

## COMPTROLLER GENERAL OF THE UNITED STATES WASHINGTON 28

NOV 2 9 1949 NOV 2 9 \*\*

Perto Rice Telephone Company
P. O. Bex 4275
San Juan 21, Puerto Rice

## dentlemen:

Reference is made to your letter of September 13, 1949, in effect requesting review of settlement dated August 19, 1949, disallowing your claim for \$16 for two international telephone calls made through the office of the War Assets Administration in San Juan, Puerto Rico.

It appears from the record that one of the calls, for which the tell was \$6, was made on May 19, 1947, by Mr. Thomas S. Chepin, a former employee of the War Assets Administration in Sam Juan, to a Mr. Boein in Mashington, D. C., and that the other, for which the tell was \$10, was made on May 29, 1947, by Mr. Chopin to Mrs. Chepin in St. Louis, Misseuri. It is administratively reported that the calls were personal calls of Mr. Chepin. It further appears that the administrative office has endeavored to have Mr. Chepin make payment therefor but without success.

The claim was disallowed because the calls were personal calls, payment for which is prohibited by the provisions of section 4 of the act of May 10, 1939, 53 Stat. 738, 31 U.S.C. 680s. Said section prevides that no part of any appropriation for any executive department, establishment, or agency shall be used for the payment of long distance telephone tells except for the transaction of public business which the interests of the Government require to be so transacted and that all such payments shall be supported by a certificate by the head of the department, establishment, or agency concerned, or such subordinates as he may especially designate, to the effect that the use of the telephone in such instances was necessary in the interest of the Government. The record contains no certificate such as required by said act and obviously, in view of the nature of the calls, there would be no basic for such certificate.

Tem centered that the contract was made subject to all your rules and practices and that you cannot central any long distance or intermational calls. However, the contract must also be viewed as having been made subject to the previous of species 4 of the sited set of

11:88

May 10, 1939, Supra. Said act contains no authority for its waiver by an efficer or employee of the Government in any ease—nor does any such authority etherwise exist. Hence, in the absence of the callection of the easest of said calls from Mr. Chopin, there appears no basis upon which your claim may be allowed.

Ascordingly, the disallowance of your claim must be and is sustained.

with reference to the concluding paragraph of your latter, you are advised that, while the responsibility of assuring that only proper and authorized use is made of afficial telephones primarily is an administrative one, this Office by circular letter dated May 24, 1939, advised the books of all departments, independent establishments and agencies of the Government of the prevision of section 4 of the act of May 10, 1939, suppress, and of the specialty for compliance therewith.

Very truly yours,

Comptroller General of the United States

CORPORATE THE SECTION OF THE SECTION