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RESTRICTED - Hot to be released outside en B-148004 by the Grime of Congressional Eelationen

The Honorable Nelson $A$. Rockefeller
president of the Senate
Dear Mr. President:
This is our report to comply with the requirements of Section 112 of Public Law 94-157, approved December 18, 1975. This law authorized the Senate Sergeant at Arms to negotiate a lease for all or part of a building at 400 North Capitol Street, Washington. D.C., at an annual rental not to exceed $\$ 3.375,000$ for the entire building, exclusive of amounts for reimbursement for taxes and utilities furnished by the lessor.

The law provides that the lease shall not become effective until approved or Senate resolution. Prior to the approval process the General Accounting office shall examine the terms of the proposed lease and shall report to the Senate on its reasonableness, raking into account such factors as rental rates for similar space, advantages of proximity and possible alternative aframements. The law also specifies that the lease way be for a term not in excess of 5 years and shall contain an option to purchase such property, ard shall include such other terse and conditions as the Senate Committee on Rules and Administration and the Senate Comittec on Appropriations may determine to be in the best interests of the Government.

## BACKGROUND

In January 1976 the building owners offered to lease the entire building (known as the worth Capitol plaza Builciing) to the Government at an annual rental of $\$ 3,375,000$. The Governmont would have been required to pay all tace, utilities, and services. We analyzed this proposed lease and orally provided the senate Sergeant at Arms our views on the proposed lease. the General services administration also analyzed the proposed lease and provided the Sergeant at Arms with its comments in February 1976.

The General Services Administration apprised the property in January 1976 and estimated its fair market value, without
tenant improvements, at $\$ 25.5$ million, and with tenant improvements, at $\$ 31.5$ millinn. Further, the fair annual rental of the building, fully serviced and for a term of 5 years, was aporaised at $\$ 5,058,709$. The $\$ 5,058,709$ fair annual rental consisted of 281.765 square feet of parking space appraised at $\$ 1.64$ per square foot: 17,651 square feet of retail space appraised at $\$ 10.00$ per square foot; and 552,513 square feet of office space appraised at $\$ 8.00$ per square foot. The $\$ 8.00$ per square foot included $\$ 3.70$ per square foot for fixed and operating expenses (see enclosure).

After a period of negotiations; the building owners and the Senate Sergeant at Arms could not aícive at an acceptable lease agreement. On March 17. 1976, the building owners withdrew their offer to lease and made a counter proposal to sell the building for a price between $\$ 31.5$ million and $\$ 35$ million. Since public Law 94-157 provided for a lease, a new authorization was needed before the building could be purchased.

Oq April 9. 1976, hearings were held before the Subcominittee on Building and Grounds, Senate Comittee on Public Works, on a proposal for the Senate to purnbase the building. On Hay 20. 1976. the Public Forks Comittee decided not to approve acquiring the building by purchase. An amendment to the Legislative Branch Appropriations Act; 1977, H.R. 14238, nuthorizing and appropi iating $\$ 35.5$ million for the acquisition of tae building was defeated by the Semate on September 8. 1976.

Pe were advised on september 23; 197., that the Senate pirmed to lease 38,012 square feet in the North Capital plama Builaing to house ita computer operations.

## Cumbiny Leise proposar

On September 23 and 24, 1976, we were provided certain information on thr. proposed lease terms, including the proposed annul cent cate. We were =equested to conplete onc review of the information procided, and submit our ceport to the senate on the results of our ceview by Ocrober 1. 1976. Eowever, the lease cate vas not negotiated until septenber 30. 1976. In view of this time constraint, our efforts mere directed prinacily o ceviewing the information provided on the rental cate as of Septerber 30, 1976, and to the extent possible, the related lease provisions. Re wece umble to review the finalized lease becane It had not been signed at the time of our review. wivic dif not pernit s stady of possible alternative arrangenents to leaming the 38,012 gquare feet of space in the rosth Capitol plasa Buifdfleg.

## Rent cates

On September 28, 1976, we informed the Senate Sergeant at Arms that the rate proposed by the owner appeared high when compared with the General Services Administration's appraised fair annual rental rate anra our under tanding of the rates being negotiated with other tenants in the building.

The office of the Senate Sergeant at Arms has advised us that he has megotiated a much lower rate than offered. The rate negotiated on September 30. 2976, is $\$ 6.50$ a square foot, or $\$ 247.077 .96$ a year for 5 years. The Senate will receive 3 months free rent. Therefore, the effective rate is $\$ 6.175$ a square foot. The owner will gay the taxes and provide standard quantities of partitioning and telephone outlets. The Senate will pai for elecrricity, estimatsd at $\$ 1.15$ a square frat, and janitorial services estimated at $\$ .6 j$ a square foot. Thereforc, the total cate as ajjusted is \$7.97 a square foot (\$6.17 + \$1.15 + \$.65).

As Inantioned earlier the General Services Administration, In its eanuary 1976 appraisal of the North Capitol Plaza Building, had estimated that the fair annual rental rate for office space in the building would be $\$ 8.00$ fer square foot, fully serviced, which includf 3 the cost of utilities, taxes, janitorial services, and other ecpenses associated with leasing the space. As shown in the enclosure, the General Services Administration included in its $\$ 8.00$ per squar $\frac{1}{}$ foot estimate, $\$ 1.15$ per square foot for electricity and $\$ .65$ per square foot for janitorial gervices. If the General Services Admimistration's estimate of the annual cost of these two experise items ( $\$ 1,80$ ) is added to the negotiated eifective rate of $\$ 6.17$ per square foot to place the two rates on a comparable basis, then it appears that the negotiated effective cate as adjusted of $\$ 7.97$ is about $\$ .03$ less than the $\$ 8.00$ estinated fair annual rental rate a square foot:

Our reviev of information furnished by the lessor sho\%s coinparable rates in a signed agreement with another lessee for comparable space, and in tentative leases under negotiation with other prospective tenants.

We believe that the effective rental rate of $\$ 6.175$ a square foot negotiated by the semate Sergeant at Arms is rearonable when compared with (i) the appraised faif annual rint restablished by the General Services ndministration in January 1975 , (2) the annual rental 1ifitation in the Bconomy Act of 1932 ( 40 O.8.C. 278a), and (3) oni understanding of what other tenants in the building will be paying. In addition, as recognized by the General Services mannistration in its earlier calculations and as we recognize, the proximity of the Horth Capitol plama Building to existing Senate office buildings may well be of additional value to the Senata.

## Other least provision

In our oral comments to the Senate Sergeant at Arms in January 1976, we suggested certain revisinns to the proposed lease terms then under consideration. The Generai Services Administration, in February 1976, also suggested a number of revisions. A comon suggestion was that the Senate Sergeant at Arms should use the standard lease provisions that the Gineral Services Administration use. modified to meet the Senate's needs, to insure that the Government's interests are protected by having all pertinent clauses included. On September 27, 1976, this suggestion was repeaced.

On September 28, 1976, we were iniormed by the Office of the Sergeant at Arms that the owner will accept the standard lease provisions which are fncluded in General Services Administration leases.

## Option to purchase

As stated previously, the law specifies that the loase shall contain an option to purchase the property. The initial Jamuary 1976 proposed lease for the entire building did contain an option to purchase clause. A araft of the current proposed lease for 38,012 enarire feet also contained an option to purchase the entire building. By letter to the Office of the Sergeant at Arms dated September 24, 1976, the owners' leasing agent stated that the option clause was unacceptable. When the law was being considerad. in late 1975, it was comtemplated that the senate would lease the entice buiiding.

In view of this and the fact that the senate is now proposing to-lease only 6.87 percent of the office space in the building, we think it would not be unreasonable fnr the owners to refise to enter into such a lease if it contained an option to purchase clamse.

Copiez of this report are being sent tc the senate Comittee or Appropr iations and the Senate comittee on bules and Administration.

## ESTIMATED ANNOAL EXPENSE 1/ 400 NORTH CAPITOL STREET vimSIINGTON, D.C.



Operating Expenses
Cleaning
Electricity
\$ 360,000
Ais conf fining/
beat y $x$
Water and sewer
Elevators
635,390
$\$ 0.650$
1.150

Repairs
Alterations and
decoration
General building
expenses

Total
$\$ 1.239 .390$
0.025
0.020
0.098
0.050

14,000
54,000
27.500
0.050
27.500
0.200
$\$ 2.243$
$\$ 2.046 .792 \quad \$ 3.70$
1/2his estimate is for 552,513 square feet of office space.

