |
| Logistics Plans | 229 | 62.4 | 235 | 60.0 |
| Maintenance Management Analyst | 103 | 99.0 | 105 | 81.0 |
| Maintenance Production | 209 | 91.9 | 214 | 86.4 |
| Military Equal Opportunity | 49 | 75.5 | 50 | 78.0 |
| Military Training Instructor | 91 | 44.0 | 83 | 51.8 |
| Military Training Leader | 75 | 65.3 | 75 | 53.3 |
| Munitions Systems | 647 | 86.9 | 646 | 90.2 |
| Operations Management | 117 | 94.9 | 124 | 88.7 |
| Optometry | 60 | 80.0 | 58 | 77.6 |
| Paralegal | 264 | 78.4 | 270 | 77.4 |
| Pararescue | 155 | 61.9 | 156 | 62.2 |


| 2002 |  | 2003 |  | 2004 | 2005 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

Appendix IV
Active, Reserve, and National Guard
Components' Consistently Over- and
Underfilled Occupational Specialties
(Continued From Previous Page)

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ |  | 2001 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Occupational specialty title | Authorized <br> level | Percentage <br> filled | Authorized <br> level | Percentage <br> filled |
| Professional Military Education Instructor | 5 | 80.0 | 5 | 80.0 |
| Postal Specialist | 15 | 86.7 | 15 | 53.3 |
| Readiness | 289 | 63.0 | 289 | 72.7 |
| Safety | 121 | 60.3 | 120 | 65.0 |
| Special Investigations | 244 | 87.7 | 249 | 91.6 |
| Structural | 528 | 90.0 | 526 | 93.3 |
| Survival, Evasion, Resistance, and Escape | 1 | 300.0 | 10 | 60.0 |
| Utilities Systems | 634 | 86.4 | 607 | 92.1 |

Appendix IV
Active, Reserve, and National Guard
Components' Consistently Over- and
Underfilled Occupational Specialties

| 2002 |  | 2003 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | 2005 |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Authorized <br> level | Percentage <br> filled | Authorized <br> level | Percentage <br> filled | Authorized <br> level | Percentage <br> filled | Authorized <br> level | Percentage <br> filled |
| 5 | 40.0 | 5 | 100.0 | 9 | 77.8 | 10 | 80.0 |
| 15 | 53.3 | 16 | 62.5 | 17 | 58.8 | 13 | 53.8 |
| 284 | 90.1 | 281 | 89.0 | 277 | 96.8 | 288 | 95.5 |
| 122 | 69.7 | 128 | 74.2 | 130 | 72.3 | 130 | 70.8 |
| 249 | 93.6 | 248 | 89.1 | 248 | 69.0 | 248 | 66.1 |
| 534 | 95.1 | 533 | 94.0 | 533 | 93.8 | 484 | 106.6 |
| 9 | 55.6 | 12 | 25.0 | 24 | 20.8 | 29 | 34.5 |
| 602 | 98.2 | 603 | 99.2 | 605 | 94.9 | 687 | 84.4 |

## Comments from the Department of Defense

EADINESS
OFFICE OF THE UNDER SECRETARY OF DEFENSE 4000 DEFENSE PENTAGON WASHINGTON, D.C. 20301-4000

NOV 12005
Mr. Derek Stewart
Director
Defense Capabilities and Management
U.S. Government Accountability Office

441 G Street N.W.
Washington, DC 20548
Dear Mr. Stewart:
This is the Department of Defense (DoD) response to GAO draft report, "MILITARY PERSONNEL: DoD Needs Action Plan to Address Enlisted Personnel Recruitment and Retention Challenges," dated October 14, 2005 (GAO Code 350633/GAO-06-134)."

The Department notes that a key action called for in the review - the management plan - was already formally established by paragraph 3.2. of DoD Directive 1304.20, which calls for an annual Enlisted Personnel Management Plan; yet the report failed to note that pre-existing requirement. A copy of the final draft of the DoD Instruction governing submission of those plans has been provided to the GAO. The Department retains oversight and monitors the progress of military manning within the Military Departments throughout the year, and requires several reports beyond that management plan. For example, the Secretary of Defense reviews the status of recruiting and retention each month, and the Under Secretary of Defense (Personnel and Readiness) conducts quarterly reviews of "Balanced Scorecard" reports. The omissions in the GAO draft report result in an incomplete description of management controls.

