

United States General Accounting Office

Report to the Chairman, Subcommittee on VA, HUD, and Independent Agencies, Committee on Appropriations, House of Representatives

July 1998

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

Funds Obligated for Completed Superfund Projects



GAO

United States General Accounting Office Washington, D.C. 20548

Resources, Community, and Economic Development Division

B-279695

July 21, 1998

The Honorable Jerry Lewis Chairman, Subcommittee on VA, HUD, and Independent Agencies Committee on Appropriations House of Representatives

Dear Mr. Chairman:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) relies heavily on contracts and assistance agreements to accomplish the work of the Superfund program, which was created to clean up the nation's most hazardous waste sites. Superfund contracts are awarded to private businesses to (1) clean up hazardous waste sites, (2) supervise the cleanups performed by others, and (3) provide technical and scientific support. Assistance agreements are generally used to fund states', nonprofit organizations', and universities' activities that support the Superfund program.

When EPA awards a contract or enters into an assistance agreement, it obligates federal funds to cover the cost of the planned work. As work progresses according to work orders for individual contracts or work plans for assistance agreements, EPA makes payments and liquidates its obligations. In April 1997, we reported that EPA had \$249 million in unspent obligated funds available for deobligation on over 6,000 Superfund contract work orders and assistance agreements that were completed prior to calendar year 1997.¹ Our report recommended that the Administrator of EPA develop a strategy for identifying, deobligating, and recovering unspent funds. Unspent Superfund moneys may be deobligated and recovered when all work has been completed or when the time period for completing the work has expired.

This report responds to your request that we (1) determine the progress that EPA has made to recover the unspent funds on the inactive Superfund contracts and assistance agreements that we identified and (2) determine whether any additional funds that expired during 1997 for Superfund contracts and assistance agreements are available for deobligation.

¹Environmental Protection: Opportunities to Recover Funds Obligated for Completed Superfund Projects (GAO/T-RCED-97-134, Apr. 15, 1997).

Results in Brief	EPA has made a concentrated effort to recover the unspent funds that we identified for work orders and assistance agreements for Superfund contracts that were completed prior to 1997. Of the \$249 million that we identified, EPA has recovered \$210 million. Of the approximately \$39 million remaining, EPA has detailed plans to recover about \$26 million during fiscal year 1998. EPA plans to recover the remaining \$13 million in fiscal year 1999.
	EPA has an additional \$125 million available for deobligation from contracts and assistance agreements that expired in 1997. Although EPA has identified and plans to recover \$10 million, we identified an additional \$115 million, which also expired in 1997, that can be recovered. EPA did not identify the additional \$115 million because its analysis, which was based on data for June 1997, covered contracts that expired prior to July 1, 1997. Typically, in the second half of a calendar year, significant amounts become available for deobligation. Of the additional amount available for deobligation and recovery, \$109 million derives mainly from contract work orders that expired during the last 6 months of 1997 and over \$6 million from assistance agreements.
Background	EPA relies extensively on contractors to carry out the Superfund program. Contracts are generally used to obtain the services of private businesses when EPA manages or oversees the cleanup work. Assistance agreements are generally used to fund states', nonprofit organizations', and universities' activities that support Superfund program activities. ² From fiscal year 1990 though fiscal 1997, Superfund contracts accounted for \$5.4 billion, or 49 percent, of the \$11.1 billion that EPA obligated for all contracts awarded during that period. Over the same time period, EPA entered into Superfund assistance agreements valued at about \$554 million.
	When EPA awards a contract or enters into an assistance agreement, the agency obligates federal funds equal to the estimated cost of the work and issues individual work orders to describe the specific tasks and requirements to be completed. As work progresses, contractors or assistance agreement recipients are provided with funds, thus liquidating obligations. In many instances, the amount of funds obligated exceeds the amount eventually needed to pay the contractors or other entities for the completed tasks and other requirements. In such cases, the unspent funds

²Assistance agreements include grants and cooperative agreements. Grants provide organizations with financial assistance to carry out a program without substantial federal involvement. Cooperative agreements provide financial assistance with substantial federal involvement.

may be deobligated and recovered when all the work has been completed or when the period for performing the work has expired. Before recovering unspent funds, EPA reviews the completed contracts or assistance agreements to ensure that all appropriate payments have been made. EPA leaves no more than 10 percent of the total expenditures made under the contracts as a reserve to cover any additional costs, as determined by a final audit. Recovered funds are to be used for other Superfund activities because congressional appropriations for the Superfund program remain available for use until expended.

Until 1997, EPA had experienced continuing problems in recovering unspent funds on inactive work orders and assistance agreements for Superfund contracts. As we reported in 1990, EPA's failure to recover unspent funds increased the government's need to borrow; increased the agency's vulnerability to fraud, waste, and abuse; and resulted in missed opportunities to obtain interest payments that were due to the government from overpayments to contractors.³ To handle the backlog of unspent funds remaining on inactive contract work orders, EPA established a Superfund Deobligation Task Force in 1994. The task force is composed of about 30 part-time members, representing several headquarters offices and each of EPA's 10 regional offices. Members of the task force review work orders for individual contracts to identify completed projects, determine the amount of unspent funds available for deobligation, and prepare requests to deobligate and recover the unused funds. The task force gives priority to work orders with the largest potential recovery of funds. Although EPA has not established a task force to identify completed projects funded through assistance agreements, it has placed increased emphasis on identifying completed projects, determining the amount of unspent funds available for deobligation, and recovering the unused funds.

In April 1997, we reported that recovering unspent obligated funds continued to be a problem at EPA. While the Superfund Deobligation Task Force had recovered nearly \$400 million in unspent funds from fiscal years 1994 through 1996, its activities did not receive high enough priority to obtain the staff resources needed to eliminate EPA's substantial backlog of contract work orders and assistance agreements. The reason for this, according to agency officials, was that the task force relied primarily on the efforts of individual contracting officers and grant specialists in headquarters and the regions to identify and recover Superfund money.

³EPA's Contract Management: Audit Backlogs and Audit Follow-Up Problems Undermine EPA's Contract Management (GAO/T-RCED-91-5, Dec. 11, 1990).

of fiscal year 1998, as show remaining \$13 million sho	recovery that we identified ir Illion. Of the approximately \$ d plans to recover about \$26 wn in table 1. According to EF uld be recovered in fiscal yea	39 million million by the end PA officials, the
	Amount available	Planned recovery
Category	for recovery	in fiscal year 1998
Contracts	\$17,068,541	\$16,264,299
Assistance agreements	21,833,269	9,301,202
Total	\$38,901,810	\$25,565,501
1998 should substantially contracts and assistance a	reduce outstanding recoverie greements completed prior t	es on Superfund to 1997.
1998 should substantially i contracts and assistance a EPA has additional funds a assistance agreements tha	reduce outstanding recoverie greements completed prior t vailable for deobligation on o t expired in 1997. As shown i t \$125 million that derived fro	es on Superfund to 1997. contracts and in table 2, unspent
1998 should substantially i contracts and assistance a EPA has additional funds a assistance agreements tha obligated funds total abou inactive contracts and ass	reduce outstanding recoverie agreements completed prior t vailable for deobligation on o t expired in 1997. As shown i t \$125 million that derived fre istance agreements. Number of orders/assistance agreements to be	es on Superfund to 1997. contracts and in table 2, unspent om about 3,900 Amount available
1998 should substantially i contracts and assistance a EPA has additional funds a assistance agreements tha obligated funds total abou inactive contracts and assi	reduce outstanding recoverie agreements completed prior t vailable for deobligation on o t expired in 1997. As shown i t \$125 million that derived fra istance agreements. Number of orders/assistance agreements to be deobligated	es on Superfund to 1997. contracts and in table 2, unspent om about 3,900 Amount available for recovery
1998 should substantially i contracts and assistance a EPA has additional funds a assistance agreements tha obligated funds total abou inactive contracts and ass	reduce outstanding recoverie agreements completed prior t vailable for deobligation on o t expired in 1997. As shown i t \$125 million that derived fre istance agreements. Number of orders/assistance agreements to be	es on Superfund to 1997. contracts and in table 2, unspent om about 3,900 Amount available
_	Category Contracts Assistance agreements Total	Contracts\$17,068,541Assistance agreements21,833,269

months of 1996 and the first 6 months of 1997. The contracts that expired during the last 6 months of 1997 will be addressed in EPA's fiscal year 1999 deobligation plan, according to EPA officials. Each October, EPA plans to analyze contract work orders that have expired by June of that year and deobligate the identified funds during that fiscal year.

Our analysis, which included all of 1997, shows a significantly higher potential recovery in the last 6 months of the year. A significant number of work orders expired during the last 6 months of 1997, and our analysis of work orders for 1996 disclosed a similar pattern. According to EPA officials, this pattern is typical for expiring contract work orders. The officials also stated that each year, the amount of funds available for recovery varies with the expiration of contracts for different Superfund activities. Therefore, they could not predict the potential recovery amounts for future years.