Notwithstanding the foregoing omissions, the report correctly notes the importance of annually tracking of manning levels across a wide range of occupations based on reports of the ten components. To that end, the Department will retain visibility over skills judged most critical for retention through the Balanced Score Card process; whereby both over and under manned skills are reviewed.

Notably the GAO defined overfilled and underfilled occupational specialties as those that were over or under their authorized levels by one or more individuals; yet this measurement is unreasonably tight and incompatible with resource allocation standards embodied in DoD readiness performance measurements. Take for example the first line in Table 15 of the report (AH-64D Avionics/Electric Repair). Authorizations in that long-train-time skill tripled from 2000 to 2001; then roughly doubled in 2002. The manning overages were a byproduct of building enough force to meet those increases.


## Appendix V

Comments from the Department of Defense

This in turn generated manning of 300,104 , and 85 percent over that three year glidepath, simply as a function of ramping up to that new strength. Conversely, when authorizations are stable, as in the case of the skill immediately beneath it in the table (Avenger Crewmember) the variance is only two percent either way ( 98 to 102 percent) - well within the parameters for success of resource allocation established in DoD readiness systems, yet the report fails to note the fulfillment of that systemic intent.

The Department appreciates the opportunity to comment on the draft report. Comments or questions should be addressed to Major Kenneth Olivo, USMC at (703) 697-4959, or Ken.Olivo@osd.mil.

Sincerely,


William J. Carr
Acting Deputy Under Secretary
(Military Personnel Policy)

## GAO CODE 350633/GAO-06-134

## "MILITARY PERSONNEL: DoD Needs Action Plan to Address Enlisted Personnel Recruitment and Retention Challenges"

## DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE COMMENTS TO THE RECOMMENDATIONS

RECOMMENDATION 1: The GAO recommended that the Secretary of Defense direct the Under Secretary of Defense for Personnel and Readiness, in concert with the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Reserve Affairs, to require the ten components to:

- Report annually on all (not just critical) over and underfilled occupational specialties;
- Provide an analysis of why specific occupational specialties are over and underfilled; and,
- Report annually on and justify their use of enlistment and reenlistment bonuses provided to servicemembers in occupational specialties that exceed their authorized personnel levels. (p. 37/GAO Draft Report)

DOD RESPONSE: Partially concur. The Department has visibility over skills deemed most critical for retention which are captured through the Balanced Score Card process; whereby both over and under manned skills are reviewed. Notably, the GAO defined overfilled and underfilled occupational specialties as those that were over or under their authorized levels by one or more individuals; yet this measurement is unreasonably tight. Take for example the first line in Table 15 of the report (AH-64D Avionics/Electric Repair). Authorizations in that long-train-time skill tripled from 2000 to 2001; then roughly doubled in 2002. The manning overages were a byproduct of building enough force to meet those increases. This in turn generated manning of 300,104 , and 85 percent over that three year period, simply as a function of ramping up to that new strength. Conversely, when authorizations are stable, as in the case of the skill immediately beneath it in the table (Avenger Crewmember) the variance is only two percent either way ( 98 to 102 percent) -- well within the parameters for success of resource allocation established in DoD readiness systems, yet the report fails to note the fulfillment of that systemic intent. The Department agrees with the need to closely manage bonus programs, but notes from the foregoing explanation that the payment of a bonus to a skill manned at 300 percent was well-advised in light of growing structure in AH-64D Repair and in fact ultimately proved insufficient to achieve requisite manning in year three ( 85 percent achieved).
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RECOMMENDATION 2: The GAO recommended that the Secretary of Defense direct the Under Secretary of Defense for Personnel and Readiness, in concert with the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Reserve Affairs, develop a management action plan that will help the components to identify and address the root causes of their recruiting and retention challenges. (p. 37/GAO Draft Report)

DOD RESPONSE: Partially concur. The Department notes that the action called for development of a management action plan - is substantially achieved by the Enlisted Personnel Management Plan (EPMP). The EPMP already was formally established by paragraph 3.2. of DoD Directive 1304.20, yet the report failed to note same. A copy of the final draft of the DoD Instruction governing submission of those plans has been provided to the GAO. Naturally, positive management action is required in response to those plans, and the Department already has laid the framework for that through its monthly reviews of reports beyond that management plan, and quarterly reviews of "Balanced Scorecard" reports.
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