In its detailed deobligation plan for fiscal year 1998, EPA identified about \$2 million of the \$8 million available to be deobligated from assistance agreements. We identified over \$6 million more than EPA did for deobligation and recovery. According to EPA officials, the amount that we identified will be included in their fiscal year 1999 deobligation plan. EPA updated the progress that it had made in closing out Superfund assistance agreements on November 1, 1997, and again on May 1, 1998. These progress reports identify the specific assistance agreements that EPA plans to close out during the next 6 months.

Conclusions

EPA has made a concentrated effort to recover unspent obligated funds that we identified on inactive work orders and assistance agreements that were completed for Superfund contracts prior to 1997. EPA's recovery of funds during fiscal year 1997 and the planned recoveries in fiscal 1998 should reduce significantly the amount of recoverable funds on expired contracts and assistance agreements.

However, EPA's planned deobligations of contract work orders in fiscal year 1998 will not include all orders that expired in 1997 because EPA's analysis only included contracts that expired during the first half of 1997. If EPA's analysis included contracts that expired during the last half of the year, the agency would have identified an additional \$115 million that could potentially be recovered in fiscal year 1998. Typically, in the second half of a calendar year, significant amounts become available for

	deobligation. The deobligation of these moneys would, in turn, make funds available for other Superfund projects earlier.
Recommendation	To expedite the recovery of unspent funds on inactive Superfund contract work orders, we recommend that the Administrator of EPA modify the agency's analysis of completed contracts to include a separate analysis of contracts that have expired at the end of December in addition to June of each calendar year.
Agency Comments	We provided EPA with copies of this report for review and comment. The agency generally agreed that the report provided a good characterization of the agency's Superfund recovery efforts and suggested editorial changes to the report to ensure accurate factual information regarding the agency's process. EPA did not agree with our recommendation that the agency incorporate a second analysis of completed contracts each year. EPA officials stated that very little would be gained with an additional review in December. We found, however, that a significant number of contracts expire during September of each year and that the identification of these contracts in December would allow for recovery before the end of the fiscal year (Sept. 30). For example, had an additional review been made in December 1997, an additional \$115 million would have been identified for recovery in fiscal year 1998. As a result, we have retained our recommendation because it would provide for a quicker recovery of funds.
Scope and Methodology	Using EPA's data systems, ⁴ we identified contract work orders and assistance agreements having unspent obligations for work that had been completed or for which the specified performance period had expired. We also met with officials from EPA to review the progress that the agency made in recovering unspent obligated funds. We performed our work from March through June 1998 in accordance with generally accepted government auditing standards.
	As arranged with your office, unless you publicly announce its contents earlier, we plan no further distribution of this report until 10 days from the date of this letter. At that time, we will send copies to the EPA Administrator and other interested parties. We will also make copies

⁴The EPA data systems that we used include (1) the Contracts Information System, (2) the Financial Information System, (3) the Grants Information System, and (4) the Management and Accounting System. We did not verify the accuracy or reliability of the data systems.

available to others upon request. Major contributors to this report included John Wanska, John Yakaitis, and Everett O. Pace. If you or your staff have any questions, please contact me at (202) 512-4907.

Sincerely yours,

ſ

Peter F. Guerrero Director, Environmental Protection Issues

Ordering Information

The first copy of each GAO report and testimony is free. Additional copies are \$2 each. Orders should be sent to the following address, accompanied by a check or money order made out to the Superintendent of Documents, when necessary. VISA and MasterCard credit cards are accepted, also. Orders for 100 or more copies to be mailed to a single address are discounted 25 percent.

Orders by mail:

U.S. General Accounting Office P.O. Box 37050 Washington, DC 20013

or visit:

Room 1100 700 4th St. NW (corner of 4th and G Sts. NW) U.S. General Accounting Office Washington, DC

Orders may also be placed by calling (202) 512-6000 or by using fax number (202) 512-6061, or TDD (202) 512-2537.

Each day, GAO issues a list of newly available reports and testimony. To receive facsimile copies of the daily list or any list from the past 30 days, please call (202) 512-6000 using a touchtone phone. A recorded menu will provide information on how to obtain these lists.

For information on how to access GAO reports on the INTERNET, send an e-mail message with "info" in the body to:

info@www.gao.gov

or visit GAO's World Wide Web Home Page at:

http://www.gao.gov



United States General Accounting Office Washington, D.C. 20548-0001

Official Business Penalty for Private Use \$300



Address Correction Requested